

## Sign-changing solutions and multiplicity results for some quasi-linear elliptic Dirichlet problems

REBECCA WALO OMANA

*Abstract.* In this paper we show some results of multiplicity and existence of sign-changing solutions using a mountain pass theorem in ordered intervals, for a class of quasi-linear elliptic Dirichlet problems. As a by product we construct a special pseudo-gradient vector field and a negative pseudo-gradient flow for the nondifferentiable functional associated to our class of problems.

*Keywords:* sign-changing, mountain-pass theorem, ordered intervals

*Classification:* 35J25, 35J65

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N > 1$ ) be an open and bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We consider a quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problems of the form:

$$(P_\lambda) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A(x, u)\nabla u) &= f(\lambda, x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function (i.e., is measurable with respect to  $x \in \Omega$  for all  $(\lambda, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and continuous in  $(\lambda, s)$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ ), such that  $f(\lambda, x, 0) = 0$  for almost every (in short a.e.)  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f(\lambda, x, s)s > 0$  for  $s \neq 0$ . We suppose that  $f$  satisfies

- (f<sub>1</sub>) for every bounded set  $\Lambda \subset (0, \infty)$  and for  $2 < r < 2^*$ ,  $|f(\lambda, x, u)| \leq C(1 + |u|^r)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,
- (f<sub>2</sub>) there exist  $\theta > 2$ ,  $M > 0$  such that  $0 < \theta F(\lambda, x, u) \leq uf(\lambda, x, u)$  for all  $|u| \geq M$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $F(\lambda, x, u) = \int_0^u f(\lambda, x, s) ds$ ,

and that there exist constants  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  such that

- (f<sub>3</sub>)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\lambda, x, s)}{s} > \beta\mu_1$  uniformly in  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ ,
- (f<sub>4</sub>)  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda, x, s)}{s} < \alpha\mu_1$  uniformly in  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ ,

where  $\mu_1 > 0$  is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator (with Dirichlet condition).

Let  $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function such that

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $|A(x, u)| \leq \beta$ , for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $\forall (s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A(x, s)\xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^2$ ,
- (A<sub>3</sub>) there exists a continuous function  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} = +\infty$  and  $|A(x, s) - A(x, t)| \leq \omega(|s - t|)$ , for  $s$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (A<sub>4</sub>) the function  $u \rightarrow A(x, u)$  has continuous and bounded derivative for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , and there exists  $u_0 > 0$  such that  $A(x, u)$  is nondecreasing in  $u \in [0, u_0]$ .

We can consider the matrix  $A(x, u) = (a_{ij}(x, u))$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , with Carathéodory coefficients  $a_{ij} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and  $s \mapsto a_{ij}(x, s)$  is  $C^1$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $a_{ij}(x, u)$  and  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial s}(x, s) \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

The problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has been extensively studied in semilinear case, including the case  $A = 1$ , see [1]–[6], by means of bifurcation, variational methods, sub-solution and supersolution method according to the behavior of the function  $f$  (see Ambrosetti et al. [4]–[6] for related topics). In this case, the existence of multiple and sign-changing solutions has been considered by many authors (cf. Li Shujie and Wang [15], Dancer and Du Yihon [12], Li Shujie and Zang Zhitao [17], Alama and Del Pino [1], and references therein). However, it seems that very few results have been reported on the quasi-linear case (see for instance [7] and [8]), and at least to the best of our knowledge, sign-changing solutions have not been considered yet.

Our purpose is to contribute to some nontrivial and sign-changing solutions for the problem  $(P_\lambda)$ , when  $f$  satisfies  $(f_1)$ – $(f_4)$ . The main difficulty in this problem lies in deriving a min-max critical value for the Euler functional associated to  $(P_\lambda)$ , since this functional is continuous, but may not be Lipschitz continuous and therefore nondifferentiable. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use some technical tools used by Struwe [17] for nondifferentiable functionals in Banach spaces. We also use a mountain-pass theorem in ordered intervals, in the spirit of Li Shujie and Wang [15], and differential equations theory in Banach spaces to define a critical point value and to show the existence of sign-changing solutions. Our results generalize or improve many results obtained for  $C^1$  functionals and for nondifferentiable functionals in [5], [15] and [7], as shown in Theorems 5, 7 and Remark 6.

## 2. Main result

Let us consider the functional  $J_\lambda : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega A(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega F(\lambda, x, u) dx.$$

The functional  $J_\lambda$  has been considered in [7] by Arcoya and Boccardo, and in [9] by Artola and Boccardo, where it has been shown that  $J_\lambda$  has a directional derivative

$J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  at each  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  along any direction  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , with

$$J'_\lambda(u)(v) = \int_\Omega A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} A'_u(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 v \, dx - \int_\Omega f(\lambda, x, u) v \, dx,$$

where  $A'_u(x, u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} A(x, u)$ . Clearly for fixed  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , the function  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is linear in  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and for every fixed direction  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is continuous in  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Hence, a critical point of  $J_\lambda(u)$  is a function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $J'_\lambda(u)(v) = 0$  for every  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , a nontrivial critical point of  $J_\lambda$  is a nontrivial solution of the boundary problem

$$(P'_\lambda) \quad -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2} A'_u(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} F(\lambda, x, u) = f(\lambda, x, u).$$

For  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , and in this case for a solution of  $(P'_\lambda)$ , we consider  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_\Omega A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega A'_u(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 v \, dx = \int_\Omega f(\lambda, x, u) v \, dx,$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Let us consider the space  $Y = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and the set

$$M = \left\{ u \in Y \setminus \{0\} : \int_\Omega A'_u(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 v \, dx = 0, \forall v \in Y \right\}.$$

On  $M$ ,  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  has the form

$$J'_\lambda(u)(v) = \int_\Omega A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - \int_\Omega f(\lambda, x, u) v \, dx,$$

for all  $u \in Y$ . From  $(A_1)$ – $(A_2)$  and  $(f_1)$ , a solution of  $J'_\lambda(u)(v) = 0$  is a weak solution of the boundary value problem (see also [9])

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) &= f(\lambda, x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for all  $h \in W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$  (the dual space of  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ), a weak solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) &= h(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

is a function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied, then this solution is unique (see [9]). Therefore, the divergent operator

$$Q(u) = -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u), \quad u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega),$$

is invertible with continuous inverse.

Let us denote  $K(u) = Q^{-1}(f(\lambda, x, v))$ . If  $u$  is a solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  then  $u$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad u = K(u).$$

Thus, the solutions of (1) are zeros of  $J'_\lambda(u)(v) = 0$ , and therefore, critical points of  $J_\lambda$  on  $M$ .

**Remark 1.** (a) If  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , then by  $(f_1)$ ,  $f(\lambda, x, u) \in L^r(\Omega)$  and by regularity theorems,  $Q^{-1}(f(\lambda, x, u)) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Thus,  $K : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

(b) Under  $(A_1)$ – $(A_3)$  and  $(f_1)$ , it can be shown (see [8, Lemma 3.1]) that if  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is a solution of  $\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, u) u \, dx = 0$ , then  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore  $K : Y \rightarrow Y$  is well defined and  $I - K : Y \rightarrow Y$  is well defined as well.

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $A$  satisfies  $(A_1)$ – $(A_3)$ . Let  $v \in Y$  be a nonnegative function. Then  $u$  is a positive solution of  $Q(u) = v$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad u - Q^{-1}(v) = 0.$$

PROOF: Note that  $u - Q^{-1}(u) = 0$  if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in Y.$$

We claim that  $u \geq 0$ . In fact, since  $v \geq 0$ , using  $(A_2)$  and taking  $\varphi \equiv u^-$  as a test function, we obtain

$$\alpha \|u^-\|_x^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u^- \nabla u^- \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u u^- \, dx \leq 0.$$

This implies that  $u^- \equiv 0$ . □

**Remark 3.** (a)  $K$  is continuous and compact, by  $(f_1)$ .

(b) Let  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  the interior of the positive cone  $P$ . If in addition  $f(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot)$  is  $C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $A(x, \cdot)$  is  $C^1$ , then  $u \in \overset{\circ}{P}$  (see [9, Remarks 2]). We observe that the regularity condition for  $f$  can be relaxed by taking  $f(\lambda, x, s) + ms$  increasing in  $[0, s_0]$  for some  $m > 0$  and for every  $s_0 > 0$ , and we shall use this relaxation throughout all the paper if necessary, so we will not require explicitly this regularity condition. Using the strong maximum principle, we can prove that  $K$  is strongly order preserving for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Remark 4.**  $(A_3)$  implies that, for a fixed direction  $\lambda \in Y$ , the function  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is continuous in  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$  and  $(f_1)$ – $(f_5)$  hold. Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$  and  $\lambda \notin \sigma(Q)$ , the problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least six nontrivial solutions. More precisely:*

- (i)  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two positive solutions  $u_1^+$  and  $u_2^+$  with  $u_1^+ > u_2^+$ ,  $J_\lambda(u_2^+) < 0$  and  $u_2^+$  is a local minimizer of  $J_\lambda(u)$ ;
- (ii)  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two negative solutions  $u_3^-$  and  $u_4^-$  with  $u_3^- < u_4^-$ ,  $J_\lambda(u_4^-) < 0$  and  $u_4^-$  is a local minimizer of  $J_\lambda(u)$ ;
- (iii)  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two sign-changing solutions  $u_5$  and  $u_6$  with  $J_\lambda(u_5) < 0$ ,  $u_5$  is a mountain-pass point of  $J_\lambda$ , and  $u_6$  is outside of  $[u_4^-, u_2^+]$ .

**Remark 6.** We do not require  $f$  to be  $C^1$  as in many applications, however, we assume that  $f$  is sublinear and we obtain the same results as in [15], where the  $C^1$  condition is required and

$$f(\lambda, x, u) = \lambda|u|^{q-1}u + g(u)$$

with  $g(s) = o(|s|)$  at 0 and  $g'(s) > -a$  for some  $a > 0$ . Our Theorem 5 also generalizes a result of [5], where the following strong assumptions were made:

- (G1)  $G \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $sG'(s) \geq \alpha G(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $2 < \alpha < 2^*$ , where  $2^* = 2N/(N - 2)$ , if  $N > 2$ ;
- (G2)  $s^2G''(s) \geq \alpha G'(s)s$ , for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (G3)  $s^2G''(s) \leq C_1|s|^\alpha$ , for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  (for some  $C_1 > 0$ ),

where  $G(s) = \int_0^s g(t) dt$ . In comparison with [5] and [15], we get a much stronger and general result with more information than in [5], using weaker assumptions.

We observe that our result is also valid for  $A \equiv 1$ , in which case  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . Our assumptions  $(f_3)$ ,  $(f_4)$  are the same as in [12], where the author obtains at least two positive solutions.

In [7], the authors consider the same assumptions as us for the function  $A$ , with  $g(s)$  convex and obtain at least two solutions, using a variant of the usual mountain-pass theorem; their results, however, do not give any information on sign-changing solutions.

To deal with the superlinear case, we consider the following hypothesis.

- (f<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $2 < \theta < 2^*$  such that  $0 < \theta F(\lambda, x) \leq uf(\lambda, x, u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (f<sub>4</sub>) There exist a number  $k > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , and for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $|f(\lambda, x, u)| < k$  for  $u \in [-c, c]$ , where  $c = \max_{\Omega} e(x)$  and  $e(x)$  satisfies the boundary value problem  $-\Delta e(x) = k$  in  $\Omega$  and  $e(x) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Under the above assumptions we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *The results of Theorem 5 still hold under the hypotheses (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>), (f<sub>1</sub>), (f<sub>2</sub>) and (f<sub>4</sub>).*

### 3. Abstract results

Let us start by presenting some abstract results. The abstract framework is derived from the following hypothesis.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a Hilbert space and  $Y \subset X$  a normed subspace of  $X$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ , and densely embedded in  $X$ .

Let  $P_X \subset X$  be a convex cone and  $P = Y \cap P_X$ . Assume that  $P$  has a nonempty interior  $\overset{\circ}{P}$ , and that any ordered interval in  $X$  is finitely bounded. Let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional in  $X$ , which is continuous in  $(Y, \|\cdot\| + \|\cdot\|_Y)$  and satisfies the following assumptions.

- (Φ<sub>1</sub>) The functional  $\Phi$  has directional derivative  $\Phi'(u)(v)$  at each  $u \in X$ , through any bounded direction  $v \in Y$ . For fixed  $u \in X$ , the function  $\Phi'(u)(v)$  is linear in  $v$ , and for fixed  $v \in Y$ , the function  $\Phi'(u)(v)$  is continuous in  $u \in X$ .
- (Φ<sub>2</sub>) The functional  $\Phi$  is bounded from below on any ordered interval in  $X$ .
- (Φ<sub>3</sub>) For any fixed direction  $v \in Y$ , the function  $\Phi'(u)(v)$  is of the form  $u - K_X(u)$  for each  $u \in K$ , where  $K_X : X \rightarrow X$  is compact,  $K_X(Y) \subset Y$  and  $K = K_{X|Y} : Y \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and strongly order preserving, i.e.  $u > v \Leftrightarrow K(u) \gg K(v)$  for all  $u, v \in Y$ , where  $u \gg v \Leftrightarrow u - v \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ .
- (Φ<sub>4</sub>) The functional  $\Phi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale ((PS) for short) condition in  $X$ , the deformation property in  $Y$  and has only finitely many isolated critical points.

We shall consider the following compactness conditions.

(H) There exists a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $Y$  such that for some sequences  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $(\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow 0$ , the following are satisfied

$$(3) \quad (\Phi(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded,}$$

$$(4) \quad \|u_n\|_Y \leq 2K_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(5) \quad |\Phi'(u_n)(v)| \leq \varepsilon \left( \frac{\|v\|_Y}{K_n} + \|v\|_X \right), \quad \text{for all } v \in Y.$$

(C) Any sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{dom}(\Phi) \subset Y$  satisfying for some  $(K_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $(\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow 0$  the conditions (3), (4) and (5), possesses a convergent subsequence in  $X$ .

We state some abstract results, which will be used to solve the problem  $(P_\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 8.** Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies  $(\Phi_1)$ ,  $(\Phi_2)$ ,  $(\Phi_3)$ ,  $(\Phi_4)$  and  $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$  is a pair of subsolution and supersolution for  $\Phi'(u)(v) = 0$ , for any bounded direction  $v \in Y$ . Then,  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  is positively invariant under the negative gradient flow of  $\Phi$ , and  $u - \Phi'(u)(v)$  belongs to the interior of  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  through any fixed and bounded direction  $v \in Y$ . Moreover, if  $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$  is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution, then  $\text{deg}(\text{id} - K, [\underline{u}, \bar{u}], 0) = 1$ .

**Corollary 9.** If  $\underline{u} < \bar{u}$  is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution for  $\Phi'(\cdot)(v) = 0$  in  $X$ , then  $K_\Phi \cap \partial[\underline{u}, \bar{u}] = \emptyset$ , where  $K_\Phi = \{u \in X : \Phi'(u)(v) = 0\}$  is the critical point set of  $\Phi$ .

Now, we give a suitable version of the mountain-pass theorem in ordered interval in this framework.

**Theorem 10.** Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies  $(\Phi_1)$ ,  $(\Phi_2)$ ,  $(\Phi_3)$  and  $(\Phi_4)$ . Suppose that there exist four points in  $Y : v_1 < v_2, \omega_1 < \omega_2, v_1 < \omega_2$ , satisfying  $[v_1, v_1] \cap [\omega_1, \omega_2] = \emptyset$  with  $v_1 < Kv_1, v_2 > Kv_2, \omega_1 < K\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2 > K\omega_2$ . Then,  $\Phi$  has a mountain-pass point  $u_0 \in [v_1, \omega_2] \setminus ([v_1, \omega_1])$ .

### Proofs of abstract results

PROOF OF THEOREM 8: Since  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  is finitely bounded and  $K$  compact,  $\text{deg}(\text{id} - K, [\underline{u}, \bar{u}], 0)$  is well defined. Consider the negative gradient flow of  $\Phi$  in  $X$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(t, u) = -\Phi'(\eta(t, u)(v)), \\ \eta(0, u) = u, \end{cases}$$

for any fixed direction  $v \in Y$  and for all  $u \in Y$ . Then, from  $(\Phi_4)$ ,  $u(t, u) \in Y$ . It suffices to show that for  $y \in P - \{0\}$ , and for any bounded direction  $v \in Y$ ,

$\eta(t, \underline{u} + y) \in \underline{u} + \overset{\circ}{P}$  and  $\eta(t, \bar{u} - y) \in \bar{u} - \overset{\circ}{P}$  for all  $t > 0$ . By  $(\Phi_1)$ , we know that for any given direction in  $Y$ ,  $\Phi(u + \cdot)$  is differentiable in  $Y$ , for all  $u \in \text{dom}(\Phi) \subset X$ .

Hence, for any given  $y \in P - \{0\}$ ,

$$\underline{u} + y - \Phi'_Y(\underline{u} + y)(v) = K(\underline{u} + y) \gg K(u) > u,$$

where  $\Phi'_Y(\underline{u} + y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Phi(\underline{u} + y)$ . Similar arguments imply that  $\bar{u} - y - \Phi'_Y(\bar{u} - y)(v) = K(\bar{u} - y) \ll K(\bar{u}) < \bar{u}$ . Therefore,  $\eta(t, \underline{u} + y) \in \underline{u} + \overset{\circ}{P}$  and  $\eta(t, \bar{u} - y) \in \bar{u} - \overset{\circ}{P}$  for any bounded direction  $v$  in  $Y$ . Hence,  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  is positively invariant and for all  $u \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ ,  $u - \Phi'(u)(v)$  belongs to the interior of  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  for any bounded direction  $v$  in  $Y$ . Now, using this invariance property, the fact that  $K$  is strongly order preserving and compact,  $\overset{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$ , and arguments of H. Amann [2], it is easy to see that  $K$  has a fixed point in  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ . If this fixed point is isolated, then its index is 1, and by the excision property of the Schauder degree, we are done.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 10: Since  $\Phi$  is bounded from below and satisfies the deformation property, it has at least one local minimizer in each ordered interval. Let  $v_0$  be the minimizer of  $\Phi$  in  $[v_1, v_2]$ , and  $\omega_0$  the minimizer of  $\Phi$  in  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ . Let  $\eta(t, u)$  denote the negative gradient flow of  $\Phi$ , and let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ x(t) : x(t) \in C([0, 1], [v_1, \omega_2]) \text{ is such that} \right.$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} &x(t) \in [v_1, \omega_2] \setminus ([v_1, v_2] \cap [\omega_1, \omega_2]), \quad \text{if } t \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \\ &x(t) = \eta\left(\frac{1}{3} - t, x\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}, x\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \in \partial[v_1, v_2], \\ &x(t) = \eta\left(t - \frac{2}{3}, x\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right), \quad \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1, x\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \in \partial[\omega_1, \omega_2] \end{aligned} \left. \right\}.$$

Then  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  is a complete metric space for the metric  $\rho(x, y) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|x(t) - y(t)\|$ . Let

$$(7) \quad c = \inf_{x \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi(x(t)).$$

Then  $c$  is a critical value. In fact, let

$$K_c^v = \{u \in [v_1, \omega_2] : \Phi'(u)(v) = 0, \Phi(u) = c\}$$

for all  $v \in Y$ ; it suffices to prove that  $K_c^v \cap \{[v_1, \omega_2] \setminus ([v_1, v_2] \cup [\omega_1, \omega_2])\}$ . Let

$$F(x(t)) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in \Gamma.$$



Then,  $F$  is a continuous function, bounded from below on  $\Gamma$ . Define

$$F'(x)(v) = \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x + \theta h) - F(x)}{\theta},$$

and let  $\mathbb{B}(x) = \{s \in [0, 1] : \Phi(x(s)) = F(x(s)) \equiv \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi(x(t))\}$ . From Ekeland's variational principle, we have for any given sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , that there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$c \leq F(x_n) \leq c + \varepsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \geq F(x_n) - \varepsilon_n \rho(x, x_n), \\ \forall x \neq x_n, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence, for any  $h \in \Gamma$  and  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$ , we have

$$\frac{F(x_n + \theta h) - F(x_n)}{\theta} \geq -\varepsilon_n \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|h(t)\|.$$

Taking limit as  $\theta$  decreases to zero, we get

$$F'(x_n)(h) = \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x_n + \theta h) - F(x_n)}{\theta} \geq -\varepsilon_n \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|h(t)\|.$$

Therefore, there exists  $(s_n) \subset \mathbb{B}(x)$  such that  $|\Phi'(x_n(s_n))(v)| \leq \varepsilon_n \|v\|$  for all  $v \in Y$ , which implies that  $\Phi'(x_n(s_n))(v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the definition of  $\Gamma$  and Theorem 1,  $x_n(s_n) \in [v_1, \omega_2] - ([v_1, v_2] \cup [\omega_1, \omega_2])$ , and from (3), (4), (5) and the deformation property,  $(x_n(s_n))$  has a subsequence converging in  $Y$  to some  $u_0 \in [v_1, \omega_2] - ([v_1, v_2] \cap [v_1, \omega_2])$ . Since  $\Phi$  is continuous in  $X$ , we have  $\Phi(u_0) = c$  and  $\Phi'(u_0)(v) = 0$ . Thus  $c$  is a critical value. Substituting  $v_0, \omega_0$  for  $v_1, \omega_2$ , and using the proof of Theorem 8, it is easy to see that  $v_0 \ll u_0 \ll \omega_0$ . From the deformation property, Theorem 8, and Corollary 9 we have

$$\inf_{u \in \partial[v_1, v_2]} \{\Phi(u)\} > \Phi(v_0), \quad \inf_{u \in \partial[\omega_1, \omega_2]} \{\Phi(u)\} > \Phi(\omega_0).$$

Therefore,  $c > \max\{\Phi(v_0), \Phi(\omega_0)\}$  and  $u_0$  is an isolated critical point of  $\Phi$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $u_0$  such that for any open neighborhood  $W \subset V$  of  $u_0$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{\Phi}^c \cap W \neq \emptyset$  and is not path-connected. Let us define critical groups of  $u_0$  by

$$C_n(\Phi, v_0) = H_n(\Phi^c \cap W, \Phi^c \cap W \setminus \{u_0\}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\overset{\circ}{\Phi}^c \cap W \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ , and is not path-connected either. Thus, from the definition of critical group

$$C_0(\Phi, u_0) = H_n(\Phi^c \cap W, \Phi^c \cap W \setminus \{u_0\}) = 0.$$

Using arguments of [11] and [14], we can prove that  $C_1(\Phi, u_0) \neq 0$ , and from the argument given in [10], we know that  $u_0$  is a mountain-pass point. □

### 4. Some lemmas and definitions

We first prove the existence of two pairs of subsolutions and supersolutions.

**Lemma 11.** *Assume  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$  and  $(f_1)$ – $(f_4)$  hold. Then, there exist two pairs of strict subsolutions and supersolutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\varphi_1$  be the eigenfunction associated to  $\mu$ ; then we may take  $\|\varphi_1\|_\infty = 1$ . For  $r > 0$  sufficiently small,  $(f_3)$  implies that  $f(\lambda, x, r\varphi_1) > (\beta\mu_1 - \varepsilon(r))r\varphi_1$ , for some  $\varepsilon(r) > 0$ . Using  $(A_1)$ , we obtain

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x, r\varphi)\nabla(r\varphi_1)) < f(\lambda, x, r\varphi_1).$$

This means that  $r\varphi_1$  is a strict subsolution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $r > 0$  sufficiently small. Hence, by continuity, there exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that this is true for  $0 < r < 2r_0$ . Now, suppose that  $u$  is a nonnegative and nontrivial solution of  $(P_\lambda)$ . Then by the maximum principle,  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2r_0)$  small enough such that  $u \geq \varepsilon\varphi_1$ . Using a sweeping argument, we can prove that  $u \geq r\varphi_1$ , for all  $r \in (0, 2r_0]$ . In particular  $u \geq 2r_0\varphi_1 > r_0\varphi_1$ , and we can take  $\underline{u} = r_0\varphi_1$ .

By  $(f_4)$ , there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , with  $0 < \tilde{\alpha} < \alpha$  such that

$$(8) \quad f(\lambda, x, u) < \tilde{\alpha}\mu_1 u + C.$$

Therefore, any nontrivial solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  satisfies

$$(9) \quad -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u)\nabla u) < \tilde{\alpha}\mu_1 u + C.$$

Using  $(A_2)$ , we find that  $Q(u) = -\operatorname{div}(A(x, u)\nabla u) - \tilde{\alpha}\mu_1 u$  has a bounded inverse  $Q_\alpha^{-1}C$  in  $Y$ , which is strongly order preserving, thus from (9) it follows that every solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  satisfies  $u < \phi = Q_\alpha^{-1}C$ . Hence, by (8)

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x, \phi)\Delta\phi) < f(\lambda, x, \phi) \text{ in } \Omega, \phi|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

This means that  $\phi$  is a strict supersolution of  $(P_\lambda)$ , and we can take  $\bar{w} = \phi$  if  $\phi > r_0\varphi_1$ ; but this can be easily achieved by enlarging  $C$  if necessary. Using similar arguments, we obtain another pair of strict subsolution and supersolution  $\underline{v} < \bar{v} < 0$ , where  $\bar{v} = -r_0\varphi_1$  and  $\underline{v} = -\phi$ . □

Now, we prove that  $J_\lambda$  satisfies the compactness condition (C).

**Lemma 12.** *Assume that  $(A_1)$ – $(A_3)$ ,  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_2)$  hold. Then  $J_\lambda$  satisfies the compactness condition (C).*

PROOF: Let  $(u_n)$  a sequence such that  $(J_\lambda(u_n))$  is bounded and  $J'_\lambda(u)(v) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $v \in Y$ . Then, there exist  $(\varepsilon_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(K_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that  $|J'_\lambda(u)(v)| \leq \varepsilon_n \left[ \left\| \frac{v}{K_n} \right\|_Y + \|v\| \right]$  for all  $v \in Y$ .

We now prove that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $Y$ . By  $(f_2)$ , for any  $2 < \theta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 + \varepsilon_n [\|u_n\|_Y + \|u_n\|] &> \theta J_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(u_n)(u_n) \\ &= (\theta - 1) \int_\Omega A(x, u_n) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega \{\theta F(\lambda, x, u_n) - f(\lambda, x, u_n)u_n\} dx \\ &\geq (\theta - 1)\alpha \|u_n\|^2 - o(\|u_n\|_Y^2) \\ &> (\theta - 1)r \|u_n\|^2 - o(\|u_n\|_Y^2), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $r > 0$  sufficiently small,  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $Y$  and, therefore, there exists a subsequence  $(u_{nk})$  of  $(u_n)$  converging in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in Y$ . Since the function  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is continuous in  $u \in Y$  for any fixed  $v \in Y$ , we have  $J'_\lambda(u_n)(v) \rightarrow J'_\lambda(u)(v)$ .

Consider  $(u_n) \subset M$  such that  $J'_\lambda(u_n)(v) = 0$  for every  $v \in Y$ . Since  $M$  is closed, if  $u \in Y$  is such that  $u_{nk} \rightarrow u$ , then  $u \in M$  and  $J'_\lambda(u)(v) = 0$  for any  $u \in Y$ . Hence,  $u$  is a critical point of  $J_\lambda$ . □

We recall the following definitions in the regular case (see for instance [14]).

**Definition 13.** Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^1$  functional on a Banach space  $X$ . Denote by  $\text{Reg}(\Phi) = \{u \in X : \Phi'(u) \neq 0\}$ . A *pseudo-gradient vector field* for  $\Phi$  on  $\text{Reg}(\Phi)$  is a locally Lipschitz continuous mapping  $v : \text{Reg}(\Phi) \rightarrow X$  such that

$$(10) \quad \|v(u)\| \leq \|\Phi'(u)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Phi'(u), v(u) \rangle \geq \|\Phi'(u)\|^2.$$

Let  $K = \{u \in X : \Phi(u) = 0\}$  be the set of critical points of  $\Phi$ . Consider the initial value problem

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -v(u), \quad u(0) = u_0 \in X \setminus K.$$

Since  $v(u)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in  $X \setminus K$ , the initial value problem has a unique solution  $u : [0, t(u_0)[ \rightarrow X \setminus K$  with  $t(u_0)$  maximal.

**Definition 14.** Let  $N \subset X$ . We say that  $N$  is an *invariant set of descent flow* of  $\Phi$  if the set  $\{u(t_0, u_0, t), t \in [0, t(u))\}$ ,  $u_0 \in N \setminus K\} \subset N$ .

In this paper, the functional  $J_\lambda$  is not  $C^1$ , it has only directional derivatives at any direction  $v \in Y$  and, for any fixed direction  $v \in Y$ , the function (directional derivative)  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is continuous in  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , and linear in  $v \in Y$  for fixed  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Using these properties, we shall construct a pseudo-gradient vector field for  $J_\lambda$  in  $M$ .

**Definition 15.** We define the set

$$\text{Reg}(J_\lambda) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : J'_\lambda(u)(v) \neq 0, \text{ for any direction } v \in Y \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$K_v = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : J'_0(u)(v) = 0, \text{ for any direction } v \in Y \right\}.$$

**Lemma 16.** Assume that (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>) (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>4</sub>) hold. Then, there exists a pseudo-gradient flow for  $J_\lambda$  such that  $P$ ,  $-P$ , and  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  are invariant sets of descent flow of  $J_\lambda$ , where  $\{\underline{u}, \bar{u}\}$  is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution of  $J_\lambda$  in  $M$ .

PROOF: We construct a pseudo-gradient vector field for  $J_\lambda$  in  $M$ , and show that the flow under that vector field satisfies required invariance property.

For all  $u \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  we have

$$(11) \quad u - J'_\lambda(u)(v) = K(u) \gg K(u) > \underline{u}, \quad K(u) \ll K(\bar{u}) < \bar{u}.$$

We observe that through any fixed direction  $v \in Y$ , the directional derivative function  $J'_\lambda(u)(v)$  is continuous and  $u - J'_\lambda(u)(v) \in \text{int}([\underline{u}, \bar{u}])$ , by (11). For all  $u_0 \in M \setminus K^c$ , there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  with  $\|y_0\|_Y = 1$  (we can normalize  $y_0$  if necessary), such that  $\langle J'_\lambda(u_0), y_0 \rangle > \frac{2}{3} \|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y^2$ . If  $u_0 \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  then by (11), we may require  $u_0 + y_0 \in \text{int}([\underline{u}, \bar{u}])$ . Let  $v_0 = \frac{2}{3} (\|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y, y_0)$ ; then  $\|v_0\|_y < 2\|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y$  and  $\langle J'_\lambda(u_0), v_0 \rangle > \|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y^2$ .

From the continuity of the directional derivative for a fixed direction in  $Y$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{U}(u_0)$  of  $u_0$  in  $\bar{M}$  such that

$$(12) \quad \|v_0\|_y < 2\|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y \text{ and } \langle J'_\lambda(u_0), v_0 \rangle > \|J'_\lambda(u_0)\|_Y^2.$$

For all  $\tilde{U}(u_0)$ , take

$$U(u_0) = \begin{cases} \tilde{U}(u_0) & \text{if } u_0 \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}], \\ \tilde{U}(u_0) \cap (\bar{M} \setminus [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]) & \text{if } u_0 \in \bar{M} \setminus [\underline{u}, \bar{u}], \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{M} = M \setminus K^c$ . Let  $u \in P$ ,  $u \neq 0$ . Then  $K(u) \gg 0$ , since  $K$  is strongly order preserving. Hence, for any  $u_1 \in P$ , we can assume that  $u_1 + y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$  for any  $y \in Y$  such that  $\|y\|_Y = 1$ . If we take such  $u_1$  in  $P \setminus K^c$ , and let  $v_1 = \frac{3}{2} \|J'_\lambda(u_1)\|_Y y$ , then  $\|v_1\|_Y < 2\|J'_\lambda(u_1)\|_Y$ , and  $\langle J'_\lambda(u_1), v_1 \rangle > \|J'_\lambda(u_1)\|_Y^2$ .

From the continuity of the directional derivative for a fixed direction in  $Y$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U(u_1)$  of  $u_0$  in  $\bar{M}$  such that

$$(13) \quad \|v_1\|_y < 2\|J'_\lambda(u_1)\|_Y \text{ and } \langle J'_\lambda(u_1), v_1 \rangle > \|J'_\lambda(u_1)\|_Y^2,$$

for all  $u \in U(u_1)$ . Using similar arguments, we can show the existence of a pseudo-gradient vector field  $v_2$  for  $J_\lambda$  and, get an open covering  $U(u_2)$  for any  $u_2 \in P \setminus K^c$ .

Since  $Y \setminus K_c^v$  is paracompact, there exists a family  $U = \{U(u) : u \in Y \setminus K_c^v\}$ , which is an open covering of  $P \setminus K_c^v$ . Hence,  $U$  has a locally finite refinement  $(U(u_i))_{i \in I}$ , and each  $u \in \overline{M}$  has a neighborhood  $\beta(u)$  such that  $\beta(u) \subset (U(u_i))_{i \in I}$ , for a finite number of  $i \in I$ . Let us define

$$(14) \quad \rho_i(u) = \text{dist}(u, \overline{M} \setminus U(u_i)), \quad i \in I,$$

for any  $u \in M$ , and define

$$(15) \quad v(u) = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\rho_i(u)}{\sum_{j \in I} \rho_j(u)} v_i.$$

Since  $(U(u_i))_{i \in I}$  is locally finite, all sums in (15) are finite. Therefore,  $v(u)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous. Since  $\rho_i$  vanishes outside  $U(u_i)$ ,  $v(u)$  is a convex combination of finite elements satisfying (10). Clearly, it is a pseudo-gradient vector field for  $J_\lambda$ . For the sets  $[\underline{u}, \overline{u}]$ ,  $P$  and  $-P$ , we may define

$$(16) \quad v(u) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{\rho_i(u)}{\sum_{j=0}^2 \rho_j(u)} v_i.$$

Clearly,  $v(u)$  satisfies (10) and is locally Lipschitz continuous.

Let  $N_\varepsilon^c = \{u \in M : |J_\lambda(u) - c| < \varepsilon; \|J'_\lambda(u)(z)\|_Y < \varepsilon, \text{ for any fixed } z \in Y\}$ , and consider cut-off functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi, \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 0$  on  $N_\varepsilon^c$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 1$  on  $M - N_\varepsilon^c$ ,  $|J_\lambda(\varphi(u)) - c| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi(s) = 0$  for  $|s - c| \geq 2\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varphi(s) = 1$  for  $|s - c| < \varepsilon_0$ , for a given  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ . For every  $u \in \text{Reg}(J_\lambda)$ , i.e.  $u$  such that  $J'_\lambda(u)(z) \neq 0$  for any fixed direction  $z \in Y$ , we define

$$v_*(u) = \begin{cases} -\psi(J_\lambda(u))\varphi(u) \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_Y} & \text{if } u \in M \cap \text{Reg}(J_\lambda), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $J_\lambda : \text{Reg}(J_\lambda) \rightarrow Y$  is a pseudo-gradient vector field and an odd continuous function of  $u$ . Consider the initial value problem

$$(17) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma(t, u)}{\partial t} = v_*(\sigma(t, u)), \quad \sigma(0, u) = u,$$

for  $u \in M$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Then,  $\sigma(t, u)$  is a global, unique and maximal solution of (17) for  $0 \leq t < t^*(u)$ , where the interval  $] - t^*(u), t^*(u)[$  is maximal. Moreover, the solution  $\sigma(t, u)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ . Let  $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times M, M)$  be defined by

$$(18) \quad \eta(t, u) = \sigma(2\varepsilon t, u).$$

Then by (17),  $\eta(t, u) = u + \int_0^{2\epsilon t} v_*(\sigma(\tau, u)) d\tau$ . For  $u_0 \in P \setminus K_c^v$  and for any fixed  $y_0 \in \beta(u_0) \cap P$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta(t, y_0) &= y_0 + \int_0^{2\epsilon t} v_*(\sigma(\tau, y_0)) d\tau \\
 (19) \qquad &= y_0 + \int_0^{2\epsilon t} v_*(y_0) d\tau \\
 &= y_0 + 2\epsilon t v_*(y_0) \in \overset{\circ}{P}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$  by (9). Therefore,  $P$  is an invariant set.

Note that for  $u_0 \in \overset{\circ}{P} \setminus K_c^v$  such that  $\text{dist}(U(u), \partial P) > 0$ , we also get (19) for every initial value in  $\beta_\epsilon(u_0)$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $\beta_\epsilon(u_0)$ , a small neighborhood of  $u_0$  in  $M$ . Thus  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  is an invariant set of descent flow of  $J_\lambda$ . By similar arguments, we prove that  $-P$  and  $-\overset{\circ}{P}$  are invariant sets of  $J_\lambda$ . As for  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ , by (11) and the definition of  $v_*(u)$ , if  $u_0 \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ , then

$$\eta(t, u) = u_0 + 2\epsilon t v_*(u_0) \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$$

for  $\epsilon > 0$  small and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Thus,  $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$  is invariant set of descent flow for  $J_\lambda$ . □

**Remark 17.** The same proof as above shows that under the above pseudo-gradient flow for any subsolution  $\bar{u}$  and supersolution  $\underline{u}$ ,  $\underline{u} + P$  and  $\bar{u} + P$  are invariant.

**Lemma 18** (Deformation Lemma). *Assume that (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>4</sub>), (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>4</sub>) are satisfied. Then for every  $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ , for every  $c > 0$  and every neighborhood  $N$  of  $K_c^v$ , there exist  $\epsilon \in [0, \bar{\epsilon}[$  and a continuous family of odd continuous maps such that*

- (i)  $\eta(t, u) = u$  if  $J'_\lambda(u)(z) = 0$  for any direction  $v \in Y$  or  $t = 0$  or if  $|J_\lambda(u) - c| \geq \epsilon$ ;
- (ii)  $J_\lambda(\eta(t, u))$  is nonincreasing in  $t$  for any  $u \in M$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\eta(t, u) - u\|_Y \leq \delta$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u \in M$  and some  $\delta > 0$ ;
- (iv)  $\eta(1, J_\lambda^{c-\epsilon} \setminus N) \subset J_\lambda^{c-\epsilon}$ ;
- (v)  $\eta$  has the invariance properties of Lemma 4.

PROOF: Let  $v : \text{Reg}(J_\lambda) \rightarrow Y$  be an odd, continuous pseudo-gradient vector field for  $J_\lambda$  such that

$$\|v(u)\|_y < 2\|J'_\lambda(u)\|_Y, \quad \langle J'_\lambda(u), v(u) \rangle > \|J'_\lambda(u)\|_Y^2$$

for all  $u \in M \setminus K_c^v$  and any fixed  $z \in Y$ . Let  $N_\epsilon^c := \{u \in M : |J_\lambda(u) - c| < \epsilon, \|J'_\lambda(u)\| < \sqrt{\epsilon}\}$ . Hence,  $N_\epsilon^c \subset N$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous cut-off function such

that  $\varphi(u) = \varphi(-u)$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 0$  on  $N_\varepsilon^c$  and  $\varphi(u) = 1$  outside  $N_\varepsilon^c$ , in particular  $\varphi(u) = 1$  for  $u \notin N$  such that  $|J_\lambda(u) - c| < \varepsilon$ . Then, we may define a locally Lipschitz, odd continuous vector field  $v_*(u) = -\varphi(u) \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_Y}$  for  $u \in M \setminus N$  and  $v_*(u) = 0$  elsewhere. Consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t, u) &= v_*(\sigma(t, u)), \\ \sigma(0, u) &= u. \end{aligned}$$

It has a unique global solution  $\sigma(t, u)$  which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ . Define  $\eta(t, u) = \sigma(2\sqrt{\varepsilon}t, u)$ ; since  $\|v_*(u)\|_Y = 1$ , we obtain  $\|\sigma(t, u) - u\|_Y = \|\int_0^t v_*(\sigma(\tau, u)) d\tau\|_Y \leq t$ . Hence, for  $\delta \geq 2\varepsilon$  we have  $\frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon} \geq 1$  and  $\|v_*(u)\|_y \leq \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon}$ . Therefore,  $\|\eta(t, u) - u\|_Y \leq \delta$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , which is (iii).

For any fixed  $u \in M$ , we can write  $\eta(t, u) = u + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}tv_*(u)$ , thus the directional derivative of  $J_\lambda(\eta(t, u))$  in the direction of  $v_*$  is equivalent to the derivative of the function  $J_\lambda \circ g(t)$  at 0, where  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $g(t) = u + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}tv_*(u)$ . Hence,

$$(20) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(J_\lambda \circ g(t))|_{t=0} = \left\langle J'_\lambda(\eta(t, u)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(t, u) \right\rangle|_{t=0} = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \langle J'_\lambda(u), v_*(u) \rangle.$$

If  $u \in J_\lambda^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N$ , then  $\|J'_\lambda(u)\|_Y \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . In integrating (20) for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\eta(1, u)) - J_\lambda(u) &= 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \langle J'_\lambda(u), v_*(u) \rangle dt \\ &= 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \langle J'_\lambda(u), -\varphi(u)v(u) \rangle dt \\ &= -2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \varphi(u) \left\langle J'_\lambda(u), \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_Y} \right\rangle dt \\ &= -2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \|J'_\lambda(u)\|_y dt \leq -2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used our choice of  $\varphi$ . Hence,

$$J_\lambda(\eta(1, u)) \leq c + \varepsilon - 2\varepsilon = c - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\eta(1, J_\lambda^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N) \subset J_\lambda^{c-\varepsilon}$  which is (iv). From (20), we have for any fixed  $u$  in  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(\eta(t, u))|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(u + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}tv_*(u))|_{t=0} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \langle J'_\lambda(u), v_*(u) \rangle \\ &\leq -2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \varphi(u) \|J'_\lambda(u)\|_Y^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(\eta(t, u)) \leq -2\varepsilon\varphi(u)$  for  $t \geq 0$ , so we get (ii) by our choice of  $\varphi$ . □

**Lemma 19.** Assume that  $A$  satisfies  $(A_1)$ – $(A_4)$ , and  $f$  satisfies  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_3)$ ,  $(f_4)$ . Then, there is a path  $L_0 \subset M$  connecting  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  and  $-\overset{\circ}{P}$  such that  $J_\lambda(\varphi) < 0$ , for all  $\varphi \in L_0$ .

PROOF: Let  $\varphi \in M$  be a solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  such that  $\varphi$  changes sign. Then,  $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$ . Multiplying  $-\operatorname{div}(A(x, \varphi)\nabla\varphi) = f(\lambda, x, \varphi)$  by  $\varphi^+$  ( $\varphi^-$ , respectively) and integrating, we get

$$(21) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)|\nabla\varphi^+| = \int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi)\varphi^+ dx$$

and

$$(22) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)|\nabla\varphi^-| = \int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi)\varphi^- dx.$$

By  $(A_1)$ , (21), (22) and  $(f_4)$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi)\varphi^+ dx < \alpha\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot \varphi^+ dx = \alpha\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^+)^2 dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi)\varphi^- dx < \alpha\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot \varphi^- dx = \alpha\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^-)^2 dx.$$

Thus,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ , if  $\varphi_t = t\varphi^+ + (1-t)\varphi^-$ , then there exists  $R > 0$  such  $|\varphi_t| \geq R$  and

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\varphi_t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi_t)|\nabla\varphi_t|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, \varphi_t) dx \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi_t)|\nabla\varphi^+|^2 dx + \frac{(1-t)^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi_t)|\nabla\varphi^-|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, \varphi_t) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi_t)|\nabla\varphi^+|^2 dx - \frac{t^2\alpha\mu_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^+)^2 dx - \frac{(1-t)^2\alpha\mu_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi^-)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \beta\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t^2 dx + \frac{(1-t)^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)|\nabla\varphi^-|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, \varphi_t) dx \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{t\varphi^+ + (1-t)\varphi^-, t \in [0, 1]\}$  is compact in  $Y$ , we can choose in  $M$  a path  $L_0 = \{l_0(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$  such that  $l_0(t)$  is very close to  $\varphi_t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi \in M$ ,



$l_0(0) \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ ,  $l_0(1) \in -\overset{\circ}{P}$  and  $J_\lambda(l_0(t)) < 0$ . Hence, for every  $\varphi \in L_0$  and for  $t > 0$  sufficiently small we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega A(x, t\varphi) |\nabla t\varphi|^2 dx - \int_\Omega F(\lambda, x, t\varphi) dx \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \left[ \int_\Omega A(x, t\varphi) |\nabla \varphi^+|^2 dx + \int_\Omega A(x, t\varphi) |\nabla \varphi^-|^2 dx \right] - \int_\Omega F(\lambda, x, t\varphi) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{t} \left[ \int_\Omega A(x, t\varphi) |\nabla \varphi^+|^2 dx - \alpha\mu_1 \int_\Omega (\varphi^+)^2 dx - \alpha\mu_1 \int_\Omega (\varphi^-)^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_\Omega A(x, t\varphi) |\nabla \varphi^-|^2 dx \right] + \beta\mu_1 \int_\Omega (t\varphi)^2 dx - \int_\Omega F(\lambda, x, t\varphi) dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a path  $L = tL_0$  ( $t$  sufficiently small) connecting  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  to  $-\overset{\circ}{P}$  such that  $J_\lambda(\varphi) < 0$ , for all  $\varphi \in L$ . Normalizing such  $\varphi \in L$ , it is possible to improve  $L$  in order to get curves without self intersection on  $\partial B_1$  where  $B_1$  is the unit ball in  $Y$ . □

### 5. Proofs of the main results

**5.1 Proof of Theorem 5.** From Lemma 10, we know that there exist two pairs of strict subsolutions and supersolutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ ,  $-\phi < -r\varphi_1 < 0$  and  $0 < r\varphi_1 < \phi$  where  $\varphi_1$  is the eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator  $-\Delta$  with 0-Dirichlet boundary conditions. From [10],  $(P_\lambda)$  has a positive solution  $u_2^+$  and a negative solution  $u_4^-$ , such that  $-\phi < u_4^- < -r\varphi_1$ ,  $r\varphi_1 < u_2^+ < \phi$ ,  $u_2^+$  and  $u_4^-$  are local minimizer of  $J_\lambda(u)$  with  $J_\lambda(u_2^+) < 0$  and  $J_\lambda(u_4^-) < 0$ . We may assume that  $u_2^+$  is the minimal minimizer of  $J_\lambda(u)$  and  $u_4^-$  is the maximal minimizer of  $J_\lambda(u)$ . From Theorem 9,  $J_\lambda$  has a mountain-pass point  $u_5 \in M$  such that  $u_5 \in [-\phi, \phi] \setminus ([-\phi, -r\varphi_1] \cup [r\varphi_1, \phi])$  and  $u_4^- < u_5 < u_2^+$ . Let us consider  $\Gamma_r = \{u(t) : u(t) \in [-\phi, \phi], \forall t \in [0, 1]\}$  such that  $u(t) \in ([-\phi, \phi] \setminus ([-\phi, -r\varphi_1] \cup [r\varphi_1, \phi]))$  if  $x \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ ,  $u(t) = \eta(\frac{1}{3} - t, u(\frac{1}{3}))$  if  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $u(\frac{1}{3}) \in \partial[-\phi, r\varphi_1]$ ,  $u(t) = \eta(t - \frac{2}{3}, u(\frac{2}{3}))$  if  $\frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1$  and  $u(\frac{2}{3}) \in \partial[r\varphi_1, \phi]$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_r \neq \emptyset$  is a complete metric space in  $Y$  with the metric  $\delta(u, v) = \max_{[0,1]} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_Y$ . We may choose  $b(t) \in C([\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}], [-\phi, \phi] - ([-\phi, -r\varphi_1] \cup [r\varphi_1, \phi]))$  such that  $b(\frac{1}{3}) = -r\varphi_1$ ,  $b(\frac{2}{3}) = r\varphi_1$  and  $J_\lambda(b(t)) < 0$  for all  $t \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$ . Define

$$r_r(t) = \begin{cases} b(t) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}], \\ \eta(\frac{1}{3} - t, -r\varphi_1) & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}], \\ \eta(t - \frac{2}{3}, r\varphi_1) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $e_r(t) \in \Gamma_r$  and  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(e_r(t)) < 0$ . By the definition of  $\Gamma_r$  and (7), we see that  $u_5$  is a critical point given by the critical value  $\inf_{u \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(u(t))$

$< 0$ . Hence,  $J_\lambda(u_5) < 0$  and  $u_5 \neq 0$ . Since we may assume that  $u = 0$  is an isolated critical point in  $B(0, r)$ , letting  $r$  go to zero, we get that  $u_5$  must be sign-changing. Let

$$D = \{u \in M : -\phi \leq u(x) \leq \phi\}.$$

From Theorem 8,  $D$  and  $\overset{\circ}{D}$  are positively invariant under the descend flow of  $J_\lambda$  (negative pseudo-gradient flow). Let  $U = \{h \in M : \exists t_h > 0 \text{ such that } \eta(t_h, h) \in \overset{\circ}{D}\}$  where  $\eta(t, u)$  is the unique solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \eta(t, u)}{\partial t} &= v(\eta(t, u)), \\ \eta(t, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $U$  is an open set in  $Y$  and a positively invariant set under the negative pseudo-gradient flow of  $J_\lambda$  in  $M$ . Since  $\eta(t, u)$  has continuous dependence on the initial value  $h$ , it is also easy to prove that  $\partial U$  is an invariant set under the negative pseudo-gradient flow. Moreover,  $J_\lambda$  is bounded from below on  $\partial U$  and satisfies the compactness condition (C) and the deformation property in  $M$ .

From Lemma 19, we know that there exists a path  $L \subset M$  connecting  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  and  $-\overset{\circ}{P}$  such that  $J_\lambda(u) < 0$  for all  $u \in L$ . Define  $Z = \{tu : t > 0 \text{ and } u \in L\}$  and note that  $Z$  is homeomorphic to the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We already know that  $P$  and  $-P$  are positively invariant sets under the negative pseudo-gradient flow. Thus,  $U \cap Z$  is a bounded and relatively open set in  $Z$  and  $\partial(U \cap Z) \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $U \cap Z$  is connected, since otherwise, we consider a connected component  $Z' \subset Z$  of  $U \cap Z$ , with  $(0, 0) \in Z$  instead of  $U \cap Z$  and, by result of [18, Chapter 4], there exists at least one connected component  $C \subset \partial Z$  such that  $C \cap \overset{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $C \cap -\overset{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$  and  $C \cap (M - (-P \cup P)) \neq \emptyset$ . To apply the result of [18], we consider the homeomorphic image of  $U$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2+}$ , add its reflection to the other half plane, obtaining so an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover,  $L$  can be chosen arcwise connected.

Since  $P$  and  $-P$  are positively invariant sets under the negative pseudo-gradient flow  $J_\lambda$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} V_P &= \{h \in M : \exists t_h \geq 0 \text{ such that } \eta(t_h, h) \in \overset{\circ}{P}\}, \text{ and} \\ V_{-P} &= \{h \in M : \exists t_h \geq 0 \text{ such that } \eta(t_h, h) \in -\overset{\circ}{P}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the strongly order preserving property of the inverse operator  $K$ , the sets  $V_P, V_{-P}, \partial V_P$  and  $\partial V_{-P}$  are invariant open sets of the negative pseudo-gradient

flow  $\eta(t, u)$  in  $M$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_+ &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap P} J_\lambda(u), \\ C_- &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap -P} J_\lambda(u), \\ C_0 &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap V_P} J_\lambda(u). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $C_+$ ,  $C_-$  and  $C_0$  attain their minima say  $u_1^+$ ,  $u_3^-$  and  $u_6$  respectively. Since  $\partial U \cap P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\partial U \cap -P \neq \emptyset$  and  $\partial U \cap \partial V_0 \neq \emptyset$  are invariant sets under the flow  $\eta(t, u)$ , we claim that  $u_1^+$ ,  $u_3^-$  and  $u_6$  are critical points of  $J_\lambda$  for any fixed direction in  $J$ . In fact,  $J_\lambda(u_1^+) \neq 0$  implies that  $J_\lambda(\eta(t, u_1^+)) < C_+$  for  $t > 0$ , but  $\eta(t, u_1^+) \in \partial U \cap P$  for all  $t > 0$ , so we get a contradiction with the definition of  $C_+$ . Similar arguments are used to prove that  $u_3^-$  and  $u_6$  are critical points of  $J_\lambda$ . We have  $u_1^+ > u_2^+ > 0$ ,  $u_3^- < u_4^- < 0$ . From the strong maximum principle and the fact that  $u_6 \in \partial U \cap \partial V$ , it follows that  $u_6$  is sign-changing.

**5.2 Proof of Theorem 7.** From  $(f'_4)$  and using similar argument as in [4], we find  $N = N(\lambda) > 0$  satisfying

$$-\Delta(Ne) > f(\lambda, x, Ne).$$

By the continuity of the function  $A(x, u)$  with respect to  $u$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and by  $(A_1)$ , we have

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x, Ne)\nabla(Ne)) > -\beta\Delta(Ne) > f(\lambda, x, Ne).$$

Thus,  $Ne$  is a strict supersolution of  $(P_\lambda)$ , and for  $r > 0$  small enough,  $r\varphi_1 < Ne$  is a pair of subsolution and supersolution,  $-Ne < -r\varphi_1$  is another one. By similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, the problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a negative solution  $u_4^-$  and a positive solution  $u_2^+$  such that  $-Ne < u_4^- < -r\varphi_1$ ,  $r\varphi_1 < u_2^+ < Ne$ ,  $u_2^+$  is the minimal positive minimizer,  $u_4^-$  is the maximal negative minimizer of  $J_\lambda$ , and  $J_\lambda$  has a mountain-pass point  $u_5 \in M$  such that  $u_5 \in [-Ne, Ne] \setminus ([-Ne, -r\varphi_1] \cup [r\varphi_1, Ne])$ ,  $u_4^- < u_5 < u_2^+$ ,  $u_5 \neq 0$  and is sign-changing.

From  $(f'_2)$ , there exists  $u_0 > 0$  such that  $f(\lambda, x, u) > 0$  and  $\frac{f(\lambda, x, u)}{F(\lambda, x, u)} \geq \frac{\theta}{u}$  for all  $u \in \max\{u_0, R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ . Hence,  $F(\lambda, x, u) \geq Cu^\theta$  for some  $C > 0$ . Therefore, there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \left( \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2C}{\beta} u^\theta) dx \right) + C_1.$$

Since  $\theta > 2$ , if we choose  $u = t\varphi_1$  for  $t > 0$  then  $J_\lambda(t\varphi_1) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exists  $T_0 > N$  such that

$$(23) \quad J_\lambda(T_0\varphi_1) < 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(te) \Big|_{t=T_0} < 0,$$

and

$$(24) \quad \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(te)|_{t=N} < 0,$$

since  $e(x)$  is fixed in  $M$  and  $v(t) = te(x)$  is linear. Hence, by implicit functions theorem, there exists  $T_1$  with  $N < T_1 < T_0$  such that  $T_1 e \in \partial U$ . Therefore,  $\partial U \cap \{u_2^+ + P\} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $w + P = \{u = w + v, v \in P\}$ . By a similar argument we get  $\partial U \cap \{u_2^+ - P\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be the first and the second eigenfunctions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \lambda u, & \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $v \in \text{Span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  for  $e(x)$  in (23) and (24), and using a similar argument, we get  $\partial U \cap (M - (-P \cup P)) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $P, -P$  are positively invariant under  $\eta$ , if  $V_P$  and  $V_{-P}$  are defined as in the proof of Theorem 5, then by similar argument,  $\partial V_P, V_P, \partial V_{-P}, V_{-P}, u_2^+ + P$  and  $u_4^- - P$  are invariant sets. Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_+ &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap (u_2^+ + P)} J_\lambda(u), \\ C_- &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap (u_4^- - P)} J_\lambda(u), \\ C_0 &= \inf_{u \in \partial U \cap V_P} J_\lambda(u). \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, we get that our six solutions  $u_1^+ > u_2^+ > 0, u_3^- < u_4^- < 0, u_5$  and  $u_6$  are sign-changing. The proof is complete.

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referees for helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alama S., Del Pino M., *Solutions of elliptic equation with indefinite nonlinearities via Morse theory and linking*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **13** (1996), 95–115.
- [2] Alama S., Tarantello G., *On semilinear elliptic equations with indefinite nonlinearities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **1** (1993), 469–475.
- [3] Amann H., *Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces*, SIAM Rev. **18** (1976), 620–709.
- [4] Ambrosetti A., Brezis H., Cerami G., *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994), 519–543.
- [5] Ambrosetti A., Azorero J.G., Peral I., *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **137** (1996), 214–242.
- [6] Ambrosetti A., Azorero J.G., Peral I., *Existence and multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, a survey, SISSA preprint 4/2000/M.

- [7] Arcoya D., Boccardo L., *Some remarks on critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals*, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **6** (1999), 79–100.
- [8] Arcoya D., Carmona J., Pellacci B., *Bifurcation for some quasi-linear operators*, SISSA preprint, 1999.
- [9] Artola M., Boccardo L., *Positive solutions for some quasi-linear elliptic equations*, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **3** (1996), no. 4, 89–98.
- [10] Chang K.C., *Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [11] Chang K.C.,  *$H^1$  versus  $C^1$  isolated critical points*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.* **319** (1994), 441–446.
- [12] Dancer E.N., and Du Yihong, *A note on multiple solutions for some semilinear elliptic problems*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **211** (1997), 626–640.
- [13] de Figueiredo D.G., *Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems*, in *Variational Methods in Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, ICTP Trieste autumn course, 1981.
- [14] Mawhin J., Willem M., *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [15] Li S., Wang Z.Q., *Mountain-pass theorem in order intervals and multiple solutions for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet's problems*, *J. Anal. Math.* **81** (2000), 373–395.
- [16] Li S., Zhang Z., *Sign-changing solutions and multiple solution theorems for semilinear elliptic boundary value problems with jumping nonlinearities*, *Acta Math. Sinica* **16** (2000), no. 1, 113–122.
- [17] Struwe M., *Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [18] Whyburn G.T., *Topological Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1958.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE KINSHASA, B.P. 190, KINSHASA XI, R.D. CONGO

*E-mail*: rwalo@yahoo.com

(Received August 28, 2003, revised December 14, 2006)