Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 41,4 (2000)765–771

Projections from $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$

Kamil John

Abstract. Generalization of certain results in [Sap] and simplification of the proofs are given. We observe e.g.: Let X and Y be Banach spaces such that X is weakly compactly generated Asplund space and X^* has the approximation property (respectively Y is weakly compactly generated Asplund space and Y^* has the approximation property). Suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$ and let $1 < \lambda < 2$. Then X (respectively Y) can be equivalently renormed so that any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the sup-norm greater or equal to λ.

Keywords: compact operator, approximation property, reflexive Banach space, projection, separability

Classification: 46B28

Let $K(X,Y)$ (resp. $L(X,Y)$) denote the space of all compact (resp. bounded) linear operators from the Banach space X to the Banach space Y. The question whether $K(X,Y)$ is an uncomplemented subspace of $L(X,Y)$ whenever $K(X,Y) \neq L(X,Y)$ is long-standing ([AtWi], [Ku], [Th], [To], [ToWi]). The positive answer was given e.g. if X or Y has unconditional basis ([DM], [Em1], [Fe1], [Fe2], [J1], [Ka], [Jo], [Ru]). More generally the question has positive answer if $c_0 \subset K(X,Y)$ as it was independently shown in [Em2] and [Jo2]. In [EJ] it was observed that under some geometric assumptions on the spaces X and Y there are no norm one projections P from $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$.

An other step forward to the general solution was made in [Sap]. The author using the notion of the Godun set (see Definition 2) proves e.g.:

(S) *Suppose that* $1 < \lambda < 2$ *and* $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$. *If* Y^* *is separable and has the approximation property then* Y *can be equivalently renormed so that any projection* P *of* $L(X,Y)$ *onto* $K(X,Y)$ *has the sup-norm greater or equal to* λ.

Saphar [Sap] actually proves more. He proves a general lemma (Lemma 2.2) telling that if λ is in the Godun set $G(E,M)$ of E relative to $M \subset E^{**}$ then any projection P from M onto E has the sup norm $\geq \lambda$. Next he shows that under the assumptions of (S) we have $\lambda \in G(K(X,Y), L(X,Y))$. The result (S) then follows.

Our paper was inspired by these results of P.D. Saphar. We follow his ideas and observe that his estimates of the norm of the projection P may be obtained very

The work was supported by the grant of AVČR No. A1019801.

easily without the reference to the notion of the Godun set. Of course, the idea (inequality (2) bellow) is contained in [Sap]: Suppose that P is a projection from a space $M \subset E^{**}$ onto the space E and if an element T, $\|T\| = 1$ from $P^{-1}(0)$ may be w* approximated by elements $T_\alpha \in E$ in such a way that $\|T - \lambda T_\alpha\| \leq \|T\|$. Then we obtain $\|\lambda T_\alpha\| = \|PT - \lambda PT_\alpha\| \leq \|P\|$. Now $1 = \|T\| \leq \limsup \|T_\alpha\|$ because $T_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} T$. We get immediately $\lambda \leq \|P\|$.

Moreover our simplification gives generalizations of certain results in [Sap]. We prove e.g. the above mentioned result when the assumptions on Y are pushed to the space X (Corollary 2). We also show that the norm of the projection P in question is $\geq \lambda$ if X or Y is reflexive and has the approximation property. The results concerning the Godun set namely that e.g. $\lambda \in G(K(X,Y), L(X,Y))$ are also possible in our cases (Remark 2).

All operators in this paper are linear and all Banach spaces are real. If Z is a Banach space we denote by Id_Z the identity operator in Z. Following Kalton [Ka] we will denote by w' the linear topology on $L(X,Y)$ which is generated by the functionals $x^{**} \otimes y^* \in X^{**} \otimes Y^*$. Thus $T_\alpha \xrightarrow{w'} T$ means that $y^{**}(T_\alpha^* y^*) \longrightarrow y^{**}(T^* y^*)$ for all $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ and all $y^* \in Y^*$. We will also use the following result due to [Ka]:

(K) *In $K(X,Y)$ coincides the w^* convergence of sequences and the convergence of sequences in the weak topology of the Banach space $K(X,Y)$.*

Definition 1. Let us denote by K_λ the class of all Banach spaces Z such that there is a net $\{k_\alpha\}$ of compact operators in Z such that

(i) $k_\alpha(z) \longrightarrow z$ weakly for all $z \in Z$

and

(ii) $\limsup_\alpha \|Id_Z - \lambda k_\alpha\| \leq 1$.

If moreover $\limsup_\alpha \|k_\alpha\| \leq 1$ we will speak about the class K_λ^1.
Evidently $K_\lambda^1 \subset K_\lambda$.

Proposition 1. *Let the Banach space X or the Banach space Y belong to K_λ and suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$. Then any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the sup-norm greater or equal to λ.*

Proof: Suppose that $X \in K_\lambda$ and let $\{k_\alpha\} \subset K(X)$ be the net of compact operators in X satisfying (i) and (ii) from the Definition 1. Set $T_\alpha = Tk_\alpha$. Similarly we set $T_\alpha = k_\alpha T$ if $Y \in K_\lambda$ and if $\{k_\alpha\} \subset K(Y)$ is the sequence of compact operators in Y satisfying (i) and (ii) from the Definition 1.

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and for suitable $x \in X$, $\|x\| \leq 1$ and suitable $y^* \in Y^*$ $\|y\| \leq 1$ we have $\|T\| \leq y^*(Tx) + \epsilon = \lim y^*(T_\alpha x) + \epsilon \leq \liminf \|T_\alpha\| + \epsilon$. The $\epsilon > 0$ being arbitrary we get

$$\|T\| \leq \liminf \|T_\alpha\|. \tag{1}$$

Let us choose $T \in P^{-1}(0)$, $T \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(2)\qquad \limsup_\alpha \|\lambda T_\alpha\| &= \limsup_\alpha \|P(T-\lambda T_\alpha)\| \leq \|P\| \limsup_\alpha \|(T-\lambda T_\alpha)\| \\ &\leq \|P\| \limsup_\alpha \|(Id_X - k_\alpha)\| \|T\| \leq \|P\| \|T\|.\end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) we conclude that $\lambda \leq \|P\|$. □

Proposition 2. *Let E be a Banach space such that its dual is separable and has the approximation property. Let λ be a scalar with $1 < \lambda < 2$. Then there is an equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ on E such that $(E, |||\cdot|||) \in K^1_\lambda$.*

PROOF: Similarly as in [Sap] we choose by a result of [Zip] a Banach space $E_1 \supset E$ such that E_1 has a shrinking basis. Let $\{k_n\}$ be the projections in E_1 given by the shrinking basis. Following again Saphar's paper we use [CasKa, Lemma 3.4] to get an equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ on E_1 such that $|||Id_{E_1} - \lambda k_n||| = 1$ and $|||k_n||| = 1$. It is well known that if E^* has the (metric) approximation property [LT] and if E^* is separable then there is a shrinking approximating sequence in E (cf. e.g. [Sin, Remark 9.13]). This means that there is a sequence of finite-dimensional operators in E such that $h_n \xrightarrow{w'} Id_E$. Evidently $k_n \xrightarrow{w'} Id_{E_1}$, so that $k_{/E} = k_n i \xrightarrow{w'} i$ where i is the imbedding of E into E_1. Let us set

$$l_n = h_n - k_{n/E} : E \longrightarrow E_1.$$

Easily we observe that $l_n \xrightarrow{w'} 0$ which means by (K) that $\{l_n\}$ converges to 0 in the weak topology of $K(E, E_1)$. This implies that certain convex combinations $\{l'_p\}$ of $\{l_n\}$ converge to 0 in the norm topology of $K(E, E_1)$. Let $\{h'_p\}$ (resp. $\{k'_p\}$) be the same convex combinations of $\{h_n\}$ (resp. $\{k_n\}$). Let us consider on E the equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ induced from E_1. Then

$$\limsup_p |||Id_E - \lambda h'_p||| = \limsup_p |||Id_E - \lambda k'_{p/E}||| \leq 1$$

and similarly

$$\lim_p |||h'_p||| = \lim_p |||k'_{p/E}||| = 1.$$

Observing that $h'_p \xrightarrow{w'} Id_E$ finishes the proof. □

Propositions 1 and 2 have the following immediate corollaries the first of which was proved in [Sap] and the second is new:

Corollary 1 (Saphar). *Let the Banach space X and Y be Banach spaces such that Y^* is separable and has the approximation property. Let λ be a scalar with $1 < \lambda < 2$ and suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$. Then Y can be equivalently renormed so that any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the sup-norm greater or equal to λ.*

Corollary 2. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces such that X^* is separable and has the approximation property. Let λ be a scalar with $1 < \lambda < 2$ and suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$. Then X can be equivalently renormed so that any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the sup-norm greater or equal to λ.*

The Proposition 3 generalizes certain results from [Sap].

Proposition 3. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces such that X is reflexive and has the approximation property (resp. Y is reflexive and has the approximation property). Suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$ and let $1 < \lambda < 2$. Then X (resp. Y) can be equivalently renormed so that any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the sup-norm greater or equal to λ.*

Proof: First suppose that there is a norm one projection Q in X (resp. in Y) which has the separable range and a noncompact operator $T \in L(X,Y)$ such that

(a) $0 \neq T \in P^{-1}(0)$,
(b) $TQ = T$ (resp. $T = QT$),

where P is the bounded projection of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$.
Having in mind that the Banach space X^* (resp. Y^*) has the metric approximation property [LT] we see that also the range $Q^*X^* = (QX)^*$ (resp. $Q^*Y^* = (QY)^*$) of norm one projection Q^* has the metric approximation property. Let us denote by $\|\cdot\|_1$ the initial norm on X (resp. on Y) so that Q has the norm one with respect to these norms. The Proposition 2 tells that there is an equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ on QX (resp. on QY) so that $QX \in K_\lambda$ (resp. $QY \in K_\lambda$) in the norm $|||\cdot|||$. Now we proceed as in the proof of the Proposition 1. Let $\{k_\alpha\} \subset K(QX)$ (resp. $K(QY)$) be a sequence of compact operators in $QX \subset X$ (resp. $QY \subset Y$) such that $k_\alpha(z) \longrightarrow z$ weakly for all $z \in QX$ (resp. $z \in QY$) and $\limsup_\alpha |||Id_{QX} - \lambda k_\alpha||| \leq 1$ (resp. $\limsup_\alpha |||Id_{QY} - \lambda k_\alpha||| \leq 1$). Let us extend this equivalent norm on QX (resp. on QY) to an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the whole X (resp. Y) in such a way that $\|Q\| = 1$ again. We may put e.g. $\|x\| = |||Qx||| + \|(Id - Q)x\|_1$. Set $T_\alpha = Tk_\alpha Q$ (resp. $T_\alpha = k_\alpha QT$). Again we have (1) and (2) and thus $\lambda \leq \|P\|$.

It remains to observe that there are a projection Q in X (resp. in Y) which has the separable range and $T \in L(X,Y)$ such that (a) and (b) hold. Consider the set S of all $T \in L(X,Y)$ such that T has the separable range. Evidently $K(X,Y) \subset S$ and S is a linear subspace of $L(X,Y)$. Let us choose a noncompact $T_1 \in L(X,Y)$. As in [Sap] we use that the noncompactness of T_1 is separable property. We choose a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$, $\|x_n\|_1 = 1$ such that sequence $\{T_1 x_n\} \subset Y$ has no convergent subsequences. Now if X (resp. Y) is reflexive there is a projection Q_1 in X (resp. Y) such that Q_1 has separable range containing $\{x_n\}$ (resp. $\{T_1 x_n\}$). Then $T_2 = T_1 Q$ (resp. $T_2 = QT_1$) is a noncompact operator with a separable range. Thus $K(X,Y) \subset S$, $K(X,Y) \neq S$ and the projection P is invariant on $S \subset L(X,Y)$. Let us consider the restriction $P_{/S}$ of P on S. We may choose $0 \neq T \in P_{/S}^{-1}(0)$. Now if Y is reflexive we chose by [Lin, Proposition 1] a projection Q in Y, Q having a separable range QY which contains the range of T and thus

$T = QT$. The separability of TX implies the w*-separability of $T^*Y^* \subset X^*$ (cf. e.g. [AmLin, Lemma 5] which works also for linear operators]). Now if X is reflexive T^*Y^* is weakly separable and thus separable. Using again [Lin] we get a projection Q in X such that the range of Q^* contains T^*Y^*. Thus $T^* = Q^*T^*$ which means that $T = TQ$. □

Remark 1. With slightly more care it can be seen that the assumption of the reflexivity of the Banach space X (resp. Y) in the above Proposition 5 may be substituted by more general assumption that the Banach space X (resp. Y) is weakly compactly generated and Asplund. Namely we may show that the following generalization of Corollaries 1, 2 and Propositions 3 holds:

Let λ be a scalar with $1 < \lambda < 2$ and suppose that $L(X,Y) \neq K(X,Y)$. Suppose that one of the assumption (i) *or* (ii) *is valid.*

(i) *X is a weakly compactly generated Banach space, X is an Asplund space and X^* has the approximation property.*
(ii) *Y is a weakly compactly generated Banach space, Y is an Asplund space and Y^* has the approximation property.*

Then X (resp. Y) can be equivalently renormed so that any projection P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ has the norm greater or equal to λ.

The proof is formally the same as that of the Proposition 3. The separability of $(TX)^*$ (resp. $(TY)^*$) is a consequence of the Asplundness assumption. To get a projection Q with a separable range in X such that $T^*Y^* \subset Q^*X^*$ we use [AmLin, Lemma 4] and the w^*-separability of T^*Y^*.
For the last remark we will repeat the extended definition of the Godun set $G(E,M)$ from [Sap]:

Definition 2. Let E be a Banach space and a subspace $M \subset E^{**}$ with $E \subset M$. We define the set $G(E,M)$ of positive scalars λ such that for any $x^{**} \in M$ there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\} \subset E$ which verifies the following properties:

1) $x_\alpha \longrightarrow x^{**}$ in the w*-topology $\sigma(E^{**},E^*)$,
2) $\limsup_\alpha \|x^{**} - \lambda x_\alpha\| \leq \|x^{**}\|$.

Remark 2. As it was mentioned at the beginning of the paper Saphar [Sap] deduces the lower estimates of the possible projections P of $L(X,Y)$ onto $K(X,Y)$ from statements on the Godun set $G(K(X,Y),L(X,Y))$. We have preferred to use the simple direct proofs. Nevertheless the statements on the Godun set $G(K(X,Y),L(X,Y))$ are also possible in our cases. For example we have

Proposition 1$'$. *Let the Banach space X or the Banach space Y belong to the class K^1_λ. Then there is an isometric imbedding $J : L(X,Y) \longrightarrow K(X,Y)^{**}$ and we have $\lambda \in G(K(X,Y),L(X,Y))$.*

Proof: We proceed as in [Jo, Lemma 2]. Denote by K the closed unit ball in the space $K(X,Y)^{**}$ and consider in K the w^* topology. Let $T_\alpha \in K(X,Y)$ be the approximations of T defined in the proof of Proposition 1. Let $B_{L(X,Y)}$ be a closed unit ball in $L(X,Y)$ and let $\{J_\alpha\}$ be a net in $K^{B_{L(X,Y)}}$ defined by

$$J_\alpha(T) = T_\alpha.$$

The space $K^{B_{L(X,Y)}}$ being compact we may choose a subnet $\{J_{\alpha_\beta}\}$ converging w^* to $J \in K^{B_{L(X,Y)}}$. Let us extend J by homogeneity to the whole of $L(X,Y)$. Evidently J is linear map of $L(X,Y)$ into $K(X,Y)^{**}$ and

$$J(T)(\phi) = \lim_\beta \phi(T_{\alpha_\beta}) \tag{3}$$

for all $\phi \in K(X,Y)^*$.

Now let $\limsup_\alpha \|k_\alpha\| \leq 1$ for all α, where k_α satisfy (i) and (ii) from the Definition 1. Considering $\phi = x \otimes y^* \in K(X,Y)^*$ we get from (3) and (i)

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\| &= \sup\{\lim_\beta y^*(T_{\alpha_\beta}(x)); \|x \otimes y^*\| = 1\} = \sup\{|JT(x \otimes y^*)|; \|x \otimes y^*\| = 1\}\\ &\leq \|jT\|^{**} = \sup\{|JT(\phi)|; \|\phi\|^* \leq 1\} = \sup\{\lim_\beta \phi(T_{\alpha_\beta})\}\\ &\leq \limsup_\beta \|T_{\alpha_\beta}\| \leq \|T\| \limsup \|k_\alpha\| \leq \|T\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus J is an isometry of $L(X,Y)$ into $K(X,Y)^{**}$. If T is any element from $L(X,Y)$ we have

$$\limsup_\alpha \|JT - \lambda JT_\alpha\|^{**} = \limsup_\alpha \|T - \lambda T_\alpha\| \leq \|T\| \limsup_\alpha \|Id - \lambda k_\alpha\| \leq \|T\|.$$

Proposition $1'$ together with Proposition 2 combine to give statements similar to the Corollaries 1 and 2, Proposition 3 and the proposition stated in the Remark 1. For example the last one reads:

Let λ be a scalar with $1 < \lambda < 2$ and suppose that one of the assumption (1) *or* (2) *is valid.*

(1) *X is a weakly compactly generated Banach space, X is an Asplund space and X^* has the approximation property.*

(2) *Y is a weakly compactly generated Banach space, Y is an Asplund space and Y^* has the approximation property.*

Then X in the case (1) (*resp. Y in the case* (2)) *can be equivalently renormed so that $\lambda \in G(K(X,Y), L(X,Y))$.* □

References

[AmLin] Amir D., Lindenstrauss J., *The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 35–59.

[AW] Arterburn D., Whitney R., *Projections in the space of bounded linear operators*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 739–746.

[CasKa] Casazza P.G., Kalton N.J., *Notes on approximation properties on separable Banach spaces*, in: Geometry of Banach spaces, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 158, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991, pp. 49–63.

[DM] Diestel J., Morrison T.J., *The Radon-Nikodym property for the space of operators*, Math. Nachr. **92** (1979), 7–12.

[Em] Emmanuele G., *On the containment of c_0 by spaces of compact operators*, Bull. Sci. Mat. **115** (1991), 177–184.

[Em] Emmanuele G., *A remark on the containment of c_0 in spaces of compact operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **111** (1992), 331–335.

[EJ] Emmanuele G., John K., *Uncomplementability of spaces of compact operators in lager spaces of operators*, Czechoslovak J. Math. **47** (122) (1997), 19–32.

[Fe1] Feder M., *On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), 196–205.

[Fe2] Feder M., *On the non-existence of a projection onto the spaces of compact operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. **25** (1982), 78–81.

[GoSa] Godefroy G., Saphar P., *Duality in spaces of operators and smooth norms on Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **32** (4) (1988), 672–695.

[God] Godun B.V., *Unconditional bases and basic sequences*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat **24** (1980), 69–72.

[J1] John K., *On the space $K(P,P^*)$ of compact operators on Pisier space P*, Note di Matematica **72** (1992), 69–75.

[J2] John K., *On the uncomplemented subspace $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **42** (1992), 167–173.

[Jo] Johnson J., *Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators*, J. Funct. Analysis **32** (1979), 304–311.

[Ku] Kuo T.H., *Projections in the space of bounded linear operators*, Pacific. J. Math. **52** (1974), 475–480.

[Ka1] Kalton N.J., *Spaces of compact operators*, Math. Ann. **208** (1974), 267–278.

[Lin] Lindenstrauss J., *On nonseparable reflexive Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 967–970.

[Ru] Ruess W., *Duality and geometry of spaces of compact operators*, in Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results III, Math. Studies 90, North Holland, 1984.

[Sap] Saphar P.D., *Projections from $L(E,F)$ onto $K(E,F)$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (4) (1999), 1127–1131.

[Sin] Singer I., *Bases in Banach spaces. Vol. II*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1981.

[Th] Thorp E., *Projections onto the space of compact operators*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 693–696.

[To] Tong A.E., *On the existence of non-compact bounded linear operators between certain Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971), 451–456.

[ToWi] Tong A.E., Wilken D.R., *The uncomplemented subspace $K(E,F)$*, Studia Math. **37** (1971), 227–236.

[Zip] Zippin M., *Banach spaces with separable duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), 371–379.

Mathematical Institute of Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

E-mail: kjohn@math.cas.cz

(*Received* September 24, 1999)