

# Lajos Soukup

## *More on cardinal invariants of analytic $P$ -ideals*

Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 50,2 (2009) 281–295.

**Abstract:** Given an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  let  $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{I})$  ( $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$ ) be minimum of the cardinalities of infinite (uncountable) maximal  $\mathcal{I}$ -almost disjoint subsets of  $[\omega]^\omega$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{I}_h) > \omega$  if  $\mathcal{I}_h$  is a summable ideal; but  $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}) = \omega$  for any tall density ideal  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}$  including the density zero ideal  $\mathcal{Z}$ . On the other hand, you have  $\mathfrak{b} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$  for any analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , and  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}) \leq \mathfrak{a}$  for each density ideal  $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}$ . For each ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  denote  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{I}}$  the unbounding and dominating numbers of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq_{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$  where  $f \leq_{\mathcal{I}} g$  iff  $\{n \in \omega : f(n) > g(n)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathfrak{d}$  for each analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . Given a Borel ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\omega$  we say that a poset  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -*bounding* iff  $\forall x \in \mathcal{I} \cap V^{\mathbb{P}} \exists y \in \mathcal{I} \cap V \ x \subseteq y$ .  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -*dominating* iff  $\exists y \in \mathcal{I} \cap V^{\mathbb{P}} \forall x \in \mathcal{I} \cap V \ x \subseteq^* y$ . For each analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  if a poset  $\mathbb{P}$  has the Sacks property then  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounding; moreover if  $\mathcal{I}$  is tall as well then the property  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounding/ $\mathcal{I}$ -dominating implies  $\omega^\omega$ -bounding/adding dominating reals, and the converses of these two implications are false. For the density zero ideal  $\mathcal{Z}$  we can prove more: (i) a poset  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -bounding iff it has the Sacks property, (ii) if  $\mathbb{P}$  adds a slalom capturing all ground model reals then  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -dominating.

**Keywords:** analytic  $P$ -ideals, cardinal invariants, forcing

**AMS Subject Classification:** 03E35, 03E17

### REFERENCES

- [Fa] Farah I., *Analytic quotients: theory of liftings for quotients over analytic ideals on the integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2000), no. 702, 177 pp.
- [Fr] Fremlin D.H., *Measure Theory. Set-theoretic Measure Theory*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, England, 2004; available at <http://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/staff/fremlin/mt.html>.
- [Ku] Kunen K., *Set Theory, An Introduction to Independence Proofs*, North Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1980.
- [LaZh] Laflamme C., Zhu J.-P., *The Rudin-Blass ordering of ultrafilters*, J. Symbolic Logic **63** (1998), no. 2, 584–592.
- [LoVe] Louveau A., Veličković B., *Analytic ideals and cofinal types*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **99** (1999), 171–195.
- [Ru] Rudin M.E., *Partial orders on the types of  $\beta\omega$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **155** (1971), 353–362.
- [So] Solecki S., *Analytic  $P$ -ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **99** (1999), 51–72.
- [Vo] Vojtáš P., *Generalized Galois-Tukey-connections between explicit relations on classical objects of real analysis*, Set Theory of the Reals (Ramat Gan, 1991), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 6, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993, pp.619–643.