

On approximation of functions by certain operators preserving x^2

LUCYNA REMPULSKA, KAROLINA TOMCZAK

Abstract. In this paper we extend the Duman-King idea of approximation of functions by positive linear operators preserving $e_k(x) = x^k$, $k = 0, 2$. Using a modification of certain operators L_n preserving e_0 and e_1 , we introduce operators L_n^* which preserve e_0 and e_2 and next we define operators $L_{n;r}^*$ for r -times differentiable functions. We show that L_n^* and $L_{n;r}^*$ have better approximation properties than L_n and $L_{n;r}$.

Keywords: positive linear operators, polynomial weighted space, degree of approximation

Classification: 41A25, 41A36

1. Introduction

1.1. It is well known ([3-5]) that many of classical approximation operators L_n satisfy the following conditions for the functions $e_k(x) = x^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2$:

$$(1) \quad L_n(e_0; x) = 1, \quad L_n(e_1; x) = x,$$

and

$$(2) \quad L_n(e_2; x) = x^2 + \frac{ax^2 + bx}{\lambda_n},$$

for $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, where a, b are given non-negative numbers, $a^2 + b^2 > 0$, and $(\lambda_n)_1^\infty$, $\lambda_1 \geq 1$, is a fixed increasing and unbounded sequence of numbers.

We say that the operators L_n preserve the functions e_0 and e_1 if the conditions (1) are satisfied.

The conditions (1) and (2) hold, in particular, for the Szász-Mirakyan, Baskakov, Post-Widder and Stancu operators ([1]–[5], [7], [11]–[14]).

In the papers [6]–[8], there were introduced certain modified Bernstein, Szász-Mirakyan and Meyer-König and Zeller operators, which preserve the functions e_0 and e_2 and have better approximation properties than classical operators.

In the paper [13] we have extended the Duman-King idea, [6]–[8], to the Post-Widder and Stancu operators considered in polynomial weighted spaces.

1.2. G. Kirov [9] and other authors (e.g. [10], [11]) have examined approximation properties of linear operators

$$(3) \quad L_{n;r}(f; x) := L_n(F_r(t, x); x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with

$$(4) \quad F_r(t, x) := \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x - t)^j,$$

for r -times differentiable functions f , using operators L_n with conditions (1). These authors have shown that the order of approximation of an r -times differentiable function f by $L_{n;r}(f)$ is dependent on r and it improves if r grows.

1.3. Let \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{R} be sets of non-negative integers and real numbers, correspondingly, and let I be the interval $(0, \infty)$ (or $[0, \infty)$).

Analogously to [2] let $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(5) \quad w_0(x) := 1, \quad w_p(x) := (1 + x^p)^{-1} \quad \text{if } p \geq 1,$$

for $x \in I$, and let $B_p \equiv B_p(I)$ be the set of all functions $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which fw_p is bounded on I and the norm is defined by the formula

$$(6) \quad \|f\|_p \equiv \|f(\cdot)\|_p := \sup_{x \in I} w_p(x)|f(x)|.$$

Next let $C_p \equiv C_p(I)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be the set of all $f \in B_p$ for which fw_p is uniformly continuous on I and the norm is given by (6). C_p is called the polynomial weighted space.

Moreover, let $C^r \equiv C^r(I)$, with a fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$, be the set of all r -times differentiable functions $f \in C_r$ with derivatives $f^{(k)} \in C_{r-k}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ and the norm in C^r is given by (6).

It is obvious that if $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p < q$, then $B_p \subset B_q$, $C_p \subset C_q$ and $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$ for $f \in B_p$. Obviously, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $w_p \in C_0$ and $\frac{1}{w_p} \in C^p$ (here $C^0 \equiv C_0$).

1.4. The purpose of this paper is to extend the Duman-King and Kirov methods to the classes of operators L_n and $L_{n;r}$ satisfying the conditions (1)–(4), defined in polynomial weighted spaces C_p and C^r .

In Section 2 we shall introduce the operators $L_n, L_n^*, L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$ for functions $f \in C_p$ and $f \in C^r$, correspondingly, and we shall give some of their basic properties.

In Section 3 we shall give the main approximation theorems.

In this paper we shall denote by $M_k(\alpha, \beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, suitable positive constants depending only on the indicated parameters α and β .

2. Definitions and auxiliary results

2.1. Let $(L_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ (or $n \geq n_0$) be a sequence of positive linear operators with the following properties:

- (i) $L_n : C_p \rightarrow B_p$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) L_n satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with fixed a , b and (λ_n) ,
- (iii) there exists $M_1 \equiv M_1(a, b, p) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for the functions

$$(7) \quad T_{n;p}(x) := L_n(\varphi_x^p(t); x), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 2 \leq p \in \mathbb{N},$$

with

$$(8) \quad \varphi_x(t) := t - x, \quad t \in I,$$

there holds

$$(9) \quad \|T_{n;2p}\|_{2p} \leq M_1 \lambda_n^{-p} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the above operators L_n , we define for $f \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following operators:

$$(10) \quad L_n^*(f; x) := L_n(f; u_n(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$(11) \quad u_n(x) := \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4\lambda_n(a + \lambda_n)x^2}}{2(a + \lambda_n)}.$$

Next, for the functions $f \in C^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the operators $L_{n;r}$ by formulas (3) and (4) and the operators $L_{n;r}^*$:

$$(12) \quad L_{n;r}^*(f; x) := L_n^*(F_r(t, x); x), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where F_r is defined by (4).

From the properties of the above operators L_n and formulas (10) and (11), it follows that L_n^* , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a positive linear operator acting from the space C_p to B_p for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and by (1), (2) and (8) we have

$$(13) \quad L_n^*(e_0; x) = 1, \quad L_n^*(e_1; x) = u_n(x), \quad L_n^*(e_2; x) = x^2,$$

$$(14) \quad L_n(\varphi_x^2(t); x) = \frac{ax^2 + bx}{\lambda_n}$$

and

$$(15) \quad L_n^*(\varphi_x^2(t); x) = 2x(x - u_n(x)),$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, from (3), (4) and (10)–(12) we deduce that $L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$ for $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, are well defined on the space C^r and

$$(16) \quad L_{n;r}^*(f; x) = L_{n;r}(f; u_n(x)), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for every $f \in C^r$.

2.2. Here we shall give some lemmas on basic properties of the introduced operators.

By (i)–(iii) and (10) and (11) we easily obtain the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let u_n be defined by (11) for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with fixed numbers $a, b \geq 0$, $a^2 + b^2 > 0$ and $(\lambda_n)_1^\infty$ given by (2). Then we have*

$$(17) \quad 0 \leq u_n(x) \leq x, \quad 0 \leq x - u_n(x) \leq \frac{ax + b}{\lambda_n},$$

$$(18) \quad \sqrt{\frac{ax^2 + bx}{\lambda_n}} - \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))} \geq \frac{2ax + b}{4(2ax + b + 2\lambda_n x)} \sqrt{\frac{ax^2 + bx}{\lambda_n}},$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n (x - u_n(x)) = \frac{ax + b}{2} \quad \text{at every } x \in I.$$

Lemma 2. *For every $f, g \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds*

$$|L_n(f(t)g(t); x)| \leq \left(L_n(f^2(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(L_n(g^2(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The identical inequality holds for the operators L_n^* .

By (5) and (17) we easily derive the following inequalities

$$(20) \quad w_p^2(x) \leq w_{2p}(x), \quad 1/w_p^2(x) \leq 2/w_{2p}(x), \quad 0 < w_p(x)/w_p(u_n(x)) \leq 1,$$

for $x \in I$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Lemma 3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let a, b and λ_n be fixed numbers connected with operators L_n given by the formula (2). Then there exists $M_2 = M_2(a, b, p) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that*

$$(21) \quad \|L_n^*(1/w_p)\|_p \leq \|L_n(1/w_p)\|_p \leq M_2 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, for every $f \in C_p$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(22) \quad \|L_n^*(f)\|_p \leq \|L_n(f)\|_p \leq M_2 \|f\|_p.$$

The formulas (10) and (11) and the inequality (22) show that L_n^* , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a positive linear operator acting from the space C_p into B_p for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

PROOF: If $p = 0$, then by (5), (6), (1) and (13) it follows that $\|L_n^*(1/w_0)\|_0 = \|L_n(1/w_0)\|_0 = 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then by the linearity of L_n and (5), (1) and (8) we have

$$L_n(1/w_p(t); x) = 1 + L_n(e_p; x) \leq 1 + 2^p(x^p + L_n(|\varphi_x(t)|^p; x)),$$

which by (5)–(9), (20) and Lemma 2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} w_p(x)L_n(1/w_p; x) &\leq 2^p + 2^p \left(w_{2p}(x)L_n(\varphi_x^{2p}(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2^p \left(1 + \sqrt{M_1/\lambda_n^p} \right) \leq 2^p \left(1 + \sqrt{M_1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the inequality (21) is proved for L_n .

By (10), (20) and (6) we can write

$$w_p(x)L_n^*(1/w_p; x) \leq w_p(u_n(x))L_n(1/w_p; u_n(x)) \leq \|L_n(1/w_p)\|_p$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which by (6) yields (21) for L_n^* .

The inequality (22) for $f \in C_p$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, follows by (10), (20), (6), (21) and the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} w_p(x)|L_n^*(f; x)| &\leq w_p(u_n(x))|L_n(f; u_n(x))| \leq \|L_n(f)\|_p \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|L_n(1/w_p)\|_p \leq M_2 \|f\|_p, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$ be operators defined by (3), (4) and (10)–(12) with fixed parameters a, b and λ_n connected with L_n . Then there exists $M_3 = M_3(a, b, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C^r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds*

$$(23) \quad \|L_{n;r}^*(f)\|_r \leq \|L_{n;r}(f)\|_r \leq \|f\|_r + M_3 \|f^{(r)}\|_0.$$

The formulas (3), (4) and (12) and the inequalities (23) show that $L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are linear operators acting from the space C^r to B_r .

PROOF: Choose $f \in C^r$ with a fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in I$. Then, by the modified Taylor formula we have

$$(24) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x-t)^j + I_r(t, x), \quad x \in I,$$

where

$$(25) \quad I_r(t, x) := \frac{(x-t)^r}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} \left[f^{(r)}(t+u(x-t)) - f^{(r)}(t) \right] du.$$

From (24), (25) and (4) it results that

$$(26) \quad F_r(t, x) = f(x) - I_r(t, x) \quad \text{for } t, x \in I,$$

which next by (3) and (1) implies that

$$(27) \quad L_{n;r}(f(t); x) = f(x) - L_n(I_r(t, x); x)$$

and consequently

$$(28) \quad w_r(x) |L_{n;r}(f(t); x)| \leq \|f\|_r + w_r(x) L_n(|I_r(t, x)|; x),$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But if $f \in C^r$, then $f^{(r)} \in C_0$ and by (25) and (8) we have

$$|I_r(t, x)| \leq (2/r!) \|f^{(r)}\|_0 |\varphi_x(t)|^r$$

and next by Lemma 2, (20) and (7)–(9) we get

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} w_r(x) L_n(|I_r(t, x)|; x) &\leq \frac{2}{r!} \|f^{(r)}\|_0 \left(w_{2r}(x) L_n(\varphi_x^{2r}(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{r!} \|f^{(r)}\|_0 (M_1/\lambda_n^r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(2\sqrt{M_1}/r! \right) \|f^{(r)}\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, using (29) to (28), we obtain the inequality (23) for $L_{n;r}$.

The formula (16) and the inequality (20) imply that for $f \in C^r$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) |L_{n;r}^*(f; x)| &\leq w_r(u_n(x)) |L_{n;r}(f; u_n(x))| \\ &\leq \|L_{n;r}(f)\|_r \quad \text{for } x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which by (6) completes the proof of (23). □

3. Theorems

3.1. In this section we shall estimate the orders of approximation of a function $f \in C_p$ by $L_n(f)$ and $L_n^*(f)$, and also $f \in C^r$ by $L_{n;r}(f)$ and $L_{n;r}^*(f)$. We shall use the modulus of continuity of a function $f \in C_p$, i.e.

$$(30) \quad \omega(f; t)_p := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_h f(\cdot)\|_p \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a fixed number and L_n and L_n^* are operators defined in Section 2. Then there exists $M_4 = M_4(a, b, p) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C_p$ having the first derivative f' belonging to C_p there holds

$$(31) \quad w_p(x) |L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_4 \|f'\|_p \sqrt{(ax^2 + bx)/\lambda_n}$$

and

$$(32) \quad w_p(x) |L_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_4 \|f'\|_p \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))},$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF: Choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C_p$ for which $f' \in C_p$. Then for a fixed $x \in I$ we can write

$$f(t) - f(x) = \int_x^t f'(u) du, \quad t \in I.$$

Using now L_n and (1), (8), Lemma 2 and (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f(x); x) - f(x)| &\leq L_n \left(\left| \int_x^t f'(u) du \right|; x \right) \\ &\leq \|f'\|_p L_n \left(\left| \int_x^t \frac{du}{w_p(u)} \right|; x \right) \\ &\leq \|f'\|_p (L_n(|\varphi_x(t)|/w_p(t); x) + L_n(|\varphi_x(t)|; x)) \\ &\leq \|f'\|_p \left(L_n(\varphi_x^2(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((2L_n(1/w_{2p}(t); x))^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this and by (14), (20) and (21) we immediately obtain the desired inequality (31).

The proof of (32) is similar. □

Theorem 2. Let p, L_n and L_n^* satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. Then there exists $M_5 = M_5(a, b, p) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that

$$(33) \quad w_p(x) |L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_5 \omega \left(f; \sqrt{(ax^2 + bx)/\lambda_n} \right)_p$$

and

$$(34) \quad w_p(x) |L_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_5 \omega \left(f; \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))} \right)_p,$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF: Similarly to [2] and [13] we use the Steklov function f_h of $f \in C_p$, i.e.

$$f_h(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(x+t) dt, \quad x \in I, \quad h > 0.$$

It is easily verified that f_h and the derivative f'_h belong to C_p as well, and by (30) we have:

$$(35) \quad \|f_h - f\|_p \leq \omega(f; h)_p,$$

and

$$(36) \quad \|f'_h\|_p \leq h^{-1} \omega(f; h)_p \quad \text{for } h > 0.$$

Applying (13), (22), Theorem 1, (30), (35) and (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & w_r(x) |L_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq w_r(x) (|L_n^*(f(t) - f_h(t); x)| + |L_n(f_h(t); x) - f_h(x)| + |f_h(x) - f(x)|) \\ & \leq M_2 \|f - f_h\|_p + M_4 \|f'_h\|_p \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))} + \|f_h - f\|_p \\ & \leq \omega(f; h)_p \left(M_1 + 1 + M_4 h^{-1} \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$. Now setting $h = \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))}$, we obtain the desired estimate (34).

The proof of (33) is identical. □

From Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 we can derive the following two corollaries.

Corollary 1. *For every $f \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(f; x) = f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^*(f; x) \quad \text{at } x \in I.$$

This convergence is uniform on every interval $[x_1, x_2]$, $x_1 > 0$.

Corollary 2. *The inequalities (17), (18), (33) and (34) show that the operators L_n^* , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have better approximation properties than L_n for functions $f \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Theorem 3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$ be operators defined in Section 2. Then for every $f \in C^r$ we have:*

$$(37) \quad w_r(x) |L_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{2}{r!} (M_1/\lambda_n^r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \sqrt{(ax^2 + bx)/\lambda_n} \right)_0$$

and

$$(38) \quad w_r(x) |L_{n;r}^*(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{2}{r!} (M_1/\lambda_n^r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))} \right)_0$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M_1 = \text{const.} > 0$ is given by (9).

PROOF: First we prove the inequality (37). Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C^r$ and $x \in I$. Then by (27) it follows that

$$|L_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq L_n(|I_r(t, x)|; x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (8), (30) and properties of the modulus of continuity of $f^{(r)} \in C_0$, we deduce from (25):

$$\begin{aligned} |I_r(t, x)| &\leq \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^r}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; u|\varphi_x(t)| \right)_0 du \\ &\leq \omega \left(f^{(r)}; |\varphi_x(t)| \right)_0 \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^r}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r!} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \delta \right)_0 \left(|\varphi_x(t)|^r + \delta^{-1} |\varphi_x(t)|^{r+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$ and every fixed $\delta > 0$. Consequently,

$$|L_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{r!} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \delta \right)_0 \left(L_n(|\varphi_x(t)|^r; x) + \delta^{-1} L_n(|\varphi_x(t)|^{r+1}; x) \right),$$

which by Lemma 2, (1), (20), (7)–(9) and (14) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (39) \quad w_r(x) |L_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{r!} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \delta \right)_0 \|T_{n;2r}\|_{2r} \\ &\times \left(1 + \delta^{-1} \left(L_n(\varphi_x^2(t); x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq (1/r!) \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \delta \right)_0 (M_1 \lambda_n^{-r})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{(ax^2 + bx)/\lambda_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Setting $\delta = \sqrt{(ax^2 + bx)/\lambda_n}$ to (39), we obtain (37) for chosen $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying (12), (26), (25) and (13), and arguing as above, we can write the following analogues of (27) and (39) for $f \in C^r$ and $L_{n;r}^*(f)$, i.e.

$$L_{n;r}^*(f; x) - f(x) = -L_n^*(I_r(t, x); x)$$

and

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} w_r(x) |L_{n;r}^*(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{r!} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; \delta \right)_0 \\ &\times \left(w_{2r}(x) L_n^* \left(\varphi_x^{2r}(t); x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \delta^{-1} \left(L_n^* \left(\varphi_x^2(t); x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every fixed $\delta > 0$. But by (23) and (6)–(9) it follows that

$$(41) \quad w_{2r}(x) L_n^* \left(\varphi_x^{2r}(t); x \right) \leq \|T_{n;2r}\|_{2r} \leq M_1 \lambda_n^{-r}$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (41) and (15) to (40) and next putting $\delta = \sqrt{2x(x - u_n(x))}$, we obtain the estimate (38). □

From Theorem 3 and (17) and (18) we can derive:

Corollary 3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C^r$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{r/2} (L_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{r/2} (L_{n;r}^*(f; x) - f(x))$$

at every $x \in I$. This convergence is uniform on every interval $[x_1, x_2]$, $x_1 > 0$.

Corollary 4. *The inequalities (33) and (37) show that the order of approximation of an r -times differentiable function $f \in C^r$ by $L_{n;r}(f)$ is better than by $L_n(f)$. This order of approximation of $f \in C^r$ by $L_{n;r}(f)$ improves if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ grows.*

The identical properties have operators L_n^* and $L_{n;r}^*$ in spaces C^r , $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the inequalities (37), (38), (17) and (18) show that operators $L_{n;r}^*$ have better approximation properties than $L_{n;r}$ for functions $f \in C^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.2. Here we present the Voronovskaya type theorems for the operators considered.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a function $f \in C_p$ has derivatives $f', f'' \in C_p$. Then*

$$(42) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n (L_n(f; x) - f(x)) = \frac{ax^2 + bx}{2} f''(x)$$

and

$$(43) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n (L_n^*(f; x) - f(x)) = -\frac{ax + b}{2} f'(x) + \frac{ax^2 + bx}{2} f''(x),$$

at every $x \in I$.

PROOF: We show only (43) because the proof of (42) is analogous.

Choose a function f satisfying the above assumptions and $x \in I$. Then by the Taylor formula we can write

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)(t - x)^2 + \alpha(t, x)(t - x)^2, \quad t \in I,$$

where $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha(t, x)$ is a function belonging to C_p and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \alpha(t) = \alpha(x) = 0$. Using the operator L_n^* and next (8), (13) and (15), we get

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n^*(f(t); x) &= f(x) + (u_n(x) - x) f'(x) + x(x - u_n(x)) f''(x) \\ &\quad + L_n^*\left(\alpha(t)\varphi_x^2(t); x\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, we get

$$\left| L_n^*\left(\alpha(t)\varphi_x^2(t); x\right) \right| \leq \left(L_n^*\left(\alpha^2(t); x\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(L_n^*\left(\varphi_x^4(t); x\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and moreover, by the properties of $\alpha(\cdot)$, Corollary 1 and (41) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^*\left(\alpha^2(t); x\right) &= \alpha^2(x) = 0, \\ \lambda_n^2 L_n^*\left(\varphi_x^4(t); x\right) &\leq M_1/w_4(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above it follows that

$$(45) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n L_n^*\left(\alpha(t)\varphi_x^2(t); x\right) = 0.$$

Applying (19) and (45), we immediately derive (43) from (44). □

Theorem 5. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in C^r$ be a function whose derivatives $f^{(r+1)}$ and $f^{(r+2)}$ belong to C_0 . Then, for the operators $L_{n;r}^*$, the following asymptotic formula holds:

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{n;r}^*(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{(-1)^r f^{(r+1)}(x) L_n^*\left(\varphi_x^{r+1}(t); x\right)}{(r+1)!} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^r (r+1) f^{(r+2)}(x) L_n^*\left(\varphi_x^{r+2}(t); x\right)}{(r+2)!} \\ &\quad + o_x\left(\lambda_n^{-(r+2)/2}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

at every $x \in I$.

The analogous asymptotic formula holds for the operators $L_{n;r}$.

PROOF: Choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$ and $f \in C^r$ satisfying the above assumptions. Then the derivative $f^{(j)}$, $0 \leq j \leq r + 2$, is an $(r + 2 - j)$ -times differentiable function on I . Hence for every $f^{(j)}$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, we can write the Taylor formula at given x :

$$f^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+2-j} \frac{f^{(j+i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \alpha_j(t, x)(t-x)^{r+2-j}, \quad t \in I,$$

where $\alpha_j(t) \equiv \alpha_j(t, x)$ is a function belonging to C_0 and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \alpha_j(t) = \alpha_j(x) = 0$. Using this formula to F_r given by (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(t, x) &= \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{r+2-j} \frac{f^{(j+i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^{j+i} \\ &\quad + (t-x)^{r+2} \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \alpha_j(t) \\ (47) \quad &= \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \sum_{s=j}^r \binom{s}{j} \frac{f^{(s)}(x)}{s!} (t-x)^s \\ &\quad + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)(t-x)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r+1}{j} \\ &\quad + \frac{f^{(r+2)}(x)(t-x)^{r+2}}{(r+2)!} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r+2}{j} \\ &\quad + (t-x)^{r+2} A_r(t) \quad \text{for } t \in I, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(48) \quad A_r(t) := \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \alpha_j(t).$$

The following identities for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}, \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m+1}{j} (-1)^j &= (-1)^m, \quad \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m+2}{j} (-1)^j = (m+1)(-1)^m, \end{aligned}$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \sum_{s=j}^r \binom{s}{j} \frac{f^{(s)}(x)}{s!} (t-x)^s \\ = \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{f^{(s)}(x)(t-x)^s}{s!} \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} (-1)^j = f(x), \end{aligned}$$

which applied to (47) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(t, x) = f(x) + \frac{(-1)^r f^{(r+1)}(x)(t-x)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \\ + \frac{(-1)^r (r+1) f^{(r+2)}(x)(t-x)^{r+2}}{(r+2)!} + (t-x)^{r+2} A_r(t), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$. From this and (12), (13) and (8) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n;r}^*(f(t); x) = f(x) + \frac{(-1)^r f^{(r+1)}(x) L_n^*(\varphi_x^{r+1}(t); x)}{(r+1)!} \\ (49) \quad + \frac{(-1)^r (r+1) f^{(r+2)}(x) L_n^*(\varphi_x^{r+2}(t); x)}{(r+2)!} \\ + L_n^*(A_r(t) \varphi_x^{r+2}(t); x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that, by the properties of the functions α_j , (48) and Corollary 1,

$$(50) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^*(A_r^2(t); x) = A_r^2(x) = 0.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4 and applying (50) and (41), we obtain

$$L_n^*(A_r(t) \varphi_x^{r+2}(t); x) = o_x \left(\lambda_n^{-(r+2)/2} \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which, applied to (49), yields the desired asymptotic formula (46). □

4. Examples

Finally we present four examples of well-known positive linear operators L_n which satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) given in Section 2.

1. The Szász-Mirakyan operators ([2]–[5])

$$(51) \quad S_n(f; x) := e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfy the conditions (1) and (2) with $a = 0$, $b = 1$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. The Baskakov operators ([2], [5])

$$(52) \quad V_n(f; x) := (1+x)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

$$x \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfy also the conditions (1) and (2) with $a = b = 1$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. The Post-Widder operators ([5], [13]) are defined for $f \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by the following integral formula:

$$(53) \quad P_n(f; x) := \int_0^{\infty} f(t)p_n(x, t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$p_n(x, t) := \frac{(n/x)^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp(-nt/x).$$

These operators satisfy (1) and (2) with $a = 1$, $b = 0$ and $\lambda_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. The beta Stancu operators ([14], [13]) are defined for $f \in C_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by the formula:

$$(54) \quad \tilde{L}_n(f; x) := \int_0^{\infty} f(t)s_n(x, t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad n \geq p+2,$$

where

$$s_n(x, t) := \frac{t^{nx-1}}{B(nx, n+1)(1+t)^{nx+n-1}}$$

and B is the Euler beta function. Now the conditions (1) and (2) hold with $a = b = 1$ and $\lambda_n = n - 1$ for $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using the formulas (3), (4), (10)–(12) and (51)–(54), we can define the modified Szász-Mirakyan, Baskakov, Post-Widder and Stancu operators: S_n^* , V_n^* , P_n^* and \tilde{L}_n^* in the space C_p , $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the corresponding operators $L_{n;r}$ and $L_{n;r}^*$.

Hence, from Theorems 1–5 and Corollaries 1–4 we can deduce approximation properties of operators S_n , V_n , P_n and \tilde{L}_n and their modifications for functions $f \in C_p$ and $f \in C^r$, correspondingly.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baskakov V.A., *An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **113** (1957), 249–251.
- [2] Becker M., *Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakyan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **27** (1978), no. 1, 127–142.
- [3] De Vore R.A., *The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1972.

- [4] De Vore R.A., Lorentz G.G., *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1993.
- [5] Ditzian Z., Totik V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [6] Duman O., Özarslan M.A., *MKZ type operators providing a better estimation on $[1/2, 1)$* , *Canad. Math. Bull.* **50** (2007), 434–439.
- [7] Duman O., Özarslan M.A., *Szász-Mirakyan type operators providing a better error estimation*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **20** (2007), no. 12, 1184–1188.
- [8] King J.P., *Positive linear operators which preserve x^2* , *Acta Math. Hungar.* **99** (2003), 203–208.
- [9] Kirov G.H., *A generalization of the Bernstein polynomials*, *Math. Balcanica* **2** (1992), no. 2, 147–153.
- [10] Kirov G.H., Popova L., *A generalization of the linear positive operators*, *Math. Balcanica* **7** (1993), no. 2, 149–162.
- [11] Rempulska L., Walczak Z., *Modified Szász-Mirakyan operators*, *Math. Balcanica* **18** (2004), 53–63.
- [12] Rempulska L., Skorupka M., *Approximation properties of modified gamma operators*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18** (2007), no. 9–10, 653–662.
- [13] Rempulska L., Skorupka M., *On approximation by Post-Widder and Stancu operators preserving x^2* , *Kyung. Math. J.*, to appear.
- [14] Stancu D.D., *On the beta approximating operators of second kind*, *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.* **24** (1995), no. (1–2), 231–239.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL. PIOTROWO 3A,
60-965 POZNAŃ, POLAND

E-mail: lrempuls@math.put.poznan.pl

(Received December 21, 2007, revised June 26, 2008)