

## On subsets of Alexandroff duplicates

TAKEMI MIZOKAMI

*Abstract.* We characterize the subsets of the Alexandroff duplicate which have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and the subsets which are M-spaces in the sense of Morita.

*Keywords:* Alexandroff duplicate, resolution

*Classification:* 54B99, 54E18

### 1. Introduction

All spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$ , and all mappings to be continuous. We denote all positive integers, real numbers by  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively.

As it is well known, the Alexandroff duplicate of  $\mathbb{R}$  does not have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and the famous Michael line is not an M-space in the sense of Morita, although it is a subspace of the Alexandroff duplicate of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So, in this paper, we characterize the subspaces of the Alexandroff duplicate  $X \times_{ad} (2)$  which have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, where  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, and also characterize the subspaces of  $Y \times_{ad} (2)$  which are M-spaces, where  $X$  is a metrizable space. The former gives an answer to the problem posed by S. Watson, [3, Problem 3.1.29], where he asks how to characterize the subsets of  $[0, 1] \times_{ad} (2)$  which have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

As for the properties of  $G_\delta$ -diagonals and M-spaces used here, we refer to Gruenhage [1]. We recall the definition of the Alexandroff duplicate  $X \times_{ad} (2)$  of a space  $X$ , stated in [3, Definition 3.1.1]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Define the topology on  $Z = X \times 2$  by declaring that each  $(x, 1)$  is open and that for each open  $U \in \tau$ ,  $U \times 2 \setminus \{(x, 1)\}$  is open. The space  $Z$  so defined is denoted by  $X \times_{ad} (2)$ , where  $ad$  stands for Alexandroff duplicate. In the sequel, we write a subspace of  $X \times_{ad} (2)$  in the following form:

$$T(A, B) = A \times \{1\} \cup B \times \{0\},$$

where  $A, B \subset X$ .

### 2. On subspaces of Alexandroff duplicates

For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ , we denote by  $A^d$  the set of all accumulation points of  $A$  in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that a space  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and  $T(A, B) \subset X \times_{ad}$  (2). Then  $T(A, B)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if and only if  $A \cap B = \bigcup\{C_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $(C_i)^d \cap B = \emptyset$  for each  $i$ .*

PROOF: Only if part: Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence for  $T(A, B)$ . For each  $x \in A \cap B$ , there exists  $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(x, 0) \notin S((x, 1), \mathcal{U}_{n(x)}).$$

Let

$$C_n = \{x \in A \cap B : n(x) = n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $A \cap B = \bigcup_n C_n$ . Assume that  $(C_n)^d \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n$ . For a point  $x \in (C_n)^d \cap B$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $(x, 0) \in U$ . Since  $x$  is an accumulation point of  $C_n$ , there exists  $x' \in C_n$  such that  $(x', 0), (x', 1) \in U$ , but this is impossible.

If part: Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence for  $A \cup B$ . By the assumption,  $A \cap B = \bigcup\{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $(C_n)^d \cap B = \emptyset$  for each  $n$ . Since  $C_n$  is discrete in  $B$ , there exists a family  $\{V(x) : x \in C_n\}$  of open subsets of  $A \cup B$  such that for each  $x \in C_n$ ,  $V(x) \cap B = \{x\}$  and  $x \in V(x) \subset U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\widehat{U} = (U \setminus \overline{C_n}) \times \{0, 1\} \cap T(A, B).$$

For each  $x \in C_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\widehat{V}(x) = (V(x) \times \{0, 1\} \setminus \{(x, 1)\}) \cap T(A, B).$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define an open cover

$$\mathcal{W}(n) = \{\widehat{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \cup \{\widehat{V}(x) : x \in C_n\} \cup \{\{(x, 1)\} : x \in A\}.$$

We show that  $(\mathcal{W}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence for  $T(A, B)$ . To this end, let

$$p = (x, s), \quad q = (y, t)$$

be different points of  $T(A, B)$ . If  $x \neq y$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin S(y, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Then it is easily seen that  $p \notin S(q, \mathcal{W}(n))$ . If  $x = y$ ,  $s = 0$ ,  $t = 1$ , then we have  $x \in A \cap B$  and  $x \in C_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, we easily have

$$p \notin S(q, \mathcal{W}(n)) = \widehat{V}(x).$$

Hence  $T(A, B)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. □

We give a remark to some special cases of  $X$ :

**Remark 2.1.** (1) If  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T(A, B)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if and only if  $A \cap B$  is countable. It is because any uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has an accumulation point in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(2) If  $X$  is metrizable, the above condition for  $T(A, B)$  to have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal is that for  $T(A, B)$  to be submetrizable. This follows from the fact that  $T(A, B)$  is paracompact.

Next, we characterize  $T(A, B)$  which is an M-space in the sense of Morita. A space  $X$  is called an M-space if there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  star-refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and if  $x_n \in S(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ , then  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  clusters in  $X$ . Such a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called an M-sequence for  $X$ . On the other hand, in 1963 Arhangel'skii gave the concept of  $p$ -spaces. As it is well known, M-spaces and  $p$ -spaces are equivalent in the presence of paracompactness [1, Corollary 3.20], and paracompact  $p$ -spaces coincide with pre-images of a metric space under a perfect mapping [1, Corollary 3.7].

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We denote an open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  by  $B(x, r)$ . We note that the projection  $\pi : T(A, B) \rightarrow A \cup B$  is continuous.

In connection with the next theorem, the referee informed us about the interesting fact that E.G. Pytkeev wrote a paper in which he proved that if a space  $X$  is a Tychonoff space such that each subspace of  $X$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space, then the structure of  $X$  is very similar to that of the Alexandroff duplicate of a metric space; indeed, then the subspace of all non-isolated points is metrizable.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T(A, B) \subset X \times_{ad} (2)$ , where  $X$  is a metric space. Then  $T(A, B)$  is an M-space if and only if  $B$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $A \cup B$ .*

PROOF: Only if part: Assume that  $B$  were not a  $G_\delta$ -set. Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an M-space for  $T(A, B)$ . Since  $X$  is a metric space, without loss of generality we can assume that if  $(x_n, s_n) \in S((x, s), \mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. For each  $x \in B$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $(x, 0) \in U$ . There exists a basic open neighborhood  $N(x, r(x))$  of  $(x, 0)$  in  $X \times_{ad} (2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, r(x)) &= B(x, r(x)) \times \{0, 1\} \setminus \{(x, 1)\}, \\ N(x, r(x)) \cap T(A, B) &\subset U. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G_n = \left( \bigcup \{B(x, r(x)) : x \in B\} \right) \cap (A \cup B),$$

which is open in  $A \cup B$ . By the assumption, there exists  $a \in \bigcap_n G_n \setminus B$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a point

$$(x_n, 0) \in B \times \{0\} \cap S((a, 1), \mathcal{U}_n).$$

Since  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  is an M-sequence and  $x_n \rightarrow a$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\{(x_n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  clusters at  $(a, 1)$ , but this is a contradiction because  $\{(a, 1)\}$  is open.

If part: Let  $B = \bigcap_n G_n$ ,  $G_{n+1} \subset G_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where each  $G_n$  is open in  $A \cup B$ . Since  $A \cup B$  is a metric space, there exists a development  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $A \cup B$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}^* < \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We construct a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open covers of  $T(A, B)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n \mid G_n) \cup \{(x, 1) \mid x \in A \setminus G_n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then it is easily checked that each  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$  star-refines  $\mathcal{V}_n$ . We show that  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an M-sequence for  $T(A, B)$ . Let

$$(x_n, r_n) \in S((x, r), \mathcal{V}_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $x \in B$ , then  $(x, 0)$  is a cluster point of  $\{(x_n, r_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $x \in A \setminus B$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin G_k$ . From the construction of  $(\mathcal{V}_n)$ , it follows that  $(x_n, r_n) = (x, 0)$  for  $n \geq k$ , which means that  $(x_n, r_n) \rightarrow (x, 0)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $T(A, B) \subset X \times_{ad} (2)$ , where  $X$  is a metric space. Then  $T(A, B)$  is metrizable if and only if  $B$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$ , where for each  $i$ ,  $(C_i)^d \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

Here, we recall the definition of resolutions of spaces. Let  $X$  be a space and for each  $x \in X$ , let  $f_x : X \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow Y_x$  be a mapping. We topologize

$$Z = \bigcup \{ \{x\} \times Y_x : x \in X \}$$

by defining an open set  $U \otimes V$  for each  $x \in X$  and each open subset  $U$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U$  and open subset  $V$  of  $Y_x$  as

$$U \otimes V = (\{x\} \times V) \cup \bigcup \{ \{p\} \times Y_p : p \in U \cap f_x^{-1}(V) \}.$$

We call  $Z$  thus defined the *resolution* of  $X$  at each point  $x \in X$  into  $Y_x$  by  $f_x$  [3, Definition 3.1.32], and we denote it by  $Z = R(X, f_x, Y_x)$ . We note that the projection  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\pi((x, y)) = x$  for each  $(x, y) \in Z$  is continuous.

**Example 2.1.** There exists a resolution  $Z = R(X, f_x, Y_x)$  of a compact space  $X$  into paracompact M-spaces  $Y_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , such that  $Z$  is not an M-space.

PROOF: Let  $X = \omega_1 + 1$  with the order topology. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $Y_\alpha$  be the copy of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology. Let  $f_\alpha : X \setminus \{\alpha\} \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  be a constant mapping such that  $f_\alpha(X \setminus \{\alpha\}) = y_\alpha \in Y_\alpha$ . For  $\alpha = \omega_1$ ,  $Y_{\omega_1} = \{\omega_1\}$  and let  $f_{\omega_1} : X \setminus \{\omega_1\} \rightarrow Y_{\omega_1}$  be a natural mapping. Let  $Z = R(X, f_x, Y_x)$ . Assume that there exists an M-sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $Z$ . For  $p = (\omega_1, \omega_1)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \omega_1$  such that

$$\{\alpha\} \times Y_\alpha \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S((\omega_1, \omega_1), \mathcal{U}_n).$$

Since  $Y_\alpha$  is not countably compact, this is impossible. □

We say that a subset  $\Lambda$  is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$  if  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$ , where each  $\Lambda_n$  is discrete and closed in  $X$ . Richardson and Watson showed that if  $X$  and each  $Y_x$  are metrizable and

$$\Lambda = \{x \in X : |Y_x| > 1\}$$

is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ , then  $R(X, f_x, Y_x)$  is metrizable [2, Proposition 9]. We recall a characterization of paracompact  $p$ -spaces: a space  $X$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space if and only if there exists a perfect mapping of  $X$  onto a metric space.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space and each  $Y_x, x \in X$ , a paracompact  $p$ -space. If  $\Lambda$ , defined above, is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ , then  $Z = R(X, f_x, Y_x)$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space.*

PROOF: By the above characterization, for each  $x \in X$  there exists a perfect mapping  $g_x : Y_x \rightarrow M_x$  with  $M_x$  metric. By the condition on  $\Lambda$ , the resolution  $Z' = R(X, g_x f_x, M_x)$  is a metric space. So, it suffices to show that the mapping  $\Phi : Z \rightarrow Z'$  defined by

$$\Phi(x, y) = (x, g_x(y)), \quad (x, y) \in Z,$$

is a perfect mapping. It is easily checked that  $\Phi$  is continuous. To see that  $\Phi$  is closed, let  $W$  be an open set of  $Z$  containing  $\Phi^{-1}(x, y') = \{x\} \times g_x^{-1}(y')$ . There exists a finite open cover  $\{U_i \otimes V_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$  of  $\Phi^{-1}(x, y')$  in  $Z$  such that

$$\Phi^{-1}(x, y') \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes V_i \subset W,$$

where each  $U_i$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Since  $g_x : Y_x \rightarrow M_x$  is a perfect mapping, there exists an open neighborhood  $O$  of  $y'$  in  $M_x$  such that  $g_x^{-1}(O) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$ . Then we can easily see that  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i) \otimes O$  is an open neighborhood of  $(x, y')$  in  $Z'$  such that  $\Phi^{-1}((\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i) \otimes O) \subset W$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is a perfect mapping. □

Since  $\pi : R(X, f_x, Y_x) \rightarrow X$  is a perfect mapping if each  $Y_x$  is compact [2, Lemma 6], the following is easy to see:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be an  $M$ -space and let each  $Y_x$  be compact. Then  $Z = R(X, f_x, Y_x)$  is an  $M$ -space.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gruenhage G., *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology, North-Holland, 1984, pp.423–501.

- [2] Richardson K., Watson S., *Metrisable and discrete special resolutions*, Topology Appl. **122** (2002), 605–615.
- [3] Watson S., *The construction of topological spaces: Planks and resolutions*, Recent Progress in General Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 637–757.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOETSU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JOETSU, NIIGATA  
PREFECTURE, 943-8512, JAPAN

(Received February 19, 2004, revised June 14, 2004)