

Decaying positive solutions of some quasilinear differential equations

TADIE

Abstract. The existence of decaying positive solutions in \mathbb{R}_+ of the equations (E_λ) and (E_λ^1) displayed below is considered. From the existence of such solutions for the subhomogeneous cases (i.e. $t^{1-p}F(r, tU, t|U'|) \searrow 0$ as $t \nearrow \infty$), a super-sub-solutions method (see §2.2) enables us to obtain existence theorems for more general cases.

Keywords: quasilinear elliptic, integral operators, fixed points theory

Classification: 35J70, 35J65, 34C10

1. Introduction

Let $F \in C([0, \infty)^3; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $F_0 \in C([0, \infty)^2; \mathbb{R}_+)$ be such that

$$(f) \quad \begin{cases} F(r, T, S) \leq f(r)T^\gamma (1 + S^q); \\ F_0(r, T) \leq f(r) T^\gamma \\ \text{where } \gamma, q \geq 0; \quad f(r) \simeq r^\theta \text{ at } \infty, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

For $a > 1$ and $p \in (1, a + 1)$, we investigate the existence of $(u, \lambda) \in C^1([0, \infty)) \times (0, \infty)$ which satisfy for $r \geq 0$ the equations

$$(E_\lambda) \quad D_a u + \lambda r^a F^u(r) := (r^a |u'|^{p-2} u')' + \lambda r^a F(r, u, |u'|) = 0$$

$$(E_\lambda^1) \quad \text{and } D_a u + \lambda r^a F_0(r, u) = 0,$$

where u is positive and decaying element of

$$C_{ap}^1 := \{u \in C^1([0, \infty)) \mid r^a |u'|^{p-2} u' \in C^1([0, \infty))\}.$$

For $a = n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such u is a radial solution in \mathbb{R}^n of the p-Laplacian equations

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda F(|x|, u, |\nabla u|) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda F_0(|x|, u) = 0, \text{ respectively.}$$

We show that for $\gamma_0 + q_0 < p - 1$

(i) such solution U exists for

$$(E^0) \quad D_a U + r^a f(r) U^{\gamma_0} (1 + |U'|^{q_0}) = 0, \quad r \geq 0;$$

(ii) there is $\lambda_0 \equiv \lambda(f, p) > 0$ such that

$$(E_{\lambda_0}^0) \quad D_a u + \lambda_0 r^a f(r) u^{\gamma_0} (1 + |u'|^{q_0}) = 0, \quad r \geq 0$$

has such a solution u_0 , say, with $|u_0|_\infty, |u_0'|_\infty \in (0, 1]$.

Using u_0 as a supersolution for (E_λ) , we extend the result to more general cases where $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, $q \geq q_0$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

We will also consider for $\sigma > 0$ and $\theta, \gamma, q \geq 0$ the equation

$$(F_\sigma) \quad D_a V + \frac{\sigma r^a}{(1+r)^\theta} V^\gamma \{1 + |V'|^q\} = 0, \quad r \geq 0$$

in the goal to investigate the existence of solutions in C_{ap}^1 for (F_σ) where F satisfies

$$(f_\theta) \quad 0 \leq F(r, T, S) \leq (1+r)^{-\theta} T^\gamma (1+S^q).$$

It is important to note that the usual condition $F(r, u, 0) \not\equiv 0$ found in the literature for the decaying solutions ([7], [8]) is not required here as the use of a sub-super-solutions method enables us to circumvent that condition.

In the sequel the following notations and conventions will be used:

$$\mu := 1/(p-1); \quad t_* := \max\{1, t\}; \quad \int \phi := \int \phi(s) ds;$$

$$(1.0) \quad \begin{cases} w(t) := (1+t)^{-m}, & m = \mu b, \quad b \in (0, a+1-p] \\ \forall R > 0, & |u|_R := |u|_{C([0, R])} \text{ and } \psi(t) := w(t)^\gamma f(t). \end{cases}$$

C or c will denote generic positive constants.

The main results are the following:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $(\gamma_0 + q_0) < p - 1$ and that*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi(s) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_0 < (p-1) \left\{ \frac{b+p+\theta}{b} \right\}.$$

(1) *Then (E^0) has a decaying positive solution $U \in C_{ap}^1$ such that at ∞ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad U(r) \leq C r^{-m} \quad (U(r) \simeq r^{-m} \text{ if } b = a + 1 - p).$$

Moreover $\exists \lambda_0 \equiv \lambda(f, p) > 0$ such that $(E_{\lambda_0}^0)$ has a similar solution u_0 , say, with $|u_0|_\infty, |u_0'|_\infty \in (0, 1]$.

(2) *For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ and $q \geq q_0$, (E_λ) has a decaying positive solution $u \in C_{ap}^1$ which satisfies (1.2).*

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\theta \in [0, p]$. If

$$(1.3) \quad \gamma > \frac{(p-1)\{a+1-\theta\}}{a+1-p},$$

then $\forall q \geq 0$, (F_σ) has a decaying positive solution $V \in C_{ap}^1$ and for $\tau > 1$ such that $\gamma = (p-1)[a+1-p+\tau(p-\theta)]/(a+1-p)$, at ∞

$$(1.4) \quad V(r) \leq C r^{-(a+1-p)/\tau(p-1)},$$

provided that σ is small enough e.g.

$$(1.5) \quad 0 < \sigma < \left\{ \max\left(1, \frac{a+1-p}{\tau(p-1)}\right) \right\}^{\gamma+1-p} \left(\frac{a+1-p}{\tau}\right)^p (p-1)^{1-p} (\tau-1).$$

In particular if

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma \geq \gamma_1 := \{p^2 + (p-1)(a+1-p-\theta)\}/(a+1-p),$$

then $\forall q \geq 0$ and $0 < 2\sigma < \sigma_1 := (a+1)\{\frac{a+1-p}{p-1}\}^{\gamma_1}$,

(F_σ) has such a solution V with $V(r) \simeq r^{-(a+1-p)/(p-1)}$ at ∞ .

Theorem 3. (1) If $\gamma_0(p-1) < 1$ and (1.1) holds, then $\forall \lambda > 0$ and $\gamma = \gamma_0$, (E_λ^1) has a decaying positive solution $u_\lambda \in C_{ap}^1$ which satisfies (1.2).

There is $\lambda_0 \equiv \lambda(f, p) > 0$ such that $(E_{\lambda_0}^1)$ has such a solution u with $|u|_\infty, |u'|_\infty \in (0, 1]$.

For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, (E_λ^1) has a decaying solution in C_{ap}^1 which satisfies (1.2).

(2) Let $\theta \in [0, p]$; for $\gamma > (p-1)(a+1-\theta)/(a+1-p)$ and $\tau > 1$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \gamma = (p-1) \frac{a+1-p+\tau(p-\theta)}{a+1-p}$$

and $0 < \lambda \leq \{\frac{a+1-p}{\tau}\}^p (p-1)^{1-p} (\tau-1)$,

(E_λ^1) has a decaying positive solution $u \in C_{ap}^1$ which satisfies (1.4). In particular if $0 \leq F_0(r, u) \leq u^\gamma/(1+r)^\theta$, $\lambda \leq \{(a+1-p)/\tau\}^p (p-1)^{1-p} (\tau-1)$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma_1$, it has such a solution u such that $u(r) \simeq r^{-(a+1-p)/(p-1)}$ at ∞ .

Remarks 4. (1) In Theorem 1, when $p \geq 2$, θ has to be less than $-p$ and even for this case the existence of solutions for $\gamma > p-1$ is an extension of the known results ([7], [8]).

(2) As concerned (E_λ^1) with F_0 in (f) and $a = n-1$, radial solutions in $C^1([0, \infty)) \cap C^2((0, \infty))$ are known to exist ([3]) for

$$\gamma \geq \frac{(p-1)n+p}{n-p} \quad \text{if } \theta = 0; \quad \gamma > \frac{(p-1)n+p(1+\theta)}{n-p} \quad \text{if } \theta \in (-p, 0);$$

$$p-1 < \gamma < \frac{(p-1)n+p}{n-p} \quad \text{if } \theta < -p;$$

$$\gamma < p-1 \quad \text{with } \theta < -p \quad ([6]).$$

So, the existence of solutions of (E_λ^1) in C_{ap}^1 for $\gamma > \frac{(p-1)(n-\theta)}{n-p}$ and $\theta \in [0, p]$ provided by Theorem 3 seems to be new.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Properties of some integrals.

Define

$$(2.1) \quad J(t) := \int_t^\infty \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) \right)^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad K(t) := J(t)/w(t);$$

$$(2.2a) \quad \nu := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = a + 1 - p \\ a + 1 - p - b & \text{if } b \in (0, a + 1 - p); \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2b) \quad \Psi_0 := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m} \left(\int_0^1 s^a \psi \right)^\mu & \text{if } b = a + 1 - p \\ \frac{p-1}{a+1-p} \left\{ \int_0^1 s^a \psi(s) \right\}^\mu & \text{if } b < a + 1 - p; \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2c) \quad \Psi_1 := 2^m \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^r \psi \right)^\mu + \frac{1}{m} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi \right)^\mu \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi(s) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma > (p-1) \frac{(b+p+\theta)}{b},$$

where $b \in (0, a + 1 - p]$, then $\forall t \geq 0$

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi_0 t_*^{-\nu/(p-1)} \leq K(t) \leq \Psi_1;$$

$$(2.5) \quad |J(t)'| \leq \left(\int_0^\infty (1 + s^{b+p-1}) \psi \right)^\mu t_*^{-m-1} := \Psi^1 t_*^{-m-1}.$$

PROOF: $J(t) = \int_t^\infty r^{-m-1} \{r^{-a+b+p-1} \int_0^r s^a \psi\}^\mu \leq \int_t^\infty r^{-m-1} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi \right)^\mu$
on one hand and

$J(t) \leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^r \psi \right)^\mu + \int_1^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi \right)^\mu$ on the other hand; the right hand side of (2.4) then follows from the fact that $(1+t)^m t_*^{-m} \leq 2^m$.

$0 \leq -J(t)' \leq t^{-m-1} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{b+p-1} \psi \right)^\mu$ on one hand and

$|J(t)'| \leq \left(\int_0^\infty \psi \right)^\mu$ on the other hand; (2.5) is obtained.

$J(t) = \int_t^\infty r^{-a\mu} \left(\int_0^r s^a \psi(s) \right)^\mu \geq \left(\int_0^1 s^a \psi(s) \right)^\mu \int_t^\infty r^{-a\mu} dr$ for $t \geq 1$ and for $t < 1$, $J(t) \geq J(1)$. So

$J(t) \geq \Psi_0 t_*^{-(a+1-p)/(p-1)}$ whence $K(t) \geq \Psi_0 t_*^{-\nu/(p-1)}$.

The left hand side of (2.4) is then obtained. □

For $B > A > 0$ define for $C^1 := C^1([0, \infty))$

$$(2.6) \quad E := E(A, B) =$$

$$\{v \in C^1; A \leq v \leq B; |(wv)'| \leq B t_*^{-m-1}\} \quad \text{if } b = a + 1 - p,$$

$$\{v \in C^1; 0 \leq v \leq B; V \geq A \text{ in } [0, 1]; |(wv)'| \leq B t_*^{-m-1}\} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Define the operator G on E by

$$(2.7) \quad G\phi(t) := (1+t)^m \int_t^\infty \left\{ r^{-a} \int_0^r s^a \psi(s) \phi(s)^\gamma (1 + |(w\phi)'|^q) \right\}^\mu.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If (2.3) holds, then $G : E \longrightarrow C^1$ is continuous and GE is equicontinuous in C^1 .*

PROOF: With $F_1^u := u^\gamma(1 + |(wu)'|^q)$, $\forall u, v \in E$,
 $\Gamma_1(A) := A^\gamma \leq F_1^u \leq B^\gamma(1+B^q) := \Gamma_2(B)$ and $|F_1^u - F_1^v| \leq C(\gamma, q, A, B)|u-v|_{C^1}$;
 Γ standing for $\Gamma_1(A)$ or $\Gamma_2(B)$ according to the sign of $\mu - 1$,

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) F_1^u(s) \right)^\mu - \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) F_1^v(s) \right)^\mu \right| \\ \leq \mu \{ \Gamma \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi \}^{\mu-1} \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) |F_1^u - F_1^v| \\ \leq C_1(\mu, C, \Gamma) |u - v|_{C^1} \left\{ \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi \right\}^\mu.$$

From (2.8) simple estimations lead to

$$(2.9) \quad |(Gu - Gv)'(t)| + |(Gu - Gv)(t)| \leq C |u - v|_{C^1} \{ |K(t)'| + K(t) \}$$

and the continuity is obtained via Lemma 2.1.

(i) $\forall u \in E$,

$$|(Gu(t))'| \leq \Gamma^\mu \{ (1+t)^m |K(t)'| + m(1+t)^{m-1} K(t) \} \leq C(\Gamma, B, \psi)$$

by Lemma 2.1 whence GE is equicontinuous in $C([0, \infty))$.

(ii) $\forall t > s > 0$ and $u \in E$,

$$|(Gu)'(t) - (Gu)'(s)| \leq \Gamma^\mu \{ |(1+t)^m t^{-a} - (1+s)^m s^{-a}| (\int_0^s y^a \psi(y))^\mu + \\ + m|(1+t)^{m-1} - (1+s)^{m-1}| |K(t) + m(1+s)^{m-1}| |K(t) - K(s)| \} := O(t-s)$$

and $\{(Gu)' \mid u \in E\}$ is equicontinuous in $C([0, \infty))$. The equicontinuity follows from (i) and (ii). □

2.2 A super-sub-solutions method.

Consider for $h \in C([0, \infty)^3; \mathbb{R}_+)$

$$(H) \quad H(v) := D_a v + r^a h^v(r) \equiv (r^a |v'|^{p-1} v')' + r^a h(r, v, |v'|) = 0.$$

Definition 2.3. (1) Let $v \in C^1([0, \infty))$ be piecewise C^2 . v will be said to be a **supersolution (subsolution)** of (H) if

$$H(v) \leq (\geq) 0 \quad \forall \text{ a.e. } r \geq 0.$$

(2) $w, v \in C^1([0, \infty))$ piecewise C^2 will be said to be **H-compatible** if

$$\forall \text{ a.e. } r \geq 0 \quad 0 \leq w(r) \leq v(r); \quad v'(r) \leq w'(r) \leq 0; \quad H(v) \leq 0 \leq H(w).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that h^u is non decreasing in u and $|u'|$. Let $w, v \in C^1([0, \infty))$ be H-compatible with $|v|_{C^1} \equiv |v|_{C^1([0, \infty))} < \infty$. Then*

$D_a V + r^a h^v(r) := (r^a |V'|^{p-2} V')' + r^a h^v(r) = 0$ and $D_a W + r^a h^w(r) = 0$
have solutions $V, W \in C_{ap}^1$ such that $\forall r \geq 0$,

$$(2.10) \quad w \leq W \leq V \leq v \quad \text{and} \quad v' \leq V' \leq W' \leq w' \leq 0.$$

PROOF: The existence of solutions of the equations in the lemma is in no doubt in view of the hypotheses on v . We are going to indicate how to construct those which satisfy (2.10). Define the sequences

$$v_n(r) = \begin{cases} v(n) + I_n v(r) & \text{for } r < n \\ v(r) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $I_n v(r) := \int_r^n (\int_0^t (s/t)^a h^v)^\mu$, $\mu := 1/(p-1)$.

$$D_a v_n + r^a h^v(r) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_n = [0, n]; \quad V_n = v \quad \text{for } r \geq n.$$

w_n are defined from w in the same way.

$$\text{In } B_n, \quad v_n(r)' = -(\int_0^r (s/r)^a h^v)^\mu \leq -(\int_0^r (s/r)^a h^w)^\mu = w_n(r)'$$

As $v', (v_n)' \leq 0$ in B_n , $\{r^a[|(v_n)'|^{p-1} - |v'|^{p-1}]\}' \leq 0$ whence

$v' \leq (v_n)' \leq (w_n)'$ there. Thus $w_n \leq v_n \leq v$ as $v(n) = v_n(n) \geq w(n) = w_n(n)$.

Similarly in B_n , $w \leq w_n$ and $(w_n)' \leq w'$. So, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad w \leq w_n \leq v_n \leq v$ and $v' \leq (v_n)' \leq (w_n)' \leq w' \leq 0$.

So, $\forall M > 0$ and $B_M := [0, M)$,

$$n > M \implies |w_n|_{C^1(\overline{B_M})} \leq |v|_{C^1} \quad \text{and} \quad |v_n|_{C^1(\overline{B_M})} \leq |v|_{C^1}$$

whence (w_n) and (v_n) have subsequences (\bar{w}_n) and (\bar{v}_n) say, which converge in $C^1(\overline{B_M})$ to W_M and V_M say, such that for some $w(M) \leq a_M \leq b_M \leq v(M)$, in $B_M \quad W_M(r) = a_M + I_M w(r)$ and $V_M(r) = b_M + I_M v(r)$.

In the same way $(\bar{w}_n)_{n>2M}$ and $(\bar{v}_n)_{n>2M}$ have subsequences which converge in $C^1(\overline{B_{2M}})$ to W_{2M} and V_{2M} say, and $W_{2M}|_{B_M} = W_M, \quad V_{2M}|_{B_M} = V_M$.

W and V are obtained as inductive limit of $(W_{kM})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(V_{kM})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ([5]). \square

Theorem 2.5. (1) Suppose that the hypotheses on w and v in the Lemma 2.4 hold. Then (H) has a solution $\phi \in C_{ap}^1$ such that $w \leq \phi \leq v$.

(2) The existence of such a positive and decreasing supersolution v for (H) is sufficient for the existence of a non trivial solution $u \in C_{ap}^1$ of (H) such that $0 \leq u \leq v$.

PROOF: (1) Define on $E = \{\phi \in C^1([0, \infty)) \mid w \leq \phi \leq v \text{ and } v' \leq \phi' \leq w'\}$ the operator I by $I\phi(t) := A + \int_t^\infty (\int_0^r (s/r)^a h^\phi(s))^\mu$ where $A := \lim_{\infty} v(r)$.

(a) Let $\Phi = I\phi$ for $\phi \in E$;

$h^w \leq h^\phi \leq h^v$ whence using the same arguments as in Lemma 2.4,

$IE \subset E$ as $W \leq \Phi \leq V$ and $V' \leq \Phi' \leq W'$, W and V being those in that lemma.

(b) The continuity of $I : E \rightarrow E$ is easy to verify, following the same steps (with slight modifications) as for Lemma 2.2.

(c) IE is equicontinuous as: (i) $\forall \phi \in E$ and $t > s > 0$,

$$(2.11) \quad |\Phi'(t) - \Phi'(s)|$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{t^a - s^a}{t^a} \left(\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s r h^v \right) + \frac{1}{t} \int_s^t r h^v \right\}^\mu & \text{if } \mu \leq 1, \\ \mu \left(\frac{1}{s^a} \int_0^t r^a h^v \right)^{\mu-1} \left\{ \frac{t^a - s^a}{t^a} \left(\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s r h^v \right) + \frac{1}{t} \int_s^t r h^v \right\} & \text{if } \mu > 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\{\Phi' \mid \phi \in E\}$ is equicontinuous as a subset of $C([0, \infty))$;

(ii) $|\Phi'(t)| \leq |v'|_\infty$ whence IE is equicontinuous as a subset of $C([0, \infty))$.

As E is a closed and convex subset of C^1 , the three reasons enable us to apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem to I ; I has a fixed point in E which is such a solution.

(2) For $\sigma \geq \mu(2a - p)$ and $z(r) = r^{-\sigma}$ in $D = [1, \infty)$, $D_a z > 0$ in D . Let $\rho > 0$ be such that $z < v/2$ and $v' \leq z' \leq 0$ for $r > \rho$. Define z_1 and z_2 by

$$z_1(r) = \begin{cases} z(\rho) & \text{for } r \leq \rho \\ z(r) & \text{for } r > \rho \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r \leq \rho \\ |z'(r)| & \text{for } r > \rho. \end{cases}$$

For $h_1^z := h(r, z_1, z_2)$, the function Z constructed from v as W in Lemma 2.4 with h_1^z replacing h^v is such that Z, v are H-compatible and (1) applies. \square

Without any extra difficulties, Definition 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 apply to (H) where rather $h \in C([0, \infty)^2; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $h(r, u)$ non decreasing in $u \geq 0$.

3. Proofs of the main theorems

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Let E be that in (2.6). $\forall \phi \in E$,

$$G\phi(t) = (1+t)^m \int_t^\infty \left\{ \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) \phi(s) \gamma_0 (1 + |(w\phi)'|^{q_0})^\mu \leq B^{\mu\gamma_0} (1 + B^{q_0})^\mu K(t) \leq B^{\mu\gamma_0} (1 + B^{q_0})^\mu \Psi_1 \text{ by (2.4).} \right.$$

$$\left. |(wG\phi)'(t)| \leq B^{\mu\gamma_0} (1 + B^{q_0})^\mu |J(t)'| \leq \Psi^1 B^{\mu\gamma_0} (1 + B^{q_0})^\mu \text{ by (2.5).} \right.$$

For $t \in [0, 1]$ if $b < a + 1 - p$,

$$G\phi(t) \geq \int_1^\infty \left\{ \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^a \psi(s) \phi(s) \gamma_0 \right\}^\mu \geq A^{\mu\gamma_0} J(1) \geq A^{\mu\gamma_0} \frac{1}{m} \left(\int_0^1 s^a \psi \right)^\mu := N_2 A^{\mu\gamma_0}$$

and for $b = a + 1 - p$ similar lower bound is obtained $\forall t \geq 0$.

$GE \subset E$ if we can find $B > A > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \{B^{\gamma_0} (1 + B^{q_0})\}^\mu (\Psi^1 + \Psi_1) \leq B \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 A^{\mu\gamma_0} \geq A.$$

Because $\mu(\gamma_0 + q_0) < 1$, in $\{(x, y); x > 0, y > 0\}$ the curve of $y = x$ lies above that of $y = \{x^{\gamma_0} (1 + x^{q_0})\}^\mu (\Psi^1 + \Psi_1)$ for

$$x \geq x_0 \equiv x_0(\Psi^1, \Psi_1, \gamma_0, q_0). \text{ Also } N_2 A^{\mu\gamma_0} \geq A \text{ for } A \geq A_0 := A_0(N_2) \text{ as } \mu\gamma_0 < 1.$$

So, with $A_1 := \min\{x_0, A_0\}$,

$\forall (A, B) \in (0, A_1] \times [x_0, \infty)$, (3.1) holds and for such A and B , $GE \subset E$.

In that case, as from Lemma 2.2 G is continuous on E and GE equicontinuous in E , G has a fixed point ϕ , say, in E as E is a closed and convex subset of C^1 by Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem. $U(t) := w(t)\phi(t)$ is such a required solution.

For the equation $(E_{\lambda_0}^0)$, with $B = 1$, (3.1) reads

$$(3.1a) \quad (2\lambda_0)^\mu (\Psi^1 + \Psi_1) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 \lambda_0^\mu A^{\mu\gamma_0} \geq A.$$

So, for $\lambda_0 = (1/2)(\Psi^1 + \Psi_1)^{-1/\mu}$ and some $A \in (0, 1)$, we obtain U_0 as U obtained above.

For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ and $q \geq q_0$ U_0 is a supersolution of (E_λ) and Theorem 2.5 applies.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2. From Theorem 2.5, it suffices to find a supersolution of the problem in C^1 . Define

$$(3.3) \quad v(r) := (1 + r^s)^{-\beta}; \quad s > 1; \quad \beta > 0,$$

then for $a > 1$ and $p \in (1, a + 1)$

$$D_a v = -r^a \frac{(s\beta)^{p-1} r^{(s-1)(p-1)-1}}{(1 + r^s)^{\beta(p-1)+p}} \{(s-1)(p-1) + a + r^s(a+1-p-s\beta(p-1))\}.$$

For $s = p/(p-1)$ and $\beta = (a+1-p)/\tau p$, $\tau > 1$,

$$(3.4) \quad D_a v + r^a \left\{ \frac{a+1-p}{\tau(p-1)} \right\}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{a+1 + [(a+1-p)(\tau-1)/\tau] r^s}{(1+r^s)^{\beta(p-1)+p}} \right\} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$D_a v + \left\{ \frac{a+1-p}{\tau} \right\}^p (p-1)^{1-p} (\tau-1) r^a (1+r^s)^{-(p-1)(\beta+1)} \leq 0$$

whence $\forall \theta \geq 0$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} D_a v + D \frac{r^a v^\gamma}{(1+r)^\theta} \leq 0, & r \geq 0 \\ \forall \gamma \geq \gamma(\tau, \theta) := (p-1) \frac{a+1-p+\tau(p-\theta)}{a+1-p}; \\ D := D(a, p, \tau) = \left(\frac{a+1-p}{\tau} \right)^p (p-1)^{1-p} (\tau-1). \end{cases}$$

For $v_0 = \max\{1, \frac{a+1-p}{\tau(p-1)}\}$ and $V(r) = v(r)/v_0$, $V(r), |V(r)'| \in [0, 1] \quad \forall r \geq 0$ hence

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \forall \gamma \geq \gamma(\tau, \theta), \sigma \in (0, v_0^{1+\gamma-p} D/2] \text{ and } q \geq 0 \\ D_a V + \sigma \frac{r^a V^\gamma}{(1+r)^\theta} (1 + |V'|^q) \leq 0, & r \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

V is then a supersolution of (F_σ) . The proof is completed by the fact that $\forall \gamma > (p-1)(a+1-p+\tau(p-\theta))/(a+1-p)$ and $\theta \leq p$, there is $\tau > 1$ such that $\gamma = \gamma(\tau, \theta)$. For $\tau = 1$ in (3.4) and $v_0 = (a+1-p)/(p-1)$, (3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} D_a V + \frac{\sigma r^a V^\gamma}{(1+r)^\theta} (1 + |V'|^q) \leq 0, & r \geq 0 \\ \forall q \geq 0, \quad \sigma < \sigma_1 \text{ and } \gamma \geq \gamma_1. \end{cases}$$

The proof is completed by Theorem 2.5.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3. (1) Adapting the proof of Theorem 1 to (E_λ^1) , we see that $GE \subset E$ if for any $\lambda > 0$, there are $B > A > 0$ such that

$$\lambda^\mu B^{\mu\gamma_0} (\Psi^1 + \Psi_1) \leq B \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^\mu A^{\mu\gamma_0} N_2 \geq A;$$

the fact that $\mu\gamma_0 < 1$ ensures the existence of such A and B .

As $\mu\gamma_0 < 1$, this part of (1) follows the same process as for Theorem 1. In the same manner, the part (2) of the theorem is obtained by a simple adaptation of the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hardy G.H et al., *Inequalities*, Cambridge Press, 1934.
- [2] Istratescu V.I., *Fixed Point Theory*, Math. and its Appl., Reidel Publ., 1981.
- [3] Kawano N., Yanagida E., Yotsutani S., *Structure theorems for positive radial solutions to $\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{m-2}Du) + K(|x|)u^q = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **45** no. 4 (1993), 719–742.
- [4] Kusano T., Swanson C.A., *Radial entire solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J.D.E. **83** (1990), 379–399.
- [5] Tadie, *Weak and classical positive solutions of some elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$: radially symmetric cases*, Quart. J. Oxford **45** (1994), 397–406.
- [6] Tadie, *Subhomogeneous and singular quasilinear Emden-type ODE*, to appear.
- [7] Yasuhiro F., Kusano T., Akio O., *Symmetric positive entire solutions of second order quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **127** (1994), 231–254.
- [8] Yin Xi Huang, *Decaying positive entire solutions of the p -Laplacian*, Czech. Math. J. **45** no. 120 (1995), 205–220.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, 2100 COPENHAGEN, DENMARK

E-mail: tad@math.ku.dk

(Received March 3, 1997)