S. Amghibech

*Abstract.* We present some extensions of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma in terms of moments. Our result can be viewed as a new improvement to the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. Our proofs are based on the expansion of moments of some partial sums by using Stirling numbers. We also give a comment concerning the results of Petrov V.V., *A generalization of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **67** (2004), no. 3, 233–239.

Keywords: Borel-Cantelli Lemma, Stirling numbers Classification: Primary 60A10, 60F15; Secondary 05A18

## 1. Introduction

The Borel-Cantelli lemmas play the central role in the proofs of many probability laws including the law of large numbers and the law of the iterated logarithm. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, that is a triple consisting of a space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ , and a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . If X is a nonnegative random variable, the expectation of X, denoted  $\mathbb{E}(X)$ , is

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int X d\mathbb{P}.$$

Recall that

**Theorem 1.1** (Borel-Cantelli Lemmas). Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be an infinite sequence of events on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Denote the probability of  $A_k$  by  $p_k$ .

- (1) If  $\sum p_k$  converges, then with probability one only finitely many of the events  $A_k$  occur.
- (2) If the events  $A_k$  are mutually independent, and if  $\sum p_k$  diverges, then with probability one, infinitely many of the events  $A_k$  occur.

Many attempts were made in order to weaken the independence condition in the second part of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. This condition means mutual independence of events.

In 1959, Erdös and Rényi [2] found that the condition of pairwise independence of events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  can be replaced by the weaker condition  $\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_k)\mathbb{P}(A_j)$  for every k and j such that  $k \neq j$ .

#### S. Amghibech

In 1962, Rényi [8, Lemma C, p. 391] showed that, if  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are arbitrary events fulfilling the conditions

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$$

and

(1.2) 
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)\right)^2} = 1,$$

then  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) = 1.$ 

In 1963, Lamperti [4] formulated the following proposition. If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of events such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \leq C\mathbb{P}(A_k)\mathbb{P}(A_j)$  for all k, j > N and some constants C and N, then  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) > 0$ .

In 1964, Kochen and Stone [3], see also Spitzer [9, P3, p.317], proved the following result. If condition (1.1) is satisfied and if

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)\right)^2} \le C$$

then  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) \ge \frac{1}{C}$ .

In 1983, Ortega and Wschebor [5] proved that if conditions (1.1) and

(1.3) 
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) - \mathbb{P}(A_i) \mathbb{P}(A_j)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)\right)^2} \le 0$$

are satisfied, then  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) = 1$ . Note that this result can be obtained from Rényi's one.

In 2002, Petrov [6] formulated the following result. If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of events such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \leq C\mathbb{P}(A_k)\mathbb{P}(A_j)$  for all k, j > L such that  $k \neq j$  and some constants  $C \geq 1$  and L, then  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq \frac{1}{C}$ .

In 2004, Petrov [7] "improved" these results as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be a sequence of events satisfying condition (1.1). Let H be an arbitrary real constant. Put

$$\alpha_H = \liminf \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) - H \mathbb{P}(A_i) \mathbb{P}(A_j)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k))^2}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) \ge \frac{1}{H + 2\alpha_H}.$$

We show below that

$$H + 2\alpha_H = \liminf \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k))^2}$$

In this paper, we present two extensions in terms of moment of order p as follows:

# 2. Main result and comments

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of events such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$  diverges and

(2.1) 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{p} A_{i_j}\right) \le C \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j})$$

for all  $i_p > i_{p-1} > \cdots > i_1 > L$  and some constants  $C \ge 1$  and L, then

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) \ge \frac{1}{C^{1/(p-1)}}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{I}_{A_n}$  be the indicator of the event  $A_n$ . We put  $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_k}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be a sequence of events such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ . Let  $p \ge 2$  be an arbitrary integer. Put

$$\alpha := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j})}{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^p} \,.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) \geq rac{1}{(p!lpha)^{1/(p-1)}}$$
 .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be a sequence of events such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ . Let  $p \ge 2$  be an arbitrary integer. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^p} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j}) = \frac{1}{p!}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(S_n^p)} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right) = \frac{1}{p!}$$

### S. Amghibech

Obviously we have  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}_{A_n}) = \mathbb{P}(A_n)$ , thus  $\mathbb{E}S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k)$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n) = \mathbb{E}(S_n \mathbb{I}_{\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k}) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_i \cap A_j}\right)^{1/2}$$

for arbitrary events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_n$ , and hence

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k\bigg) \ge \frac{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^2}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}$$

which is the Chung Erdös inequality [1]. Which gives

$$\limsup \frac{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^2}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)} \le 1.$$

From this inequality, the fact that the condition (1.1) is satisfied,

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{E}(S_n) + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)$$

and

$$2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i)\mathbb{P}(A_j) = (\mathbb{E}S_n)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)^2$$

we get, the conditions (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i) \mathbb{P}(A_j) = \frac{1}{2}$$

which gives

$$H + 2\alpha_H = \liminf \frac{\sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k))^2}$$

because of (if  $(a_n)$  converges and  $(b_n)$  arbitrary, then  $\liminf (a_n + b_n) = \lim a_n + \liminf b_n$ ). Thus the result of [7] is the same as those of [9] and [3].

# 3. Stirling numbers and moments of $S_n$

In order to obtain an exact expression of  $\mathbb{E}(S_n^p)$ , we need the following notions on the Stirling numbers which can be found in [10].

For each positive integer n, let

$$(t)_n := t(t-1)\dots(t-n+1) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$$

be the descending (falling) factorial. Also define  $(t)_0 = 1$ . Stirling numbers of first kind, denoted by s(n,k), and Stirling numbers of the second kind, denoted S(n,k) with  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined to be the coefficients in the expression

$$(t)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n s(n,k)t^k$$

and in the expression

$$t^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n,k)(t)_k.$$

We know also that if c(n, k) denotes the number of permutations  $\pi$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  with exactly k cycles, then  $s(n, k) = (-1)^{n-k}c(n, k)$ . And if we denote by P(n, k) the set of all partitions of an n-set into k nonempty subsets (blocs), then

$$S(n,k) = |P(n,k)|.$$

So we just mention that the two groups of numbers have similar properties and their generating functions are given by

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S(n,k) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} (\exp(z) - 1)^k$$

and

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} s(n,k) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} [\log(1+z)]^k.$$

We will be mostly concerned with Stirling numbers of the first and second kind in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be a sequence of measurable sets, and p be a positive integer. Then we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}_{A_k}\right)^p = \sum_{k=0}^{p} S(p,k)k! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathbb{I}_{\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} A_{i_j}}$$

and

$$p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{I}_{\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}} = \sum_{k=0}^p s(p,k) \bigg(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_k}\bigg)^k.$$

PROOF: Remark that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}_{A_k}(\omega) = t$  if and only if

$$k! \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{I}_{\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j}}(\omega) = (t)_k$$

which gives the result.

By taking the expectation, the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be a sequence of events, and p be a positive integer. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{I}_{A_{k}}\bigg)^{p} = \sum_{k=0}^{p}S(p,k)k!\sum_{1\leq i_{1}< i_{2}<\cdots< i_{k}\leq n}\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k}A_{i_{j}}\bigg)$$

and

$$p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^p s(p,k) \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_k}\right)^k.$$

## 4. Proofs of theorems

We shall often need Jensen's inequality which is as follows. If g is a convex function and X random variable such that  $\mathbb{E}|g(X)| < \infty$  then

$$g(\mathbb{E}X) \le \mathbb{E}(g(X)).$$

Recall that  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_k}$ , and assume the sequence of events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  satisfies (1.1).

To prove our Theorems, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\bigg)^{(p-1)} \ge \frac{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p}{\mathbb{E}(S_n^p)}\,.$$

PROOF: By using Hölder's inequality we have

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n) = \mathbb{E}(S_n \mathbb{I}_{\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k}) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^{(p-1)/p} (\mathbb{E}(S_n^p))^{1/p}$$

which proves the lemma.

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** Let p > 1 be a real number, and I be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $c \ge 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n^p) \le c(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p$  for all  $n \in I$ , then

$$\lim_{I \ni n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^q)}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} = 0$$

for all 0 < q < p.

**PROOF:** Let  $n \in I$ . From Jensen's inequality it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^q) \le (\mathbb{E}(S_n^p))^{\frac{q}{p}}$$
.

Because of the assumption of the lemma it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^q) \le c^{\frac{q}{p}} \, (\mathbb{E}S_n)^q$$

and hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^q)}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} \le c^{\frac{q}{p}} \, (\mathbb{E}S_n)^{q-p}$$

which proves our statement since  $\lim \mathbb{E}S_n = \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let p > 1 be an integer, and I be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $c \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n^p) \leq c(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p$  for all  $n \in I$ , then

$$\lim_{I \ni n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j})}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} = 0$$

for any integer 0 < k < p.

**PROOF:** By using Corollary 3.2, we get

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j}\right) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k s(k,j) \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{A_i}\right)^j.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j}\right) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k s(k,j) \frac{\mathbb{E}S_n^j}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p}$$

and by applying Lemma 4.2 we get the result.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $m \ge 1$  be an integer, and I be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $c \ge 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n^p) \le c(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p$  for all  $n \in I$ , then

$$\lim_{I \ni n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} \left( \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left( \bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j} \right) - \sum_{m \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left( \bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j} \right) \right) = 0.$$

**PROOF:** This follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right) - \sum_{m \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right)$$
$$\le m \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{p-1} \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{p-1} A_{i_j}\right)$$

and Lemma 4.3.

**Lemma 4.5.** For every integer  $m \ge 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p} \bigg( \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j}) - \sum_{m \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j}) \bigg) = 0.$$

**PROOF:** This follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j}) - \sum_{m \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j})$$
$$\leq m \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{p-1} \le n} \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j}) \le \frac{m}{(p-1)!} (\mathbb{E}S_n)^{p-1}.$$

The main part of the proof of Theorem 2.3 (second part) is the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  be positive numbers and p a positive integer. Then the following inequality

(4.1) 
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^p - p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} a_{i_j} \le \sum_{j=2}^{p} {p \choose j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^{p-j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^j$$

holds. In particular if  $a_i \in [0, 1]$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^p - p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} a_{i_j} \le \sum_{j=2}^{p} \binom{p}{j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^{p+1-j}$$

**PROOF:** Remark that the left side of inequality (4.1) is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=2}^{p} \binom{p}{j} a_k^j \left(-a_k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^{p-j}.$$

Now by the fact that  $-a_k + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  we obtain the first inequality.

The second part follows from the first one by using  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^j$  for  $j \geq 2$  and  $a_i \in [0, 1]$ .

Lemma 4.7. We have

$$\left| \mathbb{E}(S_n^p) - p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right) \right| \le (p! - 1) \left(\mathbb{E}(S_n^p)\right)^{(p-1)/p}$$

for all n such that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n) \ge 1$ .

**PROOF:** First we have s(p, p) = 1. Now, by applying Corollary 3.2, we get

$$\left| \mathbb{E}(S_n^p) - p! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right) \right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} |s(p,k)| \mathbb{E}(S_n^k)$$

and, by using Jensen's inequality, we obtain  $\mathbb{E}(S_n^k) \leq (\mathbb{E}S_n^p)^{k/p}$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq p$ . Remark that if  $\mathbb{E}S_n \geq 1$  then  $\mathbb{E}S_n^p \geq 1$  and thus  $(\mathbb{E}S_n^p)^{(p-1)/p} \geq \mathbb{E}(S_n^k)$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ . We have also  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} |s(p,k)| = p! - 1$  which completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3: Remark that  $\mathbb{E}(S_n) \leq \mathbb{E}(S_n^p)$  for large n, and so the second part of the theorem follows from Lemma 4.7.

The first part of the theorem follows from Lemma 4.6 by  $a_i = \mathbb{P}(A_i)$  and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1: By applying Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^{N} A_k\right)^{(p-1)} \ge \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m)\right)^p}{\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m)^p}$$

and, by applying Theorem 2.3 we have

$$(\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m))^p \sim_{N \to \infty} p! \sum_{m+1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le N} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j})$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m)^p \sim_{N \to \infty} p! \sum_{m+1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le N} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j}\right)$$

Combining these with equation (2.1) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_k\bigg)^{(p-1)} \ge \frac{1}{C}$$

which terminates the proof.

**Lemma 4.8.** If  $\alpha < \infty$ , then there exist an infinite subset I of positive integers and a constant C such that

$$\mathbb{E}S_n^p \le C(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p.$$

**PROOF:** It follows from the assumption  $\alpha < \infty$  that one can choose an infinite I of positives integers such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{I \ni n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \le n} \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{j=1}^p A_{i_j})}{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^p}.$$

Now by applying Theorem 2.3 we prove the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2: By applying Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^{N} A_k\right)^{(p-1)} \ge \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m)\right)^p}{\mathbb{E}(S_N - S_m)^p}$$

By applying Lemma 4.8, then Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 we get

$$\alpha = \liminf \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^p)}{p! (\mathbb{E}S_n)^p},$$

thus

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_k\bigg)^{(p-1)} \ge \frac{1}{p!\alpha}$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.

We complete this article with the following result which can be obtained by the same method.

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be a sequence of events such that  $\sum_n \mathbb{P}(A_n)$  diverges. Let p > 1 be a real number. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n)^{(p-1)} \ge \limsup \frac{(\mathbb{E}S_n)^p}{\mathbb{E}S_n^p}.$$

### References

- Chung K.L., Erdös P., On the application of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), no. 1, 179–186.
- [2] Erdös P., Rényi A., On Cantor's series with convergent Σ1/q<sub>n</sub>, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math. 2 (1959), 93–109.
- [3] Kochen S.P., Stone C.J., A note on the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), 248–251.
- [4] Lamperti J., Wiener's test and Markov chains, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6 (1963), 58–66.
- [5] Ortega J., Wschebor M., On the sequence of partial maxima of some random sequences, Stochastic Process. Appl. 16 (1983), 85–98.
- [6] Petrov V.V., A note on the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, Statist. Probab. Lett. 58 (2002), no. 3, 283–286.
- [7] Petrov V.V., A generalization of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, Statist. Probab. Lett. 67 (2004), no. 3, 233–239.
- [8] Rényi A., Probability Theory, North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1970; German version 1962, French version 1966, new Hungarian edition 1965.
- [9] Spitzer F., Principles of Random Walk, 2nd edition, Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [10] Van Lint J.H., Wilson R.M., A Course in Combinatorics, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

2845 RUE LEGARE, SAINTE-FOY, (QC) G1V 2H1 CANADA

E-mail: amghibech@hotmail.com

(Received June 21, 2005, revised May 26, 2006)