

## On the class of positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators

ABDERRAHMAN RETBI

*Abstract.* In this paper, we introduce and study the class of almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators. As consequences, we derive the following interesting results: the domination property of this class of operators and characterizations of the wDP\* property. Next, we characterize pairs of Banach lattices for which each positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator is almost Dunford-Pettis.

*Keywords:* almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator; almost Dunford-Pettis operator; weak Dunford-Pettis\* property; positive Schur property; order continuous norm

*Classification:* 46A40, 46B40

### 1. Introduction and notation

Let us recall from [2] that a norm bounded subset  $A$  of a Banach lattice  $E$  is said to be almost limited if every disjoint weak\* null sequence  $(f_n)$  of  $E'$  converges uniformly on  $A$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x)| = 0$ .

An operator  $T$  from a Banach lattice  $E$  into a Banach space  $Y$  is said to be almost Dunford-Pettis if  $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$  for every weakly null sequence  $(x_n)$  consisting of pairwise disjoint elements in  $E$  [6].

A Banach space  $X$  has the Dunford-Pettis\* property (DP\* property for short), if  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$  in  $X$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$  in  $X'$  imply  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

A Banach lattice  $E$  has

- the positive Schur property, if  $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every weakly null sequence  $(f_n) \subset E^+$ , equivalently,  $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every weakly null sequence  $(f_n) \subset E^+$  consisting of pairwise disjoint terms (see page 16 of [9]);
- the weak Dunford-Pettis\* property (wDP\* property for short), if every relatively weakly compact set in  $E$  is almost limited, equivalently, whenever  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  for every weakly null sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$  and for every disjoint weak\* null sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$  [2].

Recall from [4] that an operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $X$  into another Banach space  $Y$  is called weak\* Dunford-Pettis if  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  for every weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset X$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset Y'$ . In this paper,

we introduce and study the disjoint version of this class of operators, that we call almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators (Definition 2.1). It is a class which contains that of weak\* Dunford-Pettis (resp. almost Dunford-Pettis).

The main results are some characterizations of almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators (Theorem 2.3). Next, we derive the following interesting consequences: the domination property of this class of operators (Corollary 2.4), a characterization of wDP\* property (Corollary 2.5). After that, we prove that each positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator from a Banach lattice  $E$  into a  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice  $F$  is almost Dunford-Pettis if and only if  $E$  has the positive Schur property or the norm of  $F$  is order continuous (Theorem 2.7). As consequence, we will give some interesting results (Corollaries 2.8 and 2.9).

To state our results, we need to fix some notations and recall some definitions. A Banach lattice is a Banach space  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  such that  $E$  is a vector lattice and its norm satisfies the following property: for each  $x, y \in E$  such that  $|x| \leq |y|$ , we have  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ . If  $E$  is a Banach lattice, its topological dual  $E'$ , endowed with the dual norm, is also a Banach lattice. A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of a Banach lattice  $E$  is order continuous if for each generalized sequence  $(x_\alpha)$  such that  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  in  $E$ , the sequence  $(x_\alpha)$  converges to 0 in the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where the notation  $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$  means that the sequence  $(x_\alpha)$  is decreasing, its infimum exists and  $\inf(x_\alpha) = 0$ . A Riesz space is said to be  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete if every countable subset that is bounded above has a supremum, equivalently, whenever  $0 \leq x_n \uparrow \leq x$  implies the existence of  $\sup(x_n)$ .

We will use the term operator  $T : E \longrightarrow F$  between two Banach lattices to mean a bounded linear mapping. It is positive if  $T(x) \geq 0$  in  $F$  whenever  $x \geq 0$  in  $E$ . If  $T$  is an operator from a Banach lattice  $E$  into another Banach lattice  $F$  then its dual operator  $T'$  is defined from  $F'$  into  $E'$  by  $T'(f)(x) = f(T(x))$  for each  $f \in F'$  and for each  $x \in E$ . We refer the reader to [1] for unexplained terminology of Banach lattice theory and positive operators.

## 2. Main results

Next we give the definition of almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator between Banach lattices, which is a different version of the weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator.

**Definition 2.1.** An operator  $T$  from a Banach lattice  $E$  to a Banach lattice  $F$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis if  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  for every weakly null sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$  consisting of pairwise disjoint terms, and for every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $F'$  consisting of pairwise disjoint terms.

For proof of the next theorem, we need the following lemma which is just Lemma 2.2 of Chen in [2].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice, and let  $(f_n)$  be a weak\* convergent sequence of  $E'$ . If  $(g_n)$  is a disjoint sequence of  $E'$  satisfying  $|g_n| \leq |f_n|$  for each  $n$ , then the sequences  $(g_n), (|g_n|), (g_n)^+, (g_n)^-$  are all weak\* convergent to zero. In particular, if  $(f_n)$  is a disjoint weak\* convergent sequence in its own right, then the sequences  $(f_n), (|f_n|), (f_n)^+, (f_n)^-$  are all weak\* null.

Now, for positive operators between two Banach lattices, we give a characterization of almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two Banach lattices such that  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete. For every positive operator  $T$  from  $E$  into  $F$ , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $T$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator.
- (2) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every disjoint weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (3) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset F'$  it follows that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (4) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (5) For every weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Assume by way of contradiction that there exists a disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and a weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset F'$  such that  $f_n(T(x_n))$  does not converge to 0. The inequality  $|f_n(T(x_n))| \leq |f_n|(T(x_n))$  implies  $|f_n|(T(x_n))$  does not converge to 0. Then there exist some  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence of  $|f_n|(T(x_n))$  (which we shall denote by  $|f_n|(T(x_n))$  again) satisfying  $|f_n|(T(x_n)) > \epsilon$  for all  $n$ .

On the other hand, since  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $E$ , then  $T(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $F$ . Now an easy inductive argument shows that there exist a subsequence  $(z_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  and a subsequence  $(g_n)$  of  $(f_n)$  such that

$$|g_n|(T(z_n)) > \epsilon$$

and

$$(4^n \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|)(T(z_{n+1})) < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Put  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |g_n|$  and  $h_n = (|g_{n+1}| - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i| - 2^{-n}h)^+$ . By Lemma 4.35 of [1] the sequence  $(h_n)$  is disjoint. Since  $0 \leq h_n \leq |g_{n+1}|$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $(g_n)$  is weak\* null in  $F'$ , then from Lemma 2.2  $(h_n)$  is weak\* null in  $F'$ . From the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(T(z_{n+1})) &\geq \left( |g_{n+1}| - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i| - 2^{-n}h \right) (T(z_{n+1})) \\ &\geq \epsilon - \frac{1}{n} - 2^{-n}h(T(z_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $h_n(T(z_{n+1})) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  must hold for all  $n$  sufficiently large (because  $2^{-n}h(T(z_{n+1})) \rightarrow 0$ ), which contradicts with our hypothesis (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Assume by way of contradiction that there exists a weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$  and a weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$  such that  $f_n(T(x_n))$  does not converge to 0. Then there exists some  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence of  $f_n(T(x_n))$  (which we shall denote by  $f_n(T(x_n))$  again) satisfying  $f_n(T(x_n)) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $n$ .

On the other hand, since  $(f_n)$  is a weak\* null sequence in  $(F')$ , then  $T'(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  weak\* in  $E'$ . Now an easy inductive argument shows that there exist a subsequence  $(z_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  and a subsequence  $(g_n)$  of  $(f_n)$  such that

$$T'(g_n)(z_n) > \epsilon$$

and

$$T'(g_{n+1})(4^n \sum_{i=1}^n z_i) < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Put  $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} z_n$  and  $y_n = (z_{n+1} - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - 2^{-n} z)^+$ . By Lemma 4.35 of [1] the sequence  $(y_n)$  is disjoint. Since  $0 \leq y_n \leq z_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $(z_n)$  is weakly null in  $E$ , then from Theorem 4.34 of [1]  $(y_n) \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $E$ . From the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} T'(g_{n+1})(y_n) &\geq T'(g_{n+1}) \left( z_{n+1} - 4^n \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - 2^{-n} z \right) \\ &\geq \epsilon - \frac{1}{n} - 2^{-n} T'(g_{n+1})(z) \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $g_{n+1}(T(y_n)) = T'(g_{n+1})(y_n) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  must hold for all  $n$  sufficiently large (because  $2^{-n} T'(g_{n+1})(z) \rightarrow 0$ ), which contradicts with our hypothesis (4).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $(x_n)$  be a weak null sequence in  $E$  consisting of pairwise disjoint terms, and let  $(f_n)$  be a weak\* null sequence in  $F'$  consisting of pairwise disjoint terms, it follows from Remark(1) of [6] that  $(|x_n|)$  is weakly null in  $E$ , and from lemma 2.2 that  $(|f_n|)$  is weak\* null in  $F'$ . So by our hypothesis (5),  $|f_n|(T|x_n|) \rightarrow 0$ . Now, from the inequality  $|f_n(T(x_n))| \leq |f_n|(T(|x_n|))$  for each  $n$ , we deduce that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

The domination property for almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators can be derived from Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two Banach lattices such that  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete. If  $S$  and  $T$  are two positive operators from  $E$  into  $F$  such that  $0 \leq S \leq T$  and  $T$  is an almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis, then  $S$  is also almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis.

PROOF: Let  $(x_n)$  be a weakly null sequence in  $E^+$  and  $(f_n)$  be a weak\* null sequence in  $(F')^+$ . According to (5) of Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that  $f_n(S(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $T$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis, then Theorem 2.3 implies that  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . Now, by the inequality  $0 \leq f_n(S(x_n)) \leq f_n(T(x_n))$  for each  $n$ , we conclude that  $f_n(S(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

As consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2 of Chen [2], other characterizations of Banach lattices with the  $wDP^*$  property are given in the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $E$  has the  $wDP^*$  property.
- (2) The solid hull of every relatively weakly compact set in  $E$  is almost limited.
- (3) The identity operator  $Id_E : E \rightarrow E$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis.
- (4) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E$ , and every disjoint weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset E'$  it follows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (5) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every disjoint weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (E')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (6) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset E'$  it follows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (7) For every disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (E')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .
- (8) For every weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , and every weak\* null sequence  $(f_n) \subset (E')^+$  it follows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

PROOF: (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) Obvious.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (7)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (8) follows from Theorem 2.3.

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) follows from Theorem 3.2 of [2]. □

The proof of the next theorem is based on the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $E, F$  and  $G$  be three Banach lattices such that  $G$  has the  $DP^*$  property. Then, each operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  that admits a factorization through the Banach lattice  $G$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis.*

PROOF: Let  $P : E \rightarrow G$  and  $Q : G \rightarrow F$  be two operators such that  $T = Q \circ P$ . Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint weakly null sequence in  $E$  and let  $(f_n)$  be a disjoint weak\* null sequence in  $F'$ . It is clear that  $P(x_n) \xrightarrow{w} 0$  in  $G$  and  $Q'(f_n) \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$  in  $G'$ . As  $G$  has the  $DP^*$  property, then

$$f_n(Tx_n) = f_n(Q \circ P(x_n)) = (Q'f_n)(P(x_n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves that  $T$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis. □

Note that every almost Dunford-Pettis operator is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis, but the converse is not true in general. In fact,  $Id_{\ell^\infty} : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator because  $\ell^\infty$  has the  $wDP^*$  property, but it fails to be almost Dunford-Pettis because  $\ell^\infty$  does not have the positive Schur property.

Now, we characterize Banach lattices such that each positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator is almost Dunford-Pettis.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two Banach lattices such that  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) Each positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is almost Dunford-Pettis.
- (2) One of the following assertions is valid:
- (a)  $E$  has the positive Schur property,
  - (b) the norm of  $F$  is order continuous.

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume by way of contradiction that  $E$  does not have the positive Schur property and the norm of  $F$  is not order continuous. We have to construct a positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator which is not almost Dunford-Pettis. As  $E$  does not have the positive Schur property, then there exists a disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E^+$  which is not norm null. By choosing a subsequence we may suppose that there is  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\|x_n\| > \epsilon > 0$  for all  $n$ . From the equality  $\|x_n\| = \sup \{f(x_n) : f \in (E')^+, \|f\| = 1\}$ , there exists a sequence  $(f_n) \subset (E')^+$  such that  $\|f_n\| = 1$  and  $f_n(x_n) \geq \epsilon$  holds for all  $n$ . Now, consider the operator  $R : E \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  defined by

$$R(x) = (f_n(x))_{n=1}^\infty$$

On the other hand, since the norm of  $F$  is not order continuous, it follows from Theorem 4.51 of [1] that  $\ell^\infty$  is lattice embeddable in  $F$ , i.e., there exists a lattice homomorphism  $S : \ell^\infty \rightarrow F$  and there exist two positive constants  $M$  and  $m$  satisfying

$$m \|\lambda_k\|_\infty \leq \|S((\lambda_k)_k)\|_F \leq M \|\lambda_k\|_\infty$$

for all  $(\lambda_k)_k \in \ell^\infty$ . Put  $T = S \circ R$ , and note by Proposition 2.6 that  $T$  is a positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator because  $\ell^\infty$  has DP\* property. However, for the disjoint weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset E^+$ , we have

$$\|T(x_n)\| = \|S((f_k(x_n))_k)\| \geq m \|(f_k(x_n))_k\|_\infty \geq m f_n(x_n) \geq m\epsilon$$

for every  $n$ . This shows that  $T$  is not almost Dunford-Pettis, and we are done.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) In this case, each operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is almost Dunford-Pettis.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $(x_n) \subset E$  be a positive disjoint weakly null sequence. We shall show that  $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ . By Corollary 2.6 of [3], it suffices to prove that  $|T(x_n)| \xrightarrow{w} 0$  and  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  for every disjoint and norm bounded sequence  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$ . Let  $f \in (F')^+$  and by Theorem 1.23 of [1] there exists some  $g \in [-f, f]$  with  $f|T(x_n) = g(T(x_n))$ . Since  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$  then  $f|T(x_n) = g(T(x_n)) = (T'g)(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , thus  $|T(x_n)| \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . On the other hand, let  $(f_n) \subset (F')^+$  be a disjoint and norm bounded sequence. As the norm of  $F$  is order continuous, then by Corollary 2.4.3 of [5]  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ . Now, since  $T$  is positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis then,  $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The assumption that  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete is essential in Theorem 2.7. In fact, if we consider  $E = \ell^\infty$  and  $F = c$ , the Banach lattice of all convergent sequences, it is clear that  $F = c$  is not  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete, and it follows from the proof of Proposition 1 of [7] and Theorem 5.99 of [1] that each

operator from  $\ell^\infty$  into  $c$  is Dunford-Pettis (and hence is almost Dunford-Pettis). But  $\ell^\infty$  does not have the positive Schur property and the norm of  $c$  is not order continuous.

As consequences of Theorem 2.7, we have the following characterization.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *Each positive almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator  $T : E \rightarrow E$  is almost Dunford-Pettis.*
- (2) *The norm of  $E$  is order continuous.*

PROOF: The result follows from Theorem 2.7 by noting that if  $E$  has the positive Schur property then the norm of  $E$  is order continuous.  $\square$

Now, from Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 4.9 (Nakano) of [1], we obtain the following result, which is just Proposition 3.3 of [2].

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice. Then  $E$  has the positive Schur property if and only if  $E$  has the wDP\* property and its norm is order continuous.*

PROOF: The “only if” part is trivial.

For the “if” part, since  $E$  has wDP\* property, then  $Id_E : E \rightarrow E$  is almost weak\* Dunford-Pettis operator. As the norm of  $E$  is order continuous, it follows from Theorem 4.9 (Nakano) of [1] that  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete, and by Corollary 2.8 we have that  $Id_E : E \rightarrow E$  is almost Dunford-Pettis. This proves that  $E$  has the positive Schur property.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aliprantis C.D., Burkinshaw O., *Positive Operators*, reprint of the 1985 original, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [2] Chen J.X., Chen Z.L., Guo J.X., *Almost limited sets in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl **412** (2014), 547–553; doi 10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.10.085.
- [3] Dodds P.G., Fremlin D.H., *Compact operators on Banach lattices*, Israel J. Math. **34** (1979), 287–320.
- [4] El Kaddouri A., H'michane J., Bouras K., Moussa M., *On the class of weak\* Dunford-Pettis operators*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **62** (2013), 261–265; doi 10.1007/s12215-013-0122-x.
- [5] Meyer-Nieberg P., *Banach Lattices*, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [6] Wnuk W., *Banach Lattices with the weak Dunford-Pettis property*, Atti Sem. Mat.Fis. Univ. Modena **42** (1994), no. 1, 227–236.
- [7] Wnuk W., *Remarks on J.R. Holub's paper concerning Dunford-Pettis operators*, Math. Japon, **38** (1993), 1077–1080.

- [8] Wnuk W., *Banach Lattices with Order Continuous Norms*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1999.
- [9] Wnuk W., *Banach lattices with property of the Schur type - a survey*, Confer. Sem. Mat. Univ. Bari **249** (1993), no. 25.

UNIVERSITÉ IBN TOFAIL, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES,  
B.P. 133, KÉNITRA, MOROCCO

*E-mail:* abderrahmanretbi@hotmail.com

(Received November 7, 2014, revised May 1, 2015)