## Zeroes of the Bergman kernel of Hartogs domains

Miroslav Engliš

*Abstract.* We exhibit a class of bounded, strongly convex Hartogs domains with realanalytic boundary which are not Lu Qi-Keng, i.e. whose Bergman kernel function has a zero.

Keywords: Lu Qi-Keng conjecture, Hartogs domain, Bergman kernel Classification: Primary 32A07, 32H10

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $K_{\Omega}(z, w)$  its Bergman kernel. It was conjectured by Lu Qi-Keng in [Lu] that if  $\Omega$  is simply connected, then  $K_{\Omega}(z, w) \neq 0$  for all z and w. This conjecture was shown to be false by Skwarczynski [Skw] who exhibited an unbounded Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  for which  $K_{\Omega}(z, w)$  has a zero. Later Boas [B1] obtained even a bounded, strongly pseudoconvex counterexample to the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture and showed that the set of domains whose Bergman kernel function has a zero is dense in various topologies [B2], but a possibility still remained that  $K_{\Omega}(z, w)$  is zero-free for all convex domains. Recently Boas, Fu and Straube [BFS] showed that the Bergman kernel function of the domain in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ defined by  $|z_1| + |z_2| + |z_3| < 1$  has a zero. By exhaustion it follows that when  $n \geq 3$ , there exist bounded, strongly convex domains with real-analytic boundary in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whose Bergman kernel function has a zero. Subsequently Pflug and Youssfi [PY] used the "minimal ball" studied in [OPY] to construct a concrete example of smooth, bounded, strongly convex, algebraic domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for any  $n \geq 4$  for which the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture fails.

The aim of this short note is to call attention to the fact that there exists a large family of strongly convex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , bounded and with smooth (or even real-analytic) boundary, for which the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture fails. In fact, it turns out that in some sense such domains are generic in the class of smoothly bounded, strongly convex domains with a certain circular symmetry. The result is a simple consequence of an earlier result of the author's on the asymptotics of weighted Bergman kernels [E1] and a formula of Ligocka [Lig]. Unfortunately, it gives no information about the dimension n.

More precisely, we will consider the Hartogs domains

$$\widehat{\Omega}_m = \{(z,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{C}^m : ||t||^2 < F(z)\}$$

The research was supported by GA AV ČR grant No. A1019701.

where F is a positive continuous function on some domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^d$  and  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ . It is well-known that  $\widetilde{\Omega}_m$  is pseudoconvex if and only if  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex and  $-\log F$  is plurisubharmonic, and convex if and only if  $\Omega$  is convex and F is concave. Further, it is not difficult to see that  $\widetilde{\Omega}_m$  is smoothly (or real-analytically) bounded if  $\Omega$  is smoothly (real-analytically) bounded and  $F \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  ( $F \in C^{\omega}(\overline{\Omega})$ ), F = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\nabla F \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (i.e. -F is a smooth resp. a real-analytic defining function for  $\Omega$ ), and in that case it is strongly convex if and only if F is strongly concave.

Let us say that F has property (K) if there exists a function  $\tilde{F}(z, w)$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  such that

- (i)  $\tilde{F}(z, w)$  is holomorphic in z and conjugate-holomorphic in w,
- (ii)  $\tilde{F}(z,z) = F(z)$ ,
- (iii)  $|\tilde{F}(z,w)|^2 \ge \tilde{F}(z,z)\tilde{F}(w,w)$  (the "reverse Schwarz" inequality).

Observe that any function having property (K) is necessarily real-analytic on  $\Omega$ , and also (iii) and the positivity of F imply that the extension  $\tilde{F}$  does not vanish on  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . Our result is the following.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , F a bounded positive continuous function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\log F$  is concave. Assume that there exists a sequence of integers  $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  such that for each  $m_j$ ,  $K_{\widetilde{\Omega}m_j}((z,0),(w,0)) \neq 0 \forall z, w \in \Omega$ . Then F has property (K).

**Corollary.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded strongly convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  with  $C^\infty$  boundary and -F a strongly convex  $C^\infty$  defining function for  $\Omega$  such that F does not have property (K). Then there exists an integer  $m_0$  such that  $\forall m \geq m_0, \widetilde{\Omega}_m$  is a bounded, strongly convex domain with  $C^\infty$  boundary whose Bergman kernel function has a zero. The same assertion holds with  $C^\infty$  replaced by  $C^\omega$ .

Observe that a generic  $C^{\infty}$  function is not real-analytic, and, likewise, a generic real-analytic function on  $\Omega$  fails to have a sesqui-holomorphic extension to all of  $\Omega \times \Omega$  (even though such extension always exists in a neighbourhood of the diagonal, by the definition of real-analyticity), i.e. to satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) above. (Indeed, after making the change of coordinates z = u + iv,  $w = \overline{u} + i\overline{v}$ , the domain  $\Omega \times \Omega$  gets transformed into some other domain  $U \subset \mathbf{C}^{2d}$ , its diagonal into  $U \cap \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ , and the assertion becomes apparent; cf. Example 2 below.) Thus the functions F to which the last Corollary applies are generic among the strongly concave,  $C^{\infty}$ - (resp.  $C^{\omega}$ -) smooth positively signed defining functions for  $\Omega$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: According to [Lig, Proposition 0] (cf. also [E2, Proposition 0], and [BFS, Section 2]),

$$K_{\widetilde{\Omega}_m}((z,t),(w,s)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+m)!}{k!\pi^m} K_{\Omega,F^{m+k}}(z,w) \langle t,s \rangle^k$$

where  $K_{\Omega,F^{m+k}}$  stands for the Bergman kernel on  $\Omega$  with respect to the weight  $F(z)^{m+k}$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . In particular,

$$K_{\widetilde{\Omega}_m}((z,0),(w,0)) = \frac{m!}{\pi^m} K_{\Omega,F^m}(z,w).$$

Our hypothesis therefore implies that

$$K_{\Omega,F^{m_j}}(z,w) \neq 0 \qquad \forall \, z, w \in \Omega \quad \forall \, j=1,2,\dots$$

Note that in view of the boundedness of F and  $\Omega$ , the function constant 1 belongs to the weighted Bergman spaces  $L^2_{\text{hol}}(\Omega, F^{\alpha} d\lambda)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$  ( $d\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure). By [E1, Theorem A and Theorem C] (with  $G \equiv 1$  and  $U = \Omega$ ), the assertion follows.

PROOF OF THE COROLLARY: Immediate from the Theorem, the above remarks concerning (strong) convexity and  $C^{\infty}$ - (resp.  $C^{\omega}$ -) boundedness of  $\widetilde{\Omega}_m$ , and the elementary fact that log F is (strongly) concave whenever F is.

**Example 1.** Let f be a strongly convex smooth function on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  which satisfies  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |f(z)| = +\infty$  and which is not real-analytic at some point  $z_0$ . Let  $c > f(z_0)$  and take  $\Omega = \{z : f(z) < c\}$  and F(z) = c - f(z). As F is not real-analytic at  $z_0$ , it cannot have property (K).

**Example 2.** Let f be a function holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the interval [0,1] in the complex plane, with f' < 0, f'' < 0 on [0,1] and f(1) = 0, which cannot be extended holomorphically to the whole unit disc **D**. (For instance,  $f(x) = (\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{2x+1}) + 5(1-x)$ .) Take  $\Omega = \mathbf{D}, F(z) = f(|z|^2)$ . Then the only candidate for an  $\tilde{F}$  satisfying (i) and (ii) is  $\tilde{F}(z, w) = f(z\overline{w})$ , which however is not defined on all of  $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$ . Hence, F is real-analytic and does not have property (K).

**Example 3.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$  and  $F(z) = f(|z|^2)$  where  $f(x) = (x-1)(x+\frac{3}{4})(x-\frac{11}{4})$ . This time  $\tilde{F}(z, w) = f(z\overline{w})$  is defined on all of  $\Omega \times \Omega$ , but (iii) fails since  $f(-\frac{3}{4}) = 0$ . Consequently, F is a  $C^{\omega}$  function on  $\mathbf{D}$ , even possessing a sesqui-holomorphic extension to  $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$ , which does not have property (K).

## References

- [B1] Boas H.P., Counterexample to the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), 374–375.
- [B2] Boas H.P., The Lu Qi-Keng conjecture fails generically, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 2021–2027.
- [BFS] Boas H.P., Fu S., Straube E., The Bergman kernel function: explicit formulas and zeroes, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 805–811.
- [E1] Engliš M., Asymptotic behaviour of reproducing kernels of weighted Bergman spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 3717–3735.
- [E2] Engliš M., A Forelli-Rudin construction and asymptotics of weighted Bergman kernels, preprint, 1998.

## M. Engliš

- [Lig] Ligocka E., On the Forelli-Rudin construction and weighted Bergman projections, Studia Math. 94 (1989), 257–272.
- [Lu] Lu Q.-K. (K.H. Look), On Kaehler manifolds with constant curvature, Chinese Math. 8 (1966), 283–298.
- [OPY] Oeljeklaus K., Pflug P., Youssfi E.H., The Bergman kernel of the minimal ball and applications, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47 (1997), 915–928.
- [PY] Pflug P., Youssfi E.H., The Lu Qi-Keng conjecture fails for strongly convex algebraic domains, Arch. Math. 71 (1998), 240–245.
- [Skw] Skwarczynski M., Biholomorphic invariants related to the Bergman function, Dissertationes Math. **173** (1980).

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE AV ČR, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC *E-mail*: englis@math.cas.cz

(Received May 10, 1999)