

## Necessary and sufficient conditions for weak convergence of random sums of independent random variables

A. KRAJKA, Z. RYCHLIK

*Abstract.* Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $EX_n = a_n, E(X_n - a_n)^2 = \sigma_n^2, n \geq 1$ . Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables. Let us put  $S_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} X_k, L_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, s_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2, n \geq 1$ . In this paper we present necessary and sufficient conditions for weak convergence of the sequence  $\{(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The obtained theorems extend the main result of M. Finkelstein and H.G. Tucker (1989).

*Keywords:* random sums, weak convergence, stable law, nonrandom centering, measure of dependence between  $\sigma$ -fields

*Classification:* Primary 60F05; Secondary 60G50

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables, defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ , such that  $EX_n = a_n, E(X_n - a_n)^2 = \sigma_n^2 < \infty, n \geq 1$ . Let us put

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k, \quad L_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \quad s_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables, defined on the same probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ .

Recently many authors have studied limit behaviour of the following sequences:

$$\{(S_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_{N_n}, n \geq 1\}, \quad \{(S_{N_n} - EL_{N_n})/\sigma(S_{N_n}), n \geq 1\}, \\ \{(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\},$$

under the assumption that for each  $n \geq 1$  the random variables  $N_n, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent. Also the rate of convergence to the obtained limit law has extensively been studied (cf. [3], [6], [9], [4], [5], [8] and the references given there).

The limit distribution of the sequence  $\{(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is presented in [3]. Namely, M. Finkelstein and H.G. Tucker [3] have obtained the following very interesting result.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables such that  $EX_1 = \mu \neq 0$  and  $E(X_1 - \mu)^2 = \sigma^2 > 0$ . If  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables independent of  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , then the condition*

$$(1.1) \quad (S_{N_n} - n\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some) } Z$$

*holds if and only if the condition*

$$(1.2) \quad (N_n - n)/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some) } U$$

*holds, in which case the distribution of  $Z$  is that of  $X + Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent random variables,  $X$  being  $N(0, 1)$  and  $Y$  having the same distribution as  $\mu U/\sigma$ .*

The main aim of this paper is to extend Theorem A in the following directions:

- (i) We consider the random variables  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , not necessarily identically distributed.
- (ii) We omit the assumption that the random variables  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , have finite moments, and therefore we consider weak convergence to the Levy class distribution functions.
- (iii) We do not assume that the random variables  $N_n, n \geq 1$ , are independent of  $X_n, n \geq 1$ . We study limit distribution of the sequence  $\{(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$ , under the assumption that for some  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$(1.3) \quad r(n) = \mathbb{R}_{1,q}(\sigma\{N_k, k \geq 1\}, \sigma\{X_k, k \geq n\}) \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{R}(n) = \mathbb{R}_{1,q}(\sigma\{N_n\}, \sigma\{X_k, k \geq 1\}) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_{p,q}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  denotes the measure of dependence between  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  introduced in [2] (cf. (1.1)). Namely, for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$

$$\mathbb{R}_{p,q}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \sup |Efg - Ef Eg|/\|f\|_p\|g\|_q,$$

where the sup is taken over all  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f$  is simple, real-valued, and  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable and  $g$  is simple, real-valued, and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. (0/0 is presented to be 0.) Of course,  $\mathbb{R}_{p,q}$  is simply a norm of the bilinear form covariance.

In Section 2 we present the results. In Section 3 some auxiliary lemmas are given. The proofs of the main results are presented in Section 4.

## 2. Results.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4) for some  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Let  $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$  be sequences of real numbers and positive real numbers, respectively. Denote

$$a_n = L_n - L_{n-1}, \quad S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k,$$

$$S_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} X_k, \quad L_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k I[N_n = k], \quad s_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k I[N_n = k], \quad n \geq 1.$$

Assume

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P[|X_k - a_k| \geq \varepsilon s_n] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad (S_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} F(\cdot) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \int e^{itx} F(dx) = \exp\{i\gamma t + \oint (e^{itx} - 1 - itx/(1+x^2)) (1+x^2)/x^2 G(dx)\},$$

$\gamma$  is a real number,  $G(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing bounded function ( $\oint$  means that the integrand is equal to  $-t^2/2$  for  $x = 0$ ) and not identically equal to a constant, and

$$(2.3) \quad N_n \xrightarrow{P} \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

or for every  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some constant  $C > 0$

$$(2.4) \quad |L_n - L_k| \geq C|n - k| \quad \text{and } n/s_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

or

$$(2.5) \quad L_n/s_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{or } L_n/s_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

If

$$(2.6) \quad (s_{N_n}/s_n, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n) \rightarrow (\text{some}) A(\cdot, \cdot),$$

where  $A$  is a two-dimensional distribution function, then

$$(2.7) \quad (S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) \Psi(\cdot),$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \int e^{itx} \Psi(dx) = \\ = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\{i\gamma ty + itz + \oint (e^{i(ty)x} - 1 - i(ty)x/(1+x^2)) (1+x^2)/x^2 G(dx)\} A(dy, dz).$$

If (2.7) holds with some distribution function  $\Psi(\cdot)$ , then the sequence  $\{(s_{N_n}/s_n, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n), n \geq 1\}$  is tight.

It is known that the set of possible weak limits of sums of independent random variables (cf. for e.g. [7, IV, §3]) is the class of Levy distribution function  $F(\cdot)$  which may be characterized by (2.2) and: For every  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists the characteristic function  $f_\alpha(t)$  such that

$$\int e^{itx} F(dx) = \int e^{it\alpha x} F(dx) f_\alpha(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 11 [7, IV, §3], (2.1) implies

$$(2.9) \quad s_{n+1}/s_n \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{and} \quad s_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The condition that  $G(\cdot)$  is not identically equal to a constant implies

$$\oint (e^{itx} - 1 - itx/(1+x^2)) (1+x^2)/x^2 G(dx) \neq 0$$

so that  $F(\cdot)$  in (2.1) is not a degenerate distribution function.

We note that the condition (2.3) may be expressed as follows:

For some sequence  $\{\alpha(n), n \geq 1\}$  such that  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad P(N_n < \alpha(n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us observe that if for each  $n \geq 1$ , the random variables  $N_n, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent, then (1.3) and (1.4) hold with  $r(n) = \mathbb{R}(n) = 0$  for every  $q \geq 1$ .

The next result deals with the convergence to the stable limit law. Assume

$$(2.11) \quad P(X_n > x)/P(|X_n| > x) \rightarrow c_{1,n}/(c_{1,n} + c_{2,n}) \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\{c_{j,n}, n \geq 1\}, j = 1, 2$ , are some sequences of nonnegative numbers such that  $c_{1,n} + c_{2,n} > 0, n \geq 1$ .

For some  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  we define

$$e_1 = \int_0^\infty u^{-\alpha} \sin(u) du, \quad e_2 = \begin{cases} -\int_0^\infty u^{-\alpha} \cos(u) du, & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \\ \int_0^\infty u^{-\alpha} (1 - \cos(u)) du, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_n^\alpha = (c_{1,n} + c_{2,n})e_1, \quad s_n^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^\alpha, \quad s_0 = 1,$$

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ EX_n, & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ \int_0^1 d_n(x) dx + \int_1^\infty (d_n(x) - (c_{2,n} - c_{1,n})/x) dx + \\ \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_{1,i} - c_{2,i})e_2 \ln(s_i/s_{i-1}) + \\ \quad + (c_{1,n} - c_{2,n})e_2 \ln(s_n) + (c_{2,n} - c_{1,n})\gamma, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$L_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $d_n(x) = P(X_n > x) - P(X_n < -x)$ ,  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant and  $s_n = (s_n^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$ . Furthermore, let

$$\beta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_{1,i} - c_{2,i})e_2.$$

Let  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\lambda}(\cdot)$  denote the stable law with parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ ,  $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.

$$\int e^{itx} G_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\lambda}(dx) = \exp\{i\nu t - \lambda|t|^\alpha(1 + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(t, \alpha, \beta))\},$$

where  $\omega(t, \alpha, \beta) = \beta tg(\pi\alpha/2)$  for  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\omega(t, \alpha, \beta) = -(2\beta/\pi) \ln|t|$  for  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables satisfying (2.11). Assume, for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ ,*

$$(2.12) \quad \beta_n/s_n^\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad (S_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} G_{\alpha,\beta,0,1}(\cdot) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

hold.

Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4) and (2.3) or (2.4). If

$$(2.14) \quad (s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha, (\beta_{N_n} - \beta_n)/s_n^\alpha, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n) \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) A(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot),$$

where  $A$  is a three-dimensional distribution function, then

$$(2.15) \quad (S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \Psi(\cdot) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\int e^{itx} \Psi(dx) = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp\{-|t|^\alpha(x + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, \beta, t)) - |t|^\alpha i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, 1, t)y + itz\} A(dx, dy, dz).$$

If (2.15) holds with some distribution function  $\Psi$ , then the sequence  $\{(s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha, (\beta_{N_n} - \beta_n)/s_n^\alpha, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n), n \geq 1\}$  is tight.

Note that for  $\alpha < 1$  we have  $L_k = 0$  for all  $k$ , hence  $(L_{N_n} - L_n) = 0, n \geq 1$ . The given result seems to be interesting in case of i.i.d. random variables, but because in case  $\alpha < 1$  the centralization is equal to 0, we formulate two corollaries for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$  only.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Assume  $X_1$  belongs to the area of attraction of a stable law  $G_{\alpha, \beta, 0, \lambda}(\cdot), \alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). If*

$$(2.16) \quad (N_n - n)/n^{1/\alpha} \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) A(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(2.17) \quad (S_{N_n} - nEX_1)/(n\lambda)^{1/\alpha} \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) \Psi(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\lambda = e_1(c_{1,1} + c_{2,1})$ , and

$$\int e^{itx} \Psi(dx) = \exp\{-|t|^\alpha \lambda(1 + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, \beta, t))\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\{-x|t|^\alpha i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, \beta, t) + (c_{1,1} - c_{2,1})e_2/\lambda^{1/\alpha} + itxEX_1/\lambda^{1/\alpha}\} A(dx).$$

If (2.17) holds, then the sequence given in (2.16) is tight.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. Assume  $X_1$  belongs to the area of attraction of Cauchy law. Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). If*

$$(2.18) \quad (N_n/n, (N_n \ln(N_n) - n \ln(n))/n) \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) A(\cdot, \cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(2.19) \quad (S_{N_n} - n\mu - rn \ln(n))/(n\lambda) \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) \Psi(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\lambda = e_1(c_{1,1} + c_{2,1})$ ,  $r = e_1(c_{1,1} - c_{2,1})$ ,

$$\mu = \int_0^1 d_1(x) dx + \int_1^\infty (d_1(x) - (c_{1,1} - c_{2,1})/x) dx + (c_{1,1} - c_{2,1}) [\gamma + e_2 \ln(\lambda)],$$

and

$$\int e^{itx} \Psi(dx) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\{-|t|\lambda(x+(2x-1)i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(1, \beta, t))+it(x+1)\mu+it\beta(y+1)\} A(dx, dy).$$

If (2.19) holds, then the sequence given in (2.18) is tight.

The next result deals with the central limit theorem. Here we can formulate a stronger result than in Theorems 1 and 2 (cf. Lemma 6 in Section 3).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $EX_n = a_n$  and  $E(X_n - a_n)^2 = \sigma_n^2 < \infty, n \geq 1$ . Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). Let us put

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k, \quad L_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \quad s_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2,$$

$$S_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} X_k, \quad L_{N_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} a_k, \quad s_{N_n}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \sigma_k^2, \quad n \geq 1.$$

If

$$(2.20) \quad (S_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (2.3) or (2.4) or (2.5) hold, then the following conditions are equivalent:

$$(2.21) \quad (s_{N_n}^2/s_n^2, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n) \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) A(\cdot, \cdot),$$

where  $A$  is a two-dimensional distribution function,

$$(2.22) \quad (S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) \Psi(\cdot),$$

where  $\Psi$  is a distribution function.

The distribution functions  $A$  and  $\Psi$  are such that

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp(itx) \Psi(dx) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp(-t^2x/2 + ity) A(dx, dy).$$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $EX_n = \mu \neq 0$ ,  $E(X_n - \mu)^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and*

$$(2.24) \quad (S_n - n\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

$$(2.25) \quad (N_n - n)/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some)} G(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(2.26) \quad (S_{N_n} - n\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some)} \Psi(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The distribution functions  $G$  and  $\Psi$  are such that

$$\int e^{itx} \Psi(dx) = \exp(-t^2/2) \int e^{it\mu x/\sigma} G(dx).$$

Let us observe that if, in addition,  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , are identically distributed, then (2.24) holds. Thus Corollary 3, under the assumption that the random variables  $N_n, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent for each  $n \geq 1$ , gives Theorem A.

**3. Auxiliary lemmas.**

In the proofs of the main results we need some lemmas. Let  $\mathfrak{L}(X)$  denote the distribution of the random variable  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}(X_n) = \mathfrak{L}(Y_n), n \geq 1$ . Let  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $s_n > 0, n \geq 1$ , and  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Z_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_{N_n}, n \geq 1$ . Assume (2.1) holds. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

$$(3.1) \quad (S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some)} \Psi(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad (Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \text{(some)} G(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

in which case  $\Psi(\cdot) \equiv G(\cdot)$ .

PROOF: Let us observe that  $\mathfrak{L}(S_n) = \mathfrak{L}(Z_n), n \geq 1$ , but in general  $\mathfrak{L}(S_{N_n}) \neq \mathfrak{L}(Z_{N_n}), n \geq 1$ , since  $N_n$  is independent of  $Y_n, n \geq 1$ , but may be dependent of  $X_n, n \geq 1$ .



Assume (1.4) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n(t) &= |E \exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - E \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}| = \\
 &= | \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [EI(N_n = m) \exp\{it(S_m - L_n)/s_n\} - \\
 (3.3) \quad &\quad - EI(N_n = m)E \exp\{it(Z_m - L_n)/s_n\}] | \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}(n)P(N_n = m) = \mathbb{R}(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.1) holds if and only if (3.2) holds and  $\Psi(\cdot) \equiv G(\cdot)$ . We remark that under the assumption (1.4) we did not use (2.1).

Assume now (1.3) holds. Then by (2.1), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive number  $K_\varepsilon$  such that for every  $n \geq 1$

$$P(|S_n - L_n|/s_n \geq K_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, we may and do assume  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$  implies  $K_{\varepsilon_1} \geq K_{\varepsilon_2}$  and that  $K_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Let us put

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(n) &= \max\{k : s_k \leq s_n^{1/2}\}, \\
 \varepsilon(n) &= 2 \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : K_\varepsilon < s_n^{1/4}, \varepsilon > s_n^{-1/4}\}, \\
 \varrho(n) &= \min\{\psi(n), (\varepsilon(n))^{-1/2}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\psi(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $K_{\varepsilon(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, for every  $1 \leq i \leq \varrho(n)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|S_i - L_i|/s_n > s_n^{-1/4}) &\leq P(|S_i - L_i|/s_i s_n^{1/2} > s_n^{-1/4}) \leq P(|S_i - L_i|/s_i > s_n^{1/4}) \leq \\
 &\leq P(|S_i - L_i|/s_i > K_{\varepsilon(n)}) \leq \varepsilon(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

and, in consequence,

$$P(|S_i - L_i|/s_n > s_n^{-1/4}, N_n = i) \leq \varepsilon(n).$$

Thus, for every  $t$  such that  $|t| < s_n^{1/8}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - \exp\{it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}) I[N_n \leq \varrho(n)]| \leq \\
 &\leq E|(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_n\} - 1)| I[N_n \leq \varrho(n), \max_{1 \leq i \leq \varrho(n)} |S_i - L_i|/s_n \leq s_n^{-1/4}] + \\
 &+ \sum_{i \leq \varrho(n)} 2P(|S_i - L_i|/s_n > s_n^{-1/4}) \leq 2|t|s_n^{-1/4} + 2\varrho(n)\varepsilon(n) \leq 4(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, replacing  $S_i$  by  $Z_i$ , we get

$$|E(\exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - \exp\{it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}) I[N_n \leq \varrho(n)]| \leq 4(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2},$$

so that

$$|E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}) I[N_n \leq \varrho(n)]| \leq 8(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, step by step as in above, for  $|t| < s_n^{1/8}$  we also get

$$E|\exp\{it(S_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - 1| \leq 4(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2},$$

and

$$E|\exp\{it(Z_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - 1| \leq 4(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2},$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of  $x$ . Hence, taking into account the inequalities obtained above and using the triangle inequality, for  $|t| \leq s_n^{1/8}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(t) &\leq |E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - \\ &\quad - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}) I[N_n > \varrho(n)]| + 8(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq |E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n - S_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - \\ &\quad - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n - S_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\}) I[N_n > \varrho(n)]| + 8(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq |E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n - S_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - \\ &\quad - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n - Z_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\}) I[N_n > \varrho(n)]| + \\ &\quad + |E(\exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n - S_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - \\ &\quad - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - L_n - Z_{[\varrho(n)]} + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\}) I[N_n > \varrho(n)]| + 8(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq |E(\exp\{it(S_{N_n} - S_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_n + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} - \\ &\quad - \exp\{it(Z_{N_n} - Z_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_n + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\}) I[N_n > \varrho(n)]| + 16(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k > \varrho(n)} |E \exp\{it(S_k - S_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_n + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} I[N_k = k] - \\ &\quad - E \exp\{it(S_k - S_{[\varrho(n)]} - L_n + L_{[\varrho(n)]})/s_n\} P[N_n = k]| + 16(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq r([\varrho(n)] + 1) + 16(\varepsilon(n))^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 1 is finished. □

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  are tight sequences of random variables, then the following sequences are also tight:*

- (a)  $\{X_n + Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,
- (b)  $\{X_n Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,
- (c)  $\{(X_n, Y_n), n \geq 1\}$ .

The proof is simple and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables satisfying (1.3) or (1.4). Let  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $0 < s_n, n \geq 1$ , and  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume (2.1) and (3.1) hold with nondegenerate distribution function  $F(\cdot)$ , then the sequence  $\{s_{N_n}/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is tight.*

PROOF: Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{V_n, n \geq 1\}$  be independent sequences of independent random variables and independent of the sequences  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{L}(X_n) = \mathfrak{L}(Y_n) = \mathfrak{L}(V_n), n \geq 1$ . Let us put

$$Z_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k, \quad U_n = \sum_{k=1}^n V_k, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then

$$(Z_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} F(\cdot), \quad (U_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} F(\cdot), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and, by Lemma 1,

$$(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \Psi(\cdot), \quad (U_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \Psi(\cdot), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2 (a) the sequences  $\{(Z_{N_n} - U_{N_n})/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{(Z_n - U_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  are tight. Moreover,

$$(Z_n - L_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x + \cdot) F(dx) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because  $F(\cdot)$  is nondegenerate distribution function and  $\int F(x + \cdot) F(dx)$  is symmetric distribution function so that there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\int F(x + c) F(dx) > 0$ .

Assume that  $\{s_{N_n}/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is not tight. Thus, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist the sequences  $\{k_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{l_n, n \geq 1\}$  such that  $k_n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, k_n \rightarrow \infty, l_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $P(s_{N_{k_n}}/s_{k_n} > l_n) > \varepsilon, n \geq 1$ . Hence, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_{N_{k_n}} - U_{N_{k_n}} \geq cl_n s_{k_n}) &\geq \sum_{m: s_m > l_n s_{k_n}} P(Z_m - U_m \geq cl_n s_{k_n}) P(N_{k_n} = m) \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{m: s_m > l_n s_{k_n}} P(Z_m - U_m \geq cs_m) P(N_{k_n} = m) \geq \\ &\geq (1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x + c) F(dx)) P(s_{N_{k_n}} \geq l_n s_{k_n})/2 \geq \\ &\geq (1/4)(1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x + c) F(dx)) \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get a contradiction, and this ends the proof. □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables independent of  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ . If  $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$  are sequences of real numbers such that  $0 < s_n, n \geq 1, s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the sequences  $\{(Z_n - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{s_{N_n}/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  are tight, then the sequence  $\{(Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is tight, too.*

PROOF: We have

$$P(|Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n}|/s_{N_n} > K) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(|Z_m - L_m|/s_m > K) P(N_n = m) \leq \varepsilon$$

provided, for every  $m \geq 1, P(|Z_m - L_m|/s_m > K) \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus the sequence  $\{(Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_{N_n}, n \geq 1\}$  is tight, so that the sequence  $\{(Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_n = ((Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_{N_n})(s_{N_n}/s_n), n \geq 1\}$  is tight by Lemma 2 (b).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $0 < s_n, n \geq 1,$  and  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables such that the sequence  $\{(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is tight. Then (2.3) or (2.4) or (2.5) implies (2.10).*

PROOF: Assume (2.4) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(|L_{N_n} - L_n|/s_n > K) &\geq P(|N_n - n|/s_n > K/C) \geq \\ &\geq P((N_n - n)/s_n < -K/C) = P(N_n < s_n(n/s_n - K/C)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking into account the tightness of  $\{(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and the second part of (2.4), we get

$$P(N_n < s_n(n/s_n - n/2s_n)) = P(N_n < n/2) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that (2.10) holds with  $\alpha(n) = n/2, n \geq 1$ . Let us suppose (2.5). If  $L_n/s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty,$  then

$$P(|L_{N_n} - L_n|/s_n > K) \geq P((L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n < -K) = P(L_{N_n} < s_n(L_n/s_n - K)).$$

Now the tightness and  $L_n/s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  imply

$$P(L_{N_n} < s_n(L_n/s_n - L_n/2s_n)) = P(L_{N_n} < L_n/2) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that (2.10) holds with  $\alpha(n) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : L_k \geq L_n/2\}, n \geq 1$ . Of course, since  $L_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty,$  we get  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $L_n/s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty,$  the proof of (2.10) is the same. The equivalence of (2.3) and (2.10) has been explained after Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $A'(\cdot, \cdot)$  be two distribution functions. If for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int \int \exp(-t^2x/2 + ity) A'(dx, dy) = \int \int \exp(-t^2x/2 + ity) A(dx, dy),$$

then  $A = A'$

The proof is easy and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and let  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables independent of  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and satisfying (2.10). Assume for arbitrary  $\tau > 0$ , some sequence of real numbers  $\{a_k, k \geq 1\}$  and nondecreasing sequence of positive real numbers  $\{s_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_n \rightarrow \gamma, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and uniformly on compact sets with respect to  $t$

$$(3.5) \quad \oint_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx/s_n} - 1 - itx/((1+x^2)s_n)) d \sum_{j=1}^n F_j(x+b_j) \rightarrow \oint_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1 - itx/(1+x^2)) (1+x^2)/x G(dx), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$F_j(x) = P[X_j < x], \quad b_j = \int_{|x| < \tau} x dF_j(x), \quad j \geq 1,$$

and  $G(\cdot)$  is nondecreasing bounded function. Then uniformly on compact sets

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(t) &= |E \exp\{it \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} (b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_{N_n} (s_{N_n}/s_n) + it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n + \\ &+ \oint_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx/s_{N_n}(s_{N_n}/s_n)} - 1 - itx(s_{N_n}/s_n)/((1+x^2)s_{N_n})) d \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} F_j(x+b_j)\} - \\ &- E \exp\{it\gamma(s_{N_n}/s_n) + it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n + \\ &+ \oint_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx(s_{N_n}/s_n)} - 1 - itx(s_{N_n}/s_n)/(1+x^2))(1+x^2)/x dG(x)\}| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where

$$L_n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j, \quad L_{N_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} a_j, \quad n \geq 1.$$

PROOF: Let us remark that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_n}(b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_{N_n} - \gamma| > \varepsilon\right] \leq \\
 &\leq P[N_n \leq \alpha(n)] + \sup_{k_n \geq \alpha(n)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_{k_n} - \gamma \right|/\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\alpha(n), n \geq 1\}$  is defined in (2.10). Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left[\sup_{|t| < K_1} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx/s_{N_n}} - 1 - itx/((1+x^2)s_{N_n})) d \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} F_j(x+b_j) - \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1 - itx/(1+x^2))(1+x^2)/x dG(x) \right| > \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each positive number  $K_i, \varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{|t| < K_1} J_n(t) \leq P[|s_{N_n}/s_n| > K_2] + \\
 &+ 2P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_n} (b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_{N_n} - \gamma| > \varepsilon_1/K_1\right] + \\
 &+ 2P\left[\sup_{|y| < K_1 K_2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{iyx/s_{N_n}} - 1 - iyx/((1+x^2)s_{N_n})) d \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} F_j(x+b_j) - \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{iyx} - 1 - iyx/(1+x^2))(1+x^2)/x dG(x) \right| > \varepsilon_2\right] + 2\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2, \quad n \geq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $K_1 > 1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be arbitrary positive numbers and let  $n_1$  be such that for every  $n \geq n_1$

$$P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_n} (b_j + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) dF_j(x+b_j) - a_j)/s_{N_n} - \gamma| > \varepsilon/(9K_1)\right] \leq \varepsilon/9.$$

Now we put  $K_2$  such that for every  $n \geq n_1$

$$P[|s_{N_n}/s_n| > K_2] \leq \varepsilon/9,$$

and  $n_2$  such that for every  $n \geq n_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left[\sup_{|y| < K_1 K_2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{iyx/s_{N_n}} - 1 - iyx/((1+x^2)s_{N_n})) d \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} F_j(x+b_j) - \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{iyx} - 1 - iyx/(1+x^2))(1+x^2)/x dG(x) \right| > \varepsilon/9\right] \leq \varepsilon/9.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every  $n \geq \max(n_1, n_2)$

$$\sup_{|t| < K_1} J_n(t) \leq \varepsilon/9 + 2\varepsilon/9 + 2\varepsilon/9 + 2\varepsilon/9 + 2\varepsilon/9 = \varepsilon,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Proofs.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: At first we prove that (2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.7). Let  $\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  and such that

$$\int e^{itx} \mathfrak{L}(U_n)(dx) = \exp\left\{it(b_n + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x/(1+x^2) F_n(dx + b_n)) + \oint (e^{itx} - 1 - itx/(1+x^2)) F_n(dx + b_n)\right\},$$

where

$$b_n = \int_{|x| < 1} x dF_n(x), \quad F_n(x) = P[X_n < x], \quad n \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 1 we may and do assume that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  are independent. Note that by Theorem 4 [7, Chapter IV, §2, p. 115] and Lemma 5, the assumptions of Lemma 7 hold. By Lemma 7 it is enough to prove that

$$I_n(t) = |E \exp\{it(V_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} - E \exp\{it(S_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\}| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on compact sets with respect to  $t$ , where

$$V_n = \sum_{j=1}^n U_j.$$

Let  $C$  and  $\varepsilon$  be arbitrary positive numbers. Let  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$P[N_n < \alpha(n)] < \varepsilon/3,$$

for every  $n \geq n_1$ . Here, and in what follows,  $\{\alpha(n), n \geq 1\}$  is defined in Lemma 5. By (2.6) we may put  $C_\varepsilon$  such that

$$P[|s_{N_n}/s_n| > C_\varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon/3,$$

for every  $n \geq n_1$ . By (3.5) and (3.6) it is possible to choose  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sup_{|u| < CC_\varepsilon} \sup_{j: j > \alpha(n)} |E \exp\{iu(S_j - L_j)/s_j\} - E \exp\{iu(V_j - L_j)/s_j\}| < \varepsilon/3,$$

for every  $n \geq n_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|yt| < C} I_n(t) &\leq \int_{0 < x < C_\varepsilon} \sup_{|t| < C} \sup_{j:j > \alpha(n)} |E \exp\{itx(S_j - L_j)/s_j\} - \\ &\quad - E \exp\{itx(V_j - L_j)/s_j\}| + P[N_n < \alpha(n)] + \\ &\quad + P[s_{N_n}/s_n > C_\varepsilon] < \varepsilon, \text{ for } n > \max(n_1, n_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side of the above inequality is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|t| < C} I_n(t) = 0.$$

Thus the proof that (2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.7) is ended.

Assume now that (2.7) holds. Then, by Lemma 3, the sequence  $\{s_{N_n}/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is tight. Moreover, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, the sequence  $\{(Z_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{(Z_{N_n} - L_{N_n})/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  are tight, too, where  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  is the sequence defined in Lemma 1. Thus by Lemma 2 (a) the sequence  $\{(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n, n \geq 1\}$  is also tight, so that Lemma 2 (c) implies the tightness of the sequence  $\{(s_{N_n}/s_n, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n), n \geq 1\}$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: The implication (2.14)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.15) can be proved similarly as the implication (2.5), (2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.7). In this case, let  $\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of  $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$  and such that  $\mathcal{L}(U_n) = G_{\alpha, (c_{1,n} - c_{2,n})e_2, 0, (c_{1,n} + c_{2,n})e_1}(\cdot), n \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp\{it(\sum_{j=1}^{N_n} U_j - L_n)/s_n\} &= E \exp\{-|t|^\alpha (s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, \beta_{N_n}/s_n^\alpha, t)) + \\ &\quad + it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} = E \exp\{-|t|^\alpha (s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)(\beta_n/s_n^\alpha)\omega(\alpha, 1, t)) - \\ &\quad - |t|^\alpha i \operatorname{sgn}(t)((\beta_{N_n} - \beta_n)/s_n^\alpha)\omega(\alpha, 1, t) + it(L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n\} \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \int \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp\{-|t|^\alpha (x + i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, \beta, t)) - \\ &\quad - |t|^\alpha i \operatorname{sgn}(t)\omega(\alpha, 1, t)y + itz\} A(dx, dy, dz), \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We omit further details.

The second part of Theorem 2 can also be obtained similarly as the second part of Theorem 1. Namely, as in Theorem 1, we prove that the sequence  $\{(s_{N_n}/s_n, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n), n \geq 1\}$  is tight. Thus the sequence  $\{(s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha, (L_{N_n} - L_n)/s_n), n \geq 1\}$  is tight, too. Now (2.15) follows, if we show that the sequence  $\{(\beta_{N_n} - \beta_n)/s_n^\alpha, n \geq 1\}$  is tight. But this fact follows from the tightness of the sequence  $\{s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha, n \geq 1\}$ . Namely, we have

$$|\beta_n/s_n^\alpha| \leq 1, \quad |\beta_{N_n}/s_{N_n}^\alpha| \leq 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$|\beta_{N_n} - \beta_n|/s_n^\alpha \leq s_{N_n}^\alpha/s_n^\alpha + 1 \text{ a.s.}$$



Hence the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3: The implication (2.21)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.22) follows from the first part of Theorem 1 as the Gaussian law is the special case of Levy laws. The tightness of sequence defined on the left hand side of (2.21) follows from Theorem 1, too. Assume that

$$(s_{N_{n'}}/s_{n'}, (L_{N_{n'}} - L_{n'})/s_{n'}) \xrightarrow{D} A'(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ as } n' \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(s_{N_{n''}}/s_{n''}, (L_{N_{n''}} - L_{n''})/s_{n''}) \xrightarrow{D} A''(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ as } n'' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then applying two times the implication (2.21)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.22), which is already proved, we get

$$\widehat{\Psi}(t) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp(-t^2 x/2 + ity) A'(dx, dy) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp(-t^2 x/2 + ity) A''(dx, dy).$$

By Lemma 6,  $A' = A''$ , which ends the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

Corollaries 1, 2 and 3 easily follow from Theorems 2 and 3, respectively. We note only that if

$$(N_n - n)/n^{1/\alpha} \xrightarrow{D} (\text{some}) A(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

then

$$N_n/n \xrightarrow{P} 1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the referee for his remarks and helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bhattacharya R.N., Ranga Rao R., *Normal approximation and Asymptotic Expansions*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1976.
- [2] Bradley C.R., Bryc W., Janson S., *Remarks on the foundations of measures of dependence*, New Perspectives in Theoretical and Applied Statistics, ed. by Dr. Madan L. Puri, Dr. Jose Perez Vilaplana and Dr. Wolfgang Wertz, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1987, pp. 421-437.
- [3] Finkelstein M., Tucker H.G., *A necessary and sufficient condition for convergence in law of random sums of random variables under nonrandom centering*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), 1061-1070.
- [4] Krajka A., Rychlik Z., *On the limit behaviour of randomly indexed sums of independent random variables*, Liet. Matem. Rink. **28** (1988), 484-494.
- [5] ———, *On the rate of convergence in the random central limit theorem in Hilbert space*, Probab. and Math. Stat. **11** (1990), 97-108.
- [6] Kruglov V.M., *O skhodimosti raspredelenii summ sluchainogo chisla nezavisimyykh sluchainyykh velichin k normal'nomu raspredeleniyu*, Vestnik Mosk. Univ. **5** (1976), 5-12.
- [7] Petrov V.V., *Predel'nye teoremy dlja summ nezavisimyykh sluchainyykh velichin*, Moskva, Nauka, 1987.

- [8] Rychlik Z., *A remainder term estimate in a random-sum central limit theorem*, Bull. of the Pol. Acad. of Sci., Math. **XXXV** (1985), 57–63.
- [9] Szasz D., Freyer B., *On the sums of a random number of random variables*, Liet. Matem. Rink. **11** (1971), 181–187.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE UMCS, NOWOTKI 10, 20–030 LUBLIN, POLAND

(Received August 5, 1992, revised March 11, 1993)