

Convergence theorems for set-valued conditional expectations

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIU

Abstract. In this paper we prove two convergence theorems for set-valued conditional expectations. The first is a set-valued generalization of Levy's martingale convergence theorem, while the second involves a nonmonotone sequence of sub σ -fields.

Keywords: measurable multifunction, set-valued conditional expectation, Levy's theorem, support function, Kuratowski-Mosco convergence of sets

Classification: 60D05

1. Introduction.

Set-valued random variables (random sets) have been studied recently by many authors. Selectively we mention the important works of Alo-deKorvin-Roberts [1], Hiai [9], Hiai-Umegaki [10] and Luu [12]. Furthermore the works of Artstein-Hart [2], deKorvin-Kleyle [11] and Papageorgiou [15], illustrated that set-valued random variables can be useful in the study of problems in optimization theory, information systems and mathematical economics.

In this paper we prove a set-valued analogue of the well-known Levy's martingale convergence theorem and then we go one step further and allow the sequence of sub σ -fields to vary in a nonmonotone fashion. Theorem 3.1 in this paper extends Theorem 2.1 of the author [18], where the Banach space was assumed to be reflexive. Theorem 3.2 is a new general convergence result for set-valued random variables (random sets).

2. Preliminaries.

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space and X a separable Banach space. We shall be using the following notation:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, closed, (convex)}\}$$

and

$$P_{wkc}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, weakly compact and convex}\}.$$

¹Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-8802688.

²On leave from National Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Zografou Campus, Athens 15773, Greece.

The author is indebted to a very knowledgeable referee for his (her) many helpful comments and remarks

For any $A \in 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, we set $|A| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A\}$ (the “norm” of A), $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup\{(x^*, x) : x \in A\}$, $x^* \in X^*$ (the support function of A) and, for every $z \in X$, $d(z, A) = \inf\{\|z - x\| : x \in A\}$ (the distance function from A).

A multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be measurable, if for all U open in X $F^-(U) = \{\omega \in \Omega : F(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma$. If in addition $F(\cdot)$ is $P_f(X)$ -valued, then the above definition is equivalent to any of the following statements:

- (i) for every $z \in X$, $\omega \rightarrow d(z, F(\omega))$ is measurable,
- (ii) there exist measurable functions $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow X$, $n \geq 1$, s.t. $F(\omega) = \text{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

The above statements imply the following:

- (iii) $GrF = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$, with $B(X)$ being the Borel σ -field of X (graph measurability).

If Σ is μ -complete, then all the statements (i)–(iii) are equivalent.

Further details on the measurability of multifunctions can be found in the survey paper of Wagner [23].

Given a measurable multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$, S_F^1 will denote the set of integrable selectors of $F(\cdot)$; i.e., $S_F^1 = \{f \in L^1(\Omega, X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$. Clearly this set is closed, maybe empty and using Aumann’s selection theorem (see Wagner [23, Theorem 5.10]) we can easily check that S_F^1 is nonempty if and only if $\omega \rightarrow \inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Indeed, let $m(\omega) = \inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\}$. Because of the property (ii) above, we have $m(\omega) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \|f_n(\omega)\|$, where $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \Xi$, $n \geq 1$, are measurable functions s.t. $F(\omega) = \text{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$. So $\omega \rightarrow m(\omega)$ is measurable. If $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$, let $g \in S_F^1$. Then $m(\omega) \leq \|g(\omega)\|$ μ -a.e. $\Rightarrow m \in L^1(\Omega)$. Conversely, suppose that $m(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $H_\varepsilon(\omega) = \{x \in F(\omega) : \|x\| \leq m(\omega) + \varepsilon\}$. Clearly for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $H_\varepsilon(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ and $GrH_\varepsilon = GrF \cap \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : \|x\| - m(\omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Clearly then $(\omega, x) \rightarrow \|x\| - m(\omega)$ is measurable. So $GrH_\varepsilon \in \Sigma \times B(X)$. Apply Aumann’s selection theorem to get $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ measurable s.t. $g(\omega) \in H_\varepsilon(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then $g(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ and $\|g(\omega)\| \leq m(\omega) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow g \in S_F^1 \Rightarrow S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$.

This is the case if $\omega \rightarrow |F(\omega)| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^1(\Omega)$. Such a multifunction is called integrably bounded. Note that if $F(\cdot)$ is $P_{fc}(X)$ -valued, then S_F^1 is convex, too. Using S_F^1 we can define a set-valued integral for $F(\cdot)$ by setting $\int_\Omega F(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \{\int_\Omega f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) : f \in S_F^1\}$.

Let Σ_0 be a sub σ -field of Σ . Let $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ be a measurable multifunction s.t. $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$. Following Hiai-Umegaki [10], we define the set-valued conditional expectation of $F(\cdot)$ with respect to Σ_0 to be the Σ_0 -measurable multifunction $E^{\Sigma_0} F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ for which we have $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0) = \text{cl}\{E^{\Sigma_0} f : f \in S_F^1\}$ (the closure taken in the $L^1(\Omega, X)$ -norm). Note that by definition $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0)$ consists of all Σ_0 -measurable selectors of $E^{\Sigma_0} F$. To simplify the already heavy notation, we shall simply write $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ instead of $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1(\Sigma_0)$. If $F(\cdot)$ is integrably bounded (resp. convex valued), then so is $E^{\Sigma_0} F(\cdot)$. Note that in Hiai-Umegaki [10], the definition was given for integrably bounded $F(\cdot)$. However, it is clear that it can be extended to the more general class of multifunctions $F(\cdot)$ used here. Recall that

$A \in \Sigma$ is said to be a Σ_0 -atom if and only if for all $A' \in \Sigma$, $A' \subseteq A$ there exists $B \in \Sigma_0$ s.t. $\mu(A' \Delta (A \cap B)) = 0$ or equivalently $\chi_{A'}(\omega) = \chi_{A \cap B}(\omega)$ μ -a.e. (see Hanen-Neveu [7]).

Finally let $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Following Mosco [14], we define:

$$\begin{aligned} s - \underline{\lim} A_n &= \{x \in X : x = s - \lim x_n, x_n \in A_n, n \geq 1\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \lim d(x, A_n) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w - \overline{\lim} A_n = \{x \in X : x = w - \lim x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}, n_1 < n_2 < n_3 \cdots < n_k < \dots\}.$$

Here $s-$ denotes the strong topology on X , while $w-$ denotes the weak topology on X . It is easy to see that we always have $s - \underline{\lim} A_n \subseteq w - \overline{\lim} A_n$. We say that the A_n 's converge to A in the Kuratowski-Mosco sense to A , denoted by $A_n \xrightarrow{K-M} A$ if $s - \underline{\lim} A_n = A = w - \overline{\lim} A_n$.

3. Convergence theorems.

Assume that $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an increasing subsequence of sub σ -fields of Σ s.t. $\bigvee_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_n = \Sigma_0$. Recall that if $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, then $E^{\Sigma_n} f(\omega) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega)$ μ -a.e. (Levy's martingale convergence theorem). This was extended to Banach space-valued random variables; i.e., $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$ (see for example Metivier [13, Theorem 11.2]). The following theorem is a set-valued version of this martingale convergence theorem. It improves Theorem 2.1 of [18], since we get a stronger kind of convergence for the set-valued martingale and the reflexivity hypothesis on X is relaxed.

Theorem 3.1. *If X^* is separable and $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$ is integrably bounded, then $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$ μ -a.e. The result is also true if X^* is separable, $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is integrably bounded and (Ω, Σ, μ) has no Σ_0 -atoms.*

PROOF: From the lemma in Section 2 of [19], we know that for all $x^* \in X^*$ and all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$, $\mu(N) = 0$, we have $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega))$. So we have $\overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \overline{\lim} \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega))$. But from the classical Levy's martingale convergence theorem, we know that $\overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \lim E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N(x^*)$, $\mu(N(x^*)) = 0$. Let $\{x_m^*\}_{m \geq 1}$ be dense in X^* for the strong topology (recall that X^* is assumed to be separable). We have $E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $m \geq 1$ and all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$, where $N = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} N(x_m^*)$, $\mu(N) = 0$. Let $x^* \in X^*$ and let $\{x_k^*\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq \{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1}$ be s.t. $x_k^* \xrightarrow{s} x^*$ (here s denotes the strong on X^*). From Proposition 14 of Thibault [22], we know that for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_1$, $\mu(N_1) = 0$, $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(\cdot, F(\omega))$ is continuous and so $E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x_k^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_1$, $\mu(N_1) = 0$. Let $N_2 = N \cup N_1$, $\mu(N_2) = 0$ and let $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$. Invoking Lemma 1.6 of Attouch [3], we can find a map $n \rightarrow k(n)$, depending in general on $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$

s.t. $E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So for any given $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$, $\mu(N_2) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \leq |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \quad + |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))|. \end{aligned}$$

For the first summand in the right hand side of the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \leq E^{\Sigma_n} |\sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) - \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega))| \\ & \leq E^{\Sigma_n} |F(\omega)| \cdot \|x^* - x_{k(n)}^*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also from the choice of the map $n \rightarrow k(n)$, we have

$$|E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x_{k(n)}^*, F(\omega)) - E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus finally we deduce that for all $x^* \in X^*$ and all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N_2$ $\mu(N_2) = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{\Sigma_n} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ & \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega)) \rightarrow \sigma(x^*, E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 of [16], we get

$$w - \overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \subseteq \overline{\text{conv}} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

If $F(\cdot)$ is $P_{f_c}(X)$ -valued, then $\overline{\text{conv}} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) = E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$. If $F(\cdot)$ is $P_f(X)$ -valued and (Ω, Σ, μ) has no Σ_0 -atoms, from Dynkin-Evstigneev [5], we have that $E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega)$ is μ -a.e. convex. So in both cases we have:

$$(1) \quad w - \overline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \subseteq E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Next, let $f \in S_F^1$. Then from Theorem 11.2 of Metivier [13], we know that $E^{\Sigma_n} f(\omega) \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega)$ μ -a.e. in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly $E^{\Sigma_n} f \in S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1$ and so we have $E^{\Sigma_0} f(\omega) \in s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega)$ μ -a.e. Hence we have that

$$E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1 \subseteq S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1.$$

Recalling that $s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\cdot)$ is closed-valued, we have that the set $S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1$ is closed in $L^1(X)$. Hence we have:

$$\overline{E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1} \subseteq S_{s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1.$$

But by definition (see Section 2), we have $\overline{E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1} = S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$. Therefore we finally have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 &\subseteq S_{s-\underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F}^1 \\ &\Rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \subseteq s - \underline{\lim} E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) above we conclude that $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$ \square

Corollary. *If $\dim X < \infty$ and $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$ is integrably bounded, then $E^{\Sigma_n} F(\omega) \xrightarrow{h} E^{\Sigma_0} F(\omega) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$, where h denotes the Hausdorff metric on $P_{fc}(X)$. The same holds if $\dim X < \infty$, $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is integrably bounded and (Ω, Σ, μ) has no Σ_0 -atoms.*

Remark. The ‘‘convex’’ part of this corollary was proved by the author in [18, Theorem 2.1]. This result is a consequence of Corollary 3A of Salinetti-Wets [21].

In the next convergence theorem, we allow the sub σ -fields to converge in a non-monotone fashion. Recall that $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ in $L^1(\Omega, X)$ if and only if for every $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$, we have $E^{\Sigma_n} f \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f$ in $L^1(\Omega, X)$. From the vector valued version of Levy’s martingale convergence theorem (see Metivier [13, Theorem 11.2]), we know that if $\Sigma_n \uparrow \Sigma_0$, then $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ in $L^1(\Omega, X)$. More generally, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\Sigma = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \Sigma_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \Sigma_n$, then $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ (see Fetter [6, Theorem 3]).

Recall that if X^* is separable, then X^* has the RNP and so $L^1(\Omega, X)^* = L^\infty(\Omega, X^*)$ (see Diestel-Uhl [4, Theorem 1, p. 98]). We shall denote the duality brackets for this pair by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; i.e., $\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), h(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$ for every $f \in L^1(\Omega, X)$, $h \in L^\infty(\Omega, X^*)$.

We shall need the following two lemmata. In both we assume X^* is separable.

Lemma 3.1. *If Σ_0 is a sub σ -field of Σ , $f \in L^1(\Sigma_0, X)$ and $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$, then $\langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} h \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle$.*

PROOF: Let $h = \chi_A x^*$, $A \in \Sigma$, $x^* \in X^*$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} h \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), E^{\Sigma_0} \chi_A(\omega) x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} E^{\Sigma_0} \chi_A(\omega) (f(\omega), x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_A(\omega) (f(\omega), x^*) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (f(\omega), \chi_A(\omega) x^*) d\mu(\omega) = \langle f, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly then the result is valid for countably-valued $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$. But those functions are dense in $L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$ (see Diestel-Uhl [4, p. 42]). So by a simple density argument, we conclude that the lemma holds for all $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma, X^*)$. \square

In a similar way, exploiting the density of simple functions in $L^1(\Sigma, X)$, we can prove the following lemma, whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 3.2. *If Σ_0 is a sub σ -field of Σ , $f \in L^1(\Sigma, X)$ and $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$, then $\langle f, h \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, h \rangle$.*

The next theorem partially generalizes Theorem 3.1. Now we have a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of random sets, instead of just a fixed one as in Theorem 3.1, and the sequence $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of the sub σ -fields of Σ need not be monotone increasing. Because of the nature of the convergence of the Σ_n 's, our convergence result is in terms of the sets of integrable selectors of the random multifunctions. When specialized to single-valued random variables, then we get that $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \rightarrow E^{\Sigma_0} f$ in $L^1(\Omega, X)$, which improves Theorem 4 of Fette [6], where $X = \mathbb{R}$. Note that the convexity of the values of the random sets $\{F_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is important, because it allows us to use the ‘‘multivalued dominated convergence theorem’’ established in [16, Theorem 4.4]. It remains an open question whether the almost everywhere convergence holds (even if random variables are single-valued and $X = \mathbb{R}$; see also Fetter [6]).

Theorem 3.2. *If X^* is separable, $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$ $n \geq 1$ are measurable multifunctions s.t. $F_n(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega)$ μ -a.e. with $G : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$ integrably bounded, $F_n(\omega) \xrightarrow{K-M} F(\omega)$ μ -a.e. and $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ in $L^1(X)$, then $S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF: From Proposition 4.3 of Hess [8], we have that $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$ is measurable and $F(\omega) \subseteq G(\omega)$ μ -a.e. Then from Proposition 3.1 of [17], we have $S_{F_n}^1, S_F^1$ are weakly compact convex subsets of $L^1(X)$ and so $S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 = E^{\Sigma_n} S_{F_n}^1$, $S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 = E^{\Sigma_0} S_F^1$ $n \geq 1$.

Now let $h \in w - \overline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$. Then by definition we can find $h_k \in S_{E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} F_{n(k)}}^1$ s.t. $h_k \xrightarrow{w} h$ in $L^1(X)$. Then we can find $f_k \in S_{F_{n(k)}}^1$ s.t. $E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k = h_k$. Since $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq S_G^1$ and the latter is w -compact in $L^1(X)$ (see Proposition 3.1 of [17]), by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ in $L^1(X)$. Also since $S_{F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_F^1$ by Theorem 4.4 of [16], we have $f \in S_F^1$. Now for $v \in L^\infty(\Omega, X^*) = L^1(\Omega, X)^*$ we have using Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2:

$$\langle h_k, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle = \langle f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle.$$

Invoking Lemma 4.2 of Papageorgiou-Kandilakis [20], we get $\langle f_k, E^{\Sigma_{n(k)}} v \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Once again through Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2 above, we have $\langle f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, E^{\Sigma_0} v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle$. Therefore

$$\langle h_k, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also $\langle h_k, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle h, v \rangle \Rightarrow \langle h, v \rangle = \langle E^{\Sigma_0} f, v \rangle$ for all $v \in L^\infty(X^*) \Rightarrow h = E^{\Sigma_0} f$ with $f \in S_F^1 \Rightarrow h \in S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$. So we have:

$$(1) \quad w - \overline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \subseteq S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1.$$

Next let $h \in S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$. Then $h = E^{\Sigma_0} f$, $f \in S_F^1$. Recalling that $S_{F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_F^1$ (Theorem 4.4 of [16]), we get $f_n \in S_{F_n}^1$ s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$ in $L^1(X)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^{\Sigma_n} f_n - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 &\leq \|E^{\Sigma_n} f_n - E^{\Sigma_n} f\|_1 + \|E^{\Sigma_n} f - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_1 + \|E^{\Sigma_n} f - E^{\Sigma_0} f\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ in $L^1(X)$. Hence $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \xrightarrow{s} E^{\Sigma_0} f = h$ in $L^1(X)$ and $E^{\Sigma_n} f_n \in S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$, $n \geq 1$. Therefore $h \in s - \underline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1$. Thus we have:

$$(2) \quad S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 \subseteq s - \underline{\lim} S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1.$$

From (1) and (2) we conclude that

$$S_{E^{\Sigma_n} F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Alo R., deKorvin A., Roberts R., *The optional sampling theorem for convex set valued martingales*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **310** (1979), 1–6.
- [2] Artstein Z., Hart S., *Law of large numbers for random sets and allocation processes*, Math. Oper. Res. **6** (1981), 485–492.
- [3] Attouch H., *Famille d'opérateurs maximaux monotones et mesurabilité*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl. **120** (1979), 35–111.
- [4] Diestel J. Uhl J., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, vol. 15, AMS, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [5] Dynkin E., Evstigneev I., *Regular conditional expectations of correspondences*, Theory of Prob. and Appl. **21** (1976), 325–338.
- [6] Fetter H., *On the continuity of conditional expectations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **61** (1977), 227–231.
- [7] Hanan A., Neveu J., *Atomes conditionnels d'un espace de probabilité*, Acta Math. Hungarica **17** (1966), 443–449.
- [8] Hess C., *Measurability and integrability of the weak upper limit of a sequence of multifunctions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **153** (1990), 206–249.
- [9] Hiai F., *Radon-Nikodym theorems for set-valued measures*, J. Multiv. Anal. **8** (1978), 96–118.
- [10] Hiai F., Umegaki H., *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multiv. Anal. **7** (1977), 149–182.
- [11] deKorvin A., Kleyle R., *A convergence theorem for convex set-valued supermartingales*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **3** (1985), 433–445.
- [12] Luu D.Q., *Quelques resultats de representation des amarts uniformes multivoques*, C.R. Acad. Su. Paris **300** (1985), 63–63.
- [13] Metivier M., *Semimartingales*, DeGruyter, Berlin 1982.
- [14] Mosco U., *Convergence of convex sets and solutions of variational inequalities*, Advances in Math. **3** (1969), 510–585.
- [15] Papageorgiou N.S., *On the efficiency and optimality of allocations II*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **24** (1986), 452–479.
- [16] ———, *Convergence theorem for Banach space valued integrable multifunctions*, Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **10** (1987), 433–442.

- [17] ———, *On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part 1: Integration and conditional expectation*, J. Multiv. Anal. **17** (1985), 185–206.
- [18] ———, *On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part 2: Set valued martingales and set valued measures*, J. Multiv. Anal. **17** (1985), 207–227.
- [19] ———, *A convergence theorem for set-valued supermartingales in a separable Banach space*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **5** (1988), 405–422.
- [20] Papageorgiou N.S., Kandilakis D., *Convergence in approximation and nonsmooth analysis*, J. Approx. Theory **49** (1987), 41–54.
- [21] Salinetti G. Wets R., *On the convergence of sequences of convex sets in finite dimensions*, SIAM Review **21** (1979), 18–33.
- [22] Thibault L., *Esperances conditionnelles d'integrandes semicontinus*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Ser. B **17** (1981), 337–350.
- [23] Wagner D., *Survey of measurable selection theorems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **15** (1977), 859–903.

FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, 150 W. UNIVERSITY BLVD., MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32901–6988, USA

(Received April 5, 1991, revised May 7, 1992)