Selections and approaching points in products

VALENTIN GUTEV

Abstract. The present paper aims to furnish simple proofs of some recent results about selections on product spaces obtained by García-Ferreira, Miyazaki and Nogura. The topic is discussed in the framework of a result of Katětov about complete normality of products. Also, some applications for products with a countably compact factor are demonstrated as well.

Keywords: hyperspace; Vietoris topology; weak selection; ordinal space *Classification:* 54B20, 54C65, 54A10, 54B10, 54F05

1. Introduction

All spaces in this paper are Hausdorff topological spaces. For a set Z, let

 $\mathscr{F}_2(Z) = \{S \subset Z : 1 \leq |S| \leq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad [Z]^2 = \{S \subset Z : |S| = 2\}.$

A map $\sigma: \mathscr{F}_2(Z) \to Z$ is a weak selection for Z if $\sigma(S) \in S$ for every $S \in \mathscr{F}_2(Z)$. Every weak selection σ generates an order-like relation \preceq_{σ} on Z defined by $y \preceq_{\sigma} z$ if $\sigma(\{y, z\}) = y$ [14, Definition 7.1]. The relation \preceq_{σ} is emulating a linear order being both total and antisymmetric, but is not necessarily transitive. Motivated by this, we often write $y \prec_{\sigma} z$ if $y \preceq_{\sigma} z$ and $y \neq z$. If Z is a topological space, then σ is continuous if it is continuous with respect to the Vietoris topology on $\mathscr{F}_2(Z)$. This can be expressed only in terms of \preceq_{σ} by the property that for every $y, z \in Z$ with $y \prec_{\sigma} z$, there are open sets $U, V \subset Z$ such that $y \in U, z \in V$ and $s \prec_{\sigma} t$ for every $s \in U$ and $t \in V$ (i.e. $U \prec_{\sigma} V$), see [10, Theorem 3.1]. Thus, σ is continuous if and only if so is the restriction $\sigma \upharpoonright Z$.

For a non-isolated point p of a space X, a(p, X) denotes the least cardinal λ such that there exists $S \subset X \setminus \{p\}$ with $|S| \leq \lambda$ and $p \in \overline{S}$, see [4], [11]. Whenever p is isolated in X, set a(p, X) = 0. The cardinal number a(p, X) stands for the *approaching number* of X in p, and can be compared with the *tightness* t(p, X) of X at p, see [4], [11]. Originally, a(p, X) was defined as the *selection approaching number* of X at p (abbreviated "sa", see [4]), but is not depending on weak selections. Finally, we will use $\psi(p, X)$ to denote the *pseudocharacter* of p in X.

The cardinal invariants a(p, X) and $\psi(p, X)$ are not global and depend only on the topology of X at the point p. In this regard, we will broadly use X_p to

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.2015.147

denote a space X with only one non-isolated point $p \in X$. For instance, for a nonisolated point $p \in X$, we have such a space X_p obtained from X by promoting the points of $X \setminus \{p\}$ to be isolated and preserving the same local base at p. Thus, we have both $a(p, X_p) = a(p, X)$ and $\psi(p, X_p) = \psi(p, X)$. Furthermore, if X has a continuous weak selection, then so does the space X_p , see [10, Corollary 3.2]. Accordingly, investigating local properties induced by weak selections, it makes sense to consider at first spaces with only one non-isolated point. The following theorems were proved in [5].

Theorem 1.1. Let X_p and Y_q be such that $X_p \times Y_q$ has a continuous weak selection. Then $\psi(q, Y_q) \leq a(p, X_p)$.

Theorem 1.2. If S is a stationary set in a regular uncountable cardinal and $a(p, X_p) < |S|$, then $X_p \times S$ has no continuous weak selection.

In Theorem 1.2, a subset $S \subset \lambda$ of a regular uncountable cardinal λ is called *stationary* if it intersects any closed unbounded subset of λ . Here, and in the rest of the paper, an ordinal λ will be always equipped with the open-interval topology, and called simply an *ordinal space*.

The main purpose of this paper is to give simple self-contained proofs of these theorems, and discuss also some natural relations with other results. Both proofs are based on the following interpretation of continuity of weak selections. For subsets $S, T \subset Z$ and a weak selection σ for a set (space) Z, we will write that $S \parallel_{\sigma} T$ if $S \prec_{\sigma} T$ or $T \prec_{\sigma} S$. If $S = \{y\}$ and $T = \{z\}$ are different singletons, we always have $\{y\} \parallel_{\sigma} \{z\}$, written simply $y \parallel_{\sigma} z$. Hence, in these terms, σ is continuous if and only if for every $\{y, z\} \in [Z]^2$ there are open sets $U, V \subset Z$ such that $y \in U, z \in V$ and $U \parallel_{\sigma} V$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in the next section. In Section 3, this theorem is related to a classical result of Katětov [13] about complete normality of products. This interpretation leads to another alternative proof of Theorem 1.1, see Propositions 3.3 and 3.4. Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 4. Whenever λ is an ordinal of uncountable cofinality, the ordinal space λ is countably compact. In the last Section 5, we consider the problem in the realm of countably compact spaces and show that a regular countably compact space X is compact, first countable and zero-dimensional provided its product with a nontrivial convergent sequence has a continuous weak selection, see Theorem 5.2. This is then applied to show that a regular countably compact space X is zero-dimensional and metrizable if and only if X^2 has a continuous weak selection, see Corollary 5.3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose that $X_p \times Y_q$ has a continuous weak selection σ , but $\psi(q, Y_q) > a(p, X_p)$. Take a subset $A \subset X_p \setminus \{p\}$ with $|A| = a(p, X_p)$ and $p \in \overline{A}$. Whenever $s, t \in A$ are different points, we have that $\langle s, q \rangle \parallel_{\sigma} \langle t, q \rangle$. Hence, by the continuity of σ , for every $a = \{s, t\} \in [A]^2$ there is an open set $U_a \subset Y_q$ with $q \in U_a$ and $\{s\} \times U_a \parallel_{\sigma} \{t\} \times U_a$. Take distinct points $y, z \in (\bigcap_{a \in [A]^2} U_a) \setminus \{q\}$ which

is possible because $|[A]^2| = |A| = a(p, X_p) < \psi(q, Y_q)$. Since $\langle p, y \rangle \parallel_{\sigma} \langle p, z \rangle$, just like before, there is an open set $V \subset X_p$ with $p \in V$ and $V \times \{y\} \parallel_{\sigma} V \times \{z\}$. Finally, use that $p \in \overline{A}$ to take distinct points $s, t \in V \cap A$. We now have that $\{s,t\} \times \{y\} \parallel_{\sigma} \{s,t\} \times \{z\}$, which implies that $\langle s,y \rangle \prec_{\sigma} \langle t,z \rangle$ if and only if $\langle t,y \rangle \prec_{\sigma} \langle s,z \rangle$. However, $y, z \in U_a$ for $a = \{s,t\}$, and we must also have that $\{s\} \times \{y,z\} \parallel_{\sigma} \{t\} \times \{y,z\}$, accordingly $\langle s,y \rangle \prec_{\sigma} \langle t,z \rangle$ if and only if $\langle s,z \rangle \prec_{\sigma} \langle t,y \rangle$. A contradiction!

Remark 2.1. In contrast to the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [5], the above arguments do not use the corner point $r = \langle p, q \rangle$ of the product $X_p \times Y_q$. Hence, they provide a slight generalisation showing that even the subspace $X_p \times Y_q \setminus \{\langle p, q \rangle\}$ has no continuous weak selection provided $\psi(q, Y_q) > a(p, X_p)$.

3. Separating sets in products

Subsets $A, B \subset Z$ of a space Z are separated if $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \overline{B}$; and Z is called *completely normal* (or, *hereditarily normal*) if every pair of separated sets can be separated by open sets. The following interesting result was proved by Katětov [13].

Theorem 3.1 (Katětov [13]). Let λ be an infinite cardinal number and X and Y be spaces such that $X \times Y$ is completely normal. Then either each subset of X of cardinality $\leq \lambda$ is closed, or each closed subset of Y is G_{λ} .

A subset of Y is G_{λ} if it is an intersection of λ many open sets. It is evident that $\psi(q, Y) \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\{q\}$ is a G_{λ} -set. If X has the property that S is closed for every $S \subset X$ with $|S| \leq \lambda$, then $a(p, X) > \lambda$ for every non-isolated point $p \in X$. Accordingly, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.2. Let X and Y be such that $X \times Y$ is completely normal. If $p \in X$ is a non-isolated point and $q \in Y$, then $\psi(q, Y) \leq a(p, X)$.

Since $a(p, X_p) = a(p, X)$ and $\psi(q, Y_q) = \psi(q, Y)$, Corollary 3.2 is reduced to the associated spaces X_p and Y_q . For such spaces, complete normality of $X_p \times Y_q$ makes sense only to ensure that the separated sets $(X_p \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\}$ and $\{p\} \times (Y_q \setminus \{q\})$ can be separated by open sets. Indeed, we now have the following interpretation of Corollary 3.2 without any explicit mentioning of complete normality.

Proposition 3.3. Let X_p and Y_q be such that $\psi(q, Y_q) > a(p, X_p)$. Then the sets $(X_p \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\}$ and $\{p\} \times (Y_q \setminus \{q\})$ cannot be separated by open sets.

PROOF: Suppose $U \subset X_p \times Y_q$ is open such that $(X_p \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\} \subset U$. Since p is a non-isolated point of X_p , there exists $S \subset X_p \setminus \{p\}$ such that $|S| = a(p, X_p)$ and $p \in \overline{S}$. For every $x \in S$ there exists an open $V_x \subset Y_q$ containing q such that $\{x\} \times V_x \subset U$. Since $\psi(q, Y_q) > a(p, X_p) = |S|$, it follows that $\bigcap_{x \in S} V_x$ contains a point $y \neq q$. Since $S \times \{y\} \subset U$, we get that $\langle p, y \rangle \in \overline{S} \times \{y\} \subset \overline{U}$ and, therefore, $\overline{U} \cap (\{p\} \times (Y_q \setminus \{q\})) \neq \emptyset$.

Gutev V.

Complementary to Proposition 3.3 is the following observation showing that, in the same setting, "existence of continuous weak selections" is quite similar to "complete normality".

Proposition 3.4. Let X_p and Y_q be such that $\psi(q, Y_q) > a(p, X_p)$. If $X_p \times Y_q$ has a continuous weak selection, then there are sets $p \in A \subset X_p$ and $q \in B \subset Y_q$ such that $\psi(q, B) > a(p, A) > 0$ and $(A \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\}$ and $\{p\} \times (B \setminus \{q\})$ can be separated by open sets.

PROOF: Let $r = \langle p, q \rangle$, and σ be a continuous weak selection for $Z = X_p \times Y_q$. Then the \leq_{σ} -open intervals

$$(\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}} = \left\{z \in Z : z \prec_{\sigma} r\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad (r, \rightarrow)_{\preceq_{\sigma}} = \left\{z \in Z : r \prec_{\sigma} z\right\}$$

are disjoint open sets forming a partition of $Z \setminus \{r\}$. We are going to show that they must separate some subsets of the "corner" sides $(X_p \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\}$ and $\{p\} \times (Y_q \setminus \{q\})$ of the product. Indeed, $(X_p \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\} \subset Z \setminus \{r\}$ and there exists $S \subset X_p \setminus \{p\}$ such that $|S| = a(p, X_p), p \in \overline{S}$ and either $S \times \{q\} \subset (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$ or $S \times \{q\} \subset (r, \rightarrow)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$, say $S \times \{q\} \subset (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$. Take $A = S \cup \{p\}$ and $B = \{y \in Y_q : r \preceq_{\sigma} \langle p, y\rangle\}$. Since $A \times \{q\} \subset (\leftarrow, r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}} = (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}} \cup \{r\}$, it follows from [4, Theorem 4.1] that $\psi(r, (\leftarrow, r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}) \leq |A| = a(p, X_p) < \psi(q, Y_q)$. Hence, $\psi(q, B) = \psi(q, Y_q)$ because $\{p\} \times B \subset [r, \rightarrow)_{\preceq_{\sigma}} = Z \setminus (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$. These A and B are as required because $(A \setminus \{p\}) \times \{q\} \subset (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$ and $\{p\} \times (B \setminus \{q\}) \subset (r, \rightarrow)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$.

It is evident that Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 offer another alternative proof of Theorem 1.1, now relating this result to Katětov's Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.5. The proof of Proposition 3.4 relies on [4, Theorem 4.1] that for a continuous weak selection σ for a space Z and $r \in Z$, we have

$$\psi\big(r,(\leftarrow,r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}\big) \leq \mathbf{a}\left(r,(\leftarrow,r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi\big(r,[r\to)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}\big) \leq \mathbf{a}\left(r,[r,\to)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}\right).$$

This fact also has a very simple proof. Namely, suppose that $r \in \overline{A}$ for some $A \subset (\leftarrow, r)_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$, and take a point $s \in \bigcap_{z \in A} (z, r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$. Then $A \subset (\leftarrow, s]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$ and, therefore, $r \in \overline{A} \subset (\leftarrow, s]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}$. So, s = r because $r \preceq_{\sigma} s \preceq_{\sigma} r$. Consequently, $\psi(r, (\leftarrow, r]_{\preceq_{\sigma}}) \leq |A|$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the same idea as that of Theorem 1.1; in fact, it is almost identical but uses the following observation.

Proposition 4.1. Let S be a stationary subset of regular uncountable cardinal λ , and η be a continuous weak selection for $\{0,1\} \times S$. Then S contains a closed unbounded subset T with $\{0\} \times T \parallel_{\eta} \{1\} \times T$.

PROOF: Since η is continuous, for every $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ such that $\{0\} \times (S \cap (f(\alpha), \alpha]) \parallel_{\eta} \{1\} \times (S \cap (f(\alpha), \alpha])$. This defines a *regressive* function $f: S \to \lambda$, i.e. a function f with the property that $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0\}$.

By the pressing down lemma, S contains a stationary subset $H \subset \lambda$ such that f is constant on H. By the properties of f, we have that $\{0\} \times H \parallel_{\eta} \{1\} \times H$. Since η is continuous, the same is true for the closure $T = \overline{H}$ of H in S. The proof is completed.

Having the above property, the proof of Theorem 1.2 goes precisely in the same way as that of Theorem 1.1. Namely, let $a(p, X_p) < |S| = \lambda$, and contrary to the claim, suppose that $X_p \times S$ has a continuous weak selection σ . Just like before, take a subset $A \subset X_p \setminus \{p\}$ such that $|A| = a(p, X_p)$ and $p \in \overline{A}$. Since σ is continuous, by Proposition 4.1, for every $a = \{s,t\} \in [A]^2$, there exists a closed unbounded subset $T_a \subset S$ such that $\{s\} \times T_a \parallel_{\sigma} \{t\} \times T_a$. Let C_a be the closure of T_a in λ . Then $\{C_a : a \in [A]^2\}$ is a collection of closed unbounded subsets of λ . Since $|[A]^2| = |A| = a(p, X_p) < \lambda$, the intersection $C = \bigcap_{a \in [A]^2} C_a$ is also a closed unbounded subset of λ . Since S is stationary and each T_a is closed in S, there are distinct $\alpha, \beta \in S \cap C \subset \bigcap_{a \in [A]^2} T_a$. Having $\langle p, \alpha \rangle \parallel_{\sigma} \langle p, \beta \rangle$ and using the continuity of σ , there is an open set $V \subset X_p$ with $p \in V$ and $V \times \{\alpha\} \parallel_{\sigma} V \times \{\beta\}$. Since $p \in \overline{A}$, there are distinct points $s, t \in V \cap A$ such that $\{s,t\} \times \{\alpha\} \parallel_{\sigma} \{s,t\} \times \{\beta\}$. However, $\alpha, \beta \in S \cap C \subset T_a$ for this particular $a = \{s,t\}$, and we must also have that $\{s\} \times \{\alpha,\beta\} \parallel_{\sigma} \{t\} \times \{\alpha,\beta\}$, which is impossible. A contradiction!

5. Countable compactness and products

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2. In particular, it furnishes a very simple proof of [3, Example 3.1].

Corollary 5.1. The space $(\omega + 1) \times \omega_1$ has no continuous weak selection.

Here, ω is the first infinite ordinal, and ω_1 — the first uncountable one. The ordinal space ω_1 is certainly regular and countably compact. The following theorem now provides a natural generalisation of Corollary 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. Let X be a regular countably compact space such that $(\omega+1) \times X$ has a continuous weak selection. Then X is a compact zero-dimensional first countable space.

PROOF: Consider the nontrivial case when X is infinite. According to Theorem 1.1, $\psi(p, X) \leq \omega$ for every $p \in X$, i.e., each point of X is a G_{δ} -point. Since X is regular, each point is the intersection of the closure of countably many neighbourhoods, hence the space is first countable being countably compact. Thus, $a(p, X) \leq \omega$ for every $p \in X$ and, by [2, Corollary 5.4], X will be both Tychonoff and suborderable (in particular, pseudocompact). By [5, Theorem 3.4], X will be totally disconnected. It remains to show that X is also compact. We will actually show that $X = \beta X$, where βX is the Čech-Stone compactification of X. To this end, let us observe that $Y = (\omega + 1) \times X$ is pseudocompact because so is X. Since Y has a continuous weak selection, by [7, Theorem 2.3], Y^2 is also pseudocompact.

Gutev V.

Accordingly, the Čech-Stone compactification βY of Y has a continuous weak selection [1], [16], see also [9, Corollary 3.6]. However, by Glicksberg's theorem [8], $\beta Y = \beta((\omega + 1) \times X) = (\omega + 1) \times \beta X$. Thus, by the same reasoning as before, each point of βX must be a G_{δ} -point. Since X is pseudocompact, by a result of Hewitt [12, Theorem 28], the remainder $\beta X \setminus X$ does not contain any nonempty closed G_{δ} -subset of βX . Therefore, $X = \beta X$.

We now have the following interesting consequence.

Corollary 5.3. A regular countably compact space X is zero-dimensional and metrizable if and only if X^2 has a continuous weak selection.

PROOF: If X is zero-dimensional and metrizable, then so is X^2 . Moreover, X^2 is a subset of the Cantor set, hence it has a continuous weak selection because so does the Cantor set. Conversely, suppose X is an infinite countably compact regular space and X^2 has a continuous weak selection. Then X has a continuous weak selection (because so does X^2), and it follows from [18, Theorem 2] that X is sequentially compact. Hence, X contains a nontrivial convergent sequence being infinite. So, it also contains a copy of $(\omega + 1)$; accordingly, $(\omega + 1) \times X$ has a continuous weak selection as well. Thus, by Theorem 5.2, X is compact and zero-dimensional. Then X^2 will be orderable being compact and having itself a continuous weak selection [15, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, by a result of Treybig [17], X will be also metrizable.

Since every Tychonoff pseudocompact space with a continuous weak selection is countably compact (see, e.g., [9, Corollary 3.9]), Corollary 5.3 is a natural generalisation of [6, Theorem 2.18]. It also answers [6, Question 2.22] in the affirmative.

Acknowledgment. In conclusion, the author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for several helpful comments, also for simplifying the last part of the proof of Theorem 5.2 by drawing the author's attention to Hewitt's result [12, Theorem 28].

References

- Artico G., Marconi U., Pelant J., Rotter L., Tkachenko M., Selections and suborderability, Fund. Math. 175 (2002), 1–33.
- [2] Buhagiar D., Gutev V., Selections and countable compactness, Math. Slovaca 63 (2013), no. 5, 1123–1140.
- Fujii S., Miyazaki K., Nogura T., Vietoris continuous selections on scattered spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan 54 (2002), no. 2, 273–281.
- [4] García-Ferreira S., Gutev V., Nogura T., Sanchis M., Tomita A., Extreme selections for hyperspaces of topological spaces, Topology Appl. 122 (2002), 157–181.
- [5] García-Ferreira S., Miyazaki K., Nogura T., Continuous weak selections for products, Topology Appl. 160 (2013), no. 18, 2465–2472.
- [6] García-Ferreira S., Miyazaki K., Nogura T., Continuous weak selections and orderability of countable products, preprint, 2013.

- [7] García-Ferreira S., Sanchis M., Weak selections and pseudocompactness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 1823–1825.
- [8] Glicksberg I., Stone-Čech compactifications of products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 369–382.
- [9] Gutev V., Selections and hyperspaces, Recent progress in general topology, III (K.P. Hart, J. van Mill, and P. Simon, eds.), Atlantis Press, Springer, 2014, pp. 535–579.
- [10] Gutev V., Nogura T., Selections and order-like relations, Appl. Gen. Topol. 2 (2001), 205–218.
- [11] Gutev V., Nogura T., Selection pointwise-maximal spaces, Topology Appl. 146–147 (2005), 397–408.
- [12] Hewitt E., Rings of real-valued continuous functions. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 45–99.
- [13] Katětov M., Complete normality of Cartesian products, Fund. Math. 35 (1948), 271–274.
- [14] Michael E.A., Topologies on spaces of subsets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 152–182.
- [15] van Mill J., Wattel E., Selections and orderability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), no. 3, 601–605.
- [16] Miyazaki K., Continuous selections on almost compact spaces, Sci. Math. Jpn. 53 (2001), 489–494.
- [17] Treybig L.B., Concerning continuous images of compact ordered spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 866–871.
- [18] van Douwen E.K., Mappings from hyperspaces and convergent sequences, Topology Appl. 34 (1990), 35–45.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Malta, Msida MSD 2080, Malta

E-mail: valentin.gutev@um.edu.mt

(Received February 16, 2015, revised October 25, 2015)