

Bernoulli sequences and Borel measurability in $(0, 1)$

PETR VESELÝ

Abstract. The necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ to be Borel measurable (given by Theorem stated below) provides a technique to prove (in Corollary 2) the existence of a Borel measurable map $H : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(H(\mathbf{X}^p)) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}^{1/2})$ holds for each $p \in (0, 1)$, where $\mathbf{X}^p = (X_1^p, X_2^p, \dots)$ denotes Bernoulli sequence of random variables with $P[X_i^p = 1] = p$.

Keywords: Borel measurable function, Bernoulli sequence of random variables, Strong law of large numbers

Classification: 60A10, 28A20

1. The main result and notation.

Consider a sequence $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, of mutually independent random variables assuming the values 1 and 0 with probabilities p and $1 - p$, where $p \in (0, 1)$. Denote the distribution of the random variable

$$Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} X_n$$

by λ_p . Identifying Borel spaces $(0, 1)$ and $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by the irrational dyadic expansion map we can also define these measures by

$$\lambda_p \left(\{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_n = a_n\} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{a_i} (1 - p)^{1 - a_i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in \{0, 1\}^n$$

or equivalently by

$$\lambda_p = \bigotimes_1^{\infty} (1 - p)\varepsilon_0 + p\varepsilon_1,$$

where ε_x denotes the atomic measure supported by $\{x\}$.

Our main result is

I am very grateful to Professor J. Štěpán for his assistance. The Corollaries 1, 2 and 3 belong to him (see [2])

Theorem. For each function $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) f is a Borel measurable;
- (b) there exists a Borel set $B \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $f(p) = \lambda_p(B)$ for all $p \in (0, 1)$.

Corollaries to this result related to Bernoulli sequences of random variables are stated and proved in the part 3 of the present paper.

The following terminology and notation will be used in the sequel: Let $x \in (0, 1)$. By the dyadic expansion of x we mean the sequence $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with infinitely many x_i 's zeros such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i 2^{-i}$. In this case we write $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Put

$$\mathcal{I}(n, a) = \{x \in (0, 1) \mid x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_n = a_n\} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$$

and denote by \mathcal{K} the algebra generated by the sets $\mathcal{I}(n, a)$. Note that the algebra \mathcal{K} consists exactly of finite (possibly empty) unions of the sets $\mathcal{I}(n, a)$ and generates Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$. Putting

$$\Lambda(B) = \{x \in (0, 1) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \in B\}, \quad B \subseteq (0, 1),$$

it follows easily by Strong law of large numbers that

$$(1) \quad \Lambda(B) \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1) \text{ and } \lambda_p(\Lambda(B)) = I_B(p) \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1) \text{ and } p \in (0, 1).$$

Finally, let us agree that if $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ are two decompositions of a set \mathcal{S} and if for all $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$ either $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$ or $T_1 \subseteq T_2$, then we shall write $\mathcal{T}_1 \preceq \mathcal{T}_2$.

2. Proof of Theorem.

Lemma 1. Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\{\lambda_p(D); K \supseteq D \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a dense set in the interval $[0, \lambda_p(K)]$.

The assertion follows easily by the inequality

$$\lambda_p(\mathcal{I}(m, a)) \leq \max\{p^m, (1 - p)^m\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \{0, 1\}^m,$$

using the fact that for almost all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a set $A_m \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$ such that $\{\mathcal{I}(m, a); a \in A_m\}$ forms a decomposition of K .

Lemma 2. Consider $K \in \mathcal{K}$, a Borel set $V \subseteq [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ and a continuous function $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(p) \leq \lambda_p(K)$ for all $p \in V$. Then to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite Borel measurable decomposition $\{A_1, \dots, A_t\}$ of V and the sets $K \supseteq F_i \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$0 \leq \gamma(p) - \lambda_p(F_i) \leq \varepsilon$$

holds for each $p \in A_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq t$.

PROOF: Since $p \mapsto \lambda_p(K)$ is a continuous function defined on $(0, 1)$ we get that $\gamma(p) \leq \lambda_p(K)$ holds for all $p \in \overline{V}$. Fix a $p \in \overline{V}$. Lemma 1 provides a set $K \supseteq D_p \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$0 \leq \gamma(p) - \lambda_p(D_p) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Let V_p be an open neighbourhood of p such that

$$0 \leq \gamma(q) - \lambda_q(D_p) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } q \in V_p.$$

Now, let V_{p_1}, \dots, V_{p_t} be a covering of the compact set \overline{V} . It is easy to see that the sets

$$A_1 = V_{p_1} \cap V, \quad A_2 = V_{p_2} \cap A_1^c \cap V, \quad \dots, \quad A_t = V_{p_t} \cap A_1^c \cap \dots \cap A_{t-1}^c \cap V, \\ F_1 = D_{p_1}, \dots, \quad F_t = D_{p_t}$$

provide the desired construction. □

Lemma 3. *Let $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ and let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Borel measurable function. Then there exists a Borel set $B \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $f(p) = \lambda_p(B)$ for all $p \in [a, b]$.*

PROOF: Consider a nondecreasing sequence of simple functions $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. Denote by $\{U_{n,1}, \dots, U_{n,r(n)}\}$ a Borel measurable decomposition of $[a, b]$ such that

$$f_n(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{r(n)} c_{n,j} I_{U_{n,j}}(p), \quad p \in [a, b],$$

where $c_{n,j} \in [0, 1]$. By induction, we shall construct sequences

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{W_{n,1}, \dots, W_{n,\alpha(n)}\} \subset \mathcal{B}(0, 1), \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \{H_{n,1}, \dots, H_{n,\alpha(n)}\} \subset \mathcal{K},$$

such that for all $n \geq 0$:

- (i) \mathcal{W}_n is a Borel measurable decomposition of the interval $[a, b]$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{W}_n \preceq \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \preceq \dots \preceq \mathcal{W}_0$;
- (iii) if $W_{0,i_0} \in \mathcal{W}_0, W_{1,i_1} \in \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, W_{n,i_n} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and $W_{0,i_0} \supseteq W_{1,i_1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq W_{n,i_n}$, then the sets $H_{0,i_0}, H_{1,i_1}, \dots, H_{n,i_n}$ are pairwise disjoint;
- (iv) the inequality $0 \leq f_n(p) - \hat{f}_n(p) \leq n^{-1}$ holds for all $p \in [a, b]$, where

$$\hat{f}_n(p) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(n)} \lambda_p(H_{k,i}) I_{W_{k,i}}(p).$$

Put $f_0 \equiv 0$, $\hat{f}_0 \equiv 0$, $W_0 = \{[a, b]\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{m-1}, \mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ have been already constructed such that (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) hold for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. Choose a finite Borel measurable decomposition $\mathcal{V}_m = \{V_{m,1}, \dots, V_{m,s(m)}\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that $\mathcal{V}_m \preceq \{U_{m,1}, \dots, U_{m,r(m)}\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_m \preceq \mathcal{W}_{m-1}$. Fix a $V_{m,g} \in \mathcal{V}_m$ and let $U_{m,j} \in \{U_{m,1}, \dots, U_{m,r(m)}\}$ be the unique set for which $V_{m,g} \subseteq U_{m,j}$ holds. By (ii), there exists an uniquely determined sequence of positive integers i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{m-1} such that $[a, b] = W_{0,i_0} \supseteq W_{1,i_1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq W_{m-1,i_{m-1}} \supseteq V_{m,g}$. It follows easily from (iii) and (iv) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f_{m-1}(p) - \hat{f}_{m-1}(p) &\leq f_m(p) - \hat{f}_{m-1}(p) = c_{m,j} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda_p(H_{k,i_k}) \\ &= c_{m,j} - \lambda_p\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} H_{k,i_k}\right) \leq 1, \quad p \in V_{m,g}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_{m,j} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda_p(H_{k,i_k})$ is a polynomial (because $H_{k,i_k} \in \mathcal{K}$), there exists a continuous function $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\gamma(p) = f_m(p) - \hat{f}_{m-1}(p) \leq \lambda_p(K_g), \quad p \in V_{m,g},$$

where

$$K_g = (0, 1) - \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} H_{k,i_k}.$$

Thus, for each $1 \leq g \leq s(m)$ there exists by Lemma 2 a finite Borel measurable decomposition $\{A_1^{m,g}, \dots, A_{t(g)}^{m,g}\}$ of $V_{m,g}$ and the sets $F_1^{m,g}, \dots, F_{t(g)}^{m,g} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $F_1^{m,g} \subseteq K_g, \dots, F_{t(g)}^{m,g} \subseteq K_g$ and

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq f_m(p) - \hat{f}_{m-1}(p) - \lambda_p(F_i^{m,g}) \leq m^{-1}, \quad p \in A_i^{m,g}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t(g).$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_m &= \{A_i^{m,g} \mid g = 1, \dots, s(m); i = 1, \dots, t(g)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_m &= \{F_i^{m,g} \mid g = 1, \dots, s(m); i = 1, \dots, t(g)\}, \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to verify (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) for $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_m, \mathcal{H}_m$ using (2).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$C_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^{\alpha(k)} (H_{k,i} \cap \Lambda(W_{k,i})).$$

By (i), (ii), (iii) and by (1) we have $\lambda_p(C_n) = \hat{f}_n(p)$ for all $p \in [a, b]$ and, consequently, $\lambda_p(C_n) \rightarrow f(p)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ by (iv). Since $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may put

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$$

to get that $f(p) = \lambda_p(B)$ for all $p \in [a, b]$. □

Now, to prove our Theorem it is sufficient to verify the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Borel measurable function. By Lemma 3, there exists a Borel set $B_n \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $f(p) = \lambda_p(B_n)$ for all $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n-1}{n}]$ and all $n \geq 3$. Thus, it is sufficient to put

$$B = \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} (B_n \cap \Lambda(J_n)) ,$$

where

$$J_3 = [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] , \quad J_n = [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}) \cup (\frac{n-2}{n-1}, \frac{n-1}{n}] , \quad n \geq 4 .$$

As the contrary implication is standard, the proof is completed.

3. Corollaries.

In the sequel, $F \circ \nu$ denotes the image measure of a measure ν w.r.t. a measurable map F , i.e. $(F \circ \nu)(A) = \nu(F^{-1}(A))$ for all measurable sets A . Also, if necessary, we identify for each $p \in (0, 1)$ the probability space $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1), \lambda_p)$ with the product $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}), \mu_p = \bigotimes_1^{\infty} (1-p)\varepsilon_0 + p\varepsilon_1)$. The identification is obviously “good enough” for all our purposes, as the measure μ_p is the image of λ_p w.r.t. the dyadic expansion map $x \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ which has the measurable inverse defined almost surely w.r.t. μ_p .

Corollary 1. *For each Borel measurable function $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ there exists a Borel measurable function $H_f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ such that $H_f \circ \lambda_p = \lambda_{f(p)}$ for all $p \in (0, 1)$.*

PROOF: By Theorem there exists a Borel set $B_f \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f(p) = \lambda_p(B_f)$ for all $p \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{i_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are increasing sequences such that $i_{n,k}$ are distinct integers for all $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Define a mapping $\rho_n: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\rho_n(x) = (x_{i_{n,1}}, x_{i_{n,2}}, \dots) , \quad x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} ,$$

and put $B_f^n = \rho_n^{-1}(B_f)$. The indicator functions $I_{B_f^1}, I_{B_f^2}, \dots$ are i.i.d. random variables w.r.t. each probability measure λ_p such that $\lambda_p[I_{B_f^n} = 1] = \lambda_p(B_f^n) = \lambda_p(B_f) = f(p)$ holds. Thus, the function H_f defined by

$$H_f(x) = (I_{B_f^1}(x), I_{B_f^2}(x), \dots) , \quad x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} ,$$

has the desired property. □

Corollary 2. *For each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists a Borel measurable function*

$$H_\alpha: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$$

such that $H_\alpha \circ \lambda_p = \lambda_\alpha$ holds for all $p \in (0, 1)$.

Recall that a probability measure ν on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$ is called symmetric, if

$$\nu(A) = \nu \left(\{x \in (0, 1) \mid (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots) \in A\} \right)$$

holds for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each permutation $\pi: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$. Equivalently, a measure ν on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$ is symmetric iff ν is the distribution of a random variable

$$Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} X_n,$$

where $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of exchangeable 0–1 random variables. For example, each measure λ_p , $p \in (0, 1)$, is symmetric.

Corollary 3. *For each Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} there exists a Borel measurable function $H_\mu: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_\mu \circ \nu = \mu$ holds for all symmetric probability measures ν defined on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$.*

PROOF: It is easy to see that it suffices to treat the case $\mu = \lambda_{1/2}$. A well-known de Finetti's result says that for each symmetric probability measure ν on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$ there exists a probability measure Q on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$ such that

$$\nu(A) = \int_0^1 \lambda_p(A) Q(dp)$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ (see e.g. [1, p. 225]). Now, the assertion follows easily applying Corollary 2 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Feller W., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Volume II.*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London and Sydney, 1966.
- [2] Štěpán J., *Personal communication*, 1992.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83,
186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received September 8, 1992)