

## Fractional integro-differentiation in harmonic mixed norm spaces on a half-space

K.L. AVETISYAN

*Abstract.* In this paper some embedding theorems related to fractional integration and differentiation in harmonic mixed norm spaces  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  on the half-space are established. We prove that mixed norm is equivalent to a “fractional derivative norm” and that harmonic conjugation is bounded in  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  for the range  $0 < p \leq \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty$ . As an application of the above, we give a characterization of  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  by means of an integral representation with the use of Besov spaces.

*Keywords:* embedding theorems, integral representations, conjugation, projections

*Classification:* Primary 31B05; Secondary 31B10, 26A33

### 0. Introduction

**0.1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space and let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2, dx = dx_1 \cdots dx_n$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  denote the upper half-space  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ . A point of this half-space will be represented by  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0$ . It will be frequently convenient to set  $x_0 = y$ . If  $f(x, y)$  is a measurable function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  then we write

$$M_p(f; y) = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)}, \quad y > 0, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty.$$

The collection of all harmonic (complex-valued) functions  $u(x, y)$  for which

$$\|u\|_{h^p} = \sup_{y>0} M_p(u; y) < +\infty$$

is the class  $h^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ .

The quasi-normed space  $L(p, q, \alpha)$  ( $0 < p, q \leq \infty, \alpha > 0$ ) is the set of those functions  $f(x, y)$  measurable in the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , for which the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{p,q,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} y^{\alpha q-1} M_p^q(f; y) dy \right)^{1/q}, & 0 < q < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{y>0} y^\alpha M_p(f; y), & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite. Let  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  be the subspace of  $L(p, q, \alpha)$  consisting of harmonic functions. Harmonic mixed norm spaces  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  were investigated by several authors: Taibleson [23], Flett [13]–[15], Bui Huy Qui [4], Ricci and Taibleson [18], A.E. Djrbashian [5], Ramey and Yi [17]. When  $p = q < \infty$  the spaces  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  are called weighted Bergman spaces, although Bergman ([2], [3]) himself considered since 1929 only functions whose squares are integrable without weight, i.e. the Hilbert space  $h(2, 2, 1/2)$ . Weighted classes  $h(p, p, \alpha)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , for functions holomorphic in the unit disk were introduced by M.M. Djrbashian ([8], [9]). However, many important theorems concerning holomorphic subspaces of  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  are contained in classical works of Hardy and Littlewood. See [12]–[15] for references.

M.M. Djrbashian ([8], [9]) found as well some integral representations for  $h(p, p, \alpha)$ . Later Ricci and Taibleson ([18]) obtained a family of integral representations for  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  on the half-plane (see also [10]). The integral in all the mentioned representations is taken over whole domain. The present paper establishes some other integral representations for  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  on the half-space, where the integral is taken over the boundary of  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and Besov functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are used (Section 4). Our proofs are essentially based on the techniques of fractional integro-differentiation in  $h(p, q, \alpha)$ . The latter subject was raised in Hardy's and Littlewood's works and can be formulated as follows: How does the fractional integro-differentiation act as a bounded operator in the spaces  $h(p, q, \alpha)$ ? Flett ([12]–[15]) studied in detail this question especially for functions holomorphic in the unit disk.

In Section 3 we generalize his results to functions harmonic on the half-space. The case of small  $p$  causes some difficulties because  $|\nabla f|^p$  ( $f$  harmonic) need not be subharmonic for  $p < (n - 1)/n$  and  $M_p(f; y)$  in general not necessarily monotonic by  $y > 0$ . Applying the Whitney expansion of  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  we prove a Hardy-Littlewood type max-theorem (Theorem 6) for  $h(p, p, \alpha)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , that allows us to overcome the mentioned difficulties. As an easy consequence we obtain that harmonic conjugation (Riesz transform) is bounded for all  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  (Corollary 3), which is a generalization of a result from [5], [17]. More information about harmonic (pluriharmonic) conjugation on various domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , especially for  $p \leq 1$ , can be found in [15], [19], [18], [5], [6], [7], [21], [17].

If  $T$  is a bounded operator mapping  $X$  to  $Y$ , i.e.  $\|Tf\|_Y \leq C\|f\|_X$ ,  $\forall f \in X$ , then we shall write  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ . Main results obtained on fractional differentiation and integration can be presented by the following table ordered by growth  $\beta$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow h(p, q, \alpha - \beta), & -\infty < \beta < \alpha, 0 < p, q \leq \infty, & \quad (\text{Th.7}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow h^p, & \beta = \alpha, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \min\{2, p\}, & \quad (\text{Cor.2}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow h^{p_0}, & \alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p, 0 < p < \infty, q \leq p_0, & \quad (\text{Cor.2}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow h(p_0, q_0), & \alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p, 1 \leq p < \infty, & \\
 & & 0 < q \leq q_0 \leq \infty, 1 < q_0 \leq \infty, & \quad (\text{Th.5}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}, & \beta = \alpha + n/p, p = \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, & \quad (\text{Cor.4}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow \text{BMOh}, & \beta = \alpha + n/p, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, & \quad (\text{Th.5}) \\
 \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\longrightarrow h^\infty, & \beta = \alpha + n/p, 0 < p \leq \infty, 0 < q \leq 1. & \quad (\text{Cor.2})
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $p_0 = \frac{n}{\alpha+n/p-\beta}$ ,  $h(p, q)$  denotes the harmonic Lorentz space,  $\mathcal{B}$  the harmonic Bloch space and BMOh the space of harmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  having BMO boundary values on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**0.2.** We shall use some natural notations. For functions  $f(x, y)$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , we shall use the Riemann-Liouville integro-differential operator  $\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} \equiv \mathcal{D}_y^{-\alpha}$  (Riesz potential) with respect to the variable  $y$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma^{\alpha-1} f(x, y + \sigma) d\sigma, \\
 \mathcal{D}^0 f &= f, \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x, y) = (-1)^m \mathcal{D}^{-(m-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial y^m} f(x, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m$  is the integer deduced from  $m - 1 < \alpha \leq m$ . For details on this operator see, for example, [4].

In the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , the Poisson kernel  $P \equiv P_0$  and the conjugate Poisson kernels  $P_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x, y) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \pi^{-(n+1)/2} \frac{y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{(n+1)/2}}, \\
 P_j(x, y) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \pi^{-(n+1)/2} \frac{x_j}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{(n+1)/2}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper, the letters  $C(\alpha, \beta, \dots), c_\alpha$  etc. will denote positive constants possibly different at different places and depending only on the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ . Any inequality  $A \leq B$  quoted or proved is to be interpreted as meaning ‘if  $B$  is finite, then  $A$  is finite, and  $A \leq B$ ’. For  $A, B > 0$  the notation  $A \asymp B$  denotes the two-sided estimate  $c_1 A \leq B \leq c_2 A$  with some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  independent of the variables involved.

For any  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define the conjugate index  $p' = p/(p-1)$  (we interpret  $1/+\infty = 0$  and  $1/0 = +\infty$ ). Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$  be the set of all ordered  $(n+1)$ -tuples of nonnegative integers, and for each  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$  ( $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) let  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}$  and  $\partial^\lambda = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\lambda_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\lambda_n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{\lambda_{n+1}}$ . When a function  $f(x, y)$  is complex-valued we use the  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ -norm to calculate  $|\nabla f|$ .

**1. Preliminaries. Littlewood-Paley type inequalities**

The most of this section extends to  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  the results of Flett [12, Theorems 1–5]. For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$  we shall consider the Littlewood-Paley type  $g$ -function (cf. [12], [22, Chapter IV])

$$g_{q,\alpha}(x) \equiv g_{q,\alpha}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} y^{\alpha q-1} |\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x, y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q}, & 0 < q < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y>0} y^\alpha |\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x, y)|, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We gather some auxiliary lemmas and a Littlewood-Paley type theorem. The proofs are very standard, so we omit the details.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\alpha > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}, \frac{n}{n+\alpha} < p \leq \infty$ , then for each  $j \in [0, n], x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^\alpha P_j(x, y)| &\leq C(\alpha, n) \frac{1}{(|x| + y)^{\alpha+n}}, & |\partial^\lambda P_j(x, y)| &\leq C(\lambda, n) \frac{1}{(|x| + y)^{|\lambda|+n}}, \\ M_p(\mathcal{D}^\alpha P_j; y) &\leq C(\alpha, n, p) \frac{1}{y^{\alpha+n-n/p}}, & M_p(\partial^\lambda P_j; y) &\leq C(\lambda, n, p) \frac{1}{y^{|\lambda|+n-n/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  be a harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and  $0 < p, q \leq \infty, \alpha > 0$ . Then*

$$|\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x, y)| \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) y^{-\alpha-n/p} \|g_{q,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $f(x, y)$  be a harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^\beta f(x, y)$  vanishes as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If either  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty, \alpha > 1/p - 1/q$ , or  $1 < p \leq q < \infty, \alpha = 1/p - 1/q$ , then*

$$g_{q,\beta}(f)(x) \leq C(\alpha, \beta, p, q) g_{p,\beta+\alpha}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  be a harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and let  $\Gamma_\delta(x) = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}; |\xi - x| < \delta\eta\}$  be the Lusin cone with the vertex at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $f_\delta^*(x) = \sup\{|f(\xi, \eta)|; (\xi, \eta) \in \Gamma_\delta(x)\}$  is the nontangential maximal function of  $f$ , then*

$$(1.1) \quad |D^\alpha f(x, y)| \leq C(\alpha, \delta) y^{-\alpha} f_\delta^*(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

(i) *If  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and  $f(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of  $f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$(1.2) \quad \|g_{q,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

(ii) *If  $0 < q \leq 2$  and  $f(x, y)$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , vanishes as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $g_{q,\alpha}(f) \in L^p$ , then  $f(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of a function  $f(x) \in L^p$  and*

$$(1.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|g_{q,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^p}.$$

## 2. Harmonic mixed norm spaces and projections on them

The following lemma is an  $n$ -dimensional extension of [18, Proposition 2.2] and it can be proved by similar arguments with the use of interpolation theorems ([1], [16]).

**Lemma 5.** *If  $0 < p \leq p_0 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq q_0 \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha + n/p = \alpha_0 + n/p_0$ , then the following inclusion is valid and continuous:*

$$h(p, q, \alpha) \subset h(p_0, q_0, \alpha_0).$$

Moreover, if  $u(x, y) \in h(p, q, \alpha)$  with  $q < \infty$ , then  $y^\alpha M_p(u; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  and  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The inclusion  $h(p, q, \alpha) \subset h(p, \infty, \alpha)$  of this lemma implies a useful property of spaces  $h(p, q, \alpha)$ : If  $u_\eta(x, y) = u(x, y + \eta)$ , then the quasi-norm  $\|u_\eta\|_{p,q,\alpha}$  ( $0 < p, q \leq \infty, \alpha > 0$ ) is effectively decreasing by  $\eta \geq 0$ , i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad \|u_{\eta_1}\|_{p,q,\alpha} \leq C(p, q, \alpha, n) \|u_{\eta_2}\|_{p,q,\alpha}, \quad \eta_1 > \eta_2 \geq 0.$$

For a function  $u(x, y)$  harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and satisfying the condition  $u(x, y) = O(y^{-\delta})$ ,  $y \rightarrow +\infty, \delta > 0$ , the Riesz transforms of  $u$  are defined by

$$u_j(x, y) = (R_j u)(x, y) = - \int_y^{+\infty} \frac{\partial u(x, \eta)}{\partial x_j} d\eta, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The vector function  $F = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$ ,  $u = u_0$ , is a system of conjugate harmonic functions, i.e. the functions  $u_j$  satisfy the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j}, \quad 0 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \equiv u_0 \in h(p, q, \alpha)$ . If either  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $\beta > \max\{\alpha + n/p - n, \alpha\}$ , or  $p = 1, 0 < q \leq 1, \beta \geq \alpha$ , then for each  $j \in [0, n]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y > 0$*

$$(2.2) \quad u_j(x, y) = \frac{2^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u(\xi, \eta) \mathcal{D}^\beta P_j(x - \xi, y + \eta) \eta^{\beta-1} d\xi d\eta,$$

$$(2.3) \quad u_j(x, y) = \frac{2^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_j(\xi, \eta) \mathcal{D}^\beta P(x - \xi, y + \eta) \eta^{\beta-1} d\xi d\eta.$$

PROOF: The representation (2.2) with  $j = 0$  is due to Ricci and Taibleson ([18]) for integral  $\beta$  and  $n = 1$  (see also [5]). For  $j \in [1, n]$  and  $0 < p < \infty$  the representation (2.2) follow from a semigroup formula involving conjugate Poisson kernels:

$$u_j(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(\xi, y/2) P_j(x - \xi, y/2) d\xi.$$

We postpone the proof of (2.3) until Subsection 3.4. The representation (2.3) will follow immediately from Corollary 3 of Theorem 7. □

Now consider the operator

$$T_{\alpha,j}(f)(x, y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} f(\xi, \eta) \mathcal{D}^\alpha P_j(x - \xi, y + \eta) \eta^{\alpha-1} d\xi d\eta, \quad \alpha > 0, 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

The next theorem is a partial converse to Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \beta > \alpha > 0, 0 \leq j \leq n$ , then the operator  $T_{\beta,j}$  is a bounded projection of  $L(p, q, \alpha)$  onto  $h(p, q, \alpha)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $f(x, y) \in L(p, q, \alpha)$  and  $q$  be finite. By Minkowski’s inequality and Lemma 1

$$M_p(T_{\beta,j}f; y) \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^{\beta-1}}{(y + \eta)^\beta} M_p(f; \eta) d\eta.$$

A further application of Hardy’s inequality (see, e.g., [22]) shows that

$$\|T_{\beta,j}f\|_{p,q,\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\alpha}.$$

Note that the assertion of Theorem 3 with  $j = 0$  is proved in [5] for  $p = q$  and integral  $\beta$ . □

The following question now arises: Does the finiteness of  $\|u\|_{p,q,\alpha}$  imply the finiteness of  $\|u_j\|_{p,q,\alpha}$ ? An affirmative answer involving all values  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$  is given in Corollary 3 of Theorem 7.

### 3. Fractional differentiation and integration in $h(p, q, \alpha)$

**3.1.** For each measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\lambda_f$  be its distribution function, i.e.  $\lambda_f(t) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |f(x)| > t\}|$ ,  $t > 0$ , where  $|E| = \text{mes } E$  is the Lebesgue measure of the set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The decreasing rearrangement of  $f$  is the function  $f^*$  given by

$$f^*(s) = \inf\{t > 0; \lambda_f(t) \leq s\}.$$

The Lorentz space  $L(p, q)$  is defined to be the collection of all functions  $f$  such that  $\|f\|_{L(p,q)} < +\infty$ , where

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{L(p,q)} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ t^{1/p} f^*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, & 0 < p, q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t), & 0 < p \leq \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that

$$L(p, q_1) \subset L(p, p) = L^p \subset L(p, q_2) \subset L(p, \infty) \subset L^1 \left( \frac{dt}{1 + |t|^{n+1}} \right)$$

whenever  $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0 < q_1 \leq p \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ . The harmonic Lorentz space  $h(p, q)$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$  (see [14], [4]) is defined to be the collection of all functions  $u(x, y)$  harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  such that  $\|u\|_{h(p,q)} = \sup_{y>0} \|u(x, y)\|_{L(p,q)}$  is finite. So that  $h(p, p) = h^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha : h^p \longrightarrow h(p, q, \alpha), \quad 2 \leq q \leq \infty,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha : h^p \longrightarrow h(p_0, q, \alpha + n/p - n/p_0), \quad 1 < p < p_0 \leq \infty.$$

PROOF: The relation (3.2) follows from Theorem 1 and a corollary

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \|F(\xi, \eta)\|_{L^p(d\xi)} \right\|_{L^q(d\eta)} \leq \left\| \|F(\xi, \eta)\|_{L^q(d\eta)} \right\|_{L^p(d\xi)}, \quad 0 < p \leq q,$$

of Minkowski's inequality. Indeed, let  $u(x, y)$  be a function of  $h^p (p < \infty)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha u\|_{p,q,\alpha} &\leq \left\| \|y^\alpha \mathcal{D}^\alpha u\|_{L^q(dy/y)} \right\|_{L^p(dx)} \\ &= \|g_{q,\alpha}(u)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{h^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining with (3.2) and Lemma 5 one obtains the relation (3.3). □

**3.2 Harmonic BMO and Lorentz spaces.** We proceed to the fractional integration involving BMO and Lorentz spaces. A function  $u(x, y)$  harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and having BMO boundary values on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to belong to the class BMOh.

**Theorem 5.** (i) *If  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, \alpha > 0, \beta = \alpha + n/p$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow \text{BMOh}.$$

(ii) *If  $1 \leq p < \infty, 0 < q \leq q_0 \leq \infty, 1 < q_0 \leq \infty, 0 < \alpha < \beta < \alpha + \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $p_0 = \frac{n}{\alpha+n/p-\beta}$ , then*

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(p_0, q_0).$$

PROOF: (i) It is enough to prove (3.5) only for  $q = \infty$ , i.e. for the widest (by  $q$ ) space  $h(p, \infty, \alpha)$ . Let  $u(x, y) \in h(p, \infty, \alpha)$  be arbitrary. For any  $y > 0$ , consider the following linear functional on the real Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , generated by  $\varphi(x, y) = \mathcal{D}^{-\beta}u(x, y)$ :

$$(3.7) \quad F_\varphi(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, y)g(x) dx,$$

where  $g \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [11], [22, Section 7.3]). If  $v(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of  $g$ , then

$$(3.8) \quad F_\varphi(g) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma^{\beta-1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u\left(x, \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)v\left(x, y + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) dx \right] d\sigma.$$

Assuming  $0 < p < 1$  and applying Hölder’s inequality for any fixed  $k_0, 1 \leq k_0 < \infty$ , one can evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\varphi(g)| &\leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma^{\beta-1} M_{k_0}\left(u; \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) M_{k'_0}\left(v; y + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) d\sigma \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{k_0, \infty, \alpha+n/p-n/k_0} \|v\|_{k'_0, 1, n/k_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5 and the continuous inclusion  $h^1 \subset h(k'_0, 1, n/k_0)$  of Flett ([14, Theorem 3]) we get

$$|F_\varphi(g)| \leq C \|u\|_{p, \infty, \alpha} \|v\|_{h^1} \leq C \|u\|_{p, \infty, \alpha} \|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since the subclass  $H_0^1$  is dense in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $F_\varphi$  induces a bounded linear functional on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Besides, Fefferman’s duality  $(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [11]) implies

$$(3.9) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \sup \left\{ |F_\varphi(g)|; g \in H_0^1, \|g\|_{H^1} = 1 \right\} \leq C \|u\|_{p, \infty, \alpha}.$$



Assuming now  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and applying again Hölder’s inequality with indices  $p$  and  $p'$  we obtain from (3.8)

$$|F_\varphi(g)| \leq C \|u\|_{p,\infty,\alpha} \|v\|_{p',1,\beta-\alpha}.$$

Further, the same arguments together with the inclusion  $h^1 \subset h(p', 1, n/p)$  lead to (3.9) for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

(ii) The relation (3.6) follows by similar arguments after applying the inclusion  $h(p'_0, q') \subset h(p', q', \beta-\alpha)$  (see [14, Theorem 9]) and duality  $(L(p'_0, q'))^* = L(p_0, q)$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

**3.3 Max-theorem.** We shall need the following two auxiliary lemmas. The first of them is the well-known Whitney expansion.

**Lemma A.** *There exists a collection  $\{\Delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of closed cubes  $\Delta_k \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  with sides parallel to coordinate axes, such that*

- (i)  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Delta_k = \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  and  $\text{diam } \Delta_k \asymp \text{dist}(\Delta_k, \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ .
- (ii) The interiors of all  $\Delta_k$  are pairwise disjoint.
- (iii) If  $\Delta_k^*$  is a cube with the same centre as  $\Delta_k$ , but extended  $5/4$  times, then the system  $\{\Delta_k^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$  forms a finitely multiple covering of  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . More precisely, each cube  $\Delta_k^*$  intersects at most  $12^{n+1}$  cubes  $\Delta_k$ .

**Lemma B.** *Let  $\Delta_k$  and  $\Delta_k^*$  be some cubes from the previous lemma, and let  $(\xi_k, \eta_k)$  be the centre of  $\Delta_k$ . If a function  $u$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , then for any  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$*

$$\eta_k^{\alpha p-1} \max_{(\xi,\eta) \in \Delta_k} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|\Delta_k^*|} \iint_{\Delta_k^*} \eta^{\alpha p-1} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p d\xi d\eta.$$

For a proof of Lemma A see [22], and of Lemma B see [5]. Observe that  $|\Delta_k| \asymp |\Delta_k^*| \asymp \eta_k^{n+1}$ .

The following key result is an analogue of classical max-theorems of Hardy and Littlewood and of Lemma 14 from [13].

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $u(x, y) \in h(p, p, \alpha)$ . Then the maximal function*

$$u^*(x, y) = \sup \left\{ |u(\xi, \eta)|; |\xi - x|^2 + (\eta - y)^2 \leq y^2/4 \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0$$

satisfies the inequality

$$(3.10) \quad \|u^*\|_{p,p,\alpha} \leq C(\alpha, p, n) \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}.$$

PROOF: For  $p \geq 1$  the inequality (3.10) is obtained immediately from Lemma 14 of [13]. For smaller  $p$  the non-subharmonicity of  $|\nabla f|^p$  ( $f$  harmonic) leads to difficulties in estimation. Let  $0 < p < 1$ . We have now by using the representation (2.2) with  $j = 0$  and  $\beta > \alpha + n/p - n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p &= \frac{2^{\beta p}}{\Gamma^p(\beta)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{\alpha p-1} \sup_{\xi,\eta} \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u(t,\theta) \mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - t, \eta + \theta) \theta^{\beta-1} dt d\theta \right|^p dx dy \\ &\leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{\alpha p-1} \sup_{\xi,\eta} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left( \iint_{\Delta_k} |u(t,\theta)| |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - t, \eta + \theta)| \theta^{\beta-1} dt d\theta \right)^p dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that  $\max_{(t,\theta) \in \Delta_k} |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - t, \eta + \theta)| \leq C(n, \beta) |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - \xi_k, \eta + \eta_k)|$ .

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad &\|u^*\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p \\ &\leq C \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{\alpha p-1} \sup_{\xi,\eta} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \max_{\Delta_k} |u(t,\theta)|^p |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - \xi_k, \eta + \eta_k)|^p \eta_k^{p(\beta-1)} |\Delta_k|^p dx dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\Delta_k|^p \eta_k^{p(\beta-1)} \max_{\Delta_k} |u(t,\theta)|^p \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{\alpha p-1} \sup_{\xi,\eta} |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - \xi_k, \eta + \eta_k)|^p dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting the last integral by  $J$  and choosing  $\beta$  large enough we estimate  $J$ :

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} y^{\alpha p-1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\substack{|\xi-x| \leq y/2 \\ |\eta-y| \leq y/2}} |\mathcal{D}^\beta P(\xi - \xi_k, \eta + \eta_k)|^p dx \right] dy \\ &\leq C \int_0^{+\infty} y^{\alpha p-1} \left[ \int_{|x-\xi_k| \leq y/2} \frac{dx}{(y/2 + \eta_k)^{p(\beta+n)}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|x-\xi_k| > y/2} \frac{dx}{(|x - \xi_k| + \eta_k)^{p(\beta+n)}} \right] dy \leq C \frac{1}{\eta_k^{p(\beta+n) - n - \alpha p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (3.11) and applying Lemma B we can continue the estimate

and get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_k|^p \eta_k^{\alpha p+n-pn-p} \max_{\Delta_k} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_k| \eta_k^{\alpha p-1} \max_{\Delta_k} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_k| \frac{1}{|\Delta_k^*|} \iint_{\Delta_k^*} \eta^{\alpha p-1} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p d\xi d\eta \leq C \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p, \end{aligned}$$

and this is the required result. □

Applying Theorem 6 we deduce

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $u \in h(p, p, \alpha)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .*

(i) *If  $0 < p < \infty$  then there exists a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1} &\leq C(\alpha, n, p) \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p, \\ |u(x, y)|^p &\leq C(\alpha, n, p) y^{-\alpha p} f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If  $0 < p \leq 1$  then additionally  $\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} : h(p, p, \alpha) \rightarrow h^p$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty, 0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq \alpha + n/p, p_0 = \frac{n}{\alpha+n/p-\beta}$ . Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\rightarrow h^p, & \beta = \alpha, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \min\{2, p\}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\rightarrow h^{p_0}, & \alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq p_0, \\ \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) &\rightarrow h^\infty, & \beta = \alpha + n/p, 0 < p \leq \infty, 0 < q \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1: (i) By an inequality of Hardy-Littlewood-Fefferman-Stein [11], for each point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, y)|^p &\leq \frac{C(p, \alpha, n)}{y^{\alpha p}} \int_{3y/4}^{5y/4} \eta^{\alpha p-1} (u^*(x, \eta))^p d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{C(p, \alpha, n)}{y^{\alpha p}} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(x)$  is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \eta^{\alpha p-1} (u^*(x, \eta))^p d\eta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to see in view of Theorem 6 that

$$\|f\|_{L^1} = \|u^*\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p \leq C(\alpha, n, p) \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p.$$

(ii) Suppose  $p < 1$ . Then by part (i)

$$|\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha}u(x, y)| \leq C(\alpha, n, p) (f(x))^{(1-p)/p} \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma^{\alpha p-1} |u(x, y + \sigma)|^p d\sigma.$$

After integrating and applying Hölder’s inequality with indices  $\frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{1}{p}$  and property (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha}u(x, y)|^p dx &\leq C(\alpha, n, p) \|f\|_{L^1}^{1-p} \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}^{p^2} \\ &\leq C(\alpha, n, p) \|u\|_{p,p,\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2: It suffices to prove the following assertions:

- (a)  $\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} : h(p, p, \alpha) \longrightarrow h^p, \quad 0 < p \leq 2,$
- (b)  $\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} : h(p, 2, \alpha) \longrightarrow h^p, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty,$
- (c)  $\mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, p_0, \alpha) \longrightarrow h^{p_0}, \quad \alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p, 0 < p < \infty,$
- (d)  $\mathcal{D}^{-\alpha-n/p} : h(p, 1, \alpha) \longrightarrow h^\infty, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty.$

Here (a) is contained in Corollary 1 and Theorem 1(ii). To prove (b) we apply (3.4) and Theorem 1(ii). The assertion (c) for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is the case  $q_0 = p_0$  in Theorem 5(ii). For  $0 < p < 1$  we shall distinguish two cases.

Case  $0 < p < 1, p_0 \geq 1$ . Then the previous case of (c) and Lemma 5 give

$$\|\mathcal{D}^{-\beta}u\|_{h^{p_0}} \leq C\|u\|_{p_0,p_0,\alpha+n/p-n/p_0} \leq C\|u\|_{p,p_0,\alpha}.$$

Case  $0 < p < 1, 0 < p_0 < 1$ . Then by Corollary 1 and Lemma 5

$$\|\mathcal{D}^{-\beta}u\|_{h^{p_0}} \leq C\|u\|_{p_0,p_0,\beta} \leq C\|u\|_{p,p_0,\alpha}.$$

The case  $p = \infty$  in (d) is obvious. The general case follows from this and Lemma 5. □

**3.4 “Fractional derivative norm” characterization.** The following auxiliary lemma extends to smaller  $p$  a result of Flett [13, Theorem 7].

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer, let  $0 < p < \infty$ , and let  $u(x, y)$  be a harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^m u(x, y)|^p dx \leq C(m, n, p) \frac{1}{y^{mp+1}} \int_{y/2}^{3y/2} M_p^p(u; t) dt, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $\nabla^m u$  is the gradient of  $u$  of order  $m$ .

This follows immediately from a corollary

$$|\nabla^m u(x, y)|^p \leq \frac{C(m, n, p)}{y^{n+1+mp}} \iint_{|\xi-x|^2+(\eta-y)^2 < y^2/4} |u(\xi, \eta)|^p d\xi d\eta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0$$

of an inequality of Hardy-Littlewood-Fefferman-Stein ([11]).

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ .*

- (i) *If  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^{-\beta} : h(p, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(p, q, \alpha - \beta)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^\beta : h(p, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(p, q, \alpha + \beta)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\alpha > 0, \alpha > \beta > -\infty, q < \infty$  and  $u \in h(p, q, \alpha)$ , then  $y^{\alpha-\beta} M_p(\mathcal{D}^{-\beta} u; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  and  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ .*
- (iv) *If  $\alpha > 0, \alpha > \beta > -\infty$  and  $u \in h(p, \infty, \alpha)$ , then the condition  $y^\alpha M_p(u; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  ( $y \rightarrow +\infty$ ) implies  $y^{\alpha-\beta} M_p(\mathcal{D}^{-\beta} u; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  ( $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , respectively).*
- (v) *The assertions (ii), (iii), (iv) for the derivative  $\mathcal{D}^\beta$  ( $\beta > 0$ ) hold with  $\partial^\lambda(\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1})$  instead of  $\mathcal{D}^\beta$ , and  $|\lambda|$  instead of  $\beta$ .*

PROOF: Note that (i)–(iv) are proved by Bui Huy Qui [4, Theorem 3.5] for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Corollaries 1, 2 and Lemma 6 enable us to extend the assertions (i)–(iv) to all  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ . Here we prove only (ii) and (v) when  $0 < q \leq p < 1$ . The relation

$$(3.12) \quad \partial^\lambda : h(q, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(q, q, \alpha + |\lambda|)$$

is clear in view of Lemma 6. Besides, the relation

$$(3.13) \quad \partial^\lambda : h(1, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(1, q, \alpha + |\lambda|)$$

is also valid. By a version of Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem for quasi-normed spaces (see [16]) the relations (3.12) and (3.13) lead to  $\partial^\lambda : h(p, q, \alpha) \longrightarrow h(p, q, \alpha + |\lambda|)$  for any  $p \in [q, 1]$ . For nonintegral  $\beta$  ( $m - 1 < \beta < m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ), assertion (ii) follows from (i) and above:

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\beta u\|_{p,q,\alpha+\beta} = \|\mathcal{D}^{-(m-\beta)} \mathcal{D}^m u\|_{p,q,\alpha+\beta} \leq C \|\mathcal{D}^m u\|_{p,q,\alpha+m} \leq C \|u\|_{p,q,\alpha}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \equiv u_0 \in h(p, q, \alpha)$ . Let  $F = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be a system of harmonic conjugates. Then:*

- (i)  $\|F\|_{p,q,\alpha} \leq C\|u\|_{p,q,\alpha}$ .
- (ii) *The condition  $y^\alpha M_p(u; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  ( $y \rightarrow +\infty$ ) is equivalent to  $y^\alpha M_p(F; y) = o(1)$  as  $y \rightarrow +0$  ( $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , respectively).*

**3.5 Bloch functions.** The “fractional derivative norm” characterization and harmonic conjugation results are easily applicable to Bloch functions. This corresponds to the case  $p = q = \infty$  in Theorem 7 and Corollary 3.

A function  $u$  harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  is said to be harmonic Bloch (we write  $u \in \mathcal{B}$ ) if

$$(3.14) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup y|\nabla u(x, y)| < +\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . A harmonic Bloch function  $u$  is called harmonic little Bloch if it satisfies the following vanishing condition:

$$(3.15) \quad y|\nabla u(x, y)| = o(1) \quad \text{as } (x, y) \rightarrow \partial^\infty \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1},$$

where  $\partial^\infty \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  (see [24]). The space of all harmonic little Bloch functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ ) denote the subspace of functions in  $\mathcal{B}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ) that vanish at  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$ . The gradient in (3.14) may be replaced by  $\mathcal{D}^1$ , and Bloch  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ -norm may be characterized by the equivalent “derivative norm” condition

$$(3.16) \quad \sup_{(x,y)} y^m |\mathcal{D}^m u(x, y)| < +\infty, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \geq 1$$

as  $u$  ranges over  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  (see [17]). Moreover, as follows from Corollary 3 and the case  $p = q = \infty$  of Theorem 7, (3.16) is true for fractional derivatives  $\mathcal{D}^\beta$  ( $\beta > 0$ ) as well.

**Corollary 4** (see [17]). *Suppose that  $u$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . Then:*

- (i) *For each  $\beta > 0$ ,*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}} \asymp \|\mathcal{D}^\beta u\|_{\infty, \infty, \beta}.$$
- (ii) *For any  $j \in [1, n]$ ,*

$$\|u_j\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C(n)\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

**Corollary 5.** (i) *Suppose that  $u$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ . Then for each  $\beta > 0$  the condition*

$$y|\nabla u(x, y)| = o(1)$$

*is equivalent to  $y^\beta |\mathcal{D}^\beta u(x, y)| = o(1)$  as  $(x, y) \rightarrow \partial^\infty \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ .*

(ii) *If  $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$ , then  $u_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$  for any  $j \in [1, n]$ .*

#### 4. Integral representations in $h(p, q, \alpha)$

In this section we present some applications of Theorems 4–7. We characterize  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  by means of an integral representation with the use of Besov spaces  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p,q}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $f(x)$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The Besov’s seminorm is defined as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p,q}} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t|^{-n-\alpha q} \|\Delta_t^k f(x)\|_{L^p(dx)}^q dt \right)^{1/q}, & 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ \sup_{|t|>0} |t|^{-\alpha} \|\Delta_t^k f(x)\|_{L^p(dx)}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_t^1 f(x) = f(x + t) - f(x)$  and  $\Delta_t^k f(x) = \Delta_t^1 \Delta_t^{k-1} f(x)$ ,  $k$  is an integer,  $k > \alpha$ . There is an equivalent definition (see [23])

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p,q}} = \|\mathcal{D}^k v\|_{p,q,k-\alpha},$$

where  $v = v(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . Observe that the definition (4.2) is suitable as well for any  $q, 0 < q \leq \infty$ .

For any real number  $b$  let  $\mathcal{H}_b$  be the linear space [4, p. 254], consisting of all harmonic functions  $v(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  such that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$ ,  $\rho > 0$  and  $K$  is any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\lambda, \rho, K)$  such that

$$|\partial^\lambda v(x, y)| \leq C y^{-b-|\lambda|}, \quad x \in K, y \geq \rho.$$

We shall also write  $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}_b$  when its harmonic extension to  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_b$ .

The following result is a slight improvement of Lemma 4.5 from [4].

**Lemma C.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $f(x)$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose Poisson integral  $v(x, y)$  exists, and  $v(x, y) \in \bigcap_{b>0} \mathcal{H}_{(-b)}$ . Then (4.1)*

*and  $\|\mathcal{D}^\gamma v\|_{p,q,\gamma-\alpha}$  are equivalent for each  $\gamma > \alpha$ .*

Now we need the following

**Lemma 7.** (a) *Suppose that  $f$  is in  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f$  belongs to  $L^p\left(\frac{dt}{1+|t|^{n+1}}\right)$  for each  $p, 0 < p < \infty$ , and hence to  $L^1\left(\frac{dt}{1+|t|^{n+\gamma}}\right)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{(-\gamma)}$  for each  $\gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1$ .*

(b) *Suppose that  $f$  is in  $L(p, \infty)$  for some  $p, 1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $f$  belongs to  $L^1\left(\frac{dt}{1+|t|^n}\right)$  and hence to  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .*

**PROOF:** The case  $p = 1$  of the first inclusion in (a) is a well-known result of Fefferman and Stein [11]. The general case in (a) can be proved by similar methods

making use of the inequality

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f - f_B|^p dx \leq C_p \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}^p, \quad \text{for any ball } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f dx,$$

which is a consequence of the John-Nirenberg inequality. The last inclusion in (a) follows from

$$|\partial^\lambda v(x, y)| \leq C(\lambda, n) \frac{1}{y^{-\gamma+|\lambda|}} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1+|x|}{y} \right\}^{n+\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(t)| dt}{1+|t|^{n+\gamma}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1},$$

where  $v(x, y)$  is the Poisson integral of  $f$ . The first inclusion in (b) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(t)| dt}{1+|t|^n} &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} f^*(s) \left( \frac{1}{1+|t|^n} \right)^* ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p,\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{1/p}(1+s/\omega_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where it is assumed that  $g^*(s)$  is the decreasing rearrangement of  $g(t)$  and  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(1+n/2)}$ . □

Now we are ready to formulate and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be any numbers. Then:*

(i) *The space  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  coincides with the set of functions  $u(x, y)$  representable in the form*

$$(4.3) \quad u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{D}^\beta P(x-t, y) \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $\beta$  ( $\alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p$ ) is any number and

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi(t) \in \Lambda_{\beta-\alpha}^{p,q} \cap L^1 \left( \frac{dt}{1+|t|^n} \right).$$

At the same time,

$$(4.5) \quad \|u\|_{p,q,\alpha} \asymp \|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_{\beta-\alpha}^{p,q}}.$$

(ii) *The function  $\varphi$  in (4.3) can be deduced from the following inversion formula*

$$(4.6) \quad \varphi(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow +0} \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} u(x, y), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$



(iii) The space  $h(p, q, \alpha)$  coincides with the set of functions  $u(x, y)$  representable in the form (4.3), where  $\beta$  ( $\alpha < \beta \leq \alpha + n/p$ ) is any number and

$$\varphi(t) \in \Lambda_{\beta-\alpha}^{p,q} \cap \left( \bigcap_{0 < \gamma < 1} L^1 \left( \frac{dt}{1 + |t|^{n+\gamma}} \right) \right).$$

At the same time, (4.5) and (4.6) are valid.

PROOF: (i) Let  $u(x, y) \in h(p, q, \alpha)$  be any function and  $\beta$  ( $\alpha < \beta < \alpha + n/p$ ) is any number. Denote  $\varphi(x, y) = \mathcal{D}^{-\beta} u(x, y)$  and let  $\varphi(x)$  be its boundary values on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By virtue of Theorem 5 (3.6), the function  $\varphi(x)$  belongs to  $L(p_0, \infty)$  with  $p_0 = n/(\alpha + n/p - \beta)$ . Hence, by Lemma 7(b)  $\varphi(x) \in L^1 \left( \frac{dx}{1 + |x|^n} \right)$  and so  $\varphi(x, y)$  is representable by its Poisson integral:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(x - t, y) \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$u(x, y) = \mathcal{D}^\beta \varphi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{D}^\beta P(x - t, y) \varphi(t) dt,$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent. At the same time, by Lemma C

$$\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_{\beta-\alpha}^{p,q}} \leq C \|\mathcal{D}^\beta \varphi\|_{p,q,\beta-(\beta-\alpha)} = C \|u\|_{p,q,\alpha}.$$

Conversely, suppose  $u(x, y)$  is representable in the form (4.3)–(4.4). Let  $\varphi(x, y)$  be the Poisson integral of  $\varphi(t)$ . Differentiation by means of  $\mathcal{D}^\beta$  yields

$$\mathcal{D}^\beta \varphi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{D}^\beta P(x - t, y) \varphi(t) dt = u(x, y).$$

Since, by Lemma 7 (b)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , in view of Lemma C we have

$$\|u\|_{p,q,\alpha} = \|\mathcal{D}^\beta \varphi\|_{p,q,\beta-(\beta-\alpha)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_{\beta-\alpha}^{p,q}}.$$

(ii) To prove (4.6) it suffices to integrate the representation (4.3) by means of  $\mathcal{D}^{-\beta}$ , then to use the invertibility of  $\mathcal{D}^{-\beta}$  and to let  $y \rightarrow +0$ . The assertion (iii) can be proved in the same way with the use of Lemmas C and 7(a).  $\square$

**Remark.** The connection between Besov spaces and weighted classes  $A_\alpha^*$  of Nevanlinna-Djrbashian ([8], [9]) of functions holomorphic in the unit disk was established by Shamoyan [20].

Finally, we present a simpler integral formula for the space  $h(2, 2, \alpha)$ .

**Theorem 9.** *The space  $h(2, 2, \alpha)$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) coincides with the set of functions  $u(x, y)$  representable in the form*

$$(4.7) \quad u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{D}^\alpha P(x-t, y) \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Here the function  $\varphi$  can be deduced by the following inversion formula

$$\varphi(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow +0} \mathcal{D}^{-\alpha} u(x, y), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

PROOF:  $h(2, 2, \alpha) = \mathcal{D}^\alpha(h^2)$  (see Corollary 2 and Theorem 4 (3.2)). □

A corresponding formula for functions holomorphic in the unit disk was established by M.M. Djrbashian [9, Theorems V–VI].

**Remark.** In a recent paper [25] of the author some analogues of Theorems 5(i), 8 and Corollary 4 for the unit disk are contained.

**Acknowledgment.** I am grateful to Professor A.E. Djrbashian for sending me a copy of the paper [18].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Benedek A., Panzone R., *The spaces  $L^p$ , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 301–324.
- [2] Bergman S., *Über unendliche Hermitesche Formen, die zu einem Bereiche gehören, nebst Anwendungen auf Fragen der Abbildung durch Funktionen von zwei komplexen Veränderlichen*, Math. Z. **29** (1929), 641–677.
- [3] Bergman S., *The Kernel Function and Conformal Mapping*, Math. Surveys, No. 5, New York, 1950.
- [4] Bui Huy Qui, *Harmonic functions, Riesz potentials, and the Lipschitz spaces of Herz*, Hiroshima Math. J. **9** (1979), 245–295.
- [5] Djrbashian A.E., *The classes  $A_\alpha^p$  of harmonic functions in half-spaces and an analogue of M. Riesz' theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR, Matematika **22** (1987), no. 4, 386–398 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet J. Contemp. Math. Anal. (Armenian Academy of Sciences) **22** (1987), no. 4, 74–85.
- [6] Djrbashian A.E., Karapetyan A.H., *Integral inequalities between conjugate pluriharmonic functions in multidimensional domains*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR, Matematika **23** (1988), no. 3, 216–236 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet J. Contemp. Math. Anal. (Armenian Academy of Sciences) **23** (1988), no. 3, 20–42.
- [7] Djrbashian A.E., Shamoyan F.A., *Topics in the Theory of  $A_\alpha^p$  Spaces*, Teubner-Texte zur Math., b. 105, Teubner, Leipzig, 1988.
- [8] Djrbashian M.M., *On canonical representation of functions meromorphic in the unit disk*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR **3** (1945), 3–9 (in Russian).
- [9] Djrbashian M.M., *On the representation problem of analytic functions*, Soobshch. Inst. Matem. Mekh. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR **2** (1948), 3–40 (in Russian).

- [10] Djrbashian M.M., Djrbashian A.E., *Integral representation for some classes of functions in a half-plane*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **285** (1985), 547–550 (in Russian).
- [11] Fefferman C., Stein E.M.,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [12] Flett T.M., *Mean values of power series*, Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 463–494.
- [13] Flett T.M., *Inequalities for the  $p$ th mean values of harmonic and subharmonic functions with  $p \leq 1$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **20** (1970), 249–275.
- [14] Flett T.M., *On the rate of growth of mean values of holomorphic and harmonic functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **20** (1970), 749–768.
- [15] Flett T.M., *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **38** (1972), 746–765.
- [16] Holmstedt T., *Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970), 177–199.
- [17] Ramey W.C., Yi H., *Harmonic Bergman functions on half-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 633–660.
- [18] Ricci F., Taibleson M., *Boundary values of harmonic functions in mixed norm spaces and their atomic structure*, Annali Scuola Nor. Sup. - Pisa, Ser. IV, 10 (1983), 1–54.
- [19] Shamoyan F.A., *Applications of Djrbashian's integral representations to the certain problems of analysis*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **261** (1981), no. 3, 557–561 (in Russian).
- [20] Shamoyan F.A., *Some remarks on the parametric representation of Nevanlinna-Djrbashian classes*, Mat. Zametki **52** (1992), no. 1, 128–140 (in Russian); English transl.: Math. Notes **52** (1993), no. 1–2, 727–737.
- [21] Shi J.H., *Inequalities for the integral means of holomorphic functions and their derivatives in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 619–637.
- [22] Stein E.M., *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [23] Taibleson M., *On the theory of Lipschitz spaces of distributions on Euclidean  $n$ -space, I. Principal properties*, J. Math. Mech. **13** (1964), 407–479.
- [24] Yi H., *Harmonic little Bloch functions on half-spaces*, Math. Japonica **47** (1998), 21–28.
- [25] Avetisyan K.L., *Fractional integration and integral representations in weighted classes of harmonic functions*, Analysis Math. **26** (2000), 161–174.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF ARMENIA, MARSHAL BAGRAMIAN AVE. 24-B, YEREVAN 375019, ARMENIA

*E-mail:* amjer@instmath.sci.am

(Received April 3, 2001)