

## On the selector of twin functions

MARIAN TURZAŃSKI

*Abstract.* A theorem is proved which could be considered as a bridge between the combinatorics which have a beginning in the dyadic spaces theory and the partition calculus.

*Keywords:* twin functions, selector of twin functions, transfixed selector

*Classification:* 04A20

The aim of this paper is to prove a pure set-theoretical theorem which could be considered as a bridge between the combinatorics which have a beginning in the dyadic spaces theory (see [1]) and partition calculus (see [3]). As an application of this theorem, the proofs of Erdős-Rado Theorem [2], Strong Sequences Theorem [5] and the Bolzano-Weierstrass Method [4] will be given.

The Erdős-Rado Theorem has been used several times for proving important theorems (for more information see [3]). The same we can say about the role of the strong sequences theorem in dyadic spaces theory (see [1], [6]).

### Main theorem

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\phi$  be an ordinal.

A pair  $(F, G)$  of two multifunctions

$$\begin{aligned} G &: \phi \longrightarrow 2^X \\ F &: X \longrightarrow 2^\phi \end{aligned}$$

such that

(\*) for any conditions  $\beta < \alpha < \phi$  there exists  $b \in G(\beta)$  such that  $\alpha \in F(b)$

is said to be **twin functions**.

A map  $g : K \longrightarrow X$ ,  $K \subset \phi$ , is said to be a **selector of twin functions** if

- (1) for any  $\beta \in K$  there is  $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$ ,
- (2) for any  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ ;  $\beta < \alpha < \phi$  implies  $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$ .

Fix twin functions  $(F, G)$ . The main result of this note is the following:

**Theorem.** *If  $\phi = (\kappa^\lambda)^+$  and  $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$  for each  $\alpha < \phi$  then there is a selector  $g : K \rightarrow X$  of the twin functions such that  $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$ .*

A selector  $g : K \rightarrow X$  is said to be **transfixed** if there is an  $\alpha > \sup K$  with

$$\alpha \in \bigcap \{F(g(\beta)) : \beta \in K\}.$$

Denote by  $\alpha(g)$  the least ordinal of this property.

For a given  $\lambda$  let us denote by  $\lambda^*$ ,  $\lambda < \lambda^* \leq \phi$ , the ordinal having the following property:

- (I) if  $g : K \rightarrow X$  is a transfixed selector such that  $K \subset \lambda^*$ ,  $\text{card}(K) \leq \lambda$ , then  $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\lambda^* < \phi$ , then there is a selector  $g : K \rightarrow X$  of the twin functions such that  $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$ .*

PROOF: Consider the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of all transfixed selectors  $g : K \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\lambda^* \in \bigcap \{F(g(\beta)) : \beta \in K, K \subset \lambda^*, \text{card}(K) \leq \lambda\}$$

with the partial ordering

$$g_1 \leq g_2 \text{ if } \text{dom } g_1 \subset \text{dom } g_2 \text{ and } g_2 \upharpoonright \text{dom } g_1 = g_1.$$

The set  $\mathcal{K}$  is non-empty because for each  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  in view of (\*) we have  $\lambda^* \in F(G(\alpha))$ . Hence there exists  $a \in G(\alpha)$  such that  $\lambda^* \in F(a)$ . It is clear that the map  $g : \{\alpha\} \rightarrow a$ ,  $g(\alpha) = a$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Let us observe that there are no maximal elements in  $\mathcal{K}$ . To see this, fix  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $g : K \rightarrow X$  and define  $g_1 : K_1 \rightarrow X$  with  $K_1 = K \cup \{\alpha(g)\}$ ,  $g_1(\beta) = g(\beta)$  for  $\beta \in K$  and  $g_1(\alpha(g)) = x$ , where according to the condition (\*) one can find  $x \in G(\alpha(g))$  such that  $\lambda^* \in F(x)$ . Since  $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$ , the map  $g_1 : K \rightarrow X$  is well defined,  $g_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $g_1 \neq g$  and  $g \leq g_1$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$  be a chain. Denote by  $g_{\mathcal{L}} : K_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow X$  a selector such that  $K_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigcup \{\text{dom } g : g \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and  $g_{\mathcal{L}} \upharpoonright \text{dom } g = g$ . Observe that if  $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda$ , then  $g_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since there are no maximal elements in  $\mathcal{K}$ , by the Zorn Lemma there is a chain  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{L})$ . It is clear that  $g_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a selector with  $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(\text{dom } g_{\mathcal{L}})$ . □

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$ , then for each  $\lambda$  such that  $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \leq \phi$  we have  $\lambda^* < \phi$ .*

PROOF: Let us observe that if  $(G, F)$  are twin functions, then for each  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  such that  $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \leq \phi$ , the system  $(G, F)$ , for which we take  $\phi = (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ , is a system of twin functions.

Consider the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all transfixed selectors. By induction we shall define an increasing sequence of ordinals  $\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1<sup>o</sup>  $\lambda_0 = \lambda$ ,
- 2<sup>o</sup> if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal then  $\lambda_\alpha = \sup\{\lambda_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ ,
- 3<sup>o</sup> if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  then  $\lambda_\alpha = \sup\{\alpha(g) : g \in \mathcal{M}, \text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta, \text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda\} + 1$ .

Let us put  $\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ . To see that  $\lambda^* < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ , let us observe that if  $\lambda_\beta < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$  then the set

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta = \{g \in \mathcal{M} : \text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta, \text{ card}(g) \leq \lambda\}$$

has cardinality less or equal to  $\kappa^\lambda$ . Therefore  $\lambda_{\beta+1} < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ .

Now let us verify that if  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda$  and  $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda^*$ , then  $\alpha(g) < \lambda^*$ . Indeed, if  $\text{card}(\text{dom } g) \leq \lambda$ ,  $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda^*$ , then there is  $\beta < \lambda^+$  such that  $\text{dom } g \subset \lambda_\beta$ . By our construction we have  $\alpha < \lambda_{\beta+1} < \lambda^*$ .  $\square$

The Theorem is an easy corollary of Lemmas 1 and 2.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: From Lemma 2 it follows that  $\lambda^* < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ . Hence, by Lemma 1, there exists a selector  $g : K \rightarrow X$  of the twin functions such that  $\text{card}(K) \geq \lambda^+$ .  $\square$

### Applications

We shall prove the following theorem of P. Erdős and R. Rado [2]. By  $[X]^2$  denote the family of all exactly two points subsets of  $X$ .

**Theorem** (Erdős-Rado [2]). *Suppose  $\lambda$  is an infinite cardinal number and  $F$  is a partition of  $[X]^2$  of cardinality not greater than  $\lambda$ . If the cardinality of the set  $X$  is greater than  $2^\lambda$ , then there exists a subset  $\Gamma \subset X$  of cardinality greater than  $\lambda$  such that the family  $[\Gamma]^2$  is contained in some element of  $F$ .*

PROOF: Order well the elements of  $F$  into the size  $\lambda$ , i.e.  $F = \{F_\beta : \beta < \lambda\}$ . Order well the set  $X$  into the size  $(2^\lambda)^+$ , i.e.  $X = \{\alpha : \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+\}$ . For each  $\alpha < (2^\lambda)^+$  let  $F_\gamma(\alpha) = \{\beta : \{\alpha, \beta\} \in F_\gamma\}$ . Let  $Z = \{\{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} : \alpha < (2^\lambda)^+ \text{ and } \gamma < \lambda\}$ .

Let us define the functions

$$G : (2^\lambda)^+ \rightarrow 2^Z; \alpha \mapsto \{\{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} : \gamma < \lambda\}$$

and

$$F : Z \rightarrow 2^{(2^\lambda)^+} : \{F_\gamma(\alpha)\} \mapsto F_\gamma(\alpha).$$

We shall show that  $(F, G)$  are twin functions. For this purpose, take  $\beta < \alpha$ ,

$$G(\beta) = \{\{F_\gamma\} : \gamma < \lambda\} \text{ and } \bigcup \{\{F_\gamma(\beta) : \{F_\gamma(\beta)\} \in G(\beta)\} = (2^\lambda)^+ \setminus \{\beta\}.$$

Hence we have  $\alpha \in F_\gamma(\beta) = F(\{F_\gamma(\beta)\})$  for some  $\gamma < \lambda$ . Hence, by the Theorem there exists a selector  $g : K \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(K)$ . From this it follows that there exist  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $\Gamma \subset K$ ,  $\text{card}(\Gamma) = \lambda^+$  such that  $g(\beta) = \{F_\gamma(\beta)\}$  for each  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Hence for each  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  from  $\Gamma$ , the condition  $\beta < \alpha$  implies that  $\alpha \in F_\gamma(\beta)$ . This means that for each  $\alpha, \beta$  from  $\Gamma$  we have  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in F_\gamma$ . Hence  $[\Gamma]^2 \subset F_\gamma$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $r \subset [X]^{<\omega} \times [X]^{<\omega}$ . Let  $S_\phi$  be a finite subset of  $X$  and  $H_\phi \subset X$  for  $\phi < \alpha$ .

**Definition.** A sequence  $(S_\phi, H_\phi); \phi < \alpha$  is called a **strong sequence** if

- 1° for each  $T, S \in [S_\phi \cup H_\phi]^{<\omega}$  there is  $TrS$ ,
- 2° for each  $\beta > \phi$  there exist  $T, S \in [S_\beta \cup H_\beta]^{<\omega}$  such that  $\sim (TrS)$ .

**Theorem** (On strong sequences [1], [5], [6]). Let  $X$  be a set and  $r$  be a relation on  $[X]^{<\omega}$ . Let  $(S_\phi, H_\phi); \phi < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$  be a strong sequence such that  $card(H_\phi) \leq \kappa$  for each  $\phi < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ . Then there exists a strong sequence  $(S_\phi, T_\phi); \phi < \lambda^+$ , where  $card(T_\phi) < \omega$  for each  $\phi < \lambda^+$ .

PROOF: For each  $H_\phi$  let

$$G(\phi) = \{T : T \subset H_\phi, card(T) < \omega$$

and there exists  $\beta > \phi$  such that  $\sim (TrS_\beta)\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{T : T \in G(\phi) \text{ for some } \phi\}$ . Let us define the functions:

$$G : (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \longrightarrow 2^{\mathcal{X}} : \phi \longmapsto G(\phi)$$

and

$$F : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow 2^{(\kappa^\lambda)^+} : T \longmapsto \{\beta : \sim (TrS_\beta)\}.$$

We shall show that  $(F, G)$  are twin functions. Let  $\beta < \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ , then there exists  $T \in G(\beta)$  such that  $\sim (TrS_\alpha)$ . Hence  $\alpha \in F(T)$ . By the theorem there exists a selector  $g : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \lambda^+ \leq card(K)$  such that

- 1° for each  $\beta \in K$  we have  $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$ ,
- 2° for each  $\alpha, \beta \in K; \beta < \alpha$  implies  $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$ .

By 1° we have that  $g(\beta) \in [H_\beta]^{<\omega}$ . By 2° we have that for  $\alpha > \beta, \sim (S_\alpha r g(\beta))$ . Hence  $(S_\alpha, g(\alpha)); \alpha \in K$  is a strong sequence. □

In [4] the following theorem has been proved.

**Theorem** (The Bolzano-Weierstrass Method). Suppose  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  are cardinal numbers such that  $\kappa > 1$  and  $\lambda$  is infinite. Assume that  $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+\}$  is a set of different indexed points. If for any  $\alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$  the family

$$F_{y_\alpha} = \{F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) : \beta < \kappa\}$$

consists of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $X$  such that

$$(*) \quad \bigcup F_{y_\alpha} \cup \{y_\alpha\} \subset \bigcap \{ \bigcup F_{y_\gamma} : \gamma < \alpha \},$$

then there exist a function  $f : \lambda^+ \longrightarrow \kappa$  and an indexed subset  $\{p_\gamma : \gamma < \lambda^+\} \subset Y$  such that any condition  $\beta < \tau < \lambda^+$  implies  $p_\tau \in F_{p_\beta}(f(\beta))$ .

PROOF: Let us define the set

$$X = \{F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) : \alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \text{ and } \beta < \kappa\}.$$

Let  $G : (\kappa^\lambda)^+ \longrightarrow 2^X : \alpha \longmapsto \{F_\gamma(\alpha) : \gamma < \kappa\}$  and let  $F : X \longrightarrow 2^{(\kappa^\lambda)^+} : F_{y_\alpha}(\beta) \longmapsto \{\gamma : y_\gamma \in F_{y_\alpha}(\beta)\}$ .

We shall show that  $(F, G)$  are twin functions. By  $(*)$  we have that for each  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $y_\alpha \in \bigcup F_{y_\beta}$ . Hence  $y_\alpha \in F_{y_\beta}(\gamma)$  for some  $\gamma < \kappa$ . Then  $\alpha \in F(F_{y_\beta}(\gamma))$ . We have  $\text{card}(G(\alpha)) \leq \kappa$  for each  $\alpha < (\kappa^\lambda)^+$ . Then, by the theorem, there exists a selector  $g : K \longrightarrow X$ ,  $\lambda^+ \leq \text{card}(K)$  such that

1<sup>o</sup> for each  $\beta \in K$  there is  $g(\beta) \in G(\beta)$

and

2<sup>o</sup> for each  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  the condition  $\beta < \alpha$  implies  $\alpha \in F(g(\beta))$ .

From this it follows that

for each  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $\alpha \in \bigcap F(g(\beta))$ , where  $\beta \in K$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ .

The selector  $g : K \longrightarrow X$  and any increasing map  $h$  from  $\lambda^+$  into  $K$  define a map  $f : \lambda^+ \longrightarrow \kappa$  in the following way:  $f(\beta) = \gamma$  if  $g(h(\beta)) = F_{y_{h(\beta)}}(\gamma)$  and a set  $\{p_\gamma : \gamma < \lambda^+, \text{ where } p_\gamma = y_{h(\gamma)}\}$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Efimov B.A., *Dyadic bicompecta*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., Providence, 1967.
- [2] Erdős P., Rado R., *A partition calculus in set theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1956), 427–489.
- [3] Juhasz I., *Cardinal functions in topology*, Mathematical Center Tracts 34, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] Kulpa W., Plewik Sz., Turzański M., *On the Bolzano-Weierstrass Method*, preprint.
- [5] Turzański M., *Strong sequences and the weight of regular spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **33,3** (1992), 557–561.
- [6] Turzański M., *Cantor cubes: chain conditions*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach nr 1612, 1996.

UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI, INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UL. BANKOWA 14, 40–007 KATOWICE,  
POLAND

E-mail: mtturz@gate.math.us.edu.pl

(Received June 23, 1997)