

## On extensions of bounded subgroups in Abelian groups

S.S. GABRIYELIAN

*Abstract.* It is well-known that every bounded Abelian group is a direct sum of finite cyclic subgroups. We characterize those non-trivial bounded subgroups  $H$  of an infinite Abelian group  $G$ , for which there is an infinite subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  containing  $H$  such that  $G_0$  has a special decomposition into a direct sum which takes into account the properties of  $G$ , and which induces a natural decomposition of  $H$  into a direct sum of finite subgroups.

*Keywords:* Abelian group; bounded group; simple extension

*Classification:* Primary 20K21; Secondary 20K27

### 1. Introduction

Recall that an Abelian group  $G$  is of *finite exponent* or *bounded* if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $ng = 0$  for every  $g \in G$ . The minimal integer  $n$  with this property is called the *exponent* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\exp(G)$ . When  $G$  is not bounded, we write  $\exp(G) = \infty$  and say that  $G$  is of *infinite exponent* or *unbounded*.

The structure theory of infinite Abelian groups is sufficiently difficult and complicated. Fortunately, for a bounded Abelian group  $G$  there is a complete and clear description of its structure:  $G$  is a direct sum of finite cyclic subgroups. If  $G$  is not of finite exponent,  $G$  can even not be decomposable into a direct sum of two non-trivial subgroups.

Let now  $H$  be a bounded subgroup of an infinite Abelian group  $G$ . As simple examples show, even in the case  $H$  is finite and cyclic,  $H$  may not be a direct summand of  $G$ . So it is important to find a subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  containing  $H$  such that  $G_0$  has a decomposition into a direct sum of subgroups having simple forms which takes into account the properties of  $G$  (as  $\exp(G)$ ), and which induces a decomposition of  $H$  into a direct sum of finite subgroups. The existence of such extensions of  $H$  plays an essential role in particular for constructing of Hausdorff group topologies on  $G$  having specific properties with respect to  $H$ . We demonstrate this by the following examples.

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}(3) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2)^\omega$ ,  $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}(2)^\omega$ ,  $H_1$  is the first  $\mathbb{Z}(2) \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$  in  $G$  and  $H_2 = \mathbb{Z}(3)$ . It is easy to see that  $G$  does not admit a connected Hausdorff group topology (see [4, §9]). On the other hand, Markov showed in [5] that there is a

locally connected Hausdorff group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  such that  $G_0$  is the connected component of  $(G, \tau)$ . So, algebraically  $H_1$  can be extended to a subgroup  $G_0$  which is connected. However, there is no Hausdorff group topology  $\tau'$  on  $G$  in which  $H_2$  is contained in a connected subgroup of  $(G, \tau')$  because  $G_0$  is clopen in any group topology on  $G$  [4, §9]. Further, it can be proved that there is a Hausdorff group topology  $\nu$  on  $G$  such that  $H_1$  is the von Neumann radical of  $(G, \nu)$ , but for  $H_2$  such topologies do not exist (see [2]). Actually, these positive and negative results for  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in  $G$  (and more generally, for subgroups of Abelian groups of finite exponent) depend on the possibility to extend them to an *infinite* subgroup  $G_0$  (maybe of a big cardinality) such that  $G_0$  is a direct sum of *finite* subgroups of *the same* exponent (see [3]). Between all infinite extensions of  $H_1$  in  $G$ , which can be represented as a direct sum of finite subgroups of the same exponent, there is the smallest one by cardinality, for example  $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}(2)^{(\omega)}$ . So, the subgroup  $G_1$  has the following properties: (1)  $G_1$  is of finite exponent as  $G$ , (2)  $G_1/H_1$  is countable, (3)  $G_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_i$  with  $\exp(G_i) = \exp(H_1)$  for all  $i \in \omega$ , and (4) this decomposition of  $G_1$  induces a natural decomposition of  $H$  (see the conditions (2b) and (3) in the definition below).

Assume now that  $H$  is a finite non-trivial subgroup of an Abelian group  $G$  of infinite exponent. It is well-known that  $G$  contains a subgroup  $S$  which has one of the form  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  or  $\bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_i$  with  $\exp(H) \leq \exp(S_0) < \exp(S_1) < \dots$ . So it is quite natural to consider the subgroup  $G_0 := S + H$ . Then  $G_0$  takes into account the properties of  $G$  and has infinite exponent as  $G$ , and  $G_0/H$  is countable.

For infinite bounded subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  the situation is more delicate, but these examples explain our definition of simple extension given below. We note that the main result of the article plays a crucial role for a description of bounded subgroups  $H$  of an Abelian non-torsion-free group  $G$  for which there exists a Hausdorff group topology  $\tau$  such that  $H$  is the von Neumann radical of  $(G, \tau)$  (see [3]).

Denote by  $o(g)$  the order of an element  $g$  of an Abelian group  $G$ . The subgroup of  $G$  generated by a subset  $A$  is denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$ . We shall say that an Abelian group  $X$  *satisfies condition* (A) if  $X$  is a finite direct sum of groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(p^a)^{(\kappa)}$ , where  $p$  is prime,  $a$  is a natural number and the cardinal  $\kappa$  is infinite.

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be an infinite Abelian non-torsion-free group and  $H$  its non-zero bounded subgroup. We say that  $H$  has a *simple extension* in  $G$  if there is a subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  which has a decomposition of the form

$$G_0 = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_i,$$

where:

- (1) if  $X \neq \{0\}$ , then  $X$  is a subgroup of  $H$  satisfying condition (A);
- (2) one of the following conditions holds:
  - (a)  $S_i = \{0\}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $S_0$  has one of the form  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + H_0$ , where  $H_0$  is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$ ;

(b) for every  $i \in \omega$ ,  $S_i$  is a finite non-trivial subgroup of  $G$  such that either

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(H) \leq \exp(S_0) < \exp(S_1) < \dots, \quad \text{or} \\ \exp(H) = \exp(S_0) = \exp(S_1) = \dots; \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $H = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} (S_i \cap H)$ .

Returning to the first above-mentioned example we see that  $H_1$  has a simple extension (for instance,  $G_1$ ), but  $H_2$  does not have simple extensions in  $G$ .

The main goal of the article is to characterize all bounded subgroups of an infinite Abelian non-torsion-free group  $G$  which have a simple extension in  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $H$  be a non-zero bounded subgroup of an infinite Abelian group  $G$ . Then:*

- (i) if  $\exp(G) = \infty$ , then  $H$  has a simple extension in  $G$ ;
- (ii) if  $\exp(G) < \infty$ , then  $H$  has a simple extension in  $G$  if and only if  $G$  contains a subgroup of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(\exp(H))^{(\omega)}$ .

In Theorems 9 and 10 below we prove more precise results.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 2

We shall use the following easy corollary of Prüfer-Baer’s theorem [1, 11.2].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite Abelian group of finite exponent. Then  $G$  is the direct sum  $G = G_0 \oplus G_1$  of a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup  $G_0$  and a subgroup  $G_1$  satisfying condition  $(\Lambda)$ .*

Let us recall that a subset  $X$  of an Abelian group  $G$  is called *independent* if for every finite sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of pairwise distinct elements of  $X$  and each sequence  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  of integers  $m_1x_1 + \dots + m_nx_n = 0$  implies  $m_ix_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + H$ , where  $H$  is an infinite Abelian group of finite exponent. Then there is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup  $H_0$  of  $H$  and an infinite subgroup  $H_1$  of  $H$  such that*

- (1)  $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ ;
- (2)  $G = (\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + H_0) \oplus H_1$ ;
- (3)  $H_1$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

PROOF: By Prüfer-Baer’s theorem [1, 11.2],  $H$  has a decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$ , where  $C_i$  are cyclic finite groups. As  $H$  is bounded,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \cap H$  is finite, so there exists a finite subset  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \cap H \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ .

We claim that the sum

$$G = \left( \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i \right) + \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i \right)$$

is direct. Indeed, let  $t = f + g \in (\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i)$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  and  $g \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ . Then  $f = t - g \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$  by the definition of  $J$ . Thus  $t \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ . Since also  $t \in \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i$ , we obtain  $t = 0$  and the sum is direct.

Using Lemma 3, decompose  $\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i = H'_0 \oplus H_1$ , where  $H'_0$  is finite and  $H_1$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ . Put  $H_0 = H'_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i)$ . Then  $H_0$  is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$  and  $H_1$  is infinite. By construction and the claim,  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  satisfy conditions (1)–(3) of the proposition.  $\square$

The next proposition is not trivial only for uncountable subgroups and its proof essentially repeats the proof of Proposition 4.

**Proposition 5.** *Let an Abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  have the form  $G = \langle A \rangle + H$ , where  $H$  is an uncountable subgroup of  $G$  of finite exponent and  $A = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is an independent sequence in  $G$ . Then there is a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup  $H_0$  of  $H$  and an uncountable subgroup  $H_1$  of  $H$  such that*

- (1)  $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ ;
- (2)  $G = (\langle A \rangle + H_0) \oplus H_1$ ;
- (3)  $H_1$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

PROOF: By [1, 11.2],  $H$  has a decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$ , where  $C_i$  are cyclic finite groups. As  $\langle A \rangle$  is countable, there exists a countable subset  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $\langle A \rangle \cap H \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ . We claim that the sum

$$G = \left( \langle A \rangle + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i \right) + \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i \right)$$

is direct. Indeed, let  $t = f + g \in (\langle A \rangle + \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i)$ , where  $f \in \langle A \rangle$  and  $g \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ . Then  $f = t - g \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$  by the definition of  $J$ . Thus  $t \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i$ . Since also  $t \in \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i$ , we obtain  $t = 0$  and the sum is direct.

Using Lemma 3, decompose  $\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus J} C_i = H'_0 \oplus H_1$ , where  $H'_0$  is finite and  $H_1$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ . Put  $H_0 = H'_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in J} C_i)$ . Then  $H_0$  is a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$  and  $H_1$  is infinite. By construction and the claim,  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  satisfy conditions (1)–(3) of the proposition.  $\square$

We omit the proof of the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  in an Abelian group  $G$  be independent and  $H$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$ . Then there is  $n_0$  such that  $H \cap \langle b_{n_0}, b_{n_0+1}, \dots \rangle = \{0\}$ .*

We denote division by “:”. In the next proposition we set  $\infty - 1 = \infty$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian  $p$ -group of the form  $G = \langle A \rangle + H$ , where  $H$  is a nonzero countable group of finite exponent and  $A = \{g_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is an independent sequence such that either*

- (a)  $\exp(H) \leq N \leq o(g_0) < o(g_1) < \dots$  for some natural number  $N$ , or
- (b)  $\exp(H) = o(g_i)$  for every  $i \geq 0$ .

Then  $G$  has a subgroup  $G_0$  of the form

$$G_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i + \langle e_i \rangle),$$

where

- (1) the independent sequence  $\{e_i\}$  satisfies the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence  $\{g_i\}$ ;
- (2) there is  $0 < M \leq \infty$  such that  $H_j$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $G$  for every  $0 \leq j < M$ , and, if  $M < \infty$ ,  $H_j = \{0\}$  for each  $j \geq M$ ;
- (3)  $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ .

PROOF: We distinguish between two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\langle A \rangle \cap H$  is finite (maybe trivial). By Lemma 6 we can choose  $k \geq 0$  such that  $(\langle A \rangle \cap H) \cap \langle g_k, g_{k+1}, \dots \rangle = \{0\}$ . Then also  $H \cap \langle g_k, g_{k+1}, \dots \rangle = \{0\}$ . Set  $e_i = g_{k+i}$ , for every  $i \geq 0$ . Let  $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{M-1} \langle h_i \rangle$ , where  $M \leq \infty$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  [1, 11.2]. Set  $G_0 = \langle e_0, e_1, \dots \rangle + H$ . Then we have

$$G_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i \oplus \langle e_i \rangle),$$

where  $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$  if  $i < M$ , and  $H_i = 0$  for  $i \geq M$ . Then  $G_0$  is as desired.

*Case 2.*  $\langle A \rangle \cap H$  is infinite. Then  $H$  is countably infinite. Let  $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle h_i \rangle$  [1, 11.2]. We shall construct the sequences  $\{H_n\}$  and  $\{e_n\}$  by induction. Set

$$G^0 = G, \quad H^0 = H, \quad \text{and} \quad g_j^0 = g_j, \forall j \geq 0.$$

Put  $e_0 = g_0^0$ . Choose the minimal index  $\kappa_1 \geq 0$  such that

$$H^0 \cap \langle e_0 \rangle = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\kappa_1} \langle h_i \rangle \right) \cap \langle e_0 \rangle.$$

Set

$$Y_k^{-1} = \langle \{g_{k+i}^0\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \rangle, k \geq 0, \quad H_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\kappa_1} \langle h_i \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = \bigoplus_{i=\kappa_1+1}^{\infty} \langle h_i \rangle.$$

Then  $H_0 \neq 0$  and  $H^0 = H_0 \oplus X_1$ . We will need that

$$(1) \quad (H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) \cap X_1 = \{0\}.$$

Indeed, let  $ae_0 + h_0 = x$ , where  $a$  is integer,  $h_0 \in H_0$  and  $x \in X_1$ . Then  $ae_0 = x - h_0 \in H^0$  and hence  $ae_0 \in H_0$ . Thus  $x = ae_0 + h_0 \in H_0 \cap X_1 = \{0\}$ , and hence  $x = 0$ .

We distinguish between two subcases.

*Subcase 2.1. There is  $k \geq 0$  such that*

$$(Y_k^1 + X_1) \cap (H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) = \{0\}.$$

Then we set

$$H^1 = X_1 = \bigoplus_{i=\kappa_1+1}^{\infty} \langle h_i \rangle, \quad g_j^1 = g_{k+1+j}^0, \forall j \geq 0, \quad \text{and } G^1 = \langle \{g_j^1\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \rangle + H^1.$$

So  $H = H^0 = H_0 \oplus H^1$  and  $(H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) \cap G^1 = \{0\}$ , and we can proceed to the second step for  $G^1, H^1$  and the independent sequence  $\{g_j^1\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  satisfying the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence  $\{g_j^0\}$ .

*Subcase 2.2. For every  $k \geq 0$ ,*

$$(Y_k^1 + X_1) \cap (H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) \neq \{0\}.$$

In this case, because of finiteness of  $H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$  and since  $\exp(X_1) < \infty$ , we can choose the maximal natural number  $m$  satisfying the following condition:

- (\*) there is a nonzero element  $h \neq 0$  of  $H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$  such that for infinitely many indices  $k$ , there are  $y_k \in Y_k^1$  and  $z_k \in X_1$  for which

$$y_k + z_k = h \quad \text{and} \quad o(y_k) = p^m.$$

Fix  $h$  satisfying (\*) and choose the following:

- (i) a sequence of indices of the form

$$(2) \quad 0 < i_1^0 < \dots < i_{s_0}^0 < i_1^1 < \dots < i_{s_1}^1 < i_1^2 < \dots;$$

- (ii) a sequence of integers  $a_1^k, \dots, a_{s_k}^k$ , where  $(a_i^j, p) = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ ;

- (iii) a sequence of natural numbers  $r_1^k, \dots, r_{s_k}^k, \forall k \geq 0$ ; and

- (iv) a sequence  $z_0, z_1, \dots$  in  $X_1$ ,

such that, for every  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad 0 \neq h = a_1^k p^{r_1^k} g_{i_1^k}^0 + \dots + a_{s_k}^k p^{r_{s_k}^k} g_{i_{s_k}^k}^0 + z_k \quad \text{and} \quad o(h - z_k) = p^m.$$

Set  $t_k = \min\{r_1^k, \dots, r_{s_k}^k\}$  and

$$y'_k = a_1^k p^{r_1^k - t_k} g_{i_1^k}^0 + \dots + a_{s_k}^k p^{r_{s_k}^k - t_k} g_{i_{s_k}^k}^0, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

So  $o(p^{t_k} y'_k) = p^m$  and  $o(y'_k) = p^{t_k+m}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . By (2), the sequence  $\{y'_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is independent and  $p^{t_k} y'_k + z_k = h \in H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$  for every  $k \geq 0$ .

*Subcase 2.2(a).* Assume that  $\exp(H) \leq N \leq o(g_0) < o(g_1) < \dots$ . Then, by (2),  $\exp(H) \leq N \leq o(y'_0) < o(y'_1) < \dots$ , and hence  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots$ . Set

$$g'_k = p^{t_{2k+1} - t_{2k}} y'_{2k+1} - y'_{2k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

*Subcase 2.2(b).* Assume that  $\exp(H) = o(g_k), \forall k \geq 0$ . Then  $t_k = t_{k+1}$  and  $p^{t_k+m} = \exp(H)$  for every  $k \geq 0$ . Put

$$g'_k = y'_{2k+1} - y'_{2k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

In both subcases 2.2(a) and 2.2(b) we have the following:

- ( $\alpha_1$ ) the sequence  $\{g'_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  is independent by (2),
- ( $\alpha_2$ ) the sequence  $\{g'_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  satisfies the same condition (a) or (b) as  $\{g_j^0\}$ ,
- ( $\alpha_3$ )  $o(g'_k) = o(y'_{2k}) = p^{t_{2k}+m}$ , for every  $k \geq 0$ ,
- ( $\alpha_4$ )  $p^{t_{2k}}g'_k = p^{t_{2k+1}}y'_{2k+1} - p^{t_{2k}}y'_{2k} = z_{2k} - z_{2k+1} \in X_1$  by (3).

Set  $Y'_k = \langle \{g'_j\}_{j=k}^\infty \rangle$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Let us prove the following:

**Claim.** *There is  $k \geq 0$  such that*

$$(Y'_k + X_1) \cap (H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) = \{0\}.$$

**PROOF OF CLAIM:** Assuming the converse we can find (as in (i)–(iv)) a nonzero element  $h'$  of  $H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$ , a sequence of indices of the form

$$1 < l_1^0 < \dots < l_{q_0}^0 < l_1^1 < \dots < l_{q_1}^1 < l_1^2 < \dots,$$

a sequence of integers  $b_1^k, \dots, b_{q_k}^k, (b_i^j, p) = 1$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ , a sequence of natural numbers  $w_1^k, \dots, w_{q_k}^k, \forall k \geq 0$ , and a sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  in  $X_1$ , such that

$$0 \neq h' = b_1^k p^{w_1^k} g'_{l_1^k} + \dots + b_{q_k}^k p^{w_{q_k}^k} g'_{l_{q_k}^k} + x_k, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Suppose there exists  $k_0 \geq 0$  such that  $w_i^k \geq t_{2l_i^k}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l_{q_k}^k$  and for each  $k \geq k_0$ . Then, by ( $\alpha_4$ ),

$$0 \neq h' = b_1^k p^{w_1^k - t_{2l_1^k}} \left( p^{t_{2l_1^k}} g'_{l_1^k} \right) + \dots + b_{q_k}^k p^{w_{q_k}^k - t_{2l_{q_k}^k}} \left( p^{t_{2l_{q_k}^k}} g'_{l_{q_k}^k} \right) + x_k \in X_1,$$

for every  $k \geq k_0$ . This contradicts (1) since  $h' \in H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$ .

So we can suppose that there is an infinite set  $I$  of indices such that for every  $k \in I$  there exists an index  $1 \leq \xi_k \leq q_k$  for which  $w_{\xi_k}^k < t_{2\mu_k}$ , where  $\mu_k = l_{\xi_k}^k$ . For every  $k \in I$  set  $\lambda_k = \min\{w_1^k, \dots, w_{q_k}^k\}$  and

$$y''_k = b_1^k p^{w_1^k - \lambda_k} g'_{l_1^k} + \dots + b_{q_k}^k p^{w_{q_k}^k - \lambda_k} g'_{l_{q_k}^k}.$$

Since  $l_1^k > k$  it follows that  $y''_k \in Y_k^1$  for every  $k \geq 0$ . Thus, for all  $k \in I$ , we obtain the following:

- $y''_k \in Y_k^1$ ,
- $0 \neq p^{\lambda_k} y''_k + x_k = h' \in H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle$ ,

- and, by  $(\alpha_1)$  and  $(\alpha_3)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} o(p^{\lambda_k} y''_k) &= \max \left\{ o(y'_{2l_1^k}) : p^{w_1^k}, \dots, o(y'_{q_k^k}) : p^{w_{q_k^k}} \right\} \\ &\geq o(y'_{2\mu_k}) : p^{w_{\xi_k^k}} \quad (\text{since } w_{\xi_k^k} < t_{2\mu_k}) \\ &\geq o(y'_{2\mu_k}) : p^{t_{2\mu_k} - 1} = (\text{by } (\alpha_3)) = p^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I$  is infinite we obtained a contradiction to the choice of  $m$  (see condition  $(*)$ ), thus proving the claim.  $\square$

By the claim we can choose  $k$  such that  $(Y'_k + X_1) \cap (H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) = \{0\}$ . Taking into account  $(\alpha_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2)$ , we can put

$$H^1 = X_1, \quad g_j^1 = g'_{k+j}, \forall j \geq 0, \quad \text{and } G^1 = \langle \{g_j^1\}_{j=0}^\infty \rangle + H^1.$$

So  $(H_0 + \langle e_0 \rangle) \cap G^1 = \{0\}$  and we proceed to the second step for  $G^1, H^1$  and the independent sequence  $\{g_j^1\}_{j=0}^\infty$  satisfying respectively one of the conditions (a) or (b) as  $\{g_j^0\}$ .

Iterating this process, we can find a sequence  $\{H_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of finite nonzero subgroups of  $H$  and an independent sequence  $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  satisfying the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence  $\{g_i\}$  such that

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty H_i \quad \text{and} \quad (H_k + \langle e_k \rangle) \cap \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^\infty (H_i + \langle e_i \rangle) \right) = \{0\}, \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0.$$

Hence the sum  $G_0 := \sum_{i=0}^\infty (H_i + \langle e_i \rangle)$  is direct. Thus  $G_0$  is as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

In what follows we use the next well-known folklore lemma (the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.2 of [6]):

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian group of infinite exponent. Then one of the following assertions holds.*

- (i)  $G$  is not torsion. Then  $G$  has a subgroup  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii)  $G$  is torsion but not reduced. Then  $G$  has a subgroup  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some prime  $p$ .
- (iii)  $G$  is both torsion and reduced. Then  $G$  has a subgroup  $H \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z}(n_i)$ , where  $n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ .

The next two theorems imply and make more precise Theorem 2.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian group of infinite exponent and  $H$  its nontrivial subgroup of finite exponent. Then at least one of the following assertions holds.*

- (1)  $G$  contains an element  $g$  of infinite order. If we set  $G_0 = \langle g \rangle + H$ , then  $G_0 \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_0) \oplus X$ , where
  - (a)  $H_0$  is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$ ,
  - (b)  $H = H_0 \oplus X$ ,



- (c)  $X \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $H$  is infinite. In this case  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .
- (2)  $G$  contains a subgroup  $Y$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ . If we set  $G_0 = Y + H$ , then  $G_0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) + H_0) \oplus X$ , where
  - (a)  $H_0$  is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$ ,
  - (b)  $H = H_0 \oplus X$ ,
  - (c)  $X \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $H$  is infinite. In this case  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .
- (3)  $G$  is both torsion and reduced. Then  $G$  has a subgroup  $G_0$  of the form

$$G_0 = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i + \langle e_i \rangle),$$

where

- (a) the independent sequence  $\{e_i\}$  satisfies the condition

$$\exp(H) \leq o(e_0) < o(e_1) < \dots;$$

- (b) there is  $0 \leq M \leq \infty$  such that  $H_j$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $G$  for every  $0 \leq j < M$ , and, if  $M < \infty$ ,  $H_j = \{0\}$  for each  $j \geq M$ ;
- (c)  $H = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ ;
- (d)  $X \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $H$  is uncountable. In this case  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

PROOF: **(1)** Let  $G$  contain an element  $g$  of infinite order. It is clear that  $G_0$  is a direct sum, i.e.,  $G_0 = \langle g \rangle \oplus H$ .

If  $H$  is infinite, by Lemma 3,  $H$  can be represented in the form  $H = H_0 \oplus X$ , where  $H_0$  is finite (maybe trivial) and  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ . So  $G_0 \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_0) \oplus X$ .

If  $H$  is finite we set  $H_0 = H$ . Then  $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_0$ .

**(2)** Let  $G$  contains a subgroup  $Y$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ .

If  $H$  is infinite, the assertion follows from Proposition 4.

If  $H$  is finite, it is enough to set  $H_0 = H$  (and  $X = 0$ ).

**(3)** Let  $G$  be both torsion and reduced. For a prime  $p$ , let  $H_p$  and  $G_p$  be the  $p$ -components of  $H$  and  $G$  respectively. Since  $H$  is of finite exponent, there are pairwise disjoint primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}, \dots, p_N$ , where  $n < \infty$  and  $n \leq N \leq \infty$ , such that (see [1, Theorem 2.1])

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_{p_i} \text{ and } G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n G_{p_i} \oplus G_1,$$

where  $G_1 = \bigoplus_{i=n+1}^N G_{p_i}$  and all the groups  $H_{p_i}$  and  $G_{p_i}$  are nonzero.

We distinguish between the following two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\exp(G_1) = \infty$ . By Lemma 8, there is an independent sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in  $G_1$ , where  $\exp(H) \leq o(e_0) < o(e_1) < \dots$ .

*Subcase 1.1.* Assume that  $H$  is *uncountable*. By Lemma 3,  $H = H_0 \oplus X'$ , where  $H_0$  is finite (maybe trivial) and  $X'$  is an uncountable subgroup of  $H$  satisfying condition  $(\Lambda)$ . Set  $X = X'$ .

If  $H_0 \neq 0$ , we set

$$G_0 = \left( (H_0 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle \right) \oplus X, \text{ and } H_i = 0, \text{ for every } i \geq 1.$$

Then we obtain the desired (with  $M = 1$ ).

If  $H_0 = 0$  and hence  $H = X$ , we set

$$G_0 = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle \right) \oplus X, \text{ and } H_i = 0, \text{ for every } i \geq 0.$$

Then we obtain the desired (with  $M = 0$ ).

*Subcase 1.2.* Assume that  $H$  is *countably infinite*. By Lemma 3,  $H = H_0 \oplus X'$ , where  $H_0$  is finite (maybe trivial) and  $X'$  is a countably infinite subgroup of  $H$  satisfying condition  $(\Lambda)$ . By [1, 11.2] we have  $X' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle h_i \rangle$ . Set

$$G_0 = (H_0 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} (H_i \oplus \langle e_i \rangle), \text{ where } H_i = \langle h_i \rangle \text{ for every } i \geq 1.$$

Then we obtain the desired (in this case  $X = 0$  and  $M = \infty$ ).

*Subcase 1.3.* Assume that  $H$  is *finite and non-trivial*. In this case we set

$$H_0 = H, G_0 = (H_0 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle, \text{ and } H_i = 0, \text{ for every } i \geq 1.$$

Then we obtain the desired (in this case  $X = 0$  and  $M = 1$ ).

*Case 2.*  $\exp(G_1) < \infty$ . In this case there is  $1 \leq l \leq n$  such that  $\exp(G_{p_l}) = \infty$ . If  $\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^n H_{p_i}$  is finite, we set  $H'_0 := \bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^n H_{p_i}$  and  $X' = 0$ . If  $\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^n H_{p_i}$  is infinite, then, by Lemma 3,  $\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^n H_{p_i} = H'_0 \oplus X'$ , where  $H'_0$  is finite (maybe trivial) and  $X'$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ . Set  $N = \exp(H)$ .

Since  $G$  is both torsion and reduced, by Lemma 8, there is an independent sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  in  $G_{p_l}$  satisfying the condition  $N \leq o(g_0) < o(g_1) < \dots$ . Set  $A := \{g_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $Y := \langle A \rangle + H_{p_l}$ . Note that  $H_{p_l}$  is nonzero by construction. If  $H_{p_l}$  is uncountable, we apply Proposition 5 to  $Y$  and  $H_{p_l}$ . If  $H_0 \neq \{0\}$  in that Proposition 5 or in the case  $H_{p_l}$  is countable, we apply Proposition 7. So we can find a subgroup  $Y_0$  of  $Y$  of the form

$$Y_0 = X'' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_{p_l}^i + \langle e_i \rangle),$$

where

(a<sub>1</sub>) the independent sequence  $\{e_i\}$  satisfies the condition

$$N \leq o(e_0) < o(e_1) < \dots;$$

(a<sub>2</sub>) there is  $0 \leq M \leq \infty$  such that  $H_{p_i}^i$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $Y$  for every  $0 \leq i < M$ , and, if  $M < \infty$ ,  $H_{p_i}^i = \{0\}$  for each  $i \geq M$ ;

(a<sub>3</sub>)  $H_{p_i} = X'' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{p_i}^i$ ;

(a<sub>4</sub>)  $X'' \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $H_{p_i}$  is uncountable. In this case  $X''$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

*Subcase 2.1.* Assume that  $H$  is uncountable. Set  $X = X' \oplus X''$ . Then  $X$  is an uncountable subgroup of  $H$  satisfying the condition  $(\Lambda)$ . Set

$$H^0 = H'_0 \oplus H_{p_i}^0, \quad H^i = H_{p_i}^i \text{ for } i \geq 1, \quad \text{and } G_0 = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H^i + \langle e_i \rangle).$$

Since  $H = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^i$  we obtain the desired.

*Subcase 2.2.* Assume that  $H$  is countably infinite. Then  $X'' = 0$ , and  $X'$  is either trivial or  $X' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H'_i$  by [1, 11.2], where  $H'_i$  is a finite (maybe trivial) cyclic group for every  $i \geq 1$ . Set  $H^0 = H'_0 \oplus H_{p_i}^0$ , and for every  $i \geq 1$  put

$$H^i = H'_i \oplus H_{p_i}^i \text{ if } X' \neq 0, \text{ and } H^i = H_{p_i}^i \text{ if } X' = 0.$$

Then, by (a<sub>2</sub>),  $H^i$  is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of  $H$  for every  $i \geq 0$ , and  $H = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^i$  by (a<sub>3</sub>). Setting

$$G_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H^i + \langle e_i \rangle),$$

we obtain the desired by (a<sub>1</sub>).

*Subcase 2.3.* Assume that  $H$  is finite and non-trivial. In this case we put  $H^0 = H$ . By Lemma 6 we can choose  $k \geq 0$  such that  $H^0 \cap \langle \{g_{k+i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \rangle = \{0\}$ . Set  $e_i = g_{k+i}$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . Putting

$$G_0 = (H^0 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle e_i \rangle, \text{ and } H^i = 0, \text{ for every } i \geq 1,$$

we obtain the desired (in this case  $X = 0$  and  $M = 1$ ). □

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $G$  be an Abelian group of finite exponent and  $H$  its nonzero subgroup. If  $G$  contains a subgroup of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(\exp(H))^{(\omega)}$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup  $G_0$  of the form*

$$G_0 = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i + \langle e_i \rangle),$$

where

(1) the independent sequence  $\{e_i\}$  satisfies the condition

$$\exp(H) = o(e_0) = o(e_1) = \dots;$$

- (2) there is  $0 < M \leq \infty$  such that  $H_j$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $G$  for every  $0 \leq j < M$ , and, if  $M < \infty$ ,  $H_j = \{0\}$  for each  $j \geq M$ ;
- (3)  $H = X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ ;
- (4)  $X \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $H$  is uncountable. In this case  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

PROOF: For a prime  $p$ , let  $H'_p$  and  $G_p$  be the  $p$ -components of  $H$  and  $G$  respectively. Since  $G$  has finite exponent, by [1, 2.1] there are different primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n, p_{n+1}, \dots, p_N$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq N < \infty$ , such that

$$H = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n H'_{p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n G_{p_k} \oplus G_1,$$

where  $G_1 = \bigoplus_{k=n+1}^N G_{p_k}$  and all the groups  $H'_{p_k}$  and  $G_{p_k}$  are nonzero.

By assumption, for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $G_{p_k}$  has a subgroup of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(\exp(H'_{p_k}))^{(\omega)}$ . Thus, for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $G_{p_k}$  has an independent sequence  $A_k = \{g_i^k\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $o(g_i^k) = \exp(H'_{p_k})$  for every  $i \geq 0$ .

Fix arbitrarily  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and consider the next two possible cases.

Case 1.  $H'_{p_k}$  is a (nonzero) countable group. So we can apply Proposition 7 to the group  $\langle A_k \rangle + H'_{p_k} (\subseteq G_{p_k})$ . Thus the group  $\langle A_k \rangle + H'_{p_k}$  has a subgroup  $G_0^k$  of the form

$$G_0^k := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i^k + \langle e_i^k \rangle),$$

where

(a<sub>1</sub>) the independent sequence  $\{e_i^k\}$  satisfies the condition

$$\exp(H'_{p_k}) = o(e_1^k) = o(e_2^k) = \dots;$$

- (a<sub>2</sub>) there is  $0 < M_k \leq \infty$  such that  $H_i^k$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $G_0^k$  for every  $0 \leq i < M_k$ , and, if  $M_k < \infty$ ,  $H_i^k = \{0\}$  for each  $i \geq M_k$ ;
- (a<sub>3</sub>)  $H'_{p_k} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i^k$ ;

In this case we also put  $X_k = \{0\}$ .

Case 2.  $H'_{p_k}$  is an uncountable group. Applying Propositions 5 to the group  $\langle A_k \rangle + H'_{p_k} (\subseteq G_{p_k})$ , we can find a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup  $S'_k$  of  $H'_{p_k}$  and an uncountable subgroup  $S''_k$  of  $H'_{p_k}$  such that

- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $H'_{p_k} = S'_k \oplus S''_k$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $\langle A_k \rangle + H'_{p_k} = (\langle A_k \rangle + S'_k) \oplus S''_k$ ;
- (b<sub>3</sub>)  $S''_k$  satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

Represent  $S''_k$  in the form  $S''_k = X_k \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i^k)$ , where

- (c<sub>1</sub>)  $R_i^k$  is nonzero and finite for every  $i \geq 0$ ;
- (c<sub>2</sub>)  $\exp(S'_k \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i^k) = \exp(H'_{p_k})$ ;
- (c<sub>3</sub>)  $X_k$  is uncountable and satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

Now we can apply Proposition 7 to the group

$$\langle \langle A_k \rangle + S'_k \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i^k = \langle A_k \rangle + \left( S'_k \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i^k \right).$$

Taking into account (b<sub>1</sub>)–(b<sub>3</sub>) and (c<sub>1</sub>)–(c<sub>3</sub>), we obtain that the group  $\langle A_k \rangle + H'_{p_k} (\subseteq G_{p_k})$  has a subgroup  $G_0^k$  of the form

$$G_0^k := X_k \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (H_i^k \oplus \langle e_i^k \rangle),$$

where

- (a<sub>4</sub>) the independent sequence  $\{e_i^k\}$  satisfies the condition

$$\exp(H'_{p_k}) = o(e_1^k) = o(e_2^k) = \dots;$$

- (a<sub>5</sub>) there is  $0 < M_k \leq \infty$  such that  $H_i^k$  is a finite nonzero subgroup of  $G_0^k$  for every  $0 \leq i < M_k$ , and, if  $M_k < \infty$ ,  $H_i^k = \{0\}$  for each  $i \geq M_k$ ;
- (a<sub>6</sub>)  $H'_{p_k} = X_k \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i^k$ ;
- (a<sub>7</sub>)  $X_k$  is uncountable and satisfies condition  $(\Lambda)$ .

Set  $M = \max\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  and

$$G_0 = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n G_0^k, \quad X = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n X_k, \quad H_i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n H_i^k \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = e_i^1 + \dots + e_i^n \quad \text{for every } i \geq 0.$$

By (a<sub>1</sub>)–(a<sub>7</sub>), all the conditions (1)–(4) are fulfilled. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: (i) immediately follows from Theorem 9.

(ii) If  $H$  has a simple extension in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(\exp(H))^{(\omega)}$  by item (2b) of the definition of simple extension. The converse follows from Theorem 10.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** I wish to thank the referee for the suggestions which allow to simplify essentially the original proofs of Propositions 4 and 5.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Fuchs L., *Abelian Groups*, Budapest: Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences 1958, Pergamon Press, London, third edition, reprinted 1967.
- [2] Gabrielyan S.S., *Finitely generated subgroups as a von Neumann radical of an Abelian group*, Mat. Stud. **38** (2012), 124–138.
- [3] Gabrielyan S.S., *Bounded subgroups as a von Neumann radical of an Abelian group*, preprint.

- [4] Markov A.A., *On free topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **9** (1945), 3–64 (in Russian); English transl. in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) **8** (1962), 195–272.
- [5] Markov A.A., *On the existence of periodic connected topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **8** (1944), 225–232 (in Russian); English transl. in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) **8** (1962), 186–194.
- [6] Nienhuys J.W., *Constructions of group topologies on abelian groups*, Fund. Math. **75** (1972), 101–116.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA P.O. 653, ISRAEL

*E-mail:* saak@math.bgu.ac.il

(Received April 19, 2013, revised October 10, 2013)