

## On the Noetherian type of topological spaces

S.A. PEREGUDOV

*Abstract.* The Noetherian type of topological spaces is introduced. Connections between the Noetherian type and other cardinal functions of topological spaces are obtained.

*Keywords:* Noetherian type, rank weight

*Classification:* Primary 54A25; Secondary 54D30

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open family in  $X$ . For a set  $G$  let us denote by  $\mathcal{B}_G$  the family  $\{B \in \mathcal{B} : G \subset B\}$ .

We define the *Noetherian type* of  $\mathcal{B}$  as the cardinal

$$Nt(\mathcal{B}) = \min \{ \alpha : \alpha \text{ is an infinite cardinal} \\ \text{and } |\mathcal{B}_G| < \alpha \text{ for every nonempty open set } G \subset X \}.$$

We define the *lower Noetherian type* of  $\mathcal{B}$  as the cardinal

$$lNt(\mathcal{B}) = \sup \{ |\mathcal{B}_G| : G \text{ is a nonempty open subset of } X \}.\omega.$$

The cardinal

$$\min \{ Nt(\mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base of the space } X \}$$

is called the *Noetherian type* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $Nt(X)$ .

The cardinal

$$\min \{ lNt(\mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base of the space } X \}$$

is called the *lower Noetherian type* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $lNt(X)$ .

Considering in the last definitions a  $\pi$ -base in place of a base we obtain definitions of the *Noetherian  $\pi$ -type* and the *lower Noetherian  $\pi$ -type* of  $X$ , which are denoted by  $N\pi t(X)$  and  $lN\pi t(X)$  respectively.

Now let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of sets. The cardinal

$$rank(\mathcal{B}) = \sup \{ |\mathcal{B}'| : \mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}, \bigcap \mathcal{B}' \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \mathcal{B}' \text{ is an antichain} \\ \text{(by the set theoretic inclusion)} \}$$

is called the *rank of the family  $\mathcal{B}$* . We define the *rank weight of a topological space  $X$*  as the cardinal

$$w_r(X) = \min \{ rank(\mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base of } X \}.\omega.$$

Analogously the *rank  $\pi$ -weight* of  $X$  is defined as the cardinal

$$\pi w_r(X) = \min \{ rank(\mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a } \pi\text{-base of } X \}.\omega.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then*

$$w(X) = lNt(X).\pi w(X) \text{ and } lNt(X).\chi(X) = lNt(X).\pi\chi(X).$$

PROOF: Clearly  $lNt(X).\pi w(X) \leq w(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base of  $X$  such that  $|\mathcal{B}| = w(X)$  and  $lNt(\mathcal{B}) = lNt(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$  such that  $|\mathcal{H}| = \pi w(X)$ . For every set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  choose a set  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \subset B$ . We obtain a mapping  $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $|f^{-1}.(H)| \leq lNt(X)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{f^{-1}.(H) : H \in \text{rng}(f)\}$  it follows that  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq lNt(X).\pi w(X)$ . Consequently,  $w(X) = lNt(X).\pi w(X)$ . Clearly for every point  $x \in X$  we have  $\chi(x, X) \leq \pi\chi(x, X).lNt(X)$ . Consequently,  $\chi(X) \leq \pi\chi(X).lNt(X)$  and  $\chi(X).lNt(X) \leq \pi\chi(X).lNt(X)$ . The inverse inequality is trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. If  $Nt(X) < \chi(X)$  then  $w_r(X) = \chi(X)$ .*

PROOF: Clearly for every base  $\mathcal{B}$  of the space  $X$  and every point  $x \in X$  we have  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{B}) \leq \chi(x, X).lNt(\mathcal{B})$ . Consequently, provided that  $Nt(X) < \chi(X)$  we have that  $w_r(X) \leq \chi(X)$ . Now let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an arbitrary base of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be a base of  $X$  such that  $Nt(\mathcal{B}') = Nt(X)$ . Take an arbitrary cardinal  $\tau$  such that  $Nt(X) \leq \tau < \chi(X)$ . Choose a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\chi(x, X) \geq \tau^+$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'_x = \{B' \in \mathcal{B}' : x \in B'\}$  and for every set  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'_x$  choose a set  $B'' \subset B'$  such that  $B'' \in \mathcal{B}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B\}$ . We obtain a family  $\mathcal{B}'' \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $Nt(\mathcal{B}'') \leq Nt(X)$  and  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{B}''$ . Besides it is evident that  $|\mathcal{B}''| \geq \tau^+$ . Choose a maximal antichain  $\mathcal{B}''_0$  out of  $\mathcal{B}''$ . Consider the family of all sets  $B \in \mathcal{B}''$  such that  $B$  is contained as a proper subset in some set belonging to  $\mathcal{B}''_0$ . Choose a maximal antichain  $\mathcal{B}''_1$  out of this family. Continuing this process we obtain a  $Nt(X)$ -sequence  $(\mathcal{B}''_\xi : \xi < Nt(X))$  such that every its element  $\mathcal{B}''_\xi$  is a maximal antichain in the family  $\{B \in \mathcal{B}'' : B \text{ is contained as a proper subset in some set belonging to } \mathcal{B}''_\gamma \text{ for every } \gamma < \xi\}$ . The family  $\mathcal{B}''_{Nt(X)} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}''_\xi : \xi < Nt(X)\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B}''$ . Therefore it is a local base of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $|\mathcal{B}''_{Nt(X)}| \geq \tau^+$  and there exists  $\xi < Nt(X)$  such that  $|\mathcal{B}''_\xi| \geq \tau^+$ . Hence,  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{B}) \geq \tau^+$ . Since it is true for every cardinal  $\tau$ , such that  $Nt(X) \leq \tau < \chi(X)$ , we have that  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{B}) \geq \chi(X)$ . Because  $\mathcal{B}$  is an arbitrary base of  $X$  it follows that  $w_r(X) \geq \chi(X)$ .  $\square$

**Definitions.** A topological space  $X$  is called a *Noetherian space* provided that  $Nt(X) = \omega$ . A topological space  $X$  is called a *weakly Noetherian space* provided that  $lNt(X) = \omega$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $X$  is a Noetherian space then  $w_r(X) = \chi(X)$ .*

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \omega_1 \cup \{\omega_1\}$ . Introduce a topology on  $X$  as the following. Let every point of  $\omega_1$  be isolated. A base of neighborhoods of the point  $\omega_1$  is defined as the family  $\{(\xi, \omega_1] : \xi < \omega_1\}$  where  $(\xi, \omega_1] = \{\eta \leq \omega_1 : \xi < \eta\}$ . Evidently  $X$  is a regular Lindelöf space for which  $lNt(X) = \omega$ ,  $Nt(X) = \omega_1$ ,  $\chi(X) = \omega_1$ , and  $w_r(X) = \omega$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. If  $Nt(X)$  is a regular cardinal and  $w(X) = Nt(X)$  then  $w_r(X) = w(X)$ .*

PROOF: Let us assume that  $w_r(X) < w(X)$ . The space  $X$  contains an everywhere dense subset  $A$  such that  $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq w_r(X)$  for every point  $x \in A$  ([2]). Choose a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of the space  $X$  such that  $Nt(\mathcal{B}) = Nt(X)$ . Then  $ord(x, \mathcal{B}) < Nt(X)$  for every point  $x \in A$ . By induction in just the same way as in [6], construct a sequence  $(S_n : n \in \omega)$  of subsets of the set  $A$  such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) if  $n, m \in \omega$  and  $n < m$  then  $S_n \subset S_m$ ;
- (2)  $|S_n| < w(X)$  for every  $n \in \omega$ ;
- (3) if  $n \in \omega$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a finite subfamily of the family  $\{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \cap S_n \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}' \neq \emptyset$  then  $S_{n+1} \cap (A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}') \neq \emptyset$ .

The set  $S = \bigcup \{S_n : n \in \omega\}$  by (3) is an everywhere dense subset of  $X$ . In addition  $|S| < w(X)$  and  $ord(x, \mathcal{B}) < w(X)$  for every point  $x \in S$ . Then  $w(X) < w(X)$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $w_r(X) = w(X)$ . □

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Then*

$$w(X) = lNt(X).\pi w(X) = lNt(X).\pi\chi(X) = lNt(X).t(X) = lNt(X).\chi(X) = lNt(X).w_r(X) = lNt(X).s(X) = lNt(X).hd(X) = lNt(X).hL(X).$$

PROOF: Since  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space it follows from [7] that  $\pi\chi(X) \leq t(X)$ . By Lemma 1 it implies the first, the third, and the fourth equations. Further, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base of the space  $X$  and  $lNt(\mathcal{B}) = lNt(X)$  then  $ord(x, \mathcal{B}) \leq lNt(X).\pi\chi(X)$  for every point  $x \in X$ . By Theorem Mishenko then  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq lNt(X).\pi\chi(X)$ . It implies the second equation. Now let us assume that  $lNt(X).w_r(X) < w(X)$ . Then  $w_r(X) < w(X)$  and  $lNt(X) < w(X)$ . By the fourth equation it implies that  $w(X) = \chi(X)$  and by Lemma 2 we have  $Nt(X) \geq w(X)$ . But  $w(X) > lNt(X)$ , hence  $Nt(X) = w(X) = lNt(X)^+$ . Then by Lemma 3 we have that  $w_r(X) = w(X)$ . It is a contradiction, hence  $w(X) = lNt(X).w_r(X)$ . The other equations are consequences of the inequality  $t(X) \leq s(X)$  for compact Hausdorff spaces ([1]). □

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff compact weakly Noetherian space. Then  $w(X) = \pi w(X) = w_r(X) = \chi(X) = \pi\chi(X) = t(X) = s(X) = hd(X) = hL(X)$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally compact weakly Noetherian space. Then  $w_r(X) = \chi(X)$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. If  $Nt(X)$  is a weakly inaccessible cardinal then  $w_r(X) = w(X)$ .*

**Example 2.** Let  $X$  denote the “two arrows” space. It is known that  $w_r(X) = \omega$  ([3]). By Theorem 1 it implies that  $lNt(X) = w(X) = 2^\omega$  and because  $cf(2^\omega) > \omega$  we get that  $Nt(X) = (2^\omega)^+$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $X = I^\tau$  where  $I$  is the unit segment. Because  $lNt(X) = Nt(X) = \omega$  ([5]), it follows from Theorem 1 that  $w_r(X) = \tau \cdot \omega$ .

**Example 4.** The space of ordinals  $X = \omega_1 \cup \{\omega_1\}$  is not weakly Noetherian because  $\chi(X) = \omega_1$  and  $w_r(X) = \omega$  ([2]).

**Theorem 2.** For a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $w(X) = lNt(X)$ ;
- (2)  $lNt(Y) \leq lNt(X)$  for every subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ ;
- (3)  $lNt(Y) \leq lNt(X)$  for every closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ .

PROOF: Evidently it is sufficient to prove the implication (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Let the condition (3) be fulfilled and suppose that  $w(X) > lNt(X)$ . Then by Theorem 1 there exists a discrete set  $Y \subset X$  such that  $|Y| > lNt(X)$ . By the assumption  $lNt(clY) \leq lNt(X)$ . Choose a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of the space  $clY$  such that  $lNt(\mathcal{B}) = lNt(clY)$ . Because  $ord(y, \mathcal{B}) \leq lNt(clY)$  for every point  $y$  belonging to  $Y$ , we have by [6] that  $w(clY) \leq lNt(clY)$ . Hence  $|Y| \leq lNt(X)$ . It is a contradiction, consequently,  $w(X) = lNt(X)$ . □

**Theorem 3.** If  $Y$  is an open or canonical closed subspace of a space  $X$  then  $lNt(Y) \leq lNt(X)$ . If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of compact subspaces of  $X$  having in  $X$  the character  $\leq lNt(X)$ , then if  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset Y \subset cl \bigcup \mathcal{F}$  then  $lNt(Y) \leq lNt(X)$ .

PROOF: The first assertion is trivial. To prove the second assertion, take  $\mathcal{E}_F = \{A \subset F : A \neq \emptyset, \chi(A, X) \leq lNt(X)\}$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and put  $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{E}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base of  $X$  such that  $lNt(\mathcal{B}) = lNt(X)$ . If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $B$  contains a nonempty open in  $Y$  set  $P$ , then there exists  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $E \subset P$ . Because  $\chi(E, X) \leq lNt(\mathcal{B})$ , it follows that  $|\{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \supset E\}|$  is not more than  $lNt(\mathcal{B})$ . This implies that  $lNt(\mathcal{B}|Y) \leq lNt(\mathcal{B})$  and hence  $lNt(Y) \leq lNt(X)$ . □

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\{Z_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a family of topological spaces and  $\prod \{Z_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is denoted by  $Z$ . Then

$$Nt(Z) \leq \sup\{Nt(Z_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad lNt(Z) \leq \sup\{lNt(Z_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}.$$

PROOF: To prove this, choose a base  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  of the space  $Z_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $Nt(\mathcal{B}_\alpha) = Nt(Z_\alpha)$ . It is easy to see that a base of  $Z$  consisting of sets of the form  $B_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times B_{\alpha_n} \times \prod \{Z_\alpha : \alpha \in A \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}\}$ , where  $B_{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , have the Noetherian type that is not greater than  $\sup\{Nt(Z_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ . Analogously it can be proved that  $lNt(Z) \leq \sup\{lNt(Z_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ . □

**Remark.** The following theorem, which has been proved in [4], is an essential supplement of Theorem 4:

$$\text{if } |A| \geq \sup\{w(Z_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\} \text{ then } Nt(Z) = \omega.$$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $\pi w(X) > N\pi t(X)$  and let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal such that  $\kappa^+$  is a calibre of  $X$ . If  $\pi w(X) > \kappa$  then  $\pi w_r(X) > \kappa$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . Choose a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $X$  such that  $Nt(\mathcal{H}') = N\pi t(X)$ . Now choose a cardinal  $\tau$  such that  $N\pi t(X) \cdot \kappa \leq \tau < \pi w(X)$ . Take a mapping  $f : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(H') \subset H'$  and put  $\mathcal{H}'' = \text{rng}(f)$ . It is evident that  $\mathcal{H}''$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . Also it is clear that  $|\mathcal{H}''| \geq \pi w(X)$  and  $Nt(\mathcal{H}'') = N\pi t(X)$ . Consequently  $|\mathcal{H}''| \geq \tau^+$ . By induction construct a  $N\pi t$ -sequence  $(\mathcal{H}''_\xi : \xi < N\pi t(X))$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{H}''$  such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}''_0$  is a maximal antichain (by inclusion) in  $\mathcal{H}''$ ;
- (2) if  $\xi > 0$  then  $\mathcal{H}''_\xi$  is a maximal antichain in the family  $\{H \in \mathcal{H}'' : \text{for every } \eta < \xi \text{ there exists } H' \in \mathcal{H}''_\eta \text{ such that } H \subset H' \text{ and } H \neq H'\}$ .

Put  $\mathcal{H}''_{N\pi t(X)} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{H}''_\xi : \xi < N\pi t(X)\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}''_{N\pi t(X)}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . This implies that  $|\mathcal{H}''_{N\pi t(X)}| \geq \tau^+$ . Because  $N\pi t(X) \leq \tau$ , there exists  $\xi < N\pi t(X)$  such that  $|\mathcal{H}''_\xi| \geq \tau^+$ . Since  $\kappa \leq \tau$ , there exists  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\xi \subset \mathcal{H}''_\xi$  such that  $|\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\xi| > \kappa$  and  $\bigcap \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\xi \neq \emptyset$ . Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is an arbitrary  $\pi$ -base we get that  $\pi w_r(X) > \kappa$ .

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $\pi w(X) > N\pi t(X)$ . Then the following assertions are fulfilled:*

- (a) if  $\pi w(X) > d(X)$  then  $\pi w_r(X) = \pi w(X)$ ;
- (b) if  $\pi w(X) > sh(X)$  then  $\pi w_r(X) > sh(X)$ .

The following problem was raised by P. Bir'ukov:

*Is there a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  such that  $|X| > 2^{w_r(X)}$ ?*

In view of the above mentioned assertions the space may be encountered only where  $Nt(X) = w(X)^+$  or  $Nt(X) = w(X)$  is a singular cardinal.

**Corollary 6 (MA).** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. If  $Nt(X) \leq 2^\omega$  then  $|X| \leq 2^{w_r(X)}$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *On bicompecta hereditarily satisfying the Souslin condition. Tightness and free sequences*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 1253–1257.
- [2] Bir'ukov P.A., *Ranks of families of sets and properties of topological spaces* (in Russian), DAN SSSR **4** [257] (1981), 777–779.
- [3] Gruenhage G., Nyikos P., *Spaces with bases of countable rank*, Gen.Top. and Appl. **8** (1978), 233–257.
- [4] Malyhin V.I., *On Noetherian spaces* (in Russian), Seminar of Gen. Topology, Moscow University, 1981 pp. 51–58.
- [5] Peregudov S.A., *On  $\sqcap$ -uniform bases and  $\pi$ -bases*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 1055–1059.

- [6] Peregudov S.A., Shapirovskii B.E., *A class of compact spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 1296–1300.
- [7] Shapirovskii B.E., *On  $\pi$ -character and  $\pi$ -weight of bicomact* (in Russian), DAN SSSR **4 [223]** (1975), 799–802.

STATE ACADEMY OF MANAGEMENT, RJAZANSKIJ PROSPEKT 99, MOSCOW, RUSSIA 109 542

*E-mail:* matem@acman.msk.su

(Received August 30, 1996)