# When is **N** Lindelöf?

### HORST HERRLICH, GEORGE E. STRECKER

#### Abstract.

**Theorem.** In ZF (i.e., Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory without the axiom of choice) the following conditions are equivalent:

- N is a Lindelöf space,
- (2)  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a Lindelöf space,
- (3)  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Lindelöf space,
- (4) every topological space with a countable base is a Lindelöf space,
- (5) every subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  is separable,
- (6) in R, a point x is in the closure of a set A iff there exists a sequence in A that converges to x,
- (7) a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at a point x iff f is sequentially continuous at x,
- (8) in  $\mathbb{R}$ , every unbounded set contains a countable, unbounded set,
- (9) the axiom of countable choice holds for subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Keywords:* axiom of choice, axiom of countable choice, Lindelöf space, separable space, (sequential) continuity, (Dedekind-) finiteness

Classification: Primary 03E25, 04A25, 54D20; Secondary 26A03, 26A15, 54A35

## Introduction

Jech (1968) has shown that in ZF (i.e., Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory without the axiom of choice) the space  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers may fail to be Lindelöf, even though  $\mathbb{R}$  has a countable base. Here we will show that — perhaps even more surprisingly — the countable discrete space  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers can fail to be Lindelöf as well. Naturally, the axiom of countable choice implies that  $\mathbb{R}$  and (hence)  $\mathbb{N}$  are Lindelöf. Is there a simple set-theoretic condition that is sufficient and necessary for  $\mathbb{N}$  to be Lindelöf? The purpose of our note is to answer this question.

## Proof of the Theorem

It suffices to establish the implications  $(8) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (4)$  and  $(1) \Rightarrow (8)$ , since the validity of the implications  $(4) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$ ,  $(4) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$ ,  $(7) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow$  $(8) \Rightarrow (7)$ , and  $(9) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (8)$  is apparent.

 $(8) \Rightarrow (9)$  Let  $(X_n)$  be a sequence of non-empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider an injection  $\tau_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to ]n, n+1[$ .

[Such  $\tau_n$  can be constructed effectively, e.g., as follows:

Let **A** be the subset of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  consisting of all non-constant sequences  $(x_n)$  with infinitely many zeros.

Let  $\alpha$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to [0,1[$  be the bijection defined by  $\alpha(x) = 2^{-1} + \pi^{-1} \cdot \arctan x$ . Let  $\beta$ :  $\mathbf{A} \to [0,1[$  be the bijection defined by  $\beta(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \cdot x_n$ .

Consider  $\gamma = \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbf{A}$  and  $\gamma^n$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbf{A}^n$ . Let  $\sigma_n$ :  $\mathbf{A}^n \to \mathbf{A}$  be the *n*-th squeezing function defined by

$$\sigma_n\Big((x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots), (x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots), \dots, (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots)\Big) = \\ = (x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n, x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots x_2^n, x_3^1, \dots).$$

Let  $\delta_n$ :  $]0,1[ \rightarrow ]n,n+1[$  be the bijection defined by  $\delta_n(x) = n + x$ . Then  $\tau_n = \delta_n \circ \beta \circ \sigma_n \circ \gamma^n$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to ]n,n+1[$  is an injection.]

Each  $Y_n = \tau_n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} X_i$  is a non-empty subset of ]n, n+1[. Hence  $Y = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} Y_n$  is an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . By (8), Y contains an unbounded sequence  $(y_m)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique  $\nu(m)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $y_m \in Y_{\nu(m)}$ , thus a unique element  $\int_{1}^{\nu(m)} Y_n$  is a provide the sequence  $(x_m, y_m) = 0$ .

 $z_m ext{ of } \prod_{1}^{\nu(m)} X_i ext{ with } \tau_{\nu(m)}(z_m) = y_m. ext{ Denote } z_m ext{ by } \left( x_1^m, x_2^m, \dots, x_{\nu(m)}^m \right).$ 

Next, let *n* be an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(y_m)$  is unbounded there exists some *m* in  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $n \leq \nu(m)$ . Define  $\mu(n) = \operatorname{Min}\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \leq \nu(m)\}$ . Then  $n \leq \nu(\mu(n))$ . Thus  $x_n^{\mu(n)}$  belongs to  $X_n$ , and consequently  $(x_n^{\mu(n)}) \in \prod_{1}^{\infty} X_n$ .

 $(9) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let **X** be a topological space with a countable base  $(B_n)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an open cover of **X**. Then the map  $\alpha: \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{P}\mathbb{N}$  from  $\mathfrak{U}$  into the powerset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , defined by  $\alpha(U) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid B_n \subseteq U\}$ , is injective. For each n in  $\mathbb{N}$  define  $X_n = \{\alpha(U) \mid B_n \subseteq U \in \mathfrak{U}\}$ . Then  $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid X_n \neq \emptyset\}$  is at most countable. Since there exists a bijection between  $\mathfrak{P}\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  condition (9) implies that  $\prod_{m \in M} X_m \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x_m)$  be an element of this product. Since  $\alpha$  is injective,

for each  $m \in M$  there exists a unique element  $U_m$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  with  $\alpha(U_m) = x_m$ . In particular,  $x_m \in X_m$  implies  $B_m \subseteq U_m$ . Since  $(B_n)$  is a base and  $\mathfrak{U}$  is an open cover of  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $\{B_m \mid m \in M\}$  covers X. Consequently  $\{U_m \mid m \in M\}$  covers X.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (8)$  Let A be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  unbounded to the right. Consider a bijection  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the map  $\beta \colon A \to \mathfrak{PN}$ , given by  $\beta(a) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \alpha(n) < a\}$ , is injective. Further  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\beta(a) \mid a \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{N}$ . By  $(1), \mathfrak{U}$  contains an at most countable subset  $\mathfrak{V}$  that covers  $\mathbb{N}$ . For each  $V \in \mathfrak{V}$  there exists a unique element  $a_V \in A$  with  $V = \beta(a_V)$ . Consequently  $\{a_V \mid V \in \mathfrak{V}\}$  is a countable, unbounded subset of A.

# Remarks

- Jaegermann (1965) has constructed a model of ZF in which the condition (7) of our Theorem fails.
- (2) Jech (1968) has shown that in any model of ZF that violates the following condition

(\*) every infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is Dedekind-infinite,<sup>1</sup>

(e.g., in Cohen's basic model) the conditions (3), (5), (6), and (7) of our Theorem must fail. Obviously, condition (5) implies (\*). We do not know whether (\*) is properly weaker than the conditions of our Theorem. It is, however, easy to see that (\*) is equivalent to the following strong form of the Bolzano-Weierstraß-Theorem:

(SBW) in  $\mathbb R,$  every bounded, infinite set contains a convergent, injective sequence.

In contrast to this, the ordinary Bolzano-Weierstraß-Theorem

(BW) in  $\mathbb R,$  for every bounded, infinite set there exists an accumulation point

is easily seen to hold in ZF.

- (3) Sierpiński (1916) has shown that the conditions (6) and (7) of our Theorem are equivalent to each other and to the following (somewhat unattractive) set-theoretic-condition:
  - (P) "Pour toute suite infinie des ensembles de nombres réels  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots$ , [non vides] sans points communs, existe au moins une suite infinie de nombres réels  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$ , dont les termes correspondants aux indices différents appartiennent toujours aux différents ensembles  $X_n$ ."

In contrast to the above, Sierpiński (1918) proved that a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous iff it is sequentially continuous.

In contrast to this, Herrlich and Steprāns proved that the equivalence of continuity and sequential continuity for functions between metric spaces (equivalently: for functions from metric spaces into  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is equivalent to the axiom of countable choice.

- (4) In the wider realm of pseudometric spaces the following hold:
  - (a) (Herrlich (1996)) Equivalent are:
    - ( $\alpha$ ) Heine-Borel-compactness (i.e., every open cover contains a finite one) implies Alexandroff-Urysohn-compactness (i.e., every infinite set has a complete accumulation point),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A set A is called *Dedekind-infinite* provided there exists some injection from  $\mathbb{N}$  into A.

- $(\beta)$  the axiom of choice.
- (b) (Bentley and Herrlich) Equivalent are:
  - $(\alpha)$  sequential compactness implies Heine-Borel-compactness,
  - $(\beta)$  Heine-Borel-compactness implies separability,
  - $(\gamma)$  the Lindelöf property implies separability,
  - ( $\delta$ ) the countable base condition (= second axiom of countability) implies separability,
  - $(\epsilon)$  subspaces of separable spaces are separable,
  - $(\zeta)$  the Baire Category Theorem holds for complete spaces with countable base,
  - $(\eta)$  the axiom of countable choice.
- (c) (Bentley and Herrlich) Equivalent are:
  - ( $\alpha$ ) sequential compactness implies Bolzano-Weierstraß-compactness (i.e., every infinite set has an accumulation point),
  - $(\beta)$  every infinite set is Dedekind-infinite.
- (d) (Bentley and Herrlich) The Baire category theorem holds for separable complete spaces.

### References

- Bentley H.L., Herrlich H., Countable choice and pseudometric spaces, in preparation.
- Herrlich H. (1996), Compactness and the Axiom of Choice, Appl. Categ. Struct. 4 (1996), 1–14.
- Herrlich H., Steprāns J., Maximal Filters, continuity, and choice principles, to appear in Quaestiones Math.
- Jaegermann M. (1965), The axiom of choice and two definitions of continuity, Bulletin de l'Acad. Polonaise des Sciences, Ser. Math. 13 (1965), 699–704.
- Jech T. (1968), Eine Bemerkung zum Auswahlaxiom, Časopis pro pěstování matematiky 9 (1968), 30–31.
- Sierpiński W. (1916), Sur le rôle de l'axiome de M. Zermelo dans l'Analyse moderne, Compt. Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Academie des Sciences, Paris 193 (1916), 688–691.
- Sierpiński W. (1918), L'axiome de M. Zermelo et son rôle dans la théorie des ensembles et l'analyse, Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences de Cracovie, Classe des Sciences Math., Sér. A (1918), 97–152.

FACHBEREICH 3, UNIVERSITÄT BREMEN, 28359 BREMEN, GERMANY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA

(Received June 7, 1996)