

## Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of a Dirichlet problem involving supercritical nonlinearities

GIOVANNI ANELLO, GIUSEPPE RAO

*Abstract.* Let  $p > 1$ ,  $q > p$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $s \in ]1, p[$ . We study, for  $s \rightarrow p^-$ , the behavior of positive solutions of the problem  $-\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{s-1} + u^{q-1}$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . In particular, we give a positive answer to an open question formulated in a recent paper of the first author.

*Keywords:* elliptic boundary value problems; positive solutions; variational methods; asymptotic behavior; combined nonlinearities

*Classification:* 35J20, 35J25

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a nonempty connected open bounded set with sufficiently regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $p > 1$ ,  $s \in ]1, p[$  and  $q > p$ . Moreover, denote by  $\lambda_p$  the first eigenvalue of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator  $\Delta_p(\cdot) := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla(\cdot)|^{p-2}\nabla(\cdot))$  on  $\Omega$ . It is known that, for any  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda_p[$ , the problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{s-1} + u^{q-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

has, for  $s$  sufficiently close to  $p$ , at least one positive (weak) solution of least energy, which we denote by  $v_{\lambda,s}$ , whenever the exponent  $q$  is subcritical, that is  $q \leq p_N := \frac{pN}{N-p}$  if  $N > p$  (see [2] or [5] for instance). In particular, in [2] (Theorem 4) the existence of a constant  $c > 0$ , depending only on  $p, N, \Omega$ , such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \left( \frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \int_{\Omega} v_{\lambda,s}^s \, dx = c,$$

is established. The constant  $c$  also satisfies

$$(2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \left( \frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda,s}^s \, dx = c,$$

where  $u_{\lambda,s}$  is the unique positive solution of the problem

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{s-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

When the exponent  $q$  is supercritical ( $> p_N$ ) and  $s < p$ , using a sub-supersolution technique, the existence of at least one positive solution for problem  $(P)$  is proved in [3] for all  $\lambda < \tilde{\Lambda}_{spq}$ , where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{spq} = \left(\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |v_1|\right)^{-\frac{(p-1)(q-s)}{q-p}} \cdot \frac{(p-s)^{\frac{p-s}{q-p}}(q-p)}{(q-s)^{\frac{q-s}{q-p}}}$$

and  $v_1 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the unique positive solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, since  $(\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |v_1|)^{1-p} \leq \lambda_p$  (see Remark 3.2 of [3]), we have  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \tilde{\Lambda}_{spq} = (\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |v_1|)^{1-p} \leq \lambda_p$ . Also, from Theorem 2 of [3], we infer that, if  $\lambda > \lambda_p$ , problem  $(P)$  with  $s = p$  cannot have positive solutions. However, by the results of [3], we do not know whether  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \tilde{\Lambda}_{spq} = \lambda_p$ . So, it could be interesting to know if there exists a constant  $\Lambda_{spq} > 0$  such that, for all  $\lambda \in ]0, \Lambda_{spq}[$ , problem  $(P)$  has a positive solution and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \Lambda_{spq} = \lambda_p$ . Observe that the previous fact is true in the case of  $q$  subcritical (see [2], [5]). Our result in extending Theorem 4 of [2] to the case  $q \in ]p, +\infty[$  (so giving a positive answer to the open problem formulated in [2]), also gives a positive answer to the above question.

## 2. Main result

Throughout this section, we always assume  $p \in ]1, N[$ . For all  $m \in [1, \infty]$ , we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_m$  the standard norm in the  $L^m(\Omega)$  space. Also, we equip the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\| := \|\nabla(\cdot)\|_p$  and denote by

$$c_m := \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|u\|_m.$$

the best Sobolev embedding constant of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  in  $L^m(\Omega)$ , for all  $m \in [1, \frac{pN}{N-p}]$ .

The following lemma follows by applying the well known Moser’s iterative scheme ([4], [7]) and standard regularity results ([6])

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $r > \frac{N}{p}$ ,  $f \in L^r(\Omega)$  (resp.  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ) and let  $u_f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be the (unique) weak solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $u_f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and

$$C_0^r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{f \in L^r(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u_f|}{\|f\|_{\frac{r}{r-1}}} \quad \left( \text{resp. } C_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{f \in L^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u_f|}{\|f\|_{\frac{1}{\infty}}} \right)$$

is a positive finite constant.

As announced in the introduction, our main result (Theorem 1 below) extends Theorem 4 of [2] to the case of  $q \in ]p, +\infty[$ . We observe that, by the proof of Theorem 1, one can see that the same result is still true if  $u^q$  is replaced with a more general nonlinearity  $f(x, u)$ , where  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function fulfilling, for some  $C > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , the inequality  $|f(x, t)| \leq Ct^q$  for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $t \in ]0, \delta]$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\lambda_0 \in ]0, \lambda_p[$  and  $q > p$ . Then, there exists  $s_0 \in ]1, p[$  such that, for all  $s \in ]s_0, p[$  and all  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda_0]$ , problem (P) admits a positive solution  $v_{\lambda,s} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, the solution  $v_{\lambda,s}$  satisfies (1).*

PROOF: Fix  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\varepsilon^{q-p} < \lambda_p - \lambda_0$  and  $\bar{s} \in ]1, p[$ . Let  $s \in ]\bar{s}, p[$  and put

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ \lambda t^{s-1} + t^{q-1} & \text{if } t \in [0, \varepsilon], \\ \lambda t^{s-1} + \varepsilon^{q-s} t^{s-1} & \text{if } t \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For each  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda_0[$ , consider the functional

$$I_{\lambda,s}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{1}{s} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^{u(x)} g(t) dt \right) dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

By standard arguments,  $I_{\lambda,s}$  is (strongly) continuous, sequentially weakly lower-semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, from

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq g(t) \leq (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p})|t|^{s-1}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} I_{\lambda,s}(u) = +\infty.$$

This implies that  $I_{\lambda,s}$  has a global minimum  $v_{\lambda,s} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . It follows that  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is a critical point of  $I_{\lambda,s}$ . Consequently,  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is a weak solution of the problem

$$(P_g) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

From standard regularity results, one has  $v_{\lambda,s} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  (see [6]). Note that, since  $g(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is nonnegative in  $\Omega$ . Finally, again from (3), it is easy to infer that  $\inf_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} I_{\lambda,s} < 0$ . Therefore,  $v_{\lambda,s}$  must be nonzero. Applying the Strong Maximum Principle, it follows that  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is, actually, positive in  $\Omega$ . At this point, fix

$$r > \max \left\{ \frac{N}{p}, \frac{p_N}{s-1} \right\}.$$

Using the constant  $C_0^r$  introduced in Lemma 1 and inequality (3), if we put  $\delta = \frac{r(s-1)-p_N}{r(p-1)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} &\leq C_0^r \|g(v_{\lambda,s})\|_{r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq C_0^r (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} v_{\lambda,s}^{r(s-1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r(p-1)}} \\ &\leq \left( \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} \right)^{\delta} \cdot C_0^r (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} v_{\lambda,s}^{p_N} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r(p-1)}} \\ &= \left( \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} \right)^{\delta} \cdot C_0^r (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_{p_N}^{\frac{p_N}{r(p-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\left( \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} \right)^{1-\delta} \leq C_0^r \cdot c_{p_N} \cdot (\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{q-p})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_{p_N}^{\frac{p_N}{r(p-1)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} \leq C^{1-\delta} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_{p_N}^{\frac{p_N}{r(p-1)(1-\delta)}},$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $p, N, r, \Omega, \lambda_0$ .

Since  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is a critical point of  $I_{\lambda,s}$ , one has  $I'_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s})(v_{\lambda,s}) = 0$ , that is

$$\|v_{\lambda,s}\|^p = \lambda \int_{\Omega} g(v_{\lambda,s}) v_{\lambda,s} dx.$$

Thus, using (3), we obtain

$$\|v_{\lambda,s}\|^p \leq (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p}) \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_s^s \leq (\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p}) c_s^s \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_s^s.$$

Consequently,

$$(5) \quad \|v_{\lambda,s}\| \leq \left( \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon^{q-p}}{\lambda_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-s}} (\lambda_p c_s^s)^{\frac{1}{p-s}} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{q-p}}{\lambda_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-s}} (\lambda_p c_s^s)^{\frac{1}{p-s}}.$$

Moreover, since the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} (\lambda_p c_s^s)^{\frac{1}{p-s}}$  is finite (see [1]) and  $\frac{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{q-p}}{\lambda_p} < 1$ , it follows, from (5), that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \|v_{\lambda,s}\| = 0$ . Therefore, taking in mind (4) and that  $1 - \delta \rightarrow \frac{p_N}{r(p-1)}$  as  $s \rightarrow p^-$ , we have  $\lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |v_{\lambda,s}| = 0$ , uniformly with

respect to  $\lambda$ . Consequently, there exists  $s_0 \in [\bar{s}, p[$ , independent of  $\lambda$ , such that, for all  $s \in [s_0, p[$ , one has

$$(6) \quad \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v_{\lambda,s} \leq \varepsilon.$$

This means that, for each  $s \in [s_0, p[$ ,  $v_{\lambda,s}$  is, actually, a weak solution of problem  $(P)$ . At this point, it remains to show that the limit (1) holds. Fix  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda_0]$ ,  $\sigma \in ]p, p_N[$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p} < \min \left\{ \lambda_p - \lambda_0, \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_p} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{p_N}} \lambda_p - \lambda \right\}.$$

Repeating step by step the above proof, we can find  $s_1 \in [s_0, p[$  such that, for all  $s \in [s_1, p[$ , inequality (6) holds with  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ . After that, for each  $s \in [s_1, p[$ , define

$$\Psi_{\lambda,s}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda}{s} \int_{\Omega} |u|^s dx$$

for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . It is known that the unique solution  $u_{\lambda,s}$  of problem  $(P_0)$  is exactly the positive global minimum of  $I_{\lambda,s}$  and

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} \right)^{-1} \Psi_{\lambda,s}(u_{\lambda,s}) = \|u_{\lambda,s}\|_s^s$$

(see [1] for instance). Consequently, we have

$$(8) \quad \Psi_{\lambda,s}(u_{\lambda,s}) - \frac{1}{q} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q \leq I_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s}) \leq I_{\lambda,s}(u_{\lambda,s}) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,s}(u_{\lambda,s}).$$

Now, taking into account (5) and (6), and in view of the fact that  $(\lambda_p c_p^s)^{\frac{1}{p-s}}$  has a finite limit as  $s \rightarrow p^-$ , we obtain

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{q} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p_N}}{q} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_{p_N}^{p_N} \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p_N}}{q} c_{p_N}^{p_N} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|^{p_N} \leq C_2 \left( \frac{\lambda + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p}}{\lambda_p} \right)^{\frac{p_N}{p-s}}$$

for some positive constant  $C_2 > 0$  which does not depend on  $s$ . Note that, from the choice of  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ , one has

$$\frac{(\lambda + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p})^{p_N}}{\lambda_p^{p_N}} \frac{\lambda_p^s}{\lambda^s} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_p} \right)^{\sigma-p} < 1.$$

Hence, by (7) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \frac{1}{q} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q \leq C_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \left(\frac{\lambda + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p}}{\lambda_p}\right)^{\frac{pN}{p-s}} \\
 & = C_2 \left(\frac{(\lambda + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{q-p})^{pN}}{\lambda_p^{pN}} \frac{\lambda_p^s}{\lambda^s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-s}} \leq C_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_p}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-p}{p-s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (7), (8), and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} I_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s}) - \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \|u_{\lambda,s}\|_s^s \right| \\
 & = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} |I_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s}) - \Psi_{\lambda,s}(u_{\lambda,s})| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} \frac{1}{q} \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q \leq C_2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_p}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-p}{p-s}} \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $s \rightarrow p^-$ . Then, by (2), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow p^-} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{s}{p-s}} I_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s}) = c.$$

At this point, note that from (6) and the equality

$$\|v_{\lambda,s}\|^p - \int_{\Omega} g(v_{\lambda,s}) v_{\lambda,s} \, dx = I'_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s})(v_{\lambda,s}) = 0,$$

we have  $\|v_{\lambda,s}\|^p = \lambda \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_s^p + \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q$ . Therefore,

$$(13) \quad I_{\lambda,s}(v_{\lambda,s}) = \lambda \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right) \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_s^p + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|v_{\lambda,s}\|_q^q.$$

The limit (1) now follows from (11), (12) and (13). □

### REFERENCES

- [1] Anello G., *On the Dirichlet problem involving the equation  $-\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{s-1}$* , *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), 2060–2066.
- [2] Anello G., *Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of a Dirichlet problem involving combined nonlinearities*, *Monatsh. Math.* **162** (2011), 1–18.
- [3] Boccardo L., Escobedo M., Peral I., *A Dirichlet problem involving critical exponent*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (1995), no. 11, 1639–1648.
- [4] Gedda M., Veron L., *Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **13** (1989), no. 8, 879–902.
- [5] Il'yasov Y., *On nonlocal existence results for elliptic equations with convex concave nonlinearities*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **61** (2005), 211–236.

- [6] Lieberman G.M., *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Non-linear Anal. **12** (1988), no. 11, 1203–1219.
- [7] Moser J., *A new proof of De Giorgi's Theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 457–478.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA,  
VIALE F. STAGNO D'ALCONTRES 31, 98166 MESSINA, ITALY

*E-mail:* ganello@unime.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PALERMO, VIA ARCHIRAFI 34, 90123  
PALERMO, ITALY

*E-mail:* rao@math.unipa.it

(Received July 31, 2012, revised May 27, 2013)