

On the Lindelöf property of spaces of continuous functions over a Tychonoff space and its subspaces

OLEG OKUNEV

Abstract. We study relations between the Lindelöf property in the spaces of continuous functions with the topology of pointwise convergence over a Tychonoff space and over its subspaces. We prove, in particular, the following: a) if $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, $Y = X \cup \{p\}$, and the point p has countable character in Y , then $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf; b) if Y is a cozero subspace of a Tychonoff space X , then $l(C_p(Y)^\omega) \leq l(C_p(X)^\omega)$ and $\text{ext}(C_p(Y)^\omega) \leq \text{ext}(C_p(X)^\omega)$.

Keywords: pointwise convergence, Lindelöf property

Classification: 54C35, 54D20

All spaces below are assumed to be Tychonoff (that is, completely regular Hausdorff). We use terminology and notation as in [Eng].

Given two spaces X and Z , we denote by $C_p(X, Z)$ the space of all continuous functions from X to Z equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence (that is, the topology of the subspace of the space Z^X of all functions from X to Z endowed with the Tychonoff product topology). The space $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is denoted as $C_p(X)$.

If $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping, the *dual mapping* $p^*: C_p(Y, Z) \rightarrow C_p(X, Z)$ is defined by the rule: $p^*(f) = f \circ p$ for all $f \in C_p(Y)$. The dual mapping is always continuous, is a homeomorphic embedding if p is onto, and is a closed embedding if p is quotient; see [Arh2].

A space X is a $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\delta}$ -space if it is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set in βX ; \mathcal{K} -analytic spaces are continuous images of $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\delta}$ -spaces.

In [Buz] Buzyakova raised some questions about the behavior of the Lindelöf property of the spaces $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(X, Y)$ for some simple spaces Y under “slight changes” of the spaces X and Y . In this article we give complete or partial answers to a few of these questions.

The author acknowledges support from CONACyT (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología de México) research project 61161/2006.

1. Adding a point of countable character

Proposition 1.1. *Let X be a non-pseudocompact space. Then $C_p(X) \times \omega^\omega$ is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of $C_p(X)$.*

PROOF: Since X is not pseudocompact, there is a discrete family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of non-empty open sets in X . Choose a point x_n in each U_n ; then the set $D = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ is closed and discrete in X . For every $n \in \omega$ choose a continuous function from $\phi_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $\phi_n(x_n) = 1$ and $\phi_n(X \setminus U_n) = \{0\}$. For every $f \in \mathbb{R}^D$ put

$$h(f)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x_n)\phi_n(x).$$

Note that, by the discreteness of the family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$, in a neighborhood of every $x \in X$ at most one term in the sum in the definition of $h(f)$ is distinct from zero; clearly, $h(f)(x_n) = f(x_n)$. It follows that h is a linear extension operator from $C_p(D) = \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow C_p(X)$. Since the value of $h(f)$ at a point $x \in X$ is completely and continuously determined by the value of f at at most one point of D (the one such that $x \in \bar{U}_n$, if there is any), h is continuous.

By Proposition 2.1 in [Ar1], the space $C_p(X)$ is homeomorphic to $C \times C_p(D) = C \times \mathbb{R}^\omega$ where C is the subset of $C_p(X)$ consisting of all functions equal to 0 on D . Thus, we have homeomorphisms $C_p(X) = C \times \mathbb{R}^\omega = C \times \mathbb{R}^\omega \times \mathbb{R}^\omega = C_p(X) \times \mathbb{R}^\omega$. Since ω^ω is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of \mathbb{R}^ω , we get the statement of the proposition. □

Corollary 1.2. *If X is a non-pseudocompact space, $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, and Y is a \mathcal{K} -analytic space, then $C_p(X) \times Y$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Every \mathcal{K} -analytic space is an image of ω^ω under a compact-valued upper semicontinuous mapping (see e.g. [RJ]). Hence, by Proposition 1.1, $C_p(X) \times \mathcal{K}$ is an image under a compact-valued upper semicontinuous mapping of a closed subspace of $C_p(X)$. The statement of the corollary now follows from the well-known fact that compact-valued upper semicontinuous mappings do not raise the Lindelöf number. □

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a non-pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, and P an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subspace of $C_p(X)$. Then P is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Let $P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcup_{m \in \omega} F_{nm}$ where each F_{nm} is a closed set in $C_p(X)$. Then P is the image under the projection onto $C_p(X)$ of the closed subset

$$B = \{(f, \phi) : \forall n \in \omega f \in F_{n\phi(n)}\}$$

of $C_p(X) \times \omega^\omega$. □

The next theorem provides a positive answer to Question 3.1 in [Buz].

Theorem 1.4. *Let $Y = X \cup \{p\}$ and assume that the point p has countable character in Y . If $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, then $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: If p is an isolated point in Y , then $C_p(Y) = C_p(X) \times \mathbb{R}$, and $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf. So assume that p is not isolated. Then X is not pseudocompact, by the well-known fact that a pseudocompact space is G_δ -dense in any its extension.

Let $C_0 = \{f \in C_p(Y) : f(p) = 0\}$. Then $C_p(Y)$ is homeomorphic to $C_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ (by virtue of the homeomorphism $f \mapsto (f - f(p), f(p))$ for every $f \in C_p(Y)$). Therefore, it suffices to show that C_0 is Lindelöf. The restriction mapping $r : C_p(Y) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ embeds C_0 homeomorphically into $C_p(X)$, so we need to show that the subspace $C = r(C_0)$ of $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf. Clearly, $C = \{f \in C_p(X) : \lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = 0\}$.

Let $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a countable open base for p in Y , and let $U_n = V_n \cap X$, $n \in \omega$. Then

$$C = \{f \in C_p(X) : \forall n \in \omega \exists m \in \omega \forall x \in U_m \quad |f(x)| \leq 1/(n + 1)\}.$$

Thus,

$$C = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \bigcap_{x \in U_m} \{f \in C_p(X) : |f(x)| \leq 1/(n + 1)\}$$

is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set in $C_p(X)$, hence is Lindelöf by Corollary 1.3. □

Theorem 1.4 may be slightly generalized:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $Y = X \cup K$ where K is a metrizable compact space, X is dense in Y , $K \cap X = \emptyset$, and $\chi(K, Y) \leq \omega$. If $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, then $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Since K is compact metrizable, there is a continuous linear extension operator $h : C_p(K) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ [Ar1], so by Proposition 2.1 in [Ar1], $C_p(Y)$ is homeomorphic to $C_0 \times C_p(K)$ where C_0 is the set of all functions in $C_p(Y)$ whose restrictions to K are zero.

Let $Z = Y/K$ be the quotient space, $q : Y \rightarrow Z$ the natural mapping, and $\{p\} = q(K)$. Since K is compact, q is a perfect mapping, the space Z is Tychonoff, and since the character of K in Y is countable, the character of p in Z is countable. Furthermore, $X = q^{-1}(q(Z \setminus \{p\}))$, so $q|_X$ is a perfect bijection from X to $Z \setminus \{p\}$. Thus, $Z \setminus \{p\}$ is homeomorphic to X . By Theorem 1.4, $C_p(Z)$ is Lindelöf.

The dual mapping $q^* : C_p(Z) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ is a closed embedding and C_0 is contained in $q^*(C_p(Z))$. Since C_0 is closed in $C_p(Y)$, it is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of $C_p(Z)$. By the density of X in Y , X is not pseudocompact (except the trivial case $K = \emptyset$). The space $C_p(K)$ is \mathcal{K} -analytic (in fact, a $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\delta}$ -space, see [Arh2]), so by Corollary 1.2, $C_p(Z) \times C_p(K)$ is Lindelöf. Since C_0 is homeomorphic to a closed set in $C_p(Z)$, $C_0 \times C_p(K)$ is Lindelöf, and $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf. □

Theorem 1.5 does not hold if we only require that K be an Eberlein compact space. Indeed, if Y is the one-point compactification of a Mrówka space, then it is the union of a countable discrete subspace X and the compact space K homeomorphic to the one-point compactification of a discrete space, which is an Eberlein compact space; K has countable character in Y , because its complement is countable (so it is a G_δ -set) and Y is compact. That $C_p(Y)$ for such Y cannot be Lindelöf was proved in [Pol].

On the other hand, a statement similar to Theorem 1.5 holds, with a similar proof, if we require the existence of an extension operator.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $Y = X \cup K$ where K is an Eberlein compact space, X is dense in Y , $K \cap X = \emptyset$, and $\chi(K, Y) \leq \omega$. If $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, and there is a continuous extension operator $h: C_p(K) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$, then $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf.*

2. Spaces of functions on cozero sets

In [Buz], Buzyakova proved that *If X is zero-dimensional compact, $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, and p is a point of countable character in X , then $C_p(X \setminus \{p\})$ is Lindelöf*, and asks if the same holds for every compact space, or for any space X .

In this section we prove some statements in this direction, which generalize the theorem of Buzyakova.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a space such that $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf, and Y a cozero set in X . Then $C_p(Y)^\omega$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Let $h: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $Y = h^{-1}((0, 1])$.

For each $n \in \omega$ put $F_n = h^{-1}([1/(n + 1), 1])$ and $F = X \setminus Y$. Clearly, F and F_n , $n \in \omega$, are zero sets, $F_n \subset \text{Int } F_{n+1}$, and $Y = \bigcup \{F_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Put

$$P = \{G \in C_p(X)^\omega : G(n)|_{F_n} = G(m)|_{F_n} \text{ for all } m, n \in \omega, m \geq n\}.$$

Then

$$P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcap_{m \geq n} \bigcap_{x \in F_n} \{G \in C_p(X)^\omega : G(m)(x) = G(n)(x)\},$$

so P is closed in $C_p(X)^\omega$, and P^ω is Lindelöf.

Define $T: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^Y$ by the rule:

$$T(G)(x) = G(n)(x) \text{ if } x \in F_n.$$

Obviously, T is well-defined. Let $G \in P$ and $x \in Y$. Then $x \in F_n$ for some n , and $x \in \text{Int } F_{n+1}$. Since $T(G)|_{F_{n+1}} = G(n + 1)|_{F_{n+1}}$, $T(G)$ coincides with the continuous function $G(n + 1)$ in the neighborhood F_{n+1} of x , and therefore is continuous at x . Thus, G is continuous on Y , and we have proved $T(P) \subset C_p(Y)$.

Let us verify the inverse inclusion. Let $f \in C_p(Y)$. Fix a continuous function $\theta: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $\theta(1) = 1$ and $\theta([0, 1/2]) = \{0\}$. For every $n \in \omega$ fix a continuous function $h_n: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that $h_n(F_n) \subset \{1\}$ and $h_n(F) \subset \{0\}$, and let $s_n(x) = \theta \circ h_n$. Then $s_n: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, $s_n(F_n) \subset \{1\}$, and s_n is zero in a neighborhood of F . It follows that the function $g_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the rule

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x)s_n(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in F \end{cases}$$

is continuous on X , and coincides with f on F_n . Thus, $f = T(G)$ where $G(n) = g_n$ for all $n \in \omega$. This finishes the proof that $T(P) = C_p(Y)$.

Finally, let us verify that T is continuous. For an open set W in \mathbb{R} and $x \in Y$ denote $O(x, W) = \{f \in C_p(Y) : f(x) \in W\}$. The sets $O(x, W)$ form an open subbase for the topology of $C_p(Y)$, so it suffices to verify that their preimages under T are open in P . So fix x and W ; find an $m \in \omega$ so that $x \in F_m$. Then $x \in F_n$ for all $n \geq m$, so $G(n)(x) = G(m)(x)$ for all $G \in P$ and $n \geq m$. We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(O(x, W)) &= \{G \in P : G(m)(x) \in W\} \\ &= P \cap \{H \in C_p(X)^\omega : H(m)(x) \in W\}, \end{aligned}$$

an open set in P .

Thus, $C_p(Y)$ is a continuous image of the set P , whence $C_p(Y)^\omega$ is Lindelöf. □

The condition “ $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf” appears much stronger than “ $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf”; however, as far as the author knows by the moment, whether the two conditions are equivalent is an open problem, both for compact spaces X and in the general case. In some particular cases, however, it is known that the two conditions are equivalent. Thus, R. Pol showed in [Pol] that if X is zero-dimensional compact and $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, then $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf. We can slightly improve this statement.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a σ -compact zero-dimensional space. If $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf, then $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Since the Cantor cube 2^ω is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of \mathbb{R} , the space $C_p(X, 2^\omega)$ is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of $C_p(X)$, and therefore is Lindelöf. We have $C_p(X, 2^\omega) = C_p(X, 2)^\omega$, so $C_p(X, 2)^\omega$ is Lindelöf. Since X is zero-dimensional, $C_p(X, 2)$ separates points and closed sets of X . It follows that the diagonal product $\Phi = \Delta C_p(X, 2): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{C_p(X, 2)}$ is an embedding; obviously, $\Phi(X) \subset C_p(C_p(X, 2))$. Thus, X is homeomorphic to a σ -compact subspace of $C_p(Y)$ where $Y = C_p(X, 2)$. Then $X \times \omega$ is σ -compact and homeomorphic to

a subspace of $C_p(Y^+) = C_p(Y) \times \mathbb{R}$, where Y^+ is the space obtained by adding an isolated point to Y . The space $(Y^+)^\omega$ is Lindelöf: Y^+ is a continuous image of $Y \times 2$, so $(Y^+)^\omega$ is a continuous image of $Y^\omega \times 2^\omega$. By Corollary 2.8 in [Oku], $C_p(X)^\omega = C_p(X \times \omega)$ is Lindelöf. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a zero-dimensional σ -compact space such that $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf. Then for every cozero set Y in X , $C_p(Y)$ is Lindelöf.*

This corollary can also be deduced directly from Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.8 in [Oku], using the observation that a cozero set in a σ -compact space is σ -compact.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a zero-dimensional σ -compact space such that $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf. Then for every compact G_δ -set K in X , $C_p(X \setminus K)$ is Lindelöf.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 actually gives the following statement:

Theorem 2.5. *Let Y be a cozero set in X . Then $C_p(Y)$ is a continuous image of a closed subset of $C_p(X)^\omega$.*

We now can deduce various other corollaries, related to classes of spaces invariant with respect to countable powers, closed subspaces, and continuous images.

Corollary 2.6. *If $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space, and Y a cozero set in X , then $C_p(Y)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space.*

Corollary 2.7. *If $C_p(X)$ is a \mathcal{K} -analytic space, and Y a cozero set in X , then $C_p(Y)$ is a \mathcal{K} -analytic space.*

Corollary 2.8. *If Y is a cozero subspace of X , then $l(C_p(Y)^\omega) \leq l(C_p(X)^\omega)$ and $\text{ext}(C_p(Y)^\omega) \leq \text{ext}(C_p(X)^\omega)$.*

Corollary 2.9. *If $C_p(X)$ is a $L\Sigma(\leq \omega)$ -space, and Y a cozero set in X , then $C_p(Y)$ is an $L\Sigma(\leq \omega)$ -space.*

(See [KOS] for definition and basic properties of $L\Sigma(\leq \omega)$ -spaces.)

And, generally,

Corollary 2.10. *Let \mathcal{P} be a class of spaces invariant with respect to countable powers, closed subspaces and continuous images. If $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{P}$, and Y is a cozero set in X , then $C_p(Y) \in \mathcal{P}$.*

A similar argument applies to the spaces $C_p(X, I)$ where $I = [0, 1]$:

Theorem 2.11. *Let Y be a cozero set in X . Then $C_p(Y, I)$ is a continuous image of a closed subset of $C_p(X, I)^\omega$.*

3. Some open problems

Question 3.1. Let X be a pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf. Must the product $C_p(X) \times \omega^\omega$ be Lindelöf?

Question 3.2. Let $Y = X \cup K$ where K is a metrizable compact space, X is dense in Y , $\chi(K, Y) \leq \omega$, and $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf. Must $C_p(Y)$ be Lindelöf?

The question here is if we can omit the condition “ $X \cap K = \emptyset$ ” in Theorem 1.5. If we assume that $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf and that $X \setminus K$ is dense in Y , the answer is “yes” by Theorems 1.5 and 2.1.

Question 3.3. Let X be a space such that $C_p(X)^\omega$ is Lindelöf, and Y an open F_σ -subspace of X . Must $C_p(Y)$ be Lindelöf?

Note that for normal spaces X an affirmative answer to this question follows from Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

- [Ar1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *On linear topological and topological classification of spaces $C_p(X)$* , Zb. Rad. **3** (1989), 3–12.
- [Arh2] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1992.
- [Buz] Buzyakova R.Z., *How sensitive is $C_p(X, Y)$ to changes in X and/or Y ?*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **49** (2008), no. 4, 657–665.
- [Eng] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6, Helderman, Berlin, 1989.
- [KOS] Kubiš W., Okunev O., Szeptycki P.J., *On some classes of Lindelöf Σ -spaces*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006), 2574–2590.
- [Oku] Okunev O., *On Lindelöf Σ -spaces of continuous functions in the pointwise topology*, Topology Appl. **49** (1993), 149–166.
- [Pol] Pol R., *A theorem on the weak topology of $C(X)$ for compact scattered X* , Fund. Math. **106** (1980), no. 2, 135–140.
- [RJ] Rogers A., Jayne E. (Eds.), *Analytic Sets*, Academic Press, London, 1980.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICO-MATEMÁTICAS, BENEMÉRITA UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE PUEBLA, AV. SAN CLAUDIO Y RIO VERDE S/N, COL. SAN MANUEL, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CP 72570 PUEBLA, PUEBLA, MÉXICO

E-mail: oleg@servidor.unam.mx

(Received July 10, 2009)