A remark on a paper by Bhattacharya and Leonetti

Anna D'Ottavio

Abstract. We prove higher integrability for the gradient of bounded minimizers of some variational integrals with anisotropic growth.

Keywords: regularity, minimizers, variational integrals, anisotropic growth *Classification:* 49N60, 35J60

Introduction

In this note we refer to Bhattacharya and Leonetti's paper [1]; in the sequel formulas containing two numbers and a dot in between, like (1.2), are taken from [1]; on the other hand, formulas containing only one number, like (3), are new and appear only in the present note. For motivation, definitions and further references we address the reader to [1]. We study regularity for functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ minimizing the variational integral

(1.1)
$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(Du(x)) \, dx,$$

where $F(\xi)$ behaves like the model example

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\xi_i|^2 + \frac{1}{p}(1+|\xi_n|^2)^{p/2},$$

precise conditions are given by $(1.2), \ldots, (1.6)$. The aim of this note is to show that the additional assumption "*u* is bounded" allows us to improve the result contained in [1] in dimension 4; also, it simplifies the proof very much. In the scalar case N = 1, Moscariello-Nania [4] and Fusco-Sbordone [2], [3], proved that minimizers are locally bounded.

More precisely, we have the following

Theorem. Let $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ verify

(1)
$$u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad D_i u \in L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, ..., n-1, \quad D_n u \in L^p(\Omega),$$

 Ω bounded, open $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, where

(2)
$$1 if $n = 2, 3, 4,$$$

(1.10)
$$2-4/n if $n \ge 5$.$$

Assume that

(3)
$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

u minimizes the variational integral (1.1) and $(1.2), \ldots, (1.5)$ are fulfilled, then

$$(1.11) D_n u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, the second weak derivatives exist:

(4)
$$D_i Du \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad D_n Du \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega).$$

This theorem and [2], [3], yield the following

Corollary. In the scalar case, that is, when $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, we assume (1), (2), (1.10). If u minimizes the variational integral (1.1), if (1.2), ..., (1.5) are fulfilled and (0.2) holds with $q_1 = \cdots = q_{n-1} = 2$, $q_n = p$, then u is locally bounded in Ω and (1.11), (4), hold true.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: We argue as in [1] and we arrive at (3.8); in the sequel, C_i will denote a positive constant, independent of h. Since we only know that $D_n u \in L^p$, the integral corresponding to s = n in (3.8) is dealt with as follows. Let us assume that

(5)
$$D_n u \in L^{\sigma}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega),$$

for some σ verifying $p \leq \sigma < 2$. We write

$$\int\limits_{B_R} |\tau_{n,h}u|^2 dx = \int\limits_{B_R} |\tau_{n,h}u|^{\sigma} |\tau_{n,h}u|^{2-\sigma} dx.$$

We recall our assumption (3): u is bounded; then $|u(y)| \leq C_6$ for every $y \in B_{2R}$, thus $|\tau_{n,h}u(x)|^{(2-\sigma)} \leq (2C_6)^{(2-\sigma)}$ for every $x \in B_R$ and every h: |h| < R. Since we assumed (5), we may apply Lemma 2.1 with $t = \sigma$ and we get

(6)
$$\int_{B_R} |\tau_{n,h}u|^2 \, dx \le C_7 |h|^{\sigma} \int_{B_{2R}} |D_n u|^{\sigma} = C_8 |h|^{\sigma}.$$

Since $\sigma < 2$ and $R \leq 1$, (3.8), (6) and (3.7) yield

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \int_{B_\rho} |\tau_{s,h} \hat{V}(Du)|^2 \, dx \le C_9 |h|^\sigma \quad \forall h: |h| < R.$$

Now via Lemma 2.3 we improve the integrability:

$$V(Du) \in L^r_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \forall r < 2n/(n-\sigma).$$

If we recall (3.5), then

(7)
$$D_n u \in L^t_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \forall t < pn/(n-\sigma) = \hat{t}(\sigma).$$

So we started from (5) and we boosted the integrability up to (7); let us estimate $\hat{t}(\sigma) - \sigma$:

$$\hat{t}(\sigma) - \sigma = \frac{\sigma^2 - n\sigma + pn}{n - \sigma} = \frac{f(\sigma)}{g(\sigma)}.$$

When $p \leq \sigma < 2$, $0 < g(\sigma) \leq n - p$. The function f is decreasing in $(-\infty, n/2)$ and increasing in $(n/2, +\infty)$, thus it achieves its minimum value for $\sigma = n/2$: $f(\sigma) \geq f(n/2) = n(4p-n)/4$; such a value turns out to be positive when n = 2 or n = 3 or n = 4. When $5 \leq n$, we have 2 < n/2, thus $f(\sigma)$ decreases for $\sigma \in [p, 2]$, so that

$$f(\sigma) \ge f(2) = 4 - 2n + pn = n(p - (2 - 4/n)) > 0,$$

since we assumed (1.10). We can summarize as follows: because of (2) and (1.10),

$$\hat{t}(\sigma) - \sigma \ge \frac{\min_{\sigma \in [p,2]} f(\sigma)}{n-p} = \delta(n,p) > 0,$$

for every $\sigma \in [p, 2)$. Let us recall (5) and (7): we have proved that, if for some $\sigma \in [p, 2)$ we have $D_n u \in L^{\sigma}_{loc}$, then we also have $D_n u \in L^{\sigma+\delta/2}_{loc}$. This allows us to start a bootstrap argument which completes the proof of (1.11). The higher differentiability (4) follows from (1.11) as it is shown in [1].

Acknowledgement. We thank Francesco Leonetti for his advice.

References

- Bhattacharya T., Leonetti F., Some remarks on the regularity of minimizers of integrals with anisotropic growth, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 34 (1993), 597–611.
- [2] Fusco N., Sbordone C., Local boundedness of minimizers in a limit case, Manuscripta Math. 69 (1990), 19-25.
- Fusco N., Sbordone C., Some remarks on the regularity of minima of anisotropic integrals, Comm. P.D.E. 18 (1993), 153-167.
- [4] Moscariello G., Nania L., Hölder continuity of minimizers of functionals with non standard growth conditions, Ricerche di Matematica 40 (1991), 259–273.

VIA COSTE 1, 67030 VILLETTA BARREA, L'AQUILA, ITALY

(Received December 29, 1994, revised January 13, 1995)