On weighted spaces of functions harmonic in \mathbb{R}^n

A.I. Petrosyan

Abstract. The paper establishes integral representation formulas in arbitrarily wide Banach spaces $b_{\omega}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ of functions harmonic in the whole \mathbb{R}^{n} .

Keywords: weighted spaces, harmonic functions, integral representation, isometry Classification: 30H05, 46E15

1. Introduction

1.1. This paper extends the results of [1] related to arbitrarily wide spaces of functions harmonic in the unit ball B of \mathbb{R}^n to similar spaces of functions harmonic in the whole \mathbb{R}^n . Namely, the integral representation formulas in spaces $b^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which have natural definition, are obtained by exhausting \mathbb{R}^n by enlarging balls. Also, a representation connected with the natural isometry between $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the space $L^2(S)$ is obtained, which is of an explicit form of integral operator along with its inversion.

The results of this paper are faregoing multidimensional similarities of the early results of M.M. Djrbashian [3], [4] (1945–1948) which in essence gave rise to the theory of $H^p(\alpha)$ spaces in the unit disc: the applied analytic apparatus allows to extend the results of [5] related to the one-dimensional case and holomorphic functions to functions harmonic in \mathbb{R}^n .

Note that in [2], the case of weighted spaces of functions analytical in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n is investigated.

1.2. We start with some notation which we use all over the paper.

 $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x| < 1\}$ is the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n and $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon |x| = 1\}$ is its boundary, i.e. S is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n ;

 σ is the normalized surface-area measure over S, i.e. $\sigma(S)=1$;

 $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all complex-valued homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k in \mathbb{R}^n ;

 $\mathcal{H}_k(S)$ is the set of all spherical harmonics of degree k, i.e. all restrictions of functions from $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the sphere S;

 $Z_k(\eta,\zeta)$ is the zonal harmonic of degree k, i.e. $Z_k(\cdot,\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}_k(S)$ and $p(\zeta) = \int_S p(\eta)Z_k(\eta,\zeta)\,d\sigma(\eta)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{H}_k(S)$;

P[f] is used for the Poisson integral of f:

(1)
$$P[f](x) = \int_{S} P(x,\zeta)f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \text{ where } P(x,\zeta) = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|\zeta - x|^n}.$$

2. The case of the ball

We shall use the following definitions and statements from [1] related to the weighted spaces $b^p_{\omega}(B)$ in the unit ball.

As in [5], by Ω we denote the class of all functions $\omega(t)$ defined on [0, 1] and such that $\omega(1) = \omega(1-0)$ and

(i)
$$0 < \bigvee_{\delta}^{1} \omega < \infty$$
 for any $\delta \in [0, 1)$;

(ii)
$$\Delta_k \equiv \Delta_k(\omega) = -\int_0^1 t^k d\omega(t) \neq 0, \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots;$$

(iii)
$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{|\Delta_k|} \ge 1$$
.

Further, for a given $\omega \in \Omega$, we denote

$$d\mu_{\omega}(x) = -d\omega(r^2) \, d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where $x = r\zeta$ is the polar form of x, (i.e. $r = |x|, \zeta \in S$) and define $L^p_{\omega}(B)$ as the set of all $d\mu_{\omega}$ -measurable functions in B for which

$$||u||_{p,\omega} = \left\{ \int_{B} |u(x)|^{p} |d\mu_{\omega}(x)| \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty, \qquad 1 \le p < \infty.$$

By $b_{\omega}^{p}(B)$ we denote the subset of harmonic functions from $L_{\omega}^{p}(B)$. Further, for a given $\omega \in \Omega$ we use the ω -kernel of the form

$$R_{\omega}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k^{-1} Z_k(x,y),$$

where $Z_k(x,y)$ is the harmonic extension of the zonal harmonic Z_k by its both arguments. As it is proved in [1], for any function $u \in b^p_\omega(B)$ the following integral representation is true:

(2)
$$u(x) = \int_{B} u(y) R_{\omega}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in B.$$

3. The integral representation in \mathbb{R}^n

3.1. Let Ω^{∞} denote the set of parameter-functions $\omega(t)$, which strictly decrease on the whole half-axis $[0, +\infty)$ and are such that $\omega(0) = 1$ and

$$\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega) = -\int_0^{+\infty} t^k d\omega(t) < +\infty \text{ for any } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

For a given $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$ we introduce the space $b_{\omega}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ as the set of all functions which are harmonic in \mathbb{R}^{n} and such that

$$||u||_{p,\omega} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)|^p d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty, \quad 1 \le p < +\infty,$$

where $d\mu_{\omega}(r\zeta) = -d\omega(r^2)d\sigma(\zeta)$. Let $L^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the corresponding Lebesgue spaces. We shall deal with the following ω -kernel in \mathbb{R}^n :

(3)
$$R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x,y)}{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)}.$$

Lemma 1. The right-hand side series in (3) is absolutely and uniformly convergent on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence $R^{\infty}_{\omega}(x,y)$ is harmonic in each of its variables in \mathbb{R}^n .

PROOF: Let $x = r\zeta$, $y = \rho\eta$, where $\zeta, \eta \in S$. As the function $Z_k(x, y)$ is homogeneous in its both variables, we get

$$(4) |Z_k(x,y)| = r^k \rho^k |Z_k(\zeta,\eta)| \le r^k \rho^k h_k,$$

where h_k is the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_k(S)$. Now observe that under the above conditions

(5)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} = r^2 \text{ for } \Delta_k^r(\omega) = -\int_0^{r^2} t^k d\omega(t) \text{ and } \forall r \in (0, +\infty].$$

Indeed, it is obvious that $\Delta_k^r(\omega) \le r^{2k} (1 - \omega(r^2))$ and hence

(6)
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \le r^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Delta_k^r(\omega) \ge -\int_{\delta}^{r^2} t^k d\omega(t) \ge \delta^k (\omega(r^2) - \omega(\delta))$$

for any $\delta \in (0, r^2)$. Therefore

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \geq \delta \lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{\left(\omega(r^2) - \omega(\delta)\right)} = \delta,$$

and hence by (6) the passage $\delta \to r^2$ gives (5). Further, note that $\Delta_k^r(\omega) \uparrow_r$. Therefore by (5)

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)} \ge r^2$$

for any r > 0, and consequently

(7)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)} = +\infty.$$

The desired convergence follows from (4) and (7) in view of the estimate

$$(8) h_k \le Ck^{n-2}$$

(see, e.g.
$$[7]$$
).

3.2. The following statement is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 1. Let $u \in b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$. Then

(9)
$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

PROOF: The idea of the proof is the following. For any r>0 we introduce a kernel

$$R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{k}(x,y)}{\Delta_{k}^{r}(\omega)},$$

where $\Delta_k^r(\omega)$ is defined in (5). This kernel plays for a ball |x| < r the same role, as $R_{\omega}(x,y)$ for a unit ball, after dilation we obtain the integral representation (10) from (2). And passing to limits as $r \to \infty$ we get (9) from (10), which is expected, because the coefficients $\Delta_k^r(\omega)$ of the expansion of the kernel $R_{\omega}^r(x,y)$ tend to the coefficients $\Delta_k^\infty(\omega)$ of $R_{\omega}^\infty(x,y)$.

Consider the function $\omega_r(t) = \omega(r^2t)$, $0 \le t \le 1$. Then obviously $\Delta_k(\omega_r) = r^{-2k}\Delta_k^r(\omega)$. Therefore by (5)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\Delta_k(\omega_r)} = 1,$$

and hence $\omega_r \in \Omega$. On the other hand, $u(rx) \in b_{\omega_r}^2(\mathbf{B}^n)$. Thus, the representation (2) is valid for u(rx). Now observe that for |x| < r, |y| < r

$$R_{\omega_r}\left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r}\right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x, y)}{r^2 \Delta_k(\omega)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_k(x, y)}{\Delta_k^r(\omega)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_{\omega}^r(x, y),$$

and $d\mu_{\omega_r}\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) = d\mu_{\omega}(y)$. Consequently, (2) can be written in the form

(10)
$$u(x) = \int_{|y| < r} u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad |x| < r,$$

and to prove (9) it suffices to show that for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(11)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|y| < r} u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y).$$

To prove this relation, observe that by Lemma 1 the function $R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,\cdot)$ is harmonic in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |u(y)R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y)| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \leq ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}
= ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{\infty})^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} Z_{k}^{2}(x,\rho\zeta) d\mu_{\omega}(\rho\zeta)| \right\}^{1/2}
= ||u||_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{\infty})^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^{2})| \int_{S} Z_{k}^{2}(x,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Further, it is evident that

(12)
$$\int_S Z_k^2(x,\zeta) \, d\sigma(\zeta) = |x|^{2k} \int_S Z_k^2\left(\frac{x}{|x|},\zeta\right) d\sigma(\zeta) = |x|^{2k} h_k.$$

Consequently,

(13)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) \right| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \le \|u\|_{2, \omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{\infty}} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

According to (7) and (8), the right-hand side a series of this estimate is convergent. Hence we conclude that the relation (11) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|y| < r} u(y) [R_{\omega}^r(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)] d\mu_{\omega}(y) = 0.$$

In order to prove the latter relation, assume that $|x| = r_0$, $r_0 + 1 < r_1 < r < +\infty$, and observe that

$$I(r) \equiv \left| \int_{|y| < r} u(y) (R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{|y| < r_{1}} |u(y) (R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y))| d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$+ \int_{r_{1} < |y| < r} |u(y) R_{\omega}^{r}(x, y)| d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$+ \int_{r_{1} < |y| < r} |u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y)| d\mu_{\omega}(y) \equiv I_{1}(r) + I_{2}(r) + I_{3}(r).$$

To estimate the summand $I_2(r)$ we once again apply Hölder's inequality:

(14)
$$I_{2}(r) \leq \left\{ \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |u(y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} |u(y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_{k}^{r})^{2}} \int_{r_{1}<|y|< r} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Further, according to (12)

(15)
$$\int_{T_1 < |y| < r} Z_k^2(x, y) \, d\mu_{\omega}(y) | = \int_S Z_k^2(x, y) \, d\sigma(\zeta) \int_{r_1}^r \rho^{2k} \, |d\omega(\rho^2)|$$

$$= |x|^{2k} h_k \int_{r_1}^r \rho^{2k} \, |d\omega(\rho^2)|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\Delta_k^r)^2} \int_{r_1 < |y| < r} Z_k^2(x, \rho \eta) \, d\mu_{\omega}(\rho \eta) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k}}{(\Delta_k^r)^2} \int_{r_1}^r \rho^{2k} |\, d\omega(\rho^2)| \int_S Z_k^2\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, \eta\right) \, d\sigma(\eta) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^r} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{r_0+1}} \, . \end{split}$$

The last series converges in virtue of (5) and (8), therefore $I_2(r) < \varepsilon/3$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ and r_1 large enough. On the other hand, from (13) it follows that $I_3(r) < \varepsilon/3$ for r_1 large enough. Besides, for any fixed r_1

(16)
$$I_{1}(r) \leq \|u\|_{2,\omega} \left\{ \int_{|y| < r_{1}} |R_{\omega}^{r}(x,y) - R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x,y)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= \|u\|_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{k}^{r}} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{k}^{\infty}} \right)^{2} \int_{|y| < r_{1}} Z_{k}^{2}(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by (15)

$$I_1(r) \le \|u\|_{2,\omega} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_k^r} - \frac{1}{\Delta_k^{\infty}} \right)^2 |x|^{2k} h_k \int_0^{r_1} \rho^{2k} |d\omega(\rho^2)| \right\}^{1/2},$$

and the latter series has a convergent majorant independent of r. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_k^r} - \frac{1}{\Delta_k^{\infty}}\right)^2 |x|^{2k} h_k \int_0^{r_1} \rho^{2k} \, |d\omega(\rho^2)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x|^{2k} h_k \left(\frac{2}{\Delta_k^r}\right)^2 \Delta_k^{r_1} \leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^{2k} h_k}{\Delta_k^{r_1}} < +\infty, \end{split}$$

where the right-hand side series converges due to (5) and (8), as $r_0 < r_1$. Therefore, the right-hand side of (16) vanishes as $r \to +\infty$ and hence $I_1(r) < \varepsilon/3$ for r large enough. Thus, we conclude that $I(r) \to 0$ as $r \to +\infty$, which implies the desired representation (9).

3.3. As $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a unique orthogonal projection Q of $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is described by

Theorem 2. The operator

$$Q_{\omega}[u](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) R_{\omega}^{\infty}(x, y) d\mu_{\omega}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in L_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is the orthogonal projection of $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The proof of this theorem as well as of the statements below follows the same lines as the corresponding one in [1] and is thus omitted.

Proposition 1. Let a function $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^{\infty}$ be continuously differentiable in $[0, +\infty)$ and such that $\widetilde{\omega}(+\infty) = 0$, $\widetilde{\omega}'(t) < 0$ and is bounded on $[0, +\infty)$ and $\int_0^{+\infty} t^{-1} d\widetilde{\omega}(t) > -\infty$. Further, let ω be the Volterra square of $\widetilde{\omega}$, i.e.

(17)
$$\omega(x) = -\int_0^\infty \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) d\tilde{\omega}(t), \qquad 0 < x < 1.$$

Then $\omega \in \Omega^{\infty}$ and

(18)
$$\Delta_m^{\infty}(\omega) = \left[\Delta_m^{\infty}(\tilde{\omega})\right]^2, \quad m \ge 0.$$

By $h^p(B)$ we denote the ordinary harmonic Hardy space in B. Besides, we consider the operator

$$L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u](x) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} u(tx) d\tilde{\omega}(t).$$

The following two theorems establish an isometry along with its inversion between $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^2(S)$.

Theorem 3. The mapping $f \mapsto R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f]$, where

$$R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f](x) = \int_{S} f(\zeta) R_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\infty}(x,\zeta) \, d\sigma(\zeta)$$

is a linear isometry from $L^2(S)$ to $b^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in L^2(S)$ and $u = R_{\tilde{\omega}}[f]$. Then

- (a) $L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u] = P[f]$, where P[f] is the Poisson integral (1);
- (b) the mapping $u \mapsto L_{\tilde{\omega}}[u]$ is a linear isometry of $b_{\tilde{\omega}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $h^2(B)$.

Remark 1. It is well known that for $f \in L^2(S)$ the function P[f] has a nontangential limit $f(\zeta)$ at almost every point $\zeta \in S$. Thus, it is natural to identify f and P[f] and to say that the operators L_{ω} and R_{ω} are mutually inverse.

References

- Petrosyan A.I., On weighted classes of harmonic functions in the unit ball of Rⁿ, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 50 (2005), no. 12, 953–966.
- [2] Petrosyan A.I., On A^p_ω spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , J. Anal. Appl. 3 (2005), no. 1, 47–53.
- [3] Djrbashian M.M., On canonical representation of functions meromorphic in the unit disc (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk of Armenia 3 (1945), no. 1, 3–9.
- [4] Djrbashian M.M., On the representability problem of analytic functions (in Russian), Soobsch. Inst. Math. and Mech. AN Armenii 2 (1948), 3–40.
- [5] Jerbashian A.M., On the theory of weighted classes of area integrable regular functions, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 50 (2005), no. 3, 155–183.
- [6] Rudin W., Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [7] Axler S., Bourdon P., Ramsey W., Harmonic Function Theory, Springer, New York, 2001.

Yerevan State University, Aleck Manoogian Street 1, Yerevan 375049, Armenia E-mail: albpet@xter.net

(Received September 21, 2005, revised November 23, 2005)