Pointwise estimates of nonnegative subsolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations at irregular boundary points

Jan Malý*

Abstract. Let u be a weak solution of a quasilinear elliptic equation of the growth p with a measure right hand term μ . We estimate u(z) at an interior point z of the domain Ω , or an irregular boundary point $z \in \partial \Omega$, in terms of a norm of u, a nonlinear potential of μ and the Wiener integral of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. This quantifies the result on necessity of the Wiener criterion.

Keywords: elliptic equations, Wiener criterion, nonlinear potentials, measure data *Classification:* 35J67, 35J70, 35J65

1. Introduction

We study quasilinear elliptic equations of type

(1.1)
$$-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) + \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) = \mu,$$

where **A** and **B** are Carathéodory functions (precise conditions depending on a growth exponent $p \in (1, \infty)$ will be given later) and $\mu \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$ is a nonnegative Radon measure. We refer to (1.1_0) if $\mu = 0$.

The model equation for (1.1) is

(1.2)
$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = \mu,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Sometimes we mention monotone type equations, by this we will understand equations satisfying the structure conditions of [13] (unweighted case). These equations satisfy additional assumptions which guarantee existence and uniqueness results.

We will work with the integrals

(1.3)
$$\mathbf{w}_p(x,E) = \int_0^{r_0} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(E \cap B(x,r),r)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r}$$

^{*}Research supported by the grant No. 201/93/2174 of Czech Grant Agency and by the grant No. 354 of Charles University.

and

(1.4)
$$\mathbf{W}_{p}^{\mu}(x) = \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \left(\frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r}.$$

The function \mathbf{W}_{p}^{μ} is a kind of nonlinear potential of the measure μ . These potentials were introduced by Adams and Meyers [3], Hedberg [9] and Hedberg and Wolff [10]. For more information on \mathbf{W}_{p} potentials, we refer to the recent monograph by Adams and Hedberg [2].

We present pointwise estimates for subsolutions of (1.1) in terms of \mathbf{W}_p^{μ} and $\mathbf{w}_p(\cdot, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$.

In the interior case, and with $\mu = 0$, the presented estimate is a version of the Trudinger's Harnack inequality for subsolutions [27]. The interior estimate with a nontrivial μ has been proved for monotone type equations by Kilpeläinen and Malý [16]. Notice that lower interior estimates for supersolutions of (1.1) in terms of \mathbf{W}_{p}^{μ} , generalizing Trudinger's Harnack inequality for supersolutions, are also valid, see Kilpeläinen and Malý [14] (for monotone type equations), Malý [20], and Malý and Ziemer [23]. Related, but different results are due to Rakotoson and Ziemer [25], Lieberman [17] and Adams [1].

Let $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and u be a solution of (1.1_0) . We say that u solves the Dirichlet problem with the boundary data u_0 if $u - u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. A point $z \in \partial\Omega$ is said to be regular for the equation (1.1_0) if

$$\lim_{x \to z, \, x \in \Omega} u(x) = u_0(z)$$

whenever $u \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with boundary data $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$. Wiener [28] showed that z is regular for the Laplace equation if and only if the classical Wiener criterion is satisfied. This more or less says that z is regular for the Laplace equation if and only if the Wiener integral $\mathbf{w}_2(z, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$ diverges. Littman, Stampacchia, Weinberger [19] proved that the same condition applies to linear elliptic divergence form equations with discontinuous bounded measurable coefficients. If $p \neq 2$, we say that the Wiener condition is satisfied at z if $\mathbf{w}_p(z, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$ diverges, i.e. if $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is not p-thin at Ω . Maz'ya [21] established the sufficiency of the Wiener criterion under simpler structure assumptions. Gariepy and Ziemer [8] proved the sufficiency in the general case of equation (1.1₀).

The Wiener criteria established by Wiener [28] and Littman, Stampacchia, Weinberger [19] were presented as both necessary and sufficient. On the other hand, the sufficient condition by Maz'ya [21] waited a longer time for its necessity counterpart. For a special class of equations, some necessary conditions differing in an exponent from the sufficient conditions were proved by Skrypnik [26]. The necessity of the Wiener condition for equations of the monotone type was shown by Lindqvist and Martio [18] and Heinonen and Kilpeläinen [11] with the restriction p > n - 1. For all $p \in (1, \infty)$, it was proved by Kilpeläinen and Malý in [16]. The estimate given in the present paper implies in some sense the necessity of the Wiener criterion for equations of type (1.1_0) and quantifies the pointwise behavior of solutions at irregular points.

For a wider information about the topic we refer to the prepared monograph [23] by Malý and Ziemer. For consequences and relations to A-superharmonic functions in nonlinear potential theory we refer also to the papers by Kilpeläinen and Malý [16], Heinonen, Kilpeläinen and Martio [12] and to the monograph [13] by Heinonen, Kilpeläinen and Martio.

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, Ω is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and p is an exponent in (1, n]. We write C, C' etc. for various constants (they may differ from line to line). We denote by B(z, r) the open ball in \mathbf{R}^n with center at z and radius r. If B = B(z, r), then 2B means the ball B(z, 2r). We denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with a compact support in Ω . The norm in the Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$, resp. in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $\|...\|_p$, resp. $\|...\|_{1,p}$. We use |E| for the Lebesgue measure of the set E.

We define the *p*-capacity of a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ by $\operatorname{cap}_p E = \operatorname{cap}_p(E, 1)$, where

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p}(E,r) = \inf \{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \left(|\nabla \varphi|^{p} + r^{-p} |\varphi|^{p} \right) dx \colon \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^{n}),$$

 $\varphi \geq 1$ on an open set containing E

This scale of capacities is natural in connection with the Wiener criterion; for $E \subset B$ it is equivalent to the "condenser capacity" of E w.r.t. 2B, cf. [13].

A set $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is said to be *p*-quasiopen if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_p G < \varepsilon$ and $U \cup G$ is open. Similarly, a function *u* is said to be *p*-quasicontinuous on Ω if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_p G < \varepsilon$ and $u | \Omega \setminus G$ is continuous.

We use the abbreviation *p*-q.e. (*p*-quasi everywhere) for the phrase "except a set of *p*-capacity zero". We say that a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is *p*-thin at a point $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ if the Wiener integral $\mathbf{w}_p(z, E)$ converges. The *p*-fine closure adds to every set Ethe set of all points where E is not *p*-thin. This introduces the *p*-fine topology.

Notice that every $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ has a *p*-quasicontinuous representative (see Federer and Ziemer [5], Maz'ya and Khavin [22], Meyers [24], Frehse [6] and that a function u on Ω is *p*-quasicontinuous if and only if it is *p*-finely continuous *p*-q.e. (Fuglede [7], Brelot [4], Hedberg and Wolff [10]).

Due to Poincaré's inequality and approximation arguments,

$$\operatorname{cap}_p(E,r) \le C \int_{B(x_0,2r)} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx$$

holds whenever $E \subset B(x_0, r), \ \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(B(x_0, 2r)), \ \psi$ is *p*-quasicontinuous and $\psi \ge 1$ *p*-q.e. on *E*.

Now, let us state our assumptions concerning the equation (1.1). We suppose that the functions $\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{B}: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ are Borel measurable and satisfy the following structure conditions:

(2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{A}(x,\zeta,\xi)| &\leq a_1 |\xi|^{p-1} + a_2 |\zeta|^{p-1} + a_3, \\ |\mathbf{B}(x,\zeta,\xi)| &\leq b_1 |\xi|^{p-1} + b_2 |\zeta|^{p-1} + b_3 + b_0 |\xi|^p, \\ \mathbf{A}(x,\zeta,\xi) \cdot \xi &\geq c_1 |\xi|^p - c_2 |\zeta|^p - c_3, \quad c_1 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where a_i, b_i, c_i are nonnegative constants. We write $b = b_0/c_1$. The model example $\mathbf{A}(x,\zeta,\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$, $\mathbf{B}(x,\zeta,\xi) = \lambda |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta$ leads to (1.2).

We say that u is a subsolution (frequently termed a "weak subsolution") of (1.1) in Ω if $u \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, u is p-quasicontinuous (i.e. we admit p-quasicontinuous representatives only) and

(2.2)
$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi \right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, d\mu$$

holds for all nonnegative "test functions" $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega)$. Similarly we define *solutions* using the equality sign.

3. Main estimate

We consider an exponent

$$\gamma \in (p-1, n(p-1)/(n-p+1))$$

and write

$$\tau = \frac{\gamma}{p-1}, \qquad q = \frac{p\gamma}{p-\tau}.$$

Notice that $\tau > 1$ and q > p. Let Ω be an open set and $R_0 > 0$ a fixed radius. We consider a fixed equation of type (1.1). We will denote by C a general constant (not necessarily the same at different occurrences) depending only on n, p, γ, R_0 , on the upper bound of $b_0 u$ and on the structure constants.

3.1 Lemma. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \mu$ in Ω . Suppose that either u is upper bounded or $b_0 = 0$. Let $\ell \in [0, \infty)$, Φ be a nonnegative bounded Borel measurable function on \mathbf{R} which vanishes on $(-\infty, \ell)$ and λ be the L^1 -norm of Φ . Let $\omega \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $0 \le \omega \le 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) \left| \nabla u \right|^{p} \omega^{p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}} \Phi(u) (1+u^{p}) \omega^{p} dx \\ &+ C\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}} \left(\left| \nabla u \right|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \, \omega^{p-1}(\omega + \left| \nabla \omega \right|) dx + \mu(\{\omega > 0\}) \right). \end{split}$$

PROOF: We write

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(s) \, ds,$$
$$L = \Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}.$$

Using the test function

$$\varphi = \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^p$$

with

$$\nabla \varphi = \Phi(u) \nabla u e^{bu} \omega^p$$
$$+ b \Psi(u) \nabla u e^{bu} \omega^p$$
$$+ p \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega$$

we obtain

(3.1)

$$\int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, \Phi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx \\
+ b \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx \\
+ p \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega \, dx \\
+ \int_{L} \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \, \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx \\
\leq \int_{L} \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, d\mu.$$

Taking the structure into account, we get

(3.2)
$$\int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, \Phi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx$$
$$\geq \int_{L} \left(c_{1} |\nabla u|^{p} - c_{2} u^{p} - c_{3} \right) \Phi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx \,,$$
$$- b \int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, \Psi(u) \, e^{bu} \, \omega^{p} \, dx$$

(3.3)
$$\leq -bc_1 \int_L |\nabla u|^p \Psi(u) e^{bu} \psi^p \eta^p dx + b \int_L (c_2 u^p + c_3) \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^p dx,$$

(3.4)
$$-\int_{L} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega \, dx$$
$$\leq \int_{L} \left(a_1 \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-1} + a_2 u^{p-1} + a_3 \right) \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p-1} \nabla \omega \, dx \,,$$

and

(3.5)
$$-\int_{L} \mathbf{B}(x, u, \nabla u) \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$
$$\leq \int_{L} \left(b_{1} |\nabla u|^{p-1} + b_{2} u^{p-1} + b_{3} \right) \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$
$$+ b_{0} \int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p} \Psi(u) e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx.$$

From (3.1)–(3.5) we obtain

$$c_{1} \int_{L} \Phi(u) |\nabla u|^{p} e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$

$$+ bc_{1} \int_{L} \Psi(u) |\nabla u|^{p} e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{L} \Phi(u) (c_{2}u^{p} + c_{3}) e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$

$$+ \int_{L} \Psi(u) (p (a_{1} |\nabla u|^{p-1} + a_{2}u^{p-1} + a_{3}) |\nabla \omega|$$

$$+ (b_{1} |\nabla u|^{p-1} + (c_{2}bu + b_{2})u^{p-1} + c_{3}b + b_{3}) \omega) e^{bu} \omega^{p-1} dx$$

$$+ b_{0} \int_{L} \Psi(u) |\nabla u|^{p} e^{bu} \omega^{p} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{L} \Psi(u) \omega^{p} d\mu.$$

Since $b_0 = bc_1$, $bu \leq C$, $\omega \leq 1$ and $\Psi \leq \lambda$, it follows

$$\begin{split} &\int_{L} \Phi(u) \left| \nabla u \right|^{p} \omega^{p} \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{L} \Phi(u) (1+u^{p}) \, \omega^{p} \, dx \\ &\quad + C\lambda \, \left(\int_{\Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}} \left(\left| \nabla u \right|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \, \omega^{p-1}(\omega + \left| \nabla \omega \right|) \, dx + \mu(\{\omega > 0\}) \right) \end{split}$$

as required.

3.2 Lemma. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \mu$ in Ω . Suppose that either u is upper bounded or $b_0 = 0$. Let $B = B(x_0, r)$, where $0 < r < R_0$, be an open ball in \mathbf{R}^n . Let $\eta, \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,p}(B)$. Suppose that $0 \le \eta \le 1, 0 \le \varphi \le 1$, $0 \le \psi \le 1, \eta \psi \in W^{1,p}(B \cap \Omega), (1 - \varphi)(1 - \psi) = 0$ and $\nabla \eta \le 5/r$. Suppose that $\ell \ge 0$.

(a) If $\delta > 0$, then

$$\begin{split} \int_{L} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx &\leq C \Big(\delta^{-p} r^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) \\ &+ r^{-p} \int_{B \cap \{u > \ell\} \cap \{\varphi < 1\}} \Big(1+\frac{u-\ell}{\delta} \Big)^{\gamma} dx \\ &+ \delta^{1-p} \mu(B(x_{0},r)) \\ &+ \delta^{1-p} (1+\|u\|_{\infty})^{p-1} \int_{B} (r^{-p} \varphi^{p} + |\nabla \varphi|^{p} + |\nabla \psi|^{p}) dx \Big), \end{split}$$

where

$$w_{\delta} = \left(\left(1 + \frac{(u-\ell)^+}{\delta}\right)^{\gamma/q} - 1\right)\psi\eta.$$

(b) There is a constant $\kappa > 0$, depending only on n, p, γ, R_0 , on the upper bound of $b_0 u$ and on the structure constants, such that

$$\left(r^{-n} \int_{B \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}} (u - \ell)^{\gamma} \psi^{q} \eta^{q} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/\gamma}$$

$$\leq C \left(r^{p-1} (1 + \ell)^{p-1} + r^{p-n} \mu(B(x_{0}, r)) + (1 + \|u\|_{\infty})^{p-1} r^{p-n} \int_{B} (r^{-p} \varphi^{p} + |\nabla \varphi|^{p} + |\nabla \psi|^{p}) \, dx \right),$$

provided that

$$(3.7) |B \cap \{u > \ell\} \cap \{\varphi < 1\}| \le (2r)^n \kappa$$

and

(3.8)
$$\int_{B\cap\{u>\ell\}\cap\{\varphi<1\}} (u-\ell)^{\gamma} dx \leq 2^{n+\gamma} \int_{B\cap\Omega\cap\{u>\ell\}} (u-\ell)^{\gamma} \psi^q \eta^q dx.$$

PROOF: (a) We write

$$\begin{split} & \omega = \psi \eta, \\ & \sigma = \omega \varphi, \\ & v = \frac{(u-\ell)^+}{\delta}, \\ & M = 1 + \|u\|_{\infty}, \\ & L = B \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell\}, \\ & E = L \cap \{\varphi < 1\}, \\ & F = L \cap \{\varphi = 1\}. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$w_{\delta} = \left((1+v)^{\gamma/q} - 1 \right) \omega,$$
$$\nabla w_{\delta} = \frac{\gamma}{q} (1+v)^{-\tau/p} \nabla v \ \omega + \left((1+v)^{\gamma/q} - 1 \right) \nabla \omega.$$

Since

(3.9)
$$\begin{aligned} & \left((1+v)^{\gamma/q} - 1 \right)^p \leq C \min(v^{p-\tau}, v^p) \leq C \min\left((1+v)^{\gamma}, v^{p-1} \right), \\ & v^{p-1} \leq \delta^{1-p} u^{p-1} \leq \delta^{1-p} M^{p-1}, \\ & \omega = \eta \text{ on } E, \\ & \omega = \sigma \text{ on } F, \end{aligned}$$

it follows

(3.10)

$$\int_{L} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} |\nabla \eta|^{p} dx + M^{p-1} \delta^{1-p} \int_{F} |\nabla \sigma|^{p} dx \right)$$

$$+ \delta^{-p} \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx.$$

We use Lemma 3.1 with

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{cases} (1 + \frac{(t-\ell)^+}{\delta})^{-\tau}, & t > \ell, \\ 0, & t \le \ell. \end{cases}$$

Then the L^1 -norm of Φ is bounded by $(\tau - 1)^{-1} \delta$. We get

(3.11)
$$\int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx$$
$$\leq C \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} (1+u^{p}) \omega^{p} dx$$
$$+ C\delta \Big(\int_{L} (|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1) \omega^{p-1} (\omega + |\nabla \omega|) dx + \mu(B) \Big).$$

We estimate

$$(1+u^p)(1+v)^{-\tau} \le (1+u^p)(1+v)^{-1} \le C(1+\ell^p+\delta^p v^p)(1+v)^{-1}$$
$$\le C(1+\ell^p+\delta^p v^{p-1})$$

Using (3.9) it follows

(3.12)

$$\int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} (1+u^{p}) \omega^{p} dx$$

$$\leq Cr^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) + \delta^{p} \int_{L} v^{p-1} \omega^{p} dx$$

$$\leq C \Big(r^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) + \delta M^{p-1} \int_{F} \sigma^{p} dx + \delta^{p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} \omega^{p} dx \Big).$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. Young's inequality yields

(3.13)

$$(1+u^{p-1}+|\nabla u|^{p-1})\omega^{p-1}(\omega+|\nabla \omega|)$$

$$\leq C\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}(1+v)^{-\tau}(1+u^p+|\nabla u|^p)\omega^p+C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{1-p}(1+v)^{\gamma}(\omega^p+|\nabla \omega|^p).$$
Becall that ω , v or E . We infer from (2.12) that

Recall that $\omega = \eta$ on *E*. We infer from (3.13) that

(3.14)

$$\int_{E} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \omega^{p-1} (\omega + |\nabla \omega|) dx$$

$$\leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} (1+u^{p} + |\nabla u|^{p}) \omega^{p} dx$$

$$+ C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{1-p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^{p} + |\nabla \eta|^{p}) dx.$$

Now, we will estimate the integration on F. We use Lemma 3.1 again with Φ being the characteristic function of the interval $[\ell, M]$ and with σ instead of ω . Then the L^1 -norm of Φ is bounded by M and we get

$$(3.15) \qquad \int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p} \sigma^{p} dx$$

$$\leq CM \Big(\int_{L} \Big(|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \Big) \sigma^{p-1} (\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) dx + \mu(B) \Big)$$

$$(3.15) \qquad + C \int_{L} (1 + u^{p}) \sigma^{p} dx$$

$$\leq CM^{p} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx$$

$$+ CM \Big(\int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1} (\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) dx + \mu(B) \Big).$$

Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. A use of Young's inequality yields

(3.16)
$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{M} |\nabla u|^p \sigma^p + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{M}\right)^{1-p} (\sigma^p + |\nabla \sigma|^p). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we get

$$(3.17) \qquad \int_{L} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \sigma^{p-1} (\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) dx$$

$$\leq CM^{p-1} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) + \int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1} (\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) dx$$

$$\leq C(1 + \varepsilon_{1}^{1-p}) M^{p-1} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx + C \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{M} \int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p} \sigma^{p} dx$$

$$\leq C(1 + \varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{1}^{1-p}) M^{p-1} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx$$

$$+ C \varepsilon_{1} \int_{L} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \sigma^{p-1} (\sigma + |\nabla \sigma|) dx + C \varepsilon_{1} \mu(B).$$

Using ε_1 small enough, by a cancellation we obtain

$$\int_{L} \left(\left| \nabla u \right|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \sigma^{p-1}(\sigma + \left| \nabla \sigma \right| \right) dx$$
$$\leq C \left(M^{p-1} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + \left| \nabla \sigma \right|^{p}) dx + \mu(B) \right).$$

As $\sigma = \omega$ on F, it follows

(3.18)
$$\int_{F} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \right) \omega^{p-1} (\omega + |\nabla \omega|) dx$$
$$\leq C \left(M^{p-1} \int_{B} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx + \mu(B) \right).$$

From (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.18) we deduce that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} (1+u^{p}) \omega^{p} dx \\ &+ C\delta \Big(\int_{L} \Big(|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1} + 1 \Big) \omega^{p-1} (\omega + |\nabla \omega|) dx + \mu(B) \Big) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx \\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon) \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} (1+u^{p}) \omega^{p} dx \\ &+ C\delta^{p} \varepsilon^{1-p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^{p} + |\nabla \eta|^{p}) dx \\ &+ C\delta \mu(B) + \delta M^{p-1} \int_{L} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx \\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1-p}) \Big(r^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) + \delta \mu(B) + \delta M^{p-1} \int_{L} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx \\ &+ \delta^{p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^{p} + |\nabla \eta|^{p}) dx \Big). \end{split}$$

Choosing ε small enough it follows

(3.19)
$$\int_{L} (1+v)^{-\tau} |\nabla u|^{p} \omega^{p} dx$$
$$\leq C \Big(r^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) + \delta^{p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^{p} + |\nabla \eta|^{p}) dx$$
$$+ \delta M^{p-1} \int_{L} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) + \delta \mu(B) \Big).$$

From (3.10) and (3.19) we get

(3.20)
$$\int_{L} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx \leq C\delta^{-p} r^{n} (1+\ell^{p}) + C \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^{p} + |\nabla \eta|^{p}) dx + C\delta^{1-p} \Big(M^{p-1} \int_{L} (\sigma^{p} + |\nabla \sigma|^{p}) + \mu(B) \Big).$$

Since

$$\int_E (1+v)^{\gamma} (\eta^p + |\nabla \eta|^p) \, dx \le Cr^{-p} \int_E (1+v)^{\gamma} \, dx$$

and

$$\sigma^p + |\nabla\sigma|^p \le Cr^{-p}\varphi^p + |\nabla\varphi|^p + |\nabla\psi|^p,$$

it follows that

$$\int_{L} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx \leq Cr^{-p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} dx + C\delta^{-p}r^{n}(1+\ell)^{p} + C\delta^{1-p} \Big(M^{p-1} \int_{L} (r^{-p}\varphi^{p} + |\nabla\varphi|^{p} + |\nabla\psi|^{p}) dx + \mu(B) \Big).$$

This proves the part (a).

(b) We consider $\kappa > 0$; its choice will be specified latter. We continue to use the notation introduced in the course of the proof of (a) with the choice

$$\delta := \left(\frac{1}{\kappa r^n} \int_L (u-\ell)^\gamma \omega^q \, dx\right)^{1/\gamma}.$$

Notice that

(3.21)
$$\kappa = r^{-n} \int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} \, dx.$$

By (3.7) and (3.21),

$$2\kappa r^{n} = 2 \int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} dx$$

$$\leq 2^{-n} \int_{L} \omega^{q} dx + \int_{L \cap \{v^{\gamma} \ge 2^{-n-1}\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} dx$$

$$\leq 2^{-n} (|E| + \int_{F} \sigma^{q} dx) + \int_{L \cap \{v^{\gamma} \ge 2^{-n-1}\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} dx$$

$$\leq \kappa r^{n} + \int_{L \cap \{v^{\gamma} \ge 2^{-n-1}\}} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} dx + \int_{B} \sigma^{q} dx$$

and thus

$$\kappa r^n \leq \int_{B \cap \{v^\gamma \geq 2^{-n-1}\}\}} v^\gamma \omega^q \, dx + \int_B \sigma^q \, dx$$
$$\leq C \left(\int_L w^q_\delta \, dx + \int_B \sigma^q \, dx \right).$$

J. Malý

We apply the Sobolev inequality to the functions w_{δ} and σ and obtain

(3.22)
$$\kappa^{p/q} \leq \left(r^{-n} \int_{B \cap \Omega} w_{\delta}^{q} dx + r^{-n} \int_{B} \sigma^{q} dx\right)^{p/q} \\ \leq Cr^{p-n} \left(\int_{B \cap \Omega} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx + \int_{B} |\nabla \sigma|^{p} dx\right).$$

From (a) we obtain

(3.23)
$$r^{n-p}\kappa^{p/q} \leq C\left(\int_{L} |\nabla w_{\delta}|^{p} dx + \int_{B} |\nabla \sigma|^{p} dx\right)$$
$$\leq Cr^{-p} \int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} dx + C\delta^{-p}r^{n}(1+\ell)^{p}$$
$$+ C\delta^{1-p}\left((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_{L} (\sigma^{p}+|\nabla \sigma|^{p}) dx + \mu(B)\right).$$

By (3.7) and (3.8),

(3.24)
$$\int_{E} (1+v)^{\gamma} dx \leq C(|E| + \int_{E} v^{\gamma} dx)$$
$$\leq C(|E| + \int_{L} v^{\gamma} \omega^{q} dx)$$
$$\leq C \kappa r^{n}.$$

We infer from (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$\kappa^{p/q} \leq C_1 \kappa + C \delta^{-p} r^p (1+\ell)^p + C \delta^{1-p} r^{p-n} \Big((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_L (r^{-p} \sigma^p + |\nabla\sigma|^p) \, dx + \mu(B) \Big)$$

holds for some constant C_1 . If we specify κ to be so small that $\kappa^{p/q} - C_1 \kappa > 0$, we obtain

$$1 \le C\delta^{-p}r^p(1+\ell)^p + C\delta^{1-p}r^{p-n}\Big((\delta+M)^{p-1}\int_L (r^{-p}\sigma^p + |\nabla\sigma|^p)\,dx + \mu(B)\Big).$$

It follows that either

$$1 \le C\delta^{-p}r^p(1+\ell)^p$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$1 \le C\delta^{1-p}r^{p-n}\Big((\delta+M)^{p-1}\int_L (r^{-p}\sigma^p+|\nabla\sigma|^p)\,dx+\mu(B)\Big).$$

Anyway we deduce

$$\begin{split} & \Big(\frac{1}{\kappa r^n} \int_L (u-\ell)^\gamma \psi^q \eta^q \, dx \Big)^{(p-1)/\gamma} \\ &= \delta^{p-1} \le C r^{p-1} (1+\ell)^{p-1} \\ &+ C r^{p-n} \Big((\delta+M)^{p-1} \int_B (r^{-p} \sigma^p + |\nabla \sigma|^p) \, dx + \mu(B) \Big). \end{split}$$

Taking into account the estimates

$$r^{-p}\sigma^p + |\nabla\sigma|^p \le C(r^{-p}\varphi^p + |\nabla\varphi|^p + |\nabla\psi|^p)$$

and

$$\delta \leq CM_{\star}$$

we conclude the proof.

3.3 Theorem. Let u be a subsolution of $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \mu$ in Ω . Suppose that either u is upper bounded or $b_0 = 0$. Then

(3.25)
$$p\text{-fine-lim}_{x \to z} \sup u(x) \le C \left(\left(r_0^{-n} \int_{B(x_0, r_0) \cap \Omega \cap \{u > 0\}} u^{\gamma} dx \right)^{1/\gamma} + \int_0^{r_0} \left(\frac{\mu B(x_0, r)}{r^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} + (1 + \|u\|_{\infty}) \int_0^{2r_0} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B(x_0, r) \setminus \Omega, r)}{r^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} \right)$$

for all $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $r_0 \leq R_0$.

PROOF: We denote $M = 1 + ||u||_{\infty}$ and set $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ to be the constant from Lemma 3.2. We set $r_j = 2^{-j}r_0$ and pick cutoff functions η_j such that $0 \le \eta_j \le 1$, $\eta_j = 0$ outside $B(x_0, r_j)$, $\eta_j = 1$ on $B(x_0, r_{j+1})$ and $|\nabla \eta_j| \le 5/r_j$. Further, we find functions $g_j \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $0 \le g_j \le 1$, the interior of $\{g_j = 1\}$ contains $B(x_0, r_j) \setminus \Omega$ and

(3.26)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (r_j^{-p} g_j^p + |\nabla g_j|^p) \, dx \le C \operatorname{cap}_p(B(x_0, r_j) \setminus \Omega, r_j) \, .$$

We denote

$$\begin{split} \psi_{j} &= \min(1, (2 - 3g_{j})^{+}), \\ \varphi_{j} &= \min(1, 3g_{j} + 3g_{j-1}), \quad j \geq 1, \\ B_{j} &= B(x_{0}, r_{j}), \\ L_{j} &= B_{j} \cap \Omega \cap \{u \geq \ell_{j}\} \\ E_{j} &= L_{j} \cap \{\varphi_{j} < 1\}, \\ F_{j} &= L_{j} \cap \{\varphi_{j} = 1\}. \end{split}$$

 \Box

Then by (3.26),

(3.27)
$$\int_{B_j} \left(r_j^{-p} \varphi_j^p + |\nabla \varphi|_j^p \right) dx \leq C \operatorname{cap}_p(B_{j-1} \setminus \Omega, r_j), \\ \int_{B_j} |\nabla \psi|_j^p dx \leq C \operatorname{cap}_p(B_j \setminus \Omega, r_j).$$

We define recursively $\ell_0 = 0$,

$$\ell_{j+1} = \ell_j + \left(\frac{1}{\kappa r_j^n} \int_{L_j} (u - \ell_j)^{\gamma} \psi_j^q \eta_j^q \, dx\right)^{1/\gamma}, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We write

$$\delta_j = \ell_{j+1} - \ell_j.$$

We claim that, for $j \ge 1$,

(3.28)

$$\delta_{j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \,\delta_{j-1} + C \left(r_{j}(1+\ell_{j}) + \left(\frac{\mu B_{j}}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} + M \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B_{j-1} \setminus \Omega, r_{j})}{r_{j}^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \right).$$

This is trivial when $\delta_j \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j-1}$, so assume that $\delta_{j-1} \leq 2\delta_j$. In this case, since $\psi_{j-1}\eta_{j-1} = 1$ on E_j , we have

(3.29)
$$|E_{j}| \leq \delta_{j-1}^{-\gamma} \int_{L_{j-1}} (u - \ell_{j-1})^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1} \eta_{j-1} dx \\ = \kappa r_{j-1}^{n} \leq 2^{n} \kappa r_{j}^{n}$$

and

(3.30)
$$\int_{E_j} (u-\ell_j)^{\gamma} dx$$
$$\leq \int_{L_{j-1}} (u-\ell_{j-1})^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1}^q \eta_{j-1}^q dx = \delta_{j-1}^{\gamma} \kappa r_{j-1}^n = 2^{n+\gamma} \delta_j^{\gamma} \kappa r_j^n$$
$$= 2^{n+\gamma} \int_{L_j} (u-\ell_j)^{\gamma} \psi_j^q \eta_j^q dx.$$

Thus (3.7) and (3.8) are verified and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\delta_j \le C \left(r_j (1+\ell_j) + \left(\frac{\mu B_j}{r_j^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} + M \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B_{j-1} \setminus \Omega, r_j)}{r_j^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \right)$$

36

which proves (3.28). Summing up (3.28) for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\ell_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \dots + \delta_k) \le \delta_k + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \dots + \delta_{k-1}) \\ &\le \delta_0 + C\left(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j(1+\ell_{j+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\mu B_j}{r_j^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &+ M\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B_{j-1} \setminus \Omega, r)}{r_j^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)}\right) \\ &\le C r_0 \ell_{k+1} + C\left(\left(r_0^{-n} \int_{E_0} u^{\gamma} dx\right)^{1/\gamma} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{r_j}^{r_{j-1}} \left(\frac{\mu B(x_0, r)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &+ M\sum_{j=1}^k \int_{r_j}^{r_{j-1}} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \Omega, r)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} \end{split}$$

If $r_0 \leq R_1 := \frac{1}{2C_2}$, we obtain

(3.31)
$$\lim_{j} \ell_{j} \leq C \left(\left(r_{0}^{-n} \int_{B(x_{0},r_{0})\cap\Omega\cap\{u>0\}} u^{\gamma} dx \right)^{1/\gamma} + \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \left(\frac{\mu B(x_{0},r)}{r^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} + M \int_{0}^{2r_{0}} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B(x_{0},r)\setminus\Omega,r)}{r^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} \right).$$

If $R_1 < r_0 < R_0$, then (3.31) holds as well, because then

$$r_0/R_1 \le R_0/R_1 \le C.$$

It remains to prove that

(3.32)
$$p$$
-fine-lim $\sup u(x) \le \lim_{j \to z} \ell_j$.

We may assume that the right hand part of (3.25) is finite, otherwise the assertion of the theorem is trivial. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote $\ell = \lim_{j} \ell_j$. Set

$$w_j = (2^{\gamma/q} - 1)^{-1} \left(\left(1 + \frac{(u - \ell - \varepsilon)^+}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\gamma/q} - 1 \right) \psi_j \eta_j$$

on Ω and $w_j = 0$ elsewhere. Then $w_j \in W_0^{1,p}(B_j)$, $w_j + \varphi_j \eta_j \ge 1$ on $B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell + 2\varepsilon\}$ and thus

$$\operatorname{cap}_p(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell + 2\varepsilon\}, r_j) \le C \int_{B_j} (|\nabla w_j|^p + |\nabla(\varphi_j \eta_j)|^p) \, dx.$$

Denote

$$E'_j = B_j \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell + \varepsilon\} \cap \{\varphi_j < 1\}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2.a we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{cap}_p(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell + 2\varepsilon\}, r_j) \\ & \leq C \int_{B_j} (|\nabla w_j|^p + |\nabla(\varphi_j \eta_j)|^p) \, dx \leq C \Big(\varepsilon^{-p} r_j^n (1 + (\ell + \varepsilon)^p) \\ & + r_j^{-p} \int_{E'_j} \Big(1 + \frac{u - \ell - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \Big)^\gamma \, dx \\ & + \varepsilon^{1-p} \, \mu(B_j) \\ & + (1 + \varepsilon^{1-p}) (1 + \|u\|_{\infty})^{p-1} \, \int_{B_j} (r_j^{-p} \varphi_j^p + |\nabla \varphi_j|^p + |\nabla \psi_j|^p) \, dx \Big). \end{split}$$

It follows

(3.33)

$$\sum_{j} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B_{j+1} \cap \Omega \cap \{u > \ell + 2\varepsilon\}, r_{j})}{r_{j}^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\
\leq C \left(\varepsilon^{-p/(p-1)} r_{0}^{p/(p-1)} (1 + \ell^{p})^{1/(p-1)} \\
+ \sum_{j} \left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}^{\prime}} \left(1 + \frac{u - \ell - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\gamma} dx \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\
+ \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\mu(B_{j})}{r_{j}^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\
+ (1 + \varepsilon^{-1})(1 + \|u\|_{\infty}) \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_{p}(B_{j} \setminus \Omega, r_{j})}{r_{j}^{n-p}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \right).$$

Note that

(3.34)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta_j/\ell)^{\gamma/(p-1)} \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta_j/\ell) = 1.$$

Using (3.27) and (3.34) we estimate

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j} \left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}^{\prime}} \left(1 + \frac{u - \ell - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\gamma} dx \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j} \left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{E_{j}} \varepsilon^{-\gamma} (u - \ell_{j-1})^{\gamma} dx \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j} \left(r_{j}^{-n} \int_{L_{j-1}} \varepsilon^{-\gamma} (u - \ell_{j-1})^{\gamma} \psi_{j-1} \varphi_{j-1} dx \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j} (\kappa \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \delta_{j-1}^{\gamma})^{1/(p-1)} < \infty. \end{split}$$

If the right hand part of (3.32) is finite, then the remaining sums on the right hand part of (3.33) also converge (we assumed this), so that the set

$$\Omega \cap \{u > \ell + 2\varepsilon\}$$

is p-thin at x_0 for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We proved (3.32), which concludes the proof. \Box

4. Necessity of the Wiener condition

4.1 Example. Let Ω be a bounded open set and let $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Consider the Dirichlet problem

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = 0, \\ u - u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain a unique solution u of (4.1) by minimizing

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, dx$$

in the closed convex set

$$\{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : v - u_0 \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\}$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p \, dx,$$

using Poincaré's inequality we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \leq C \Big(\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u - u_0|^p \, dx \Big) \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u - \nabla u_0|^p \, dx \Big) \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla u_0|^p \, dx \Big) \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p \, dx \Big). \end{split}$$

Let $M = ||u_0||_{\infty} < \infty$. If we test the minimizing property by

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} u, & |u| \le M, \\ M, & u > M, \\ -M, & u < M, \end{cases}$$

then we get that $u \leq M$ a.e. Similar estimates hold for all equations of the monotone type.

4.2 Theorem. In addition to (2.1), suppose that for any $u_0 \in C_c^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ there is $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = 0, \\ u - u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

and

(4.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \le C \int_{\Omega} \left(|u_0|^p + |\nabla u_0|^p \right) \, dx, \ \|u\|_{\infty} \le C \|u_0\|_{\infty}$$

with a constant C independent of u_0 . Let $z \in \partial \Omega$ and suppose that $\mathbf{w}_p(z, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega) < \infty$.

Then z is irregular for the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=0.$$

PROOF: Choose $\varepsilon > 0, \rho \in (0, 1)$ to be specified later. The singleton $\{z\}$ has zero p-capacity. Hence, we find a \mathcal{C}^1 -function u_0 on \mathbb{R}^n supported in B(z, 1) such that $u_0(z) = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u_0|^p + |\nabla u_0|^p) dx < \varepsilon$. Let u be a continuous solution of (4.2), (4.3). By Theorem 3.3,

$$p\text{-fine-lim}\sup_{x \to z} u(x) \le C_1 (\rho^{-n} \int_{B(z,\rho)} u^{\gamma} dx)^{1/\gamma} + C_2 \int_0^{\rho} \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B(z,r) \setminus \Omega)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Hölder's inequality yields

$$\left(\rho^{-n} \int_{B(x,\rho)} |u^{\gamma}| \, dx\right)^{1/\gamma} \le C\rho^{-n/p} \left(\int_{B(x,\rho)} |u|^p \, dx\right)^{1/p} \le C_3 \rho^{-n/p} \varepsilon^{1/p}.$$

Since $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is *p*-thin at *z*, we can find $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$C_2 \int_0^\rho \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(B(z,r) \setminus \Omega)}{r^{n-p}}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \frac{dr}{r} < \frac{1}{3}$$

Then we can specify the choice of ε so that

$$C_1 C_3 \rho^{-n/p} \varepsilon^{1/p} \le \frac{1}{3}.$$

We obtain that

$$p\text{-fine-lim}\sup_{x\to z} u(x) < 1 = u_0(z),$$

hence z is not regular.

Note added in proof. In a new preprint Gianazza, Marchi and Villani prove Wiener criteria for a related class of equations which is neither a subclass, nor a superclass of the class of equations investigated here.

References

- Adams D.R., L^p potential theory techniques and nonlinear PDE, In: Potential Theory (Ed. M. Kishi) Walter de Gruyter & Co (1992), Berlin, 1–15.
- [2] Adams D.R., Hedberg L.I., Function Spaces and Potential Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [3] Adams D.R., Meyers N.G., Thinness and Wiener criteria for non-linear potentials, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972), 169–197.
- [4] Brelot M., On Topologies and Boundaries in Potential Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 175, Springer, 1971.
- [5] Federer H., Ziemer W.P., The Lebesgue set of a function whose partial derivatives are p-th power summable, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972), 139–158.
- [6] Frehse J., Capacity methods in the theory of partial differential equations, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. 84 (1982), 1–44.
- [7] Fuglede B., The quasi topology associated with a countably subadditive set function, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 21.1 (1971), 123–169.
- [8] Gariepy R., Ziemer W.P., A regularity condition at the boundary for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 67 (1977), 25–39.
- Hedberg L.I., Nonlinear potentials and approximation in the mean by analytic functions, Math. Z. 129 (1972), 299–319.
- [10] Hedberg L.I., Wolff Th.H., Thin sets in nonlinear potential theory, Ann. Inst. Fourier 33.4 (1983), 161–187.
- [11] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T., On the Wiener criterion and quasilinear obstacle problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1988), 239–255.
- [12] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T., Martio O., Fine topology and quasilinear elliptic equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier **39.2** (1989), 293–318.
- [13] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T., Martio O., Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [14] Kilpeläinen T., Malý J., Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Science, Ser. IV 19 (1992), 591–613.
- [15] Kilpeläinen T., Malý J., Supersolutions to degenerate elliptic equations on quasi open sets, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992), 371–405.
- [16] Kilpeläinen T., Malý J., The Wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations, Acta Math. 172 (1994), 137–161.
- [17] Lieberman G.M., Sharp forms of estimates for subsolutions and supersolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with right hand side a measure, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 18 (1993), 1991–2112.
- [18] Lindqvist P., Martio O., Two theorems of N. Wiener for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, Acta Math. 155 (1985), 153–171.
- [19] Littman W., Stampacchia G., Weinberger H.F., Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Serie III 17 (1963), 43–77.
- [20] Malý J., Nonlinear potentials and quasilinear PDE's, Proceedings of the International Conference on Potential Theory, Kouty, 1994, to appear.
- [21] Maz'ya V.G., On the continuity at a boundary point of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations (Russian), Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 25 (1970), 42–55; English translation, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Math. 3 (1976), 225–242.
- [22] Maz'ya V.G., Khavin V.P., Nonlinear potential theory (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 27.6 (1972), 67–138; English translation, Russian Math. Surveys 27 (1972), 71–148.

J. Malý

- [23] Malý J., Ziemer W.P., *Fine Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Equations*, book in preparation.
- [24] Meyers N.G., Continuity properties of potentials, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 157-166.
- [25] Rakotoson J.M., Ziemer W.P., Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with general structure, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), 747–764.
- [26] Skrypnik I.V., Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1986.
- [27] Trudinger N.S., On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 721–747.
- [28] Wiener N., Certain notions in potential theory, J. Math. Phys. 3 (1924), 24–5, Reprinted in: Norbert Wiener: Collected works. Vol. 1 (1976), MIT Press, pp. 364–391.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received May 6, 1995)