# Monotonic valuations of $\pi\sigma$ -triads and evaluations of ideals

Josef Mlček

Abstract. We develop problems of monotonic valuations of triads. A theorem on monotonic valuations of triads of the type  $\pi\sigma$  is presented. We study, using the notion of the monotonic valuation, representations of ideals by monotone and subadditive mappings. We prove, for example, that there exists, for each ideal J of the type  $\pi$  on a set A, a monotone and subadditive set-mapping h on P(A) with values in non-negative rational numbers such that  $J = h^{-1''} \{r \in Q; r \ge 0 \& r \doteq 0\}$ . Some analogical results are proved for ideals of the types  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma\pi$  and  $\pi\sigma$ , too. A problem of an additive representation is also discussed.

*Keywords:* monotonic valuations, ideal, semigroup *Classification:* O3E70, 93A15, 20M14

We develop problems of monotonic valuations of triads of the type  $\pi\sigma$  by proving a theorem on such valuations of so called limit  $\pi\sigma$ -triads. This theorem completes a list of theorems on monotonic valuations of triads of the type  $\sigma\pi$  and  $\pi\sigma$ . (See [M3].) Moreover, we study, using the general theorems on valuations, representations of ideals on sets by monotone and subadditive mappings. We prove, for example, that there exists, for each ideal J of the type  $\pi$  on a set A, a monotone and subadditive set-mapping h on P(A) with values in non-negative rational numbers  $Q^+$  such that  $J = h^{-1''} \{ r \in Q^+; r \doteq 0 \}$ . Some analogical results are proved for ideals of the type  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma\pi$  and  $\pi\sigma$ , too. We discuss also the existence of such additive representations; it means that the mapping in question is additive on A.

We work in the alternative set theory; we shall use the usual notations of this theory. Recall, that small Latin letters range over sets and i, j, k, l, m, n range over finite natural numbers. By a collection we mean a collection of classes which satisfies a given formula of the language  $FL_V$ . The collection of all set-theoretically definable classes is denoted by  $Sd_V$ ; it is a codable system. We say that a class Xis of the type  $\pi$ - ( $\sigma$ - resp.) if there exists a set-theoretically definable relation Rsuch that  $X = \bigcap_n R''\{n\}$  ( $X = \bigcup_n R''\{n\}$  resp.). Let E be a  $\pi$ -equivalence on a set-definable class A. We say that a class  $X \subseteq A$  is E-closed in A if we have the following: ( $\forall a \in A - X$ )( $\exists U \in Sd_V$ )( $U \cap X = \emptyset$  &  $E''\{a\} \subseteq U$ ).

## Monotonic valuations of $\pi\sigma$ -triads

## Monotonic valuations of triads.

We recall briefly some notions about triads (see [M2]). We say that a structure  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  is an *e*-structure if we have the following:

(1)  $\langle A, F \rangle$  is a semigroup (i.e. F is an associative operation on A),

- (2)  $E \circ E$  is the identity on A,
- (3) we have either F(E(x), E(y)) = E(F(x, y)) for each  $x, y \in A$  or F(E(x), E(y)) = E(F(y, x)) for each  $x, y \in A$ .

Let  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  be an *e*-structure. We define a canonical relation  $\triangleleft_A$  of an *e*-structure  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  on A by:

$$x \triangleleft_A y \Leftrightarrow (\exists z \in A)(F(x, z) = y).$$

Let  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  be an *e*-structure. We can see that

- (1) E is a one-one mapping on A and, thus, E is an automorphism or an antiautomorphism of the semigroup  $\langle A, F \rangle$ .
- (2)  $\triangleleft_A$  is a transitive relation on A.

Assume that  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}$  are two *e*-structures. A mapping  $H : A \to \hat{A}$  is said to be a valuation of  $\mathbb{A}$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}$  if we have:

- (a)  $H(F(x,y)) \lhd_{\hat{A}} \hat{F}(H(x),H(y))$  holds for each  $x,y \in A$ ,
- (b)  $H(F(x)) = \hat{F}(H(x))$  holds for each  $x \in A$ .

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an *e*-structure. Then the triple  $\langle \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A} | U, \mathbb{A} | B \rangle$ , where  $B \subseteq U \subseteq A$  and  $\mathbb{A} | B, \mathbb{A} | U$  are substructures of  $\mathbb{A}$ , is said to be a *triad over the e-structure*  $\mathbb{A}$ . We denote it as

 $\mathbb{A}(U,B).$ 

A mapping H is called a valuation of the triad  $\mathbb{A}(U,B)$  in a triad  $\langle \hat{A}, \hat{F}, \hat{E} \rangle (\hat{U}, \hat{B})$ , if H is a valuation of  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  in  $\langle \hat{A}, \hat{F}, \hat{E} \rangle$  and we have, moreover,

(c)  $H^{-1''}\hat{U} = U, H^{-1''}\hat{B} = B.$ 

Let us recall the notion of monotonic valuations (see [M3]).

A valuation H of an *e*-structure  $\mathbb{A}$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  is called a *monotonic valuation* if we have

$$(x, y \in A \& x \triangleleft_A y) \Rightarrow (H(x) \triangleleft_{\hat{A}} H(y)).$$

By a monotonic valuation of a triad  $\mathcal{T}$  in a triad  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$  we mean such a valuation of  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$  which is a monotonic valuation of the relevant *e*-structures.

Our intention is to present a theorem on monotonic valuations of a closed (w.r.t.  $\lhd$ ) triad  $\langle A, F, E \rangle (U, B)$  in some canonical one under assumption that  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  and B belong to  $Sd_V$  and U is a  $\pi\sigma$ -class. We refer to this situation as to a problem of monotonic valuations of  $\pi\sigma$ -triads. We shall solve a more general problem assuming that the classes  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  and B belong to a so called saturated standard universe (see below). Note that a similar problem of monotonic valuations of  $\pi$ -,  $\sigma$ - and  $\sigma\pi$ - triads is solved in [M3].

Now, let us define canonical  $\tau$ -triads  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is the symbol  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma\pi$  or  $\pi\sigma$ . Put, at first,

$$[0]^+ = \{ r \in Q^+; \ r \doteq 0 \}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma} = \langle N, +, Id \rangle (FN, \{0\}), \quad \mathcal{T}_{\pi} = \langle Q^+, +, Id \rangle ([0]^+, \{0\}).$$

The triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma\pi}$  is defined as follows: Let  $\zeta$  be fixed,  $\zeta \in N - FN$ . We define, on  $\zeta Q^+$ , the mapping + by the relation:  $(f+g)(\alpha) = f(\alpha) + g(\alpha)$ . Then  $\langle \zeta Q^+, +, Id \rangle$  is an *e*-structure and the canonical relation of this structure is the ordering  $\leq$  defined by  $f \leq g \Leftrightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \zeta)(f(\alpha) \leq g(\alpha))$ . Now,  $\langle \zeta N, +, Id \rangle$  is an *e*-structure, too. Put

$$0_{\zeta} = \zeta \times \{0\}, \quad U(\sigma\pi) = \{f \in {}^{\zeta}N; \ (\exists n)(\forall m)f(m) < n\}.$$

We can see that  $U(\sigma\pi)$  is a  $\sigma\pi(Sd_V)$ -class and  $\langle \zeta N, +, Id \rangle (U(\sigma\pi), \{0_{\zeta}\})$  is a triad. We define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma\pi} = \langle^{\zeta} N, +, Id \rangle (U(\sigma\pi), \{0_{\zeta}\}).$$

Finally, let us define a canonical  $\pi\sigma$ -triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$ . Let  $\zeta \in N - FN$  be fixed as above. We put

$$U(\pi\sigma) = \{ f \in {}^{\zeta}Q^+; \, (\forall \gamma \in \zeta - FN)f(\gamma) \doteq 0 \}.$$

Then we define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma} = \langle \zeta Q^+, +, Id \rangle (U(\pi\sigma), \{0_{\zeta}\}).$$

Before we formulate a theorem on monotonic valuations, let us give some useful notions. We say that an *e*-structure  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$  is commutative, whenever F is a commutative operation on A.

Assume  $U \subseteq A$  and let  $\mathbb{A} = \langle A, F, E \rangle$  be an *e*-structure. The class U is said to be closed in  $\mathbb{A}$  if U is closed under the canonical relation, i.e. if we have

$$(\forall x \in A)(\forall y \in U)(x \triangleleft_A y \Rightarrow x \in U).$$

We say that a triad  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  is closed if U and B are closed in  $\mathbb{A}$ .

A structure  $\langle A, F, E, G \rangle$  is called a *u*-expansion of an *e*-structure  $\langle A, F, E \rangle$ , if G is a binary function and if we have:

(1)  $x \triangleleft_A y \Rightarrow G(y, x) = x$ , (2)  $G(x, y) \triangleleft_A x$ .

We say that an *e*-structure  $\mathbb{A}$  has a *u*-expansion, if there exists a *u*-expansion  $\langle \mathbb{A}, G \rangle$  of the *e*-structure  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Example.** The structure  $\langle P(a), \cup, Id, \cap \rangle$  is a *u*-expansion of the *e*-structure  $\langle P(a), \cup, Id \rangle$ .

It is not difficult to prove that every triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is  $\sigma, \pi, \sigma\pi$  or  $\pi\sigma$ , is commutative and closed. The commutativity is clear. Let  $U \in Sd_V$  be a relation with  $dom(U) = \zeta^2$  such that

$$U(\alpha,\beta) = \{ f \in {}^{\zeta}Q^+; \, (\forall \gamma \in [\beta,\zeta)) f(\gamma) \le 2^{-\alpha} \}.$$

We have, for  $\alpha + 1, \beta + 1 \in \zeta$ ,  $U(\alpha, \beta + 1) \supseteq U(\alpha, \beta) \supseteq U(\alpha + 1, \beta)$ . Put  $U_m = \bigcup_n U(m, n)$ . Then we have  $U(\pi\sigma) = \bigcap_m U_m, U_{m+1} \subseteq U_m, U_{m+1} + U_{m+1} \subseteq U_m, (g \in U(\alpha, \beta) \& f \leq g \& f \in {}^{\zeta}Q^+) \Rightarrow f \in U(\alpha, \beta)$ . We deduce from this that the triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$  is a closed  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -triad.

We can show quite analogously that all remaining canonical triads are closed, too.

## Monotonic valuation of $\pi\sigma$ -triads.

We study our problem of valuations of triads with respect to a so called standard universe of classes. It means that the relevant *e*-structures belong to this universe and we are looking for valuations from this universe, too. Note that the system  $Sd_V$  is such a special collection.

We say that a collection of classes is a universe of classes if it is closed under the definitions by normal formulas of the language  $FL_V$  with class-parameters from this collection. Thus, having a universe  $\mathfrak{U}$  of classes and a normal formula  $\varphi(x, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)$  of the language  $FL_V$  such that the classes  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$ belong to  $\mathfrak{U}$ , we see that the class  $\{x; ; \varphi(x, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)\}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{U}$ , too. Note that every universe of classes contains all sets. More generally, every settheoretically definable class belongs to each universe of classes. By a standard universe of classes we call each universe of classes which contains only such nonempty subclasses of the class of natural numbers which have the first element. We can see that the following proposition holds (see [M1]).

**Proposition.** Every standard universe of classes contains only revealed classes and does not contain any proper semiset. It satisfies all axioms of Gödel-Bernays's theory of finite sets.

A standard universe  $\mathfrak{U}$  of classes is said to be a saturated standard universe of classes if we have the following: Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in FN}$  be a sequence of classes of this universe. Then there exists a relation R from  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that

$$(\forall n)R''\{n\} = X_n.$$

**Example.** The system  $Sd_V$  is a standard universe of classes which is not a standard saturated universe of classes. Its revealment  $Sd_V^*$  is a standard saturated universe of classes.

By a  $\pi$ - ( $\sigma$ - resp.) string we mean a relation R such that dom(R) = FN and, for each  $n \in FN$ ,  $R''\{n+1\} \subseteq R''\{n\}$  ( $R''\{n\} \subseteq R''\{n+1\}$  resp.) holds. Assume that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a standard universe of classes. A class  $\bigcap_n X_n$ , where  $\{X_n\}_{n \in FN} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ , is called a  $\pi(\mathfrak{S})$ -class and a class of the form  $\bigcup_n X_n$ , where  $\{X_n\}_{n \in FN} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ , is called a  $\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -class.

Let  $\mathbb{A} = \langle A, F, E \rangle$  be an *e*-structure,  $\mathbb{A} \in \mathfrak{S}$ . We say that a class U is a limit  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  if there exists a non-increasing sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n \in FN}$  of  $\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -classes such that  $U = \bigcap_m U_m$  and  $F''U_{m+1}^2 \subseteq U_m$ ,  $E''U_{m+1} \subseteq U_m$  hold. It is a limit closed  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  if we have, moreover,  $\triangleleft ''U_{m+1} \subseteq U_m$ . A triad  $\mathbb{A}(U,B)$  is said to be a limit  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -triad (a limit closed  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -triad resp.) if  $\mathbb{A}, B \in \mathfrak{S}$  and U is a limit  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  (a limit closed  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  resp.). Thus every limit closed  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -triad is a closed triad.

It is not difficult to prove that  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$  is a commutative limit closed  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -triad.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a saturated standard universe of classes. Let  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  be a closed limit  $\pi\sigma(\mathfrak{S})$ -triad such that  $\mathbb{A}$  is commutative and has a *u*-expansion in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

Then there exists a monotonic valuation of the triad  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$  which belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

PROOF: Writing  $[F, E, \triangleleft](X, Y)$  we mean that  $F''X^2 \subseteq Y, E''X \subseteq Y, \triangleleft ''X \subseteq Y$ hold. We define a mapping  $F_3: A^3 \to A$  by  $F_3(x, y, z) = F(F(x, y), z)$ . By a matrix we mean a relation M such that  $dom(M) = \xi^2$  for some  $\xi \in N - FN$ . Let us use the following notation:  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi\sigma}(M) = \bigcap_m \bigcup_n M(m,n)$ . We deduce from the proposition in 2.1.2, [M3], that there exists a matrix  $T \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that (1)  $B \subseteq T(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq A$ holds for each  $\alpha, \beta \in dom(T)$ , (2)  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi\sigma}(T) = U$  and (3) for each  $m \in FN$ ,  $[F, E, \triangleleft](\bigcup_n T(m+1, n), \bigcup_n T(m, n))$ . We can construct as in [M3, 2.2.3] a matrix  $R \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that (1), (2) hold for R instead of T and  $[F, E, \triangleleft](R(\alpha+1,\beta), R(\alpha,\beta))$ is satisfied for each  $\alpha + 1, \beta \in \xi$ , where  $\xi = dom(R)$ . Let  $2\theta \leq \xi, \theta \notin FN$ . Let  $M \in \mathfrak{S}$ be such a matrix that we have  $dom(M) = \theta^2$ ,  $M(0,\beta) = B$ ,  $M(\theta - 1,\beta) = A$  for each  $\beta \in \theta$  and  $M(\alpha, \beta) = P(2\alpha, \beta)$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \leq \theta$  where  $P(\gamma, \beta) = \trianglelefteq "(R(\gamma, \beta))$  $E''R(\gamma,\beta)$ ). We can see similarly as in [M3, 1.1.0] that  $F''M^2(\alpha+1,\beta) \subset M(\alpha,\beta)$ holds for each  $\alpha + 1, \beta \in \theta, E''M(\alpha, \beta) \subset M(\alpha, \beta)$  holds for each  $\alpha, \beta \subset \theta$  and  $\leq'' M^2(\alpha+1,\beta) \subseteq M(\alpha,\beta)$ . We have, moreover,  $R(2\alpha,\beta+1) \subseteq M(\alpha,\beta+1) \subseteq$  $R(2\alpha,\beta)$ . We deduce from this that  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi\sigma}(M) = \mathcal{H}_{\pi\sigma}(R) = U$ . We have, for  $\gamma + 1, \beta \in \theta, F''P^2(\gamma + 1, \beta) \subseteq P(\gamma, \beta)$  and, consequently, for  $\gamma + 2, \beta \in \theta$  holds the following:  $F''_3P^3(\gamma + 2, \beta) \subseteq F''(F''P^2(\gamma + 2, \beta))^2 \subseteq F''P^2(\gamma + 1, \beta) \subseteq P(\gamma, \beta).$ Thus  $F_3''M^3(\alpha+1,\beta) = F_3''P^3(2(\alpha+1),\beta) \subseteq P(2\alpha,\beta) = M(\alpha,\beta)$  holds for each  $\alpha + 1, \beta \in \theta.$ 

Put, for each  $\beta \in \theta$ ,

$$S(\beta) = \{ \langle \alpha, x \rangle; x \in M(\alpha, \beta) \}.$$

Then each  $S(\beta)$  has the following properties:

- (a)  $S(\beta) \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,
- (b)  $\alpha + 1 \in \theta \Rightarrow [F, F_3](S(\beta)(\alpha+1), S(\beta)(\alpha))$  ( $[F, F_3](\dots)$  has a similar meaning as in the previous proof),
- (c)  $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow E''S(\beta)(\alpha) \subseteq S(\beta)(\alpha)$ ,
- (d)  $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow \leq'' (E''S(\beta)(\alpha)) \subseteq S(\beta)(\alpha).$

It is not difficult to see that we can assume that  $dom(S) = \zeta^2$  with some  $\zeta \in N - FN$ and that, for each  $\beta \in \zeta$ ,  $S(\beta)(0) = A$ ,  $S(\beta)(\zeta - 1) = B$  hold. Such  $S(\beta)$  is called a monotonic  $\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -string in  $\mathbb{A}$  over B. We can see, similarly as in the proof of the theorem on monotonic valuations of  $\sigma^{\mathfrak{M}}$ - and  $\pi^{\mathfrak{M}}$ -triads in [M3, p. 383-384], that there exists a normal formula  $\Psi(x, y, X, Y)$  of the language  $FL_V$  such that the following holds:

Let  $\mathbb{A}(B,B) \in \mathfrak{S}$  be a triad and let  $D \in \mathfrak{S}$  be a monotonic  $\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -string in  $\mathbb{A}$  over B. Then  $H = \{\langle x, y \rangle; \Psi(x, y, \mathbb{A}, D)\}$  is a monotonic valuation of  $\mathbb{A}(B, B)$  in  $\langle Q^+, +, Id \rangle (\{0\}, \{0\})$  and  $D(\alpha + 1) \subseteq \{x \in A; H(x) \leq 2^{-\alpha}\} \subseteq D(\alpha)$  holds for each  $\alpha \in dom(D)$ .

Let

$$W = \{ \langle \beta, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle; \Psi(x, y, \mathbb{A}, S(\beta)) \& \beta \in \zeta \}.$$

Put  $W_{\beta} = W''\{\beta\}$ . Then  $W_{\beta}$  is a monotonic valuation of  $\mathbb{A}(B, B)$  in  $\langle Q^+, +, Id \rangle(\{0\}, \{0\})$  and  $W_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{S}$ . We have

 $x \in U \Leftrightarrow (\forall \beta \in \zeta - FN)(x \in \bigcap_m M(m, \beta)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \beta \in \zeta - FN)W_\beta \doteq 0.$ 

Let  $H: A \to {}^{\zeta}Q^+$  be defined by

$$H(x) = \{ \langle \alpha, W_{\alpha}(x) \rangle; \ \alpha \in \zeta \}.$$

We have, for each  $x, y \in A$ ,  $H(F(x, y))(\alpha) = W_{\alpha}(F(x, y)) \leq W_{\alpha}(x) + W_{\alpha}(y)$ . Thus  $H(F(x, y)) \leq H(x) + H(y)$  holds. We can see similarly that H(E(x)) = H(x) and  $x \triangleleft_A y \Rightarrow H(x) \leq H(y)$  hold, too. Thus H is a monotonic valuation of  $\mathbb{A}$  in  $\langle \zeta Q^+, +, Id \rangle$ . It is easy to see that  $H(x) = \zeta \times \{0\}$  iff  $x \in B$ . Finally, we have  $x \in U \Leftrightarrow (\forall \beta \in \zeta - FN)(W_{\beta} \doteq 0) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \beta \in \zeta - FN)H(x)(\beta) \doteq 0 \Leftrightarrow H(x) \in U_{\pi\sigma}$ , which completes the proof.

**Remark.** Let  $\mathbb{A} = \langle A, F, E \rangle$  be an *e*-structure. We say that a class  $U \subseteq A$  is a limit  $\pi \sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  if there exists a matrix  $M \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi\sigma}(M) = U$ ,
- (2)  $(\forall m \in FN)([F, E](\bigcup_n M(m+1, n), \bigcup_n M(m, n))).$

U is a limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -universe in A if we have, moreover,

$$\triangleleft " \bigcup_n M(m+1) \subseteq \bigcup_n M(m,n).$$

A triad  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  is said to be a limit  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -triad (a limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -triad resp.) if  $\mathbb{A}, B \in \mathfrak{S}$  and U is a limit  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$  (a limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -universe in  $\mathbb{A}$ resp.). Thus every limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -triad is a closed triad. The triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$  is a limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -triad.

We can see that the last proof guarantees that if we assume, in the last theorem, that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is only a standard universe and that the triad in question is a limit closed  $\pi\sigma^{\mathfrak{S}}$ -triad, we obtain a true proposition.

**Proposition.** There exists a  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -triad  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{A} \in Sd_V$ ,  $B \in Sd_V$  and U is a  $\pi\sigma$ -class) which is not a limit  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -triad.

**PROOF:** Let  $(\mathcal{E})$  be an equivalence on N defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in (\mathcal{E}) \Leftrightarrow (\exists n)(\alpha, \beta < n) \lor (\forall n)(\alpha, \beta > n).$$

Let  $\langle N^2 \cup \{\emptyset\}, F, E \rangle$  be the *e*-structure defined by the following relations:

$$F(\langle x, y \rangle, \langle \widetilde{y}, z \rangle) = \langle x, z \rangle \Leftrightarrow y = \widetilde{y}$$
$$= \emptyset \Leftrightarrow y \neq \widetilde{y},$$
$$F(u, \emptyset) = F(\emptyset, u) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow u \in A.$$

The function  $E: N^2 \cup \{\emptyset\} \to N^2 \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is defined by  $E(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle y, x \rangle$  for each  $\langle x, y \rangle$  and  $E(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

Then  $\langle N^2 \cup \{\emptyset\}, F, E \rangle((\mathcal{E}) \cup \{\emptyset\}, Id \upharpoonright N^2 \cup \{\emptyset\})$  is a  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -triad which is not a limit  $\pi\sigma(Sd_V)$ -one.

Indeed, assume, contrariwise, that it is. Then there exists its valuation  $H \in Sd_V^*$ in  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$  (where  $Sd_V^*$  is a revealment of  $Sd_V$ ). This follows from 2.1.2 and 3.0.4 in [M3]. Put  $D = H \upharpoonright N^2$ . We have  $(\mathcal{E}) = \bigcap \{D^{-1''}U(m,\beta); m \in FN \& \beta \in \zeta - FN\}$ , where  $U(\alpha,\beta)$  are as above. Put, for  $\alpha,\beta < \zeta$ ,  $W(\alpha,\beta) = D^{-1''}U(\alpha,\beta)$ . We can see that  $W(m+1,\beta) \circ W(m+1,\beta) \subseteq W(m,\beta)$ . Let  $\langle a,b \rangle \in N^2 - (\mathcal{E}), a \in FN, b \in$ N - FN. Then there exist  $m \in FN$  and  $\beta \in \zeta - FN$  such that  $\langle a,b \rangle \notin W(m,\beta)$ . Thus  $W(m+1,\beta)''\{a\} \cap W(m+1,\beta)''\{b\} = \emptyset$ . Put  $A = W(m+1,\beta)''\{a\}$  and  $B = W(m+1,\beta)''\{b\}$ . We have  $FN = (\mathcal{E})''\{a\} \subseteq A, N - FN = (\mathcal{E})''\{b\} \subseteq B$  and, moreover,  $A \cap B = \emptyset, A \in Sd_V^*, B \in Sd_V^*$ . The class A is a fully revealed class and  $A \cap (N - FN) = \emptyset$ , which is impossible.  $\Box$ 

#### EVALUATIONS OF IDEALS

#### Evaluations of ideals of the type $\sigma\pi$ and $\pi\sigma$ .

Throughout this section, let A be a non-empty set and let  $\zeta \in N - FN$  be fixed. We say that J is an *ideal on* A if we have:  $J \subseteq P(A), A \notin J, u \in J \& v \in J \Rightarrow u \cup v \in J$  and  $v \subseteq u \in J \Rightarrow v \in J$ .

Let  $H: P(A) \to {}^{\zeta}Q^+$  be a mapping. We say that H is monotone on P(A)if  $u \subseteq v \Rightarrow H(u) \leq H(v)$  holds for each  $u, v \subseteq A$ . The mapping H is said to be subadditive on P(A) if we have, for each  $u, v \subseteq A$ ,  $H(u \cup v) \leq H(u) + H(v)$ . We say that H is an evaluation on P(A) in  ${}^{\zeta}Q^+$  if it is a monotone and subadditive mapping on P(A) and  $H^{-1''}\{0_{\zeta}\} = \{\emptyset\}$ . (Recall that  $0_{\zeta} = \zeta \times \{0\}$ .)

The presented definitions can be naturally applied to a mapping  $H: P(A) \to K$ , where K is N or  $Q^+$ . (We identify K with the subclass  $\{\zeta \times \{x\}; x \in K\}$  of  $\zeta Q^+$ .)

**Theorem.** Let J be an ideal on a non-empty set A.

- (1) Let J be a  $\sigma$ -class. Then there exists a set-evaluation h on P(A) in N such that  $h^{-1}{}''FN = J$ .
- (2) Let J be a  $\pi$ -class. Then there exists a set-evaluation h on P(A) in  $Q^+$  such that  $h^{-1}{}''[0]^+ = J$ .
- (3) Let  $\zeta$  be fixed. Let J be a  $\sigma\pi$ -class. Then there exists a set-evaluation h on P(A) in  $\zeta Q^+$  such that  $h^{-1}{}''U(\sigma\pi) = J$ .

PROOF: Let J be an ideal on A. We see that  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id \rangle (J, \{\emptyset\})$  is a closed triad and  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id \rangle$  is commutative. Moreover,  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id, \cap \rangle$  is a *u*-expansion of the *e*-structure in question. We can find, for  $\tau$  equal to  $\pi, \sigma$  or  $\sigma\pi$ , a monotonic set-valuation h of the triad  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id \rangle (J, \{\emptyset\})$  in the canonical  $\tau$ -triad  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ .

The existence of the mapping h is guaranteed by the following proposition on monotonic set-valuations of  $\pi$ -,  $\sigma$ - and  $\sigma\pi$ -triads, which follows easily from the theorems on monotonic valuations in [M3].

Let  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  be a triad such that  $\mathbb{A}$ , B are sets and let  $\mathbb{A}$  be commutative and have a *u*-expansion which is a set. If U is a  $\tau$ -class, where  $\tau$  is  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma\pi$ , then  $\mathbb{A}(U, B)$  has a monotonic set-valuation in  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ .

#### J. Mlček

Now, let *h* be a monotonic valuation of the triad  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id \rangle (J, \{\emptyset\})$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is  $\sigma, \pi$  or  $\sigma\pi$ . We see that *h* is an evaluation on P(A) in  $K_{\tau}$ , where  $K_{\sigma} = N$ ,  $K_{\pi} = Q^+$  and  $K_{\sigma\pi} = {}^{\zeta}Q^+$ . The required equalities from the items (1), (2) and (3) clearly hold.

Now, we shall formulate a theorem on evaluations of  $\pi\sigma$ -ideals.

**Theorem.** Let J be an ideal on a nonempty set A and let J be a  $\pi\sigma$ -class. Then there exists a set-evaluation h on P(A) in  $\zeta Q^+$  such that  $h^{-1''}U(\pi\sigma) = J$  iff there exists a non-increasing sequence  $\{J_n\}_{n\in FN}$  of  $\sigma$ -classes such that we have  $\bigcap_m J_m = J, \ \{u \cup v; u, v \in J_{m+1}\} \subseteq J_m \text{ and } v \subseteq u \in J_{m+1} \Rightarrow v \in J_m \text{ hold for}$ each m.

PROOF: We deduce quite analogously as in the previous proof, by using the theorem on monotonic valuations of  $\pi\sigma$ -triads, that there exists a monotone valuation  $H \in$  $Sd_V^*$  of  $\langle P(A), \cup, Id \rangle (J, \{\emptyset\})$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\pi\sigma}$ . The mapping H is necessarily a set and h = Hhas the required properties.

Let us prove the implication from the left to the right. Let  $U_m$  be, for each  $m \in FN$ , as above. Assume that  $h: P(A) \to {}^{\zeta}Q^+$  is such that  $h^{-1''}\{0_{\zeta}\} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $h^{-1''}U(\pi\sigma) = J$ . Put  $J_m = h^{-1''}U_m$ . Then the classes  $J_m$  have the required properties.

## Additive evaluations of $\pi$ -ideals.

In this section, let A be a set which has at least two elements.

A mapping  $h : P(A) \to Q^+$  is said to be additive on P(A) if we have for each  $u, v \subseteq A$ :

$$u \cap v = \emptyset \Rightarrow h(u \cup v) = h(u) + h(v).$$

Then  $h(\emptyset) = 0$  and, for each  $u \subseteq A$ , the equality  $h(u) = \sum_{x \in u} h(\{x\})$  holds. Thus, h is monotone.

We shall describe a class of  $\pi$ -ideals on A of such a kind that, having such an ideal J, there is no additive set-mapping  $h: P(A) \to Q^+$  such that  $J = h^{-1''}[0]^+$ . At first, we denote by |u| the set-cardinality of the set u. It means that there exists a one-one set-mapping between u and a natural number  $\alpha$ .

A partition p of A is said to be relatively bounded, whenever  $(\forall t \in p)(|t|/|p| \in BQ)$  holds.

By a set-selector on a partition p on A we mean a set  $u \subseteq A$  such that  $(\forall t \in p)(|t \cap u| = 1)$ .

**Proposition.** Let A be a non-empty set. Put  $J = w^{-1}{}^{\prime\prime}[0]^+$  and let w be an additive mapping on P(A). Let p be a relatively bounded partition on A such that  $J \cap p = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a set-selector on p which does not belong to J.

PROOF: Put, for each  $x \in A$ ,  $\widetilde{w}(x) = w(\{x\})$ . There exists a set  $u = \{a_t; t \in p\}$  such that  $a_t \in t$  holds for each  $t \in p$  and  $\widetilde{w}(a_t) = \max(\widetilde{w}''t)$ . We have, for each  $t \in p: 0 \neq \widetilde{w}(t) \leq |t| \cdot \widetilde{w}(a_t)$ . Thus, there exists a number  $k \in FN$  such that  $1/k \leq |t| \cdot \widetilde{w}(a_t)$  holds for each  $t \in p$ .

Put  $\theta = \max\{|t|; t \in p\}$ . We deduce from the assumption that the partition p is relatively bounded that there exists a number  $m \in FN$  such that  $\theta/|p| \leq m$ . Thus we have the following:  $w(u) = \sum_{t \in p} \widetilde{w}(a_t) \geq 1/k \cdot \sum_{t \in p} 1/|t| \geq 1/k \cdot |p|/\theta \geq 1/(k \cdot m)$ . We can conclude that  $u \notin J$ .

We say that a partition p of a set A is relatively non-zero if we have  $(\forall t \in p)(|t|/|p| \neq 0)$ .

**Proposition.** Let A be an infinite set and let p be an infinite relatively bounded and relatively non-zero set-partition on A. Put

$$J = \{ u \subseteq A; \ (\forall t \in p)(|t \cap u|/|p| \doteq 0) \}.$$

Then we have:

- (1) The class J is an ideal on A of the type  $\pi$  and  $[A]^1 \subseteq J$ .
- (2) Every set-selector on p belongs to J.
- (3) There is no additive set-mapping h on P(A) such that  $J = h^{-1}{}^{\prime\prime}[0]^+$ .

PROOF: The items (1) and (2) are easy. The item (3) follows from (2) and from the previous proposition.  $\Box$ 

It is clear that, on each infinite set A, there exists an infinite relatively bounded and relatively non-zero set-partition p.

Now, let us briefly pay attention to some classes of evaluations. Let A be a non-empty set. We put

$$Ev(A) = \{h; h \text{ is a set-evaluation on } P(A) \text{ in } Q^+ \}$$

and let  $\sim$  be a relation on Ev(A) defined as follows:

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f^{-1}{}''[0]^+ = g^{-1}{}''[0]^+.$$

**Proposition.** Let A be an infinite set. Then ~ is a non-compact equivalence on Ev(A) of the type  $\pi\sigma$ . The system  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\kappa,m}; \kappa \in N - FN \& m \in FN\}$ , where for each  $\alpha, \beta$ ,

$$\begin{split} W_{\alpha,\beta} &= \{ \langle f,g \rangle \in (Ev(A))^2; \\ f(u) < 2^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow g(u) < 2^{-\beta} \& g(u) < 2^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow f(u) < 2^{-\beta} \}, \end{split}$$

is a uniformity basis on Ev(A) over  $\sim$  (that is,  $\sim = \bigcap \mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  has the usual properties).

PROOF: It is clear that ~ is an equivalence on Ev(A). Let us prove that ~ is not compact. Let  $\delta \notin FN$  be such that there exists a set-partition  $\{A_{\alpha}; \alpha < \delta\}$ of A and  $\delta \leq |A_{\alpha}| \leq 2\delta$  holds. Let  $w_{\alpha} : A \to Q^+$  be a function such that we have, for each  $x \in A$ ,  $w_{\alpha}(x) = \delta^{-1}$  and  $w_{\alpha}$  is equal to zero on  $A - A_{\alpha}$ . Let  $h_{\alpha} : P(A) \to Q^+$  be a function defined by  $f_{\alpha}(u) = \sum_{x \in u} w_{\alpha}(x)$  whenever

#### J. Mlček

 $\emptyset \neq u \subseteq A$  and let  $f_{\alpha}(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $\{f_{\alpha}; \alpha < \delta\}$  is an infinite ~-net which guarantees that ~ is not compact. We prove that ~ is a  $\pi\sigma$ -class. The explicit definition of ~ has the form  $(\forall u \subseteq A)(\forall k)(\exists m)\varphi$  where  $\varphi$  is a set-formula of the language  $FL_V$ . We deduce from this that the formula in question is equivalent to a formula  $(\forall k)(\exists n)\psi$  where  $\psi$  is a set-formula of the language  $FL_V$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{W}$  has the required properties. We can see that

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow (\forall \kappa \in N - FN)(\forall m)(\forall u \subseteq A)(f(u) < 2^{-\kappa} \Rightarrow g(u) < 2^{-m} \& g(u) < 2^{-\kappa} \Rightarrow f(u) < 2^{-m})$$

and, consequently,  $\sim = \bigcap \mathcal{W}$  holds. We see also that the system  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq Sd_V$  is a system of reflexive and symmetric relations on Ev(A) such that  $(\forall W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{W})(\exists W \in \mathcal{W})(W \subseteq W_1 \cap W_2), (\forall W \in \mathcal{W})(\exists W_0 \in \mathcal{W})(W_0 \circ W_0 \subseteq W).$ 

Let us define, finally,

$$Ev_0(A) = \{h \in Ev(A); \ h''[A]^1 \subseteq [0]^+\}$$
  
$$Ad_0(A) = \{h \in Ev_0(A); \ h \text{ is an additive set-mapping on } P(A)\}.$$

**Proposition.** Let A be an infinite set. Then

$$Ad_0(A) \subsetneq \sim ''Ad_0(A) \subsetneq Ev_0(A)$$

and the classes  $Ad_0(A)$  and  $Ev_0(A)$  are  $\pi$ -classes.

**PROOF:** Let us prove the first inclusion. Assume that  $h \in Ad_0(A)$  and let  $r \doteq 0, r > 0$ . Put, for each  $u \subseteq A, u \neq \emptyset$ ,  $h_r(u) = h(u) + r$  and  $h_r(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $h_r \sim h$  and  $h_r \notin Ad_0(A)$ .

Let us prove the second inclusion. Let J be an ideal from the last but one proposition and let h be an evaluation on P(A) in  $Q^+$  such that  $h^{-1''}[0]^+ = J$ . Then  $h \in Ev_0(A)$ . Let  $w \in Ad_0(A)$  and suppose that  $w \sim h$ . We have  $J = w^{-1''}[0]^+$ , which is a contradiction.

#### References

- [M1] Mlček J., Approximations of σ-classes and π-classes, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20 (1979), 669–679.
- [M2] \_\_\_\_\_, Valuations of structures, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20 (1979), 681–695.
- [M3] \_\_\_\_\_, Monotonic valuations and valuations of triads of higher types, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22 (1981), 377–398.
- [V] Vopěnka P., Mathematics in the Alternative Set Theory.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, MALOSTRANSKÉ NÁM. 25, 118 00 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received June 1, 1992, revised November 13, 1992)