## **Steve Seif**

## Congruence lattices of intransitive G-Sets and flat M-Sets

Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 54,4 (2013) 459 -484.

**Abstract:** An M-Set is a unary algebra  $\langle X, M \rangle$  whose set M of operations is a monoid of transformations of X;  $\langle X, M \rangle$  is a G-Set if M is a group. A lattice L is said to be represented by an M-Set  $\langle X, M \rangle$  if the congruence lattice of  $\langle X, M \rangle$  is isomorphic to L. Given an algebraic lattice L, an invariant  $\Pi(L)$  is introduced here.  $\Pi(L)$  provides substantial information about properties common to all representations of L by intransitive G-Sets.  $\Pi(L)$  is a sublattice of L (possibly isomorphic to the trivial lattice), a  $\Pi$ -product lattice. A  $\Pi$ -product lattice  $\Pi(\{L_i : i \in I\})$  is determined by a so-called multiset of factors  $\{L_i: i \in I\}$ . It is proven that if  $\Pi(L) \cong \Pi(\{L_i: i \in I\})$ , then whenever L is represented by an intransitive G-Set **Y**, the orbits of **Y** are in a one-to-one correspondence  $\beta$  with the factors of  $\mathbf{\Pi}(L)$  in such a way that if |I| > 2, then for all  $i \in I$ ,  $L_{\beta(i)} \cong Con(\mathbf{X}_i)$ ; if |I|=2, the direct product of the two factors of  $\Pi(L)$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the congruence lattices of the two orbits of **Y**. Also, if  $\Pi(L)$  is the trivial lattice, then L has no representation by an intransitive G-Set. A second result states that algebraic lattices that have no cover-preserving embedded copy of the six-element lattice A(1) are representable by an intransitive G-Set if and only if they are isomorphic to a II-product lattice. All results here pertain to a class of M-Sets that properly contain the G-Sets the so-called flat M-Sets, those M-Sets whose underlying sets are disjoint unions of transitive subalgebras.

**Keywords:** unary algebra; congruence lattice; intransitive G-Sets; M-Sets; representations of lattices

## AMS Subject Classification: 08A30, 08A35, 08A60

## References

 Burris S., Sankappanavar H.P., A Course in Universal Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 78, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1981;

http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/snburris/htdocs/ualg.html

- [2] Grätzer G., Schmidt E.T., Characterizations of congruence lattices of abstract algebras, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 24 (1963), 34–59.
- [3] McKenzie R.N., McNulty G., Taylor W., Algebras, Lattices, Varieties, Vol. 1, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, CA, 1987.
- [4] Pálfy P.P., Pudlák P., Congruence lattices of finite algebras and intervals in subgroup lattices in finite groups, Algebra Universalis 11 (1980), 22–27.
- [5] Radeleczki S., The automorphism group of unary algebras, Math. Pannon. 7 (1996), no. 2, 253–271.
- [6] Seif S., Congruence lattices of algebras-the signed labeling, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 5, 1361–1370.
- [7] Seif S., Congruence semimodularity and transitivity-forcing lattices via transitivity labeling, manuscript.
- [8] Seif S., Two-orbit M-Sets and primitive monoids, manuscript.
- [9] Seif S., The probability that a finite lattice has an intransitive G-Set representation, manuscript.
- [10] Tůma J., Intervals in subgroup lattices of infinite groups, J. Algebra 125 (1989), no. 2, 367–399.
- [11] Vernikov B.M., On congruence lattices of G-sets, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 38 (1997), no. 3, 601–611.