

## On a selection theorem of Blum and Swaminathan

TAKAMITSU YAMAUCHI

*Dedicated to Professor Takao Hoshina on his sixtieth birthday.*

*Abstract.* Blum and Swaminathan [Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981), 251–260] introduced the notion of  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixedness for set-valued mappings, and characterized realcompactness by means of continuous selections for Tychonoff spaces of non-measurable cardinal. Using their method, we obtain another characterization of realcompactness, but without any cardinal assumption. We also characterize Dieudonné completeness and Lindelöf property in similar formulations.

*Keywords:* set-valued mapping, selection, realcompact, Dieudonné complete, Lindelöf,  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed, local intersection property, open lower sections

*Classification:* 54C60, 54C65, 54D20, 54D60

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y$  be a topological vector space. Let us denote by  $2^Y$  the set of all non-empty subsets of  $Y$ , and write

$$\mathcal{K}(Y) = \{K \in 2^Y \mid K \text{ is convex}\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_c(Y) = \{F \in 2^Y \mid F \text{ is closed and convex}\}.$$

A set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c. for short) if the set

$$\varphi^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$$

is open in  $X$  for every open subset  $V$  of  $Y$ . For a set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ , a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *selection* of  $\varphi$  if  $f(x) \in \varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . A subset  $S$  of  $X$  is a *zero-set* (respectively a *cozero-set*) if  $S = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$  (respectively  $S = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ ) for some real-valued continuous function  $f$  on  $X$ . For undefined notations and terminology we refer to [1] or [4].

The following is a well-known selection theorem due to Michael [6, Theorem 3.2''].

**Theorem 1.1** (Michael [6]). *A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is paracompact if and only if, for every Banach space  $Y$ , every l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  admits a continuous selection.*

This result not only guarantees the existence of a selection but describes paracompactness in terms of continuous selections of l.s.c. set-valued mappings. In addition to this theorem, some topological properties have been characterized by means of continuous selections (see [9]). Among these results, Blum and Swaminathan [2] characterized realcompactness for Tychonoff spaces (that is, completely regular  $T_1$ -spaces) of non-measurable cardinal as in Theorem 1.2.

Before stating Theorem 1.2, let us recall some terminology introduced by Blum and Swaminathan [2]. An l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be of *infinite character* if there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin of  $Y$  such that the open cover  $\{\varphi^{-1}(y+V) \mid y \in Y\}$  of  $X$  has no finite subcover; and otherwise  $\varphi$  is called of *finite character*. For a family  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of a space  $X$ , a set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -*fixed* if  $\bigcap\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in S\} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . For a given Tychonoff space  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of subsets of  $X$  defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset X \mid B \text{ is a realcompact cozero-set in } X \text{ and } X \setminus B \text{ is not compact}\}.$$

A cardinality  $\tau$  is called *measurable* if the discrete space of cardinal  $\tau$  admits a nontrivial  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued countably additive measure.

**Theorem 1.2** (Blum and Swaminathan [2]). *For a Tychonoff space  $X$  of non-measurable cardinal, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is realcompact;
- (b) for every locally convex topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  is of finite character;
- (c) for every locally convex topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  of infinite character admits a continuous selection.

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain another description of realcompactness in terms of  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mappings as follows. Notice that, in our case, a space  $X$  is not assumed to be of non-measurable cardinal.

**Theorem 1.3.** *A Tychonoff space  $X$  is realcompact if and only if, for every Banach space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable.*

Let us recall that a Tychonoff space  $X$  is *Dieudonné complete* if there exists a complete uniformity on the space  $X$  (see [4, 8.5.13]). It is known that every realcompact space is Dieudonné complete. For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , Blum and Swaminathan defined the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $X$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{C \subset X \mid C \text{ is a Dieudonné complete cozero-set in } X \\ \text{and } X \setminus C \text{ is not compact}\}.$$

Besides they mentioned that several theorems in their paper [2] are valid with substitution of the phrases “Dieudonné complete” for “realcompact”, and “ $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed” for “ $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed” [2, REMARKS (ii)]. Thus it is natural to ask whether Dieudonné completeness can be described by means of  $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed set-valued mappings in a formulation analogous to Theorem 1.3. In Section 3, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *A Tychonoff space  $X$  is Dieudonné complete if and only if, for every Banach space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  admits a continuous selection.*

In addition, we will characterize Lindelöf property in Section 3.

**Theorem 1.5.** *A regular space  $X$  is Lindelöf if and only if, for every Banach space  $Y$ , every l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable.*

Yannelis and Prabhakar [13] defined set-valued mappings with open lower sections, and Wu and Shen [12] defined the local intersection property for set-valued mappings. In [12] and [13], selection theorems of such set-valued mappings on paracompact spaces were obtained. Adopting these notions, we will also characterize paracompactness, realcompactness, Dieudonné completeness, and Lindelöf property in Section 4.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $X$  be a topological space. For a subset  $S$  of  $X$ ,  $\text{cl}_X(S)$  stands for the closure of  $S$  in  $X$ . Let us denote by  $C(X)$  the set of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ . For  $f \in C(X)$ , set  $Z(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$  and  $\text{Coz}(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ . A family  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of continuous functions  $p_\lambda : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *partition of unity* on  $X$  if  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_\lambda(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . A partition of unity  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  on  $X$  is said to be *locally finite* if the cover  $\{\text{Coz}(p_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $X$  is locally finite. For an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , a partition of unity  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  on  $X$  is *subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$*  if the cover  $\{\text{Coz}(p_\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let us denote  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all positive integers, the set of all rationals, and the set of all reals, respectively. For a set  $A$ ,  $l_1(A)$  means the Banach space of all functions  $y : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_{a \in A} |y(a)| < \infty$  with the norm  $\|y\| = \sum_{a \in A} |y(a)|$ . For  $a \in A$ , let  $\pi_a : l_1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the  $a$ -th projection. We will use the following lemma due to Michael [6, p. 369].

**Lemma 2.1** (Michael [6]). *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of a topological space  $X$ . Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^{l_1(\mathcal{U})}$  be a set-valued mapping defined by*

$$\varphi(x) = \{y \in l_1(\mathcal{U}) \mid \|y\| = 1, y(U) \geq 0 \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{U}, \\ \text{and } y(U) = 0 \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } x \notin U\},$$

for  $x \in X$ . Then  $\varphi$  is l.s.c. and closed-and-convex-valued. Furthermore, if  $\varphi$  has a continuous selection, then there exists a locally finite partition of unity subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

A Tychonoff space  $X$  is called *realcompact* if every  $z$ -ultrafilter (that is, a maximal filter consisting of zero-sets) on  $X$  with the countable intersection property has non-empty intersection. For a Tychonoff space  $X$ ,  $\beta X$  and  $vX$  denote the Stone-Ćech compactification and the realcompactification of  $X$ , respectively.

The following theorem was essentially proved by De Marco and Wilson [3, 4. THEOREM] and Tamano [10, Theorem 2.5].

**Lemma 2.2** (De Marco and Wilson [3], Tamano [10]). *For a Tychonoff space  $X$  and a point  $a \in \beta X$ ,  $a \in vX$  if and only if there exists a (locally finite) countable partition of unity  $\{p_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on  $X$  such that  $a \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p_i))$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Using this lemma, we prove the following:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a non-compact realcompact space and  $Y$  be a topological vector space. Then every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  has a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable.*

PROOF: Since  $X$  is a non-compact realcompact space, that is,  $vX = X \subsetneq \beta X$ , we may choose  $a \in \beta X \setminus vX$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a locally finite countable partition of unity  $\{p_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on  $X$  such that  $a \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p_i))$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $X \setminus \text{Coz}(p_i) = Z(p_i)$  is not compact. By virtue of [5, 8.14. THEOREM],  $\text{Coz}(p_i)$  is realcompact. Thus we have  $\{\text{Coz}(p_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed, we can take  $y_i \in \bigcap \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in \text{Coz}(p_i)\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by the formula  $f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i(x)y_i$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $f$  is a continuous selection of  $\varphi$  since  $\{p_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is locally finite and  $\varphi$  is convex-valued. It remains to prove the separability of  $f(X)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\Lambda_n = \{((q_i)_{i=1}^n, k) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{N} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n r_i y_i \in f(X) \text{ and } |q_i - r_i| < 1/k \text{ for some } (r_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda = ((q_i)_{i=1}^n, k) \in \Lambda_n$ , and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , choose  $r_j(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(\lambda)y_j \in f(X)$  and  $|q_j - r_j(\lambda)| < 1/k$  for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then the set  $A = \{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\lambda)y_i \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $f(X)$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3: If  $X$  is compact, the “only if” part follows from Theorem 1.1; otherwise from Lemma 2.3.

To see the “if” part, let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space satisfying that, for every Banach space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable. Assume that  $X$  is not realcompact and take  $a_0 \in vX \setminus X$ . We will deduce a contradiction. Put  $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Coz}(p) \mid p \in C(X), a_0 \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p))\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Put  $Y = l_1(\mathcal{U})$  and define a set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  as in Lemma 2.1. Then  $\varphi$  is l.s.c. and  $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

We claim that  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed. To prove this, let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $B = \text{Coz}(h)$  for some  $h \in C(X)$  as  $B$  is a cozero-set. Since  $\text{Coz}(h)$  is realcompact and  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(h))$  is compact,  $\text{Coz}(h) \cup \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(h))$  is realcompact ([5, 8.16. THEOREM]) and contains  $X$ . Thus we have  $vX \subset \text{Coz}(h) \cup \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(h))$ , and hence  $a_0 \in vX \setminus X \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(h))$ . Thus  $B = \text{Coz}(h) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $y \in l_1(\mathcal{U})$  be the element defined by

$$y(U) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } U = B, \\ 0, & \text{if } U \neq B, \end{cases}$$

for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $y \in \bigcap \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in B\}$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed.

By hypothesis,  $\varphi$  admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable. Then there exists a countable subset  $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $Y$  whose closure contains  $f(X)$ . Put  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid x_n(U) \neq 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . We may regard  $l_1(\mathcal{U}')$  as a linear subspace of  $l_1(\mathcal{U})$  by canonical identification. Since  $l_1(\mathcal{U}')$  is a closed subspace of  $l_1(\mathcal{U})$ ,  $f(X) \subset \text{cl}_{l_1(\mathcal{U})}(\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \subset l_1(\mathcal{U}')$ , so that  $\pi_U(f(X)) = \{0\}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}'$ . Let us denote  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and put  $p_i = \pi_{U_i} \circ f$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{p_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable partition of unity on  $X$  such that  $\text{Coz}(p_i) \subset U_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $a_0 \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p_i))$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $a_0 \in \beta X \setminus vX$  due to Lemma 2.2, that contradicts the choice of  $a_0$ . Hence  $X$  is realcompact.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Note that in [2, THEOREM 2], the implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) of Theorem 1.2 was shown without assuming that  $X$  is of non-measurable cardinal. Here we show that the other implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) also holds for a Tychonoff space  $X$  of any cardinal. The set-valued mapping defined in the proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3 can be shown to be of infinite character as in the proof of [2, THEOREM 8]. Thus for a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the realcompactness of  $X$  is equivalent to the following statement:

*For every Banach space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed and l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(Y)$  of infinite character admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable.*

Since the statement (b) of Theorem 1.2 implies the above statement, the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in Theorem 1.2 is valid for every Tychonoff space  $X$  of any cardinal.

On the other hand, the implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) of Theorem 1.2 need not be true for Tychonoff spaces of any cardinal. Indeed, a discrete space  $D$  of measurable cardinal satisfies condition (c) of Theorem 1.2 since every set-valued mapping on  $D$  has a continuous selection. But  $D$  is not realcompact (see [4, 3.11.D]).

### 3. Characterizations of Dieudonné completeness and Lindelöf property

For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , let  $\Phi_X$  be the set of all normal open covers of  $X$ .

Then  $\Phi_X$  forms the finest uniformity on  $X$ . Let us denote  $\mu X$  the Dieudonné completion of  $X$  (that is, the completion with respect to  $\Phi_X$ ). We will use the fact that a cozero-set of a Dieudonné complete space is Dieudonné complete [4, 8.5.13 (f)] as a subspace.

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, we use the following lemma which is essentially proved in [10, Theorem 2.6] (see also [4, 8.5.13(b)]).

**Lemma 3.1** (Tamano [10]). *For a Tychonoff space  $X$  and a point  $a \in \beta X$ ,  $a \in \beta X \setminus \mu X$  if and only if there exists a (locally finite) partition of unity  $\{p_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  on  $X$  such that  $a \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p_\lambda))$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

With this lemma, the following can be shown as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For a non-compact Dieudonné complete space  $X$  and a topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  has a continuous selection.*

To prove Theorem 1.4, we also need the following:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. If  $X$  is the union of a compact subspace  $K$  and a Dieudonné complete subspace  $S$ , then  $X$  is Dieudonné complete.*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Cauchy filter basis with respect to  $\Phi_X$ . In case  $\text{cl}_X(F) \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to a point of  $K$  since  $K$  is compact. Otherwise, suppose that  $\text{cl}_X(F_0) \cap K = \emptyset$  for some  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $K$  is compact, there exist a zero-set  $Z$  and a cozero-set  $C$  in  $X$  such that  $\text{cl}_X(F_0) \subset Z \subset C \subset X \setminus K \subset S$ . Hence  $C$  is a cozero-set of the Dieudonné complete space  $S$ , so that the subspace  $C$  of  $S$  is Dieudonné complete. Put  $\mathcal{F}' = \{F \cap C \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . As  $F_0 \subset C$ ,  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a filter basis on  $C$ .

We claim that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a Cauchy filter basis with respect to  $\Phi_C$ . To prove this, let  $\mathcal{U} \in \Phi_C$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a normal open cover of  $C$ , there exists a locally finite (in  $C$ ) cozero-set cover  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $C$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then there exists a countable collection  $\{\mathcal{V}_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of locally finite (in  $X$ ) families  $\mathcal{V}_i$  consisting of cozero-sets of  $X$  such that each  $\mathcal{V}_i$  refines  $\mathcal{U}'$  and  $C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i$ . Indeed, since  $C$  is a cozero-set of  $X$ , there exists a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $C = \text{Coz}(f)$ . Putting  $Z_i = f^{-1}([1/i, 1])$  and  $U_i = f^{-1}((1/i, 1])$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain zero-sets  $Z_i$  and cozero-sets  $U_i$  of  $X$  satisfying  $U_i \subset Z_i \subset U_{i+1}$  and  $C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i$ . Set  $\mathcal{V}_i = \{U \cap U_i \mid U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{V}_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the desired collection.

Finally, put  $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i \cup \{X \setminus Z\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (in  $X$ ) cozero-set cover of  $X$ . Hence  $\mathcal{W}$  is a normal open cover of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{W} \in \Phi_X$ . Because  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Cauchy filter basis with respect to  $\Phi_X$ , it follows that  $F \subset W$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and some  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . As  $F_0 \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $W \neq X \setminus Z$ , so that  $W \in \mathcal{V}_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_i$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , we may take  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  so that  $W \subset U$ . Thus  $F \cap C \subset U$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a Cauchy filter basis with respect to  $\Phi_C$ .

Since  $C$  is Dieudonné complete,  $\mathcal{F}'$  converges to some  $x$  in  $C$ . As  $F_0 \subset C$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$  in  $X$ . Therefore  $X$  is Dieudonné complete.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4: The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 1.3. If  $X$  is compact, the “only if” part follows from Theorem 1.1; otherwise from Lemma 3.2. Proof of the “if” part is obtained by replacing “realcompact”, “ $\nu X$ ”, and “ $\mathcal{B}$ ” in that of Theorem 1.3 with “Dieudonné complete”, “ $\mu X$ ”, and “ $\mathcal{C}$ ”, respectively.  $\square$

Next, we show Theorem 1.5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5: The “only if” part follows from Theorem 1.1 and the fact that the continuous image of a Lindelöf space is Lindelöf. To prove the “if” part, given an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , apply the same argument as in the proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3. Then we can obtain some countable partition of unity on  $X$  subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

Lindelöf property is also characterized by set-valued selections. Let us recall some notations and terminology. For a topological space  $Y$ , set

$$\mathcal{F}(Y) = \{F \in 2^Y \mid F \text{ is closed}\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}(Y) = \{C \in 2^Y \mid C \text{ is compact}\}.$$

A set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c. for short) if the set

$$\varphi^\#(V) = \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) \subset V\}$$

is open in  $X$  for every open subset  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *A regular space  $X$  is Lindelöf if and only if, for every completely metrizable space  $Y$  and every l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ , there exist a u.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  and an l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  such that  $\theta(x) \subset \psi(x) \subset \varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$  is separable.*

PROOF: To prove the “only if” part, let  $X$  be a Lindelöf space,  $Y$  a completely metrizable space, and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$  an l.s.c. set-valued mapping. Due to Michael’s compact-valued selection theorem [7, THEOREM 1.1], there exist a u.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  and an l.s.c. set-valued mapping  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  such that  $\theta(x) \subset \psi(x) \subset \varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  (see also [8, p. 305, Theorem 3]). Since  $Y$  is metrizable, it suffices to show that  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$  is Lindelöf. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a family of open sets of  $Y$  covering  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$ . For  $x \in X$ ,  $\psi(x)$  is compact, hence  $\psi(x)$  is covered with some finite subset  $\mathcal{V}_x$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\{\psi^\#(\bigcup \mathcal{V}_x) \mid x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is Lindelöf,  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi^\#(\bigcup \mathcal{V}_{x_i})$  for some countable set  $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_{x_i}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{V}$  that covers  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$ .

To prove the “if” part, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Topologize  $\mathcal{U}$  by the discrete topology. Note that  $\mathcal{U}$  is completely metrizable. Define a set-valued

mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  by  $\varphi(x) = \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid x \in U\}$  for  $x \in X$ . Then  $\varphi$  is closed-valued and l.s.c. By hypothesis, there exists a set-valued mapping  $\psi : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  such that  $\psi(x) \subset \varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$  is separable. Then  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \psi(x)$  is a countable subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

**4. Characterizations in terms of convex-valued mappings with the local intersection property or with open lower sections**

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a set-valued mapping. Then  $\varphi$  is said to have *open lower sections* if  $\varphi^{-1}(\{y\})$  is open in  $X$  for every  $y \in Y$  ([13]). We say that  $\varphi$  has *the local intersection property* if each  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U$  with  $\bigcap \{\varphi(z) \mid z \in U\} \neq \emptyset$  ([12]). Note that a set-valued mapping having open lower sections is l.s.c. and has the local intersection property. But lower semicontinuity need not imply the local intersection property and vice versa.

Yannelis and Prabhakar [13] showed that if  $X$  is paracompact, then every convex-valued mapping with open lower sections from  $X$  into a topological vector space admits a continuous selection. Later, Wu and Shen [12] improved this result by establishing that if  $X$  is paracompact, then every convex-valued mapping with the local intersection property from  $X$  into a topological vector space admits a continuous selection. By the following theorem we show that the converse of Yannelis and Prabhakar’s result (and hence, one of Wu and Shen’s result above) is also true.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is paracompact;
- (b) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , a set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having the local intersection property admits a continuous selection;
- (c) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , a set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having open lower sections admits a continuous selection.

PROOF: It suffices to show (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space satisfying (c) and  $\mathcal{U}$  an open cover of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $\varphi(x)$  be the set of elements  $y \in l_1(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $\|y\| = 1$ ,  $y(U) \geq 0$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $y(U) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $y(U) = 0$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $x \notin U$ . Then the resulting mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^{l_1(\mathcal{U})}$  is convex-valued. To see that  $\varphi$  has open lower sections, let  $y \in Y$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset$  and take  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(\{y\})$ . Choose  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$  so that  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\} = \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid y(U) > 0\}$ . Then  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \subset \varphi^{-1}(\{y\})$ . Thus  $\varphi^{-1}(\{y\})$  is open in  $X$ .

By hypothesis, there exists a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow l_1(\mathcal{U})$  of  $\varphi$ . Putting  $p_U = \pi_U \circ f$  for  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we obtain a partition of unity  $\{p_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore  $X$  is paracompact.  $\square$



In formulations similar to Theorem 4.1, we can characterize realcompactness, Dieudonné completeness, and Lindelöf property. A topological space satisfies *the discrete countable chain condition* (DCCC for short) if every discrete collection of non-empty open sets is countable. Every Lindelöf  $T_1$ -space and every separable space satisfy the DCCC. We also note that every metrizable space satisfying the DCCC is second countable (see [11]).

**Theorem 4.2.** *For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is realcompact;
- (b) for every Hausdorff topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having the local intersection property admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  satisfies the DCCC;
- (c) for every Hausdorff topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having open lower sections admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  satisfies the DCCC.

PROOF: If  $X$  is compact, (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows from Theorem 4.1 and the fact that the continuous image of compact space is compact; otherwise from Lemma 2.3. The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is trivial. To prove (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a), suppose that  $X$  satisfies the statement (c). Assume that  $X$  is not realcompact and take  $a_0 \in vX \setminus X$ . We derive a contradiction. Set  $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Coz}(p) \mid p \in C(X), a_0 \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p))\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $\varphi(x)$  be the set of elements  $y$  of  $l_1(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $\|y\| = 1, y(U) \geq 0$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}, y(U) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $y(U) = 0$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $x \notin U$ . By referring to the proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3 and the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) of Theorem 4.1, we can verify that the resulting mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^{l_1(\mathcal{U})}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed and convex-valued mapping having open lower sections.

By hypothesis,  $\varphi$  admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow l_1(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $f(X)$  satisfies the DCCC. Since  $f(X)$  is metrizable,  $f(X)$  is separable. As in the proof of the “if” part of Theorem 1.3, there exists a countable partition of unity  $\{p_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $a_0 \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z(p_i))$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $a_0 \in \beta X \setminus vX$  due to Lemma 2.2, but that contradicts the choice of  $a_0$ . Hence  $X$  is realcompact. □

**Remark 4.3.** By the proof of Theorem 4.2, it also holds that a Tychonoff space is realcompact if and only if, for every normed space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{B}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having the local intersection property admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is separable.

**Theorem 4.4.** *For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is Dieudonné complete;
- (b) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having the local intersection property admits a continuous selection;

- (c) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , every  $\mathcal{C}$ -fixed set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having open lower sections admits a continuous selection.

PROOF: If  $X$  is compact, the (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows from Theorem 4.1; otherwise from Lemma 3.2. The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is clear. The implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is obtained by replacing “realcompact”, “ $\nu X$ ”, and “ $\mathcal{B}$ ” in the proof of Theorem 4.2 with “Dieudonné complete”, “ $\mu X$ ”, and “ $\mathcal{C}$ ”, respectively.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** For a regular space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is Lindelöf;
- (b) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , every set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having the local intersection property admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is Lindelöf;
- (c) for every topological vector space  $Y$ , every set-valued mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  having open lower sections admits a continuous selection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is Lindelöf.

PROOF: The implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows from Theorem 4.1 and the fact that the continuous image of a Lindelöf space is Lindelöf. The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is clear. To prove (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a), let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . By the same argument as in the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) of Theorem 4.2, there exists a countable partition of unity on  $X$  subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** Finally, the author would like to express his gratitude to Professors T. Hoshina and K. Yamazaki for their constant encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Aló R.A., Shapiro H.L., *Normal Topological Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, New York-London, 1974.
- [2] Blum I., Swaminathan S., *Continuous selections and realcompactness*, Pacific J. Math. **93** (1981), 251–260.
- [3] De Marco G., Wilson R.G., *Realcompactness and partitions of unity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 189–194.
- [4] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] Gillman L., Jerison M., *Rings of Continuous Functions*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ.-Toronto-London-New York, 1960.
- [6] Michael E., *Continuous selection I*, Ann. Math. **63** (1956), 361–382.
- [7] Michael E., *A theorem on semi-continuous set-valued functions*, Duke Math. J. **26** (1959), 647–651.
- [8] Nedev S., *Selection and factorization theorems for set-valued mappings*, Serdica **6** (1980), 291–317.
- [9] Repovš D., Semenov P.V., *Continuous selections of multivalued mappings*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [10] Tamano H., *On compactifications*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **1** (1962), 162–193.
- [11] Wiscamb M.R., *The discrete countable chain condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 608–612.

- [12] Wu X., Shen S., *A further generalization of Yannelis-Prabhakar's continuous selection theorem and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197** (1996), 61–74.
- [13] Yannelis N.C., Prabhakar N.D., *Existence of maximal elements and equilibria in linear topological spaces*, J. Math. Econom. **12** (1983), 233–245.

DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, IBARAKI, 305-8571, JAPAN

*E-mail:* yamauchi@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

(Received January 26, 2004, revised June 4, 2004)