## The sizes of relatively compact $T_1$ -spaces

Winfried Just<sup>1</sup>

Abstract. The relativization of Gryzlov's theorem about the size of compact  $T_1$ -spaces with countable pseudocharacter is false.

Keywords: relatively compact, relatively Lindelöf,  $T_1$ -space, measurable cardinal Classification: 54A25, 54D20

Let X, Y be topological spaces such that  $Y \subseteq X$ . We say that Y is relatively compact (relatively Lindelöf) in X if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X there exists a finite (countable) subfamily  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a cover of Y. The notion of relative compactness was introduced (under a slightly different name) by D.V. Ranchin [R]. A.V Arhangel'skii showed that if Y is regular in X (i.e., for each closed subset B of X and each point  $y \in X \setminus B$ , there is an open neighborhood U of y in X such that  $cl(U) \cap B = \emptyset$ ), X is first-countable at each point in Y, and Y is Lindelöf in X, then  $|Y| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$  (see Corollary 6 of [A] for a somewhat stronger result). Thus, Arhangel'skii's famous theorem on the size of first-countable regular Lindelöf spaces generalizes to the context of relative Lindelöfness.

In [G], A.A. Gryzlov proved that every compact  $T_1$ -space of countable pseudocharacter has cardinality at most  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . The question arises whether this theorem also generalizes to the context of relative compactness. Theorem 1 below shows that this is not the case.

**1. Theorem.** Suppose  $\lambda$  is smaller than the first measurable cardinal. Then there exist first-countable  $T_1$ -spaces  $Y \subset X$ , such that  $|Y| = \lambda$ ,  $|X| = 2^{\lambda}$ , and Y is compact in X.

PROOF: Let  $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$  be as in the assumption. We let Y be  $\lambda$  itself with the discrete topology. Let Z be the set of all partitions  $\overline{z} = (z_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of  $\lambda$  into countably many pairwise disjoint sets. The underlying set of the space X will be  $Y \cup Z$ . We define a topology on X as follows:

- the points in Y are isolated;
- the basic open neighborhoods of  $\bar{z} \in Z$  are of the form  $V_m^{\bar{z}} = \bigcup_{n \ge m} z_n \cup \{\bar{z}\}.$

Clearly, X and Y are first-countable  $T_1$ -spaces. It remains to show that Y is relatively compact in X. The latter is equivalent to the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9312363.

## W. Just

**2.** Claim. For every function  $f : Z \to \omega$  there exists a finite set  $F \subset Z$  such that  $|Y \setminus \bigcup_{\overline{z} \in F} \bigcup_{n \ge f(\overline{z})} z_n| < \aleph_0$ .

PROOF: Suppose not and let f be a counterexample. For each  $F \in [Z]^{<\aleph_0}$  define:  $Y_F = Y \setminus \bigcup_{\bar{z} \in F} \bigcup_{n \ge f(\bar{z})} z_n$ . Then the family  $\{Y_F : F \in [Z]^{<\aleph_0}\}$  generates a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\lambda$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  contains no finite subset of  $\lambda$ ;
- (2) for every partition  $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$  of  $\lambda$  into countable many pairwise disjoint subsets there exists an  $m \in \omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \le m} z_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The existence of such an  $\mathcal{F}$  leads to a contradiction, since it implies that  $\lambda$  is at least as big as the first measurable cardinal. To see the latter, consider the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., the function  $\chi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \to \{0,1\}$  that takes the value  $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(a) = 1$  if and only if  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . If we identify  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  and  $^{\lambda}\{0,1\}$  with the product topology, then (1) implies that  $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not continuous. On the other hand, if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$  and  $z_n = \{\xi \in \lambda : n = \min\{k \in \omega : \forall m \geq k (\xi \in a_m \leftrightarrow \xi \in a)\}\}$ , then  $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a partition of  $\lambda$ . Applying (2) to this partition, we can see that  $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is sequentially continuous. Now a result of Antonovskii and Chudnovskii [AC, Theorem 1.3] implies that  $\lambda$  is as least as big as the first measurable cardinal.

In Theorem 1, the assumption that  $\lambda$  is smaller than the first measurable cardinal cannot be dropped, since the following relativized version of a well-known classical result holds:

**3. Proposition.** Suppose X is a  $T_1$ -space and  $\psi(x, X) < \kappa$  for every  $x \in X$ . If Y is relatively Lindelöf in X, then  $|Y| < \kappa$ .

PROOF: Suppose X, Y form a counterexample. Let  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \to \{0,1\}$  be a  $\kappa$ -additive measure that vanishes on singletons, and assume without loss of generality that  $\kappa \subseteq Y$ . For each  $x \in X$ , choose  $\{U_{\xi}^x : \xi < \lambda_x < \kappa\}$  such that  $U_{\xi}^x$  is an open neighborhood of x and  $\bigcap_{\xi < \lambda_x} U_{\xi}^x = \{x\}$ . By  $\kappa$ -additivity of  $\mu$ , we can pick for every  $x \in X$  a  $\xi_x$  such that  $\mu(\kappa \cap U_{\xi_x}^x) = 0$ . Now let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\xi_x}^x : x \in X\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of X, but if  $\mathcal{V} = [\mathcal{U}]^{<\kappa}$ , then  $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{V} \cap \kappa) = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{V}$  does not cover Y.

## References

- [A] Arhangel'skii A.V., A generic theorem in the theory of cardinal invariants of topological spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 36.2 (1995), 303–325.
- [AC]Antonovskii M.Y., Chudnovskii D.V., Some questions of general topology and Tikhonov semifields II, Russian Math. Surveys 31.3 (1976), 69–128.
- [G] Gryzlov A.A., Two theorems on the cardinality of topological spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 21.2 (1980), 506–509.
- [R] Ranchin D.V., On compactness modulo an ideal, Soviet Math. Dokl. 13.1 (1972), 193–197.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701, USA

(Received January 23, 1996)