## New properties of the concentric circle space and its applications to cardinal inequalities

Shu-Hao Sun, Koo-Guan Choo

Abstract. It is well-known that the concentric circle space has no  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal nor any countable point-separating open cover. In this paper, we reveal two new properties of the concentric circle space, which are the weak versions of  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal and countable point-separating open cover. Then we introduce two new cardinal functions and sharpen some known cardinal inequalities.

Keywords: concentric circle space, weak  $G_{\delta}$  -diagonal, point-separating \*-open cover, cardinal function

Classification: 54G05, 54A25

## 1. Concentric circle space and its new properties.

Let us first recall the definition of the concentric circle space or the Alexandroff double circle space. Let

$$C_i = \{(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = i\}, \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

and let  $P: C_1 \to C_2$  be the projection of  $C_1$  onto  $C_2$  from the origin (0,0). Let  $X = C_1 \cup C_2$  and we define the neighbourhood system  $\{\mathcal{B}(z)\}$  of X as follows: let

$$\{\mathcal{B}(z)\} = \begin{cases} \{\{z\}\}, & \text{for } z \in C_2, \\ \{U_j(z)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \text{for } z \in C_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$U_j(z) = V_j(z) \cup P(V_j(z) - \{z\}),$$

and  $V_j(z)$  is the arc of  $C_1$  with center at z and length 1/j. Then such X (with the defined neighbourhood system) is called the *concentric circle space* or Alexandroff double circle space. It is well-known that the concentric circle space X is a compact  $T_2$  space (in fact,  $T_5$  space) (cf. [2]).

Next, we recall that a topological space Y has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, iff there exists a sequence of open covers  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of Y with

$$\bigcap_{n} \operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_{n}) = \{y\}$$

<sup>\*</sup>The first author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Australian Research Council No. L20 24126.

for each  $y \in Y$ , where

$$\operatorname{St}(y,\mathcal{U}) = \bigcap \{ B \in \mathcal{U} \mid y \in B \}.$$

A cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y is called *point-separating*, if for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\bigcap \{ U \in \mathcal{U} \mid y \in U \} = \{ y \}.$$

It is also well-known that the concentric circle space X is not metrizable, and so it has no  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal nor any countable point-separating open cover. Although X has no  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, we will show that it has a weak  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal as defined below. We will also show that X has a countable point-separating \*-open cover as defined below.

**Definition.** Let Y be any topological space. Then a collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of Y is called a \*-open collection, if for each  $y \in Y$ , St  $(y, \mathcal{U})$  is an open set. Moreover, if for each  $y \in Y$ , St  $(y, \mathcal{U})$  is a non-empty open set, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a \*-open cover.

A space Y is said to have a weak  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, if there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of \*-open covers such that

$$\bigcap_{n} \operatorname{St} \left( y, \mathcal{U}_{n} \right) = \{ y \},\$$

for each  $y \in Y$ .

**Remark.** A collection of open sets is clearly a \*-open collection. But the converse is not true. For example

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\{y\}\} \cup \{Y\}$$

is a \*-open cover of Y, but it is not an open cover, if Y is not discrete. On the other hand, if for each  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a \*-open collection, then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{U}$  has to be an open collection.

**Lemma 1.** A topological space Y has a weak  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, if there is a mapping  $g: Y \times \mathbb{N} \to \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the topology of Y, such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}g(y,n)=\{y\},$$

and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, x, y, \in Y, y \in g(x, n)$  implies  $x \in g(y, n)$ .

PROOF: Suppose that Y has a weak  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal; i.e., suppose that Y has a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of \*-open covers such that  $\bigcap_n \operatorname{St}(y,\mathcal{U}_n) = \{y\}$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Define  $g: Y \times \mathbb{N} \to \tau$  by

$$g(y,n) = \operatorname{St}(y,\mathcal{U}_n).$$

Then clearly g has the required properties.

Conversely, suppose that the mapping g with the required property is given. For each  $y \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$R_n(y) = \{\{y, x\} \mid x \in g(y, n)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \bigcup_{y \in Y} R_n(y).$$

Then  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the required sequence of \*-open covers such that

$$\bigcap_{n} \operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_{n}) = \{y\}$$

for each  $y \in Y$ . Firstly, for each  $n \in n$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is a cover of Y. Next, for each  $y \in Y$ and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_n) = g(y, n)$ . Clearly  $g(y, n) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Now, if  $x \in \operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_n)$ , then

$$y \in \bigcup_{x \in Y} R_n(x)$$

i.e.,  $y \in g(x,n)$  so that  $x \in g(y,n)$ . Thus St $(y,\mathcal{U}_n) \subseteq g(y,n)$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.** The concentric circle space X has a weak  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

PROOF: Define  $g: X \times \mathbb{N} \to \tau$  by

$$g(x,n) = \begin{cases} U_n(x), & \text{if } x = z \in C_1, \\ (U_n(z) - \{z\}) \cup \{x\}, & \text{if } x = P(z) \in C_2, z \in C_1. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\bigcup_{x \in X} g(x, n) = X,$$

for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(x, n) = \{x\},\$$

and for each  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}, g(x, n)$  is open.

By Lemma 1, it remains to show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \in g(y, n)$  implies  $y \in g(x, n)$ . We divide this into four cases.

(i) Both  $x, y \in C_1$ . If

$$y \in g(x, n) = U_n(x) = V_n(x) \cup P(V_n(x) - \{x\}),$$

then  $y \in V_n(x)$  so that  $x \in V_n(y) \subseteq U_n(y) = g(y, n)$ .

(ii)  $x \in C_1$  and  $y \in C_2$ . Let y = P(z), where  $z \in C_1$ . If  $y \in g(x, n) = V_n(x) \cup P(V_n(x) - \{x\}),$ 

then  $y \in P(V_n(x) - \{x\})$  so that  $z \in V_n(x) - \{x\}$  and thus

$$x \in V_n(z) - \{z\} \subseteq (U_n(z) - \{z\}) \cup \{y\} = g(y, n).$$

(iii)  $x \in C_2$  and  $y \in C_1$ . Let x = P(w), where  $w \in C_1$ . If

$$y \in g(x, n) = (U_n(w) - \{w\}) \cup \{x\},\$$

then  $y \in V_n(w) - \{w\}$  so that  $w \in V_n(y) - \{y\}$  and hence

$$x = P(w) \in P(V_n(y) - \{y\}) \subseteq U_n(y) = g(y, n).$$

(iv) Both  $x, y \in C_2$ . Let x = P(w) and y = P(z), where  $w, z \in C_1$ . If

$$y \in g(x,n) = (U_n(a) - \{a\}) \cup \{x\},\$$

then  $y \in P(V_n(w))$  so that  $z \in V_n(w)$ . Thus  $w \in V_n(z)$  and therefore

$$x = P(w) \in P(V_n(z)) \subseteq g(y, n).$$

This completes the proof.

For convenience, we now modify slightly the basic sets in the Alexandroff double circle space as follows: let

$$X_i = [0,1] \times \{i\}$$

replace  $C_i$  for i = 1, 2, and transform the projection P onto a mapping which maps (a, 1) into (a, 2) for each  $a \in [0, 1]$ . Since a circle is obtained by identifying the end points of [0, 1], this is consistent with the previous definition.

The following proposition shows that although the Alexandroff double circle space X does not have any countable point-separating open cover, it does have a pointwise countable point-separating \*-open cover.

**Proposition 2.** For the Alexandroff double circle space X, there is a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\bigcap \mathcal{U}_x = \bigcap \{ B \in \mathcal{U} \mid x \in B \} = \{ x \},\$$

 $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \omega_0$  and  $\mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_x$  is a \*-open collection, for each  $x \in X$ , where  $|\mathcal{U}_x|$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{U}_x$  and  $\omega_0$  is the least infinite cardinality.

PROOF: Let  $Q_i$  be the family of all non-empty open intervals with rational end points in  $X_i$ , for i = 1, 2. Then let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the collection

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\{x\}\}_{x \in X} \cup \{Q_1 \cup Q_2 \mid Q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_2\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is the cover having the desired properties.

Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a point-separating cover of X and we have

$$\bigcap \mathcal{U}_x = \bigcap \{ B \in \mathcal{U} \mid x \in B \} = \{ x \},\$$

and  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \omega_0$ , for each  $x \in X$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a pointwise countable cover).

We now show that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_x$  is a \*-open collection. It suffices to show that for each  $B \in \mathcal{V}_x$ , if  $w \in B$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{B_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$ such that  $w \in B_j$ , for each j, and  $\bigcup_j B_j$  is open. Clearly, we can take

$$B = Q_1 \cup Q_2, \qquad (Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}_i, \ i = 1, 2).$$

Let  $w \in B = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \in \mathcal{V}_x$ . Then there are two cases:

(i)  $w \in Q_1$  and  $x \in P(Q_1)$ . Let x = (a, 2), where  $a \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $\ell_1$  (resp.  $r_1$ ) denote the left (resp. right) end point of  $Q_1$ . Then there is an increasing sequence  $\{\ell_n\}$  of rational numbers and a decreasing sequence  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $\sup\{\ell_n\} = a$  and  $\inf\{r_n\} = a$ . Now let

$$D_j = (\ell_1, \ell_j) \times \{2\}, \quad E_j = (r_j, r_1) \times \{2\}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then  $D_j \cup Q_1$  and  $E_j \cup Q_1$  are in  $\mathcal{V}_x$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \ldots$ , and

$$\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} (D_j \cup E_j) \cup Q_1$$

is an open set. Hence  $\operatorname{St}(w, \mathcal{V}_x)$  is open.

Similarly, if  $w \in Q_2$  and  $x \in P^{-1}(Q_2)$ , then St  $(w, \mathcal{V}_x)$  is again open.

(ii)  $w \in Q_1$  and  $x \notin P(Q_1)$ . Since  $B = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \in \mathcal{V}_x$  (i.e.,  $B \in \mathcal{U}, x \notin B$ ), we see that  $x \notin Q_1$  and so  $x \notin Q_1 \cup P(Q_1)$  and  $Q_1 \cup P(Q_1) \in \mathcal{V}_x$ . The facts that  $Q_1 \cup P(Q_1)$  is open and  $w \in Q_1 \cup P(Q_1) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(w, \mathcal{V}_x)$  are clear. The same conclusion remains valid, if  $w \in Q_2$  and  $x \notin P^{-1}(Q_2)$ . This completes the proof.

## 2. Two new cardinal inequalities.

Let X be a  $T_1$  space. Then we have the following known cardinal inequalities:

 $|X| \leq 2^{e(X) \operatorname{psw}(X)}$ , (D.K. Burke and R. Hodel [1]),  $|X| \leq 2^{e(X)\Delta(X)}$ , (J. Ginsburg and G. Wood [3]),

where

 $psw(X) = min\{\kappa \mid \text{ there is an open cover } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } X \text{ such that }$ 

$$\bigcap \mathcal{U}_x = \{x\}, \ |\mathcal{U}_x| \le \kappa, \quad \text{ for each } x \in X\},$$

 $\Delta(X) = \min\{\kappa \mid \text{there is a collection of open covers } \{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ 

of X such that 
$$\bigcap \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}) = \{x\}$$
 for each  $x \in X\}$ ,

 $e(X) = \sup\{\kappa \mid A \text{ is a closed discrete subspace of } X \text{ with } |A| \le \kappa\}.$ 

Here  $\kappa$  denotes cardinality and  $|\mathcal{A}|$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We will sharpen these inequalities. For this purpose, we define the following cardinal functions:

wpsw(X) = min{ $\kappa \mid$  there is a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_x = \{x\}$ ,

$$|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \kappa \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_x \text{ is *-open, for each } x \in X \},$$

 $\overline{\Delta}(X) = \min\{\kappa \mid \text{there is a collection of *-open covers } \{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ 

of X such that 
$$\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}) = \{x\}, \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

Then we have:

**Theorem 1.** For any  $T_1$  space,  $|X| \leq 2^{e(X) \operatorname{wpsw}(X)\psi(X)}$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any  $T_1$  space,  $|X| \leq e(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)$ .

To prove our theorems, we need the following results, the first one is easy to prove and the second is due to D.K. Burke.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a \*-open cover of a  $T_1$  space, then there exists a maximal subset D such that  $x, y \in D$  and  $x \neq y$  imply  $x \notin \text{St}(y, \mathcal{U})$ ; and that D is a discrete closed subspace of X with

$$\bigcup_{d \in D} \operatorname{St} \left( d, \mathcal{U} \right) = X.$$

**Lemma 2** (D.K. Burke). If  $\{A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an indexed collection of sets in which every member has cardinality less than or equal to  $\lambda$ , where  $|\Lambda| > 2^{\lambda}$ , and each  $A_{\alpha}$  is a disjoint union of two subsets  $A'_{\alpha}, A''_{\alpha}$ , then there is a set  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$  such that  $|\Lambda'| > 2^{\lambda}$  and  $A'_{\alpha} \cap A''_{\beta} = \emptyset$  whenever  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda'$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Let  $e(X) \operatorname{wpsw}(X) \psi(X) = \kappa$ . Then there is a \*-open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_x = \{x\}$  and  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq \kappa$  for each  $x \in X$ , and a collection of open sets  $\{U_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha(x)$ .

For each  $x_0 \in X$ , we will construct a set

$$A_{x_0} = A'_{x_0} \cup A''_{x_0}$$

satisfying the assumption of Lemma 2. Firstly, since  $|\mathcal{U}_{x_0}| = |\{B \in \mathcal{U} \mid x_0 \in B\}| \le \kappa$ , we let

$$A_{x_0}' = \mathcal{U}_{x_0}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}_{x_0} = \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{x_0}$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{V}_{x_0} = X \setminus \{x_0\}$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , let

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{V}_{x_0} \cup \{U_{\alpha}(x_0)\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  is a cover of X such that  $\operatorname{St}(x,\mathcal{U}_{\alpha})$  is an open set for each  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a closed subset  $D_{\alpha}(x_0)$  such that

$$\bigcup \{ \mathrm{St} (d, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}) \mid d \in D_{\alpha}(x_0) \} = X;$$

i.e.,

$$\bigcup \{ \operatorname{St} (d, \mathcal{V}_{x_0}) \mid d \in D_{\alpha}(x_0) \} \cup U_{\alpha}(x_0) = X.$$

Since  $e(X) \leq \kappa$ , it follows that  $|D_{\alpha}(x_0)| \leq e(X) \leq \kappa$ . Therefore

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \bigcup_{d \in D_{\alpha}(x_0)} \operatorname{St} (d, \mathcal{V}_{x_0}) \supset \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} (X \setminus U_{\alpha}(x_0)) = X \setminus \{x_0\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$x_0 \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \bigcup_{d \in D_\alpha(x_0)} \operatorname{St}(d, \mathcal{V}_{x_0}).$$

Let  $D_{x_0} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} D_\alpha(x_0)$ . Then we see that

$$\bigcup_{d \in D_{\alpha}(x_0)} \operatorname{St} (d, \mathcal{V}_{x_0}) = X \setminus \{x_0\} \text{ and } |D_{x_0}| \le \kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa.$$

Now let

$$A_{x_0}'' = \bigcup_{d \in D_\alpha(x_0)} \{ B \in \mathcal{V}_{x_0} \mid d \in B \}.$$

Then  $|A''_{x_0}| \leq \kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$ . Clearly  $A'_{x_0} \cap A''_{x_0} = \emptyset$ .

If  $|X| > 2^{\kappa}$ , then by Lemma 2, there is a set  $X' \subseteq X$  such that  $|X'| > 2^{\kappa}$  and  $A'_x \cap A''_y = \emptyset$  for each pair  $x, y \in X'$ . But this is impossible. Since

$$y \in X \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup_{d \in D_x} \operatorname{St}(d, \mathcal{V}_x),$$

there is a  $B \in \mathcal{V}_x$  and  $d' \in D_x$  such that  $y, d' \in B$  and so  $B \in A'_y \cap A''_x$ ; i.e.,  $A'_{y} \cap A''_{x} \neq \emptyset$ , for each distinct pair  $x, y \in X'$ . 

Hence  $|X| \leq 2^{\kappa}$  and the proof is complete.

**Remark.** We use the technique of Burke in the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: Let  $e(X)\overline{\Delta}(X) = \kappa$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$  be a collection of \*open covers of X such that  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) = \{x\}$ . We will construct an increasing sequence  $\{B_{\alpha} \mid 0 \leq \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of subsets in X and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \mid 0 < \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of open collections in X such that

(i)  $|B_{\alpha}| \leq 2^{\kappa}, 0 \leq \alpha < \kappa^+;$ 

- (ii)  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \bigcup_{x} \{ \{ \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha'}) \mid \alpha' < \kappa \} \}, \text{ where } x \text{ runs over the set } \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_{\beta}, \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < \kappa^+;$
- (iii) if  $X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $\mathcal{U} \in [\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}]^{\leq \kappa}$ , where

$$[\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}]^{\leq \kappa} = \{\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \mid |\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa\}.$$

The construction goes by transfinite induction. Let  $0 < \alpha < \kappa^+$  and assume that  $\{B_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$  have already been constructed. Note that  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is defined by (ii) and  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^{\kappa}$ . For each  $\mathcal{U} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$  with  $X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ , choose one point in  $X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{U})$ . Let  $A_\alpha$  be the set of all the points chosen in this way. Since  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^{\kappa}$ , it follows that  $|A_\alpha| \leq (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa} = 2^{\kappa}$ . Now let

$$B_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha} \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_{\beta}.$$

Clearly,  $B_{\beta} \subseteq B_{\alpha}$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , and  $|B_{\alpha}| \leq 2^{\kappa}$ . This completes the construction of the increasing sequence  $\{B_{\alpha} \mid 0 \leq \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ .

Next, let

$$B = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa^+} B_\alpha \, .$$

Then  $|B| \leq 2^{\kappa}$ . The proof is complete, if X = B. Suppose  $X \neq B$  and choose  $p \in X \setminus B$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , let  $F_{\alpha} = X \setminus \text{St}(p, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha})$ . Then  $F_{\alpha}$  is closed and

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} F_{\alpha} = X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \operatorname{St}(p, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) = X \setminus \{p\} \supseteq B.$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha} = \{ W \in \mathcal{W}_{\alpha} \mid W \cap (F_{\alpha} \cap B) \neq \emptyset \}$ . Then we claim that  $\bigcup \mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \supseteq \overline{F_{\alpha} \cap B}$ . In fact, if  $y \in \overline{F_{\alpha} \cap B}$ , then there exists  $b \in \operatorname{St}(y, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) \cap (\overline{F_{\alpha} \cap B})$ , and so  $y \in \operatorname{St}(b, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ .

Since  $e(X) \leq \kappa$ , we have  $e(\overline{F_{\alpha} \cap B}) \leq \kappa$ , so that there is a set  $C_{\alpha} \subseteq F_{\alpha} \cap B$  such that  $C_{\alpha}$  is closed discrete with  $|C_{\alpha}| \leq \kappa$  and

$$\bigcup_{b \in C_{\alpha}} \operatorname{St}(b, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{b \in C_{\alpha}} \operatorname{St}(b, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}) \subseteq F_{\alpha} \cap B.$$

It is sufficient to take the maximal  $C_{\alpha} \subseteq F_{\alpha} \cap B$  such that  $d_1 \notin \text{St}(d_2, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha})$  for each distinct pair  $d_1, d_2 \in X$ . Let  $C = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \kappa} C_{\alpha} \subseteq B$ . Then  $|C| \leq \kappa$  and

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \bigcup_{d \in C_{\alpha}} \operatorname{St} (d, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} (F_{\alpha} \cap B) = B.$$

Therefore there exists  $\alpha_0 < \kappa^+$  such that  $C \subseteq B_{\alpha_0}$ . Finally, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \{ \operatorname{St} (d, \mathcal{W}_{\alpha}) \mid d \in C_{\alpha} \}.$$

New properties of the concentric circle space and its applications to cardinal inequalities 403

Then  $\mathcal{U} \in [\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0}]^{\leq \kappa}$  and hence

$$B_{\alpha_0+1}\setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{U})\neq \emptyset,$$

by (iii), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

**Remark.** The results in Section 1 on the concentric circle space show that the above extensions are not trivial.

## References

- Burke D.K., Hodel R., The number of compact subsets of a topological space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1976), 363–368.
- [2] Engelking R., General Topology, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] Ginsburg J., Wood G., A cardinal inequality for topological space involving closed discrete sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1977), 357–360.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, Sydney, N.S.W. 2006, Australia

(Received April 18, 1990)