

On a problem of Nogura about the product of Fréchet-Urysohn $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -spaces

CAMILLO COSTANTINI

Abstract. Assuming Martin's Axiom, we provide an example of two Fréchet-Urysohn $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -spaces, whose product is a non-Fréchet-Urysohn $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space. This gives a consistent negative answer to a question raised by T. Nogura.

Keywords: Fréchet-Urysohn space, $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space, Martin's Axiom, almost disjoint functions, double iterated power

Classification: Primary 54D55; Secondary 54G15, 54B10, 54D80, 03E50

0. Introduction

The classes of $\langle\alpha_i\rangle$ -spaces, with $1 \leq i \leq 4$, were introduced by Arhangel'skii in [Ar1], to study the product of Fréchet-Urysohn spaces (Arhangel'skii also introduced the class of $\langle\alpha_5\rangle$ -spaces, which turned out to coincide with that of $\langle\alpha_2\rangle$ -spaces: see [No, Theorem 2.1]). Each $\langle\alpha_i\rangle$ -space is also an $\langle\alpha_{i+1}\rangle$ -space for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and each first countable space is an $\langle\alpha_1\rangle$ -space.

The above mentioned paper gave rise, in the following twenty years, to a wide literature, where several problems concerning this kind of spaces are investigated (see, for example, [Do] and related bibliography); often, in these articles, the Fréchet-Urysohn $\langle\alpha_i\rangle$ -spaces are briefly called $\langle\alpha_i$ -FU)-spaces. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, Nogura [No] proved that the product of two $\langle\alpha_i\rangle$ -spaces is still an $\langle\alpha_i\rangle$ -space. Also, the product of an $\langle\alpha_3$ -FU)-space and of a countably compact, regular Fréchet space (which is always an $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space, see [Ol]) is a Fréchet space [Ar2]; this is one of the best results about preservation of the Fréchet property under products. Recall that, without additional assumptions, even the product of two compact (T_2) Fréchet spaces may fail to be Fréchet; the first, celebrated example in ZFC of this fact is due to Simon [Si1].

As for $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -spaces and $\langle\alpha_4$ -FU)-spaces (which coincide with the *strongly Fréchet* spaces — see [Ar2] and the remarks after Theorem 1.4 of [No]), their product is not very well behaved. The product of two $\langle\alpha_4$ -FU)-spaces may fail both to be Fréchet and to be an $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space (cf. [No, Example 1.2 and Theorem 3.10]). Thus, Nogura put the following questions [No, Problem 3.15 and 3.18]:

- (a) Let X and Y be $\langle\alpha_4$ -FU)-spaces. If $X \times Y$ is Fréchet, then is it an $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space?
- (b) Let X and Y be $\langle\alpha_4$ -FU)-spaces. If $X \times Y$ is an $\langle\alpha_4\rangle$ -space, then is it Fréchet?

Very recently, the first question was solved in the negative by Simon, under the Continuum Hypothesis ([Si2]). In this paper, we give under Martin's Axiom (MA) a negative answer to the second question — actually, our X and Y will turn out to be countable (paracompact) T_2 spaces, where each point, except one, is isolated. We point out that, after this paper had been written, a ZFC example for the same problem was found by Simon and the author (see [CS]).

1. Notations and basic facts

Throughout the paper, the left exponentiation ${}^A B$ among sets will denote the set of all functions $f: A \rightarrow B$, while the right exponentiation ξ^κ among cardinals will denote the cardinal number: $|\kappa^\xi|$. The ordered pairs, triples, and so on are denoted, respectively, by $\langle a, b \rangle$, $\langle a, b, c \rangle$, etc. For every function f , we denote by $\text{dom } f$ its domain and by $\text{Im } f$ its image $\{f(x) \mid x \in \text{dom } f\}$.

We say that a topological space X has the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ at a point \bar{x} if for every family $\{\psi_m \mid m \in \omega\}$ of functions from ω to X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_m(n) = \bar{x}$, there exists a $\psi \in {}^\omega X$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(m) = \bar{x}$ and $|\{m \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \psi \cap \text{Im } \psi_m \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$. We say that X is an $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -space if it has the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ at each of its points.

$\tilde{\Phi}$ is the set of all one-to-one functions from ω to ω (throughout the paper, *one-to-one* does not ever involve *onto*, unless explicitly stated). To every $\Phi \subseteq \tilde{\Phi}$ a topological space X_Φ is associated, where $X_\Phi = \omega \cup \{\infty_\Phi\}$, $\infty_\Phi \notin \omega$, the points of ω are isolated and the point ∞_Φ has a local base given by $\{W_\zeta \mid \zeta \in {}^\Phi \omega\}$, with

$$W_\zeta = \{\infty_\Phi\} \cup \{\varphi(n) \mid \varphi \in \Phi \wedge n \geq \zeta(\varphi)\}$$

for every $\zeta \in {}^\Phi \omega$. In particular, it is clear that for every $\varphi \in \Phi$ (and for every subsequence of it) we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty_\Phi$.

Observe that for every $\Phi \subseteq \tilde{\Phi}$, X_Φ is a T_2 paracompact Fréchet space. To prove the latter property, let A be any subset of ω such that $\infty_\Phi \in \overline{A}$. Then for at least one $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Phi$ we have that $|\text{Im } \tilde{\varphi} \cap A| = \omega$ (if, by contradiction, $\forall \varphi \in \Phi: \exists \zeta(\varphi) \in \omega: \forall n \geq \zeta(\varphi): \varphi(n) \notin A$, then W_ζ would be a nbhd of ∞_Φ in X_Φ which does not meet A). Then there is a subsequence φ^* of φ whose image is entirely contained in A , and we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^*(n) = \infty_\Phi$.

Remark 1. It is easy to prove, using an analogous argument, that whenever $\varphi' \in {}^\omega \omega$ is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi'(n) = \infty_\Phi$ in X_Φ , there exists $\varphi \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that $|\text{Im } \varphi' \cap \text{Im } \varphi| = \omega$. We will often use this fact in the sequel.

We say that two elements φ', φ'' of $\tilde{\Phi}$ are *almost disjoint* (briefly, φ' a.d. φ'') if $\text{Im } \varphi'$ and $\text{Im } \varphi''$ are almost disjoint (i.e., if $|\text{Im } \varphi' \cap \text{Im } \varphi''| < \omega$). We say that a subcollection Φ of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is almost disjoint if φ a.d. φ' for distinct $\varphi, \varphi' \in \Phi$. Clearly, φ' a.d. φ'' if and only if $\exists n \in \omega: \{\varphi'(n') \mid n' \geq n\} \cap \text{Im } \varphi'' = \emptyset$.

We denote by Θ the set ${}^\omega \tilde{\Phi}$. For $\vartheta, \theta \in \Theta$ we will often abuse notation and write $\vartheta \circ \theta$ to denote the element of Θ defined by

$$(\vartheta \circ \theta)(m) = (\vartheta(m)) \circ (\theta(m))$$

for every $m \in \omega$. Of course, $|\Theta| = 2^\omega$; in all the paper, we suppose to have fixed a one-to-one indexing

$$\{\theta_\beta \mid \beta \in 2^\omega\}$$

of Θ , and a one-to-one indexing

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \{\hat{j}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega \setminus \omega\}$$

of ${}^\omega\omega$.

2. Auxiliary results

Lemma 2 (MA). *Let $\Phi^* \subseteq \tilde{\Phi}$ be an almost disjoint collection, with $|\Phi^*| = \kappa < 2^\omega$. Suppose to have $\vartheta^0, \vartheta^1 \in \Theta$ such that it is possible to associate to every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ a $\varphi_m^\iota \in \Phi^*$ in such a way that $\langle \iota, m \rangle \mapsto \varphi_m^\iota$ is one-to-one and*

$$\forall \iota \in 2: \forall m \in \omega: \text{Im}(\vartheta^\iota(m)) \subseteq \text{Im} \varphi_m^\iota.$$

Then there exists $j \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that, defining $\varphi^\iota \in {}^\omega\omega$ for $\iota \in 2$ by

$$(1) \quad \varphi^\iota(m) = (\vartheta^\iota(m))(j(m)),$$

we have:

- (a) $\varphi^\iota \in \tilde{\Phi}$ for $\iota = 0, 1$, and $\text{Im} \varphi^0 \cap \text{Im} \varphi^1 = \emptyset$;
- (b) φ^ι a.d. φ for every $\iota \in 2$ and $\varphi \in \Phi^*$.

PROOF: Since $\varphi_{m'}^{\iota'}$ a.d. $\varphi_{m''}^{\iota''}$ for $\langle \iota', m' \rangle \neq \langle \iota'', m'' \rangle$, for every $m \in \omega$ there exists $j^*(m)$ such that $\{\varphi_m^\iota(n) \mid n \geq j^*(m)\} \cap \text{Im} \varphi_{m'}^{\iota'} = \emptyset$ for every $m' \leq m$ and $\langle \iota', m' \rangle \neq \langle \iota, m \rangle$. For every $m \in \omega$, since $\forall \iota \in 2: (\vartheta^\iota(m) \in \tilde{\Phi} \wedge \text{Im}(\vartheta^\iota(m)) \subseteq \text{Im} \varphi_m^\iota)$, there exists $j^*(m) \in \omega$ such that $\forall \iota \in 2: \forall n \geq j^*(m): (\vartheta^\iota(m))(n) \in \{\varphi_m^\iota(n') \mid n' \geq j^*(m)\}$. Putting $j^\sharp = \sup\{j^*, j^*\}$, for every $\langle \iota', m' \rangle, \langle \iota'', m'' \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ with $\langle \iota', m' \rangle \neq \langle \iota'', m'' \rangle$ we will have at the same time:

$$(2) \quad \left\{ (\vartheta^{\iota'}(m'))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m') \right\} \cap \left\{ (\vartheta^{\iota''}(m''))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m'') \right\} = \emptyset$$

and

$$(3) \quad \left\{ (\vartheta^{\iota'}(m'))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m') \right\} \cap \left\{ \varphi_{m''}^{\iota''}(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m'') \right\} = \emptyset.$$

We proceed now to a routine application of MA. Put $\Phi^\sharp = \Phi^* \setminus \{\varphi_m^\iota \mid \langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega\}$ and define a poset $\langle \mathbf{P}, \leq \rangle$ in the following way:

$$\mathbf{P} = \left\{ \langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \mid \mathcal{A} \in [\Phi^\sharp]^{<\omega} \wedge g \in <^\omega\omega \wedge \forall m \in \text{dom } g: g(m) \geq j^\sharp(m) \right\};$$

for $\langle g', \mathcal{A}' \rangle, \langle g'', \mathcal{A}'' \rangle \in \mathbf{P}$, let $\langle g', \mathcal{A}' \rangle \geq \langle g'', \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ if $g' \subseteq g''$, $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}''$ and $\forall \iota \in 2: \forall m \in \text{dom } g'' \setminus \text{dom } g': \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{A}': (\vartheta^\iota(m))(g''(m)) \notin \text{Im } \varphi$.

Observe that for every $g \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ and $\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'' \in [\Phi^\sharp]^{<\omega}$, $\langle g, \mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ is clearly a common extension of $\langle g, \mathcal{A}' \rangle$ and $\langle g, \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$: thus, if $\langle g', \mathcal{A}' \rangle$ and $\langle g'', \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ are incompatible, then $g' \neq g''$; since $|{}^{<\omega}\omega| = \omega$, we have that $\langle \mathbf{P}, \leq \rangle$ is c.c.c.

For every $\varphi \in \Phi^\sharp$ and $m \in \omega$, the set $D_{\varphi, m} = \{\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \in \mathbf{P} \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \wedge m \in \text{dom } g\}$ is dense in \mathbf{P} . Indeed, let $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ be any element of \mathbf{P} : if $m \in \text{dom } g$, then $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \cup \{\varphi\} \rangle$ is an extension of $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ which belongs to $D_{\varphi, m}$. If $m \notin \text{dom } g$, then consider that since $\vartheta^\iota(m)$ a.d. φ' for every $\iota \in 2$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $n^0, n^1 \in \omega$ such that $\forall \iota \in 2: \forall \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}: \{(\vartheta^\iota(m))(n) \mid n \geq n^\iota\} \cap \text{Im } \varphi' = \emptyset$; define an extension \tilde{g} of g with $\text{dom } \tilde{g} = \text{dom } g \cup \{m\}$ and $\tilde{g}(m) = \max\{j^\sharp(m), n^0, n^1\}$: then $\langle \tilde{g}, \mathcal{A} \cup \{\varphi\} \rangle \in D_{\varphi, m}$ and $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \geq \langle \tilde{g}, \mathcal{A} \cup \{\varphi\} \rangle$.

Since $|\{D_{\varphi, m} \mid \varphi \in \Phi^\sharp \wedge m \in \omega\}| \leq \kappa \cdot \omega = \kappa$, there exists a filter G on \mathbf{P} such that $\forall \varphi \in \Phi^\sharp: \forall m \in \omega: G \cap D_{\varphi, m} \neq \emptyset$. Let $j = \bigcup \{g \in {}^{<\omega}\omega \mid \exists \mathcal{A} \in [\Phi^\sharp]^{<\omega} : \langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \in G\}$: it is easy to see that j is a function and that $j: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ (of course, we may always suppose that $\Phi^\sharp \neq \emptyset$). We must prove that the functions φ^ι for $\iota = 0, 1$, defined by (1), satisfy (a) and (b).

First of all, observe that $j \geq j^\sharp$. Indeed, let $m \in \omega$: then $\langle m, j(m) \rangle \in j$, i.e., there exists $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \in G$ such that $\langle m, j(m) \rangle \in g$; thus $g(m) = j(m)$, and by the definition of \mathbf{P} we have that $j(m) = g(m) \geq j^\sharp(m)$. Now, if $m', m'' \in \omega$ with $m' \neq m''$, then $\varphi^\iota(m') = (\vartheta^\iota(m'))(j(m')) \in \{(\vartheta^\iota(m'))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m')\}$ and $\varphi^\iota(m'') = (\vartheta^\iota(m''))(j(m'')) \in \{(\vartheta^\iota(m''))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m'')\}$ for $\iota \in 2$, so that $\varphi^\iota(m') \neq \varphi^\iota(m'')$ by (2), and hence φ^0, φ^1 are one-to-one. Moreover, for every $m', m'' \in \omega$ (even, possibly, $m' = m''$), we have that $\varphi^0(m') \in \{(\vartheta^0(m'))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m')\}$ and $\varphi^1(m'') \in \{(\vartheta^1(m''))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m'')\}$, so that $\varphi^0(m') \neq \varphi^1(m'')$ again by (2), and hence $\text{Im } \varphi^0 \cap \text{Im } \varphi^1 = \emptyset$.

To prove (b), let φ^* be any element of Φ^* , and consider first the case where $\varphi^* \in \Phi^\sharp$. Given $\iota \in 2$, suppose by contradiction that $\text{Im } \varphi^* \cap \text{Im } \varphi^\iota$ is infinite. Fix any $\tilde{m} \in \omega$ and take $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \in G \cap D_{\varphi^*, \tilde{m}}$, so that $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\text{Im } \varphi^* \cap \text{Im } \varphi^\iota$ is infinite, the set $M = (\varphi^\iota)^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi^* \cap \text{Im } \varphi^\iota) = (\varphi^\iota)^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi^*)$ is infinite, too: then fix $\hat{m} \in M \setminus \text{dom } g$. Now take $\langle \hat{g}, \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \in G$ such that $\hat{m} \in \text{dom } \hat{g}$, and let $\langle g^\sharp, \mathcal{A}^\sharp \rangle \in G$ be a common extension of $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{g}, \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$, so that, in particular, $\hat{m} \in \text{dom } \hat{g} \subseteq \text{dom } g^\sharp$ and $(\vartheta^\iota(\hat{m}))(g^\sharp(\hat{m})) = (\vartheta^\iota(\hat{m}))(j(\hat{m})) = \varphi^\iota(\hat{m}) \in \text{Im } \varphi^*$ (by the definition of M). This is a contradiction, because $\hat{m} \notin \text{dom } g$, $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle g, \mathcal{A} \rangle \geq \langle g^\sharp, \mathcal{A}^\sharp \rangle$.

Consider now the case where $\varphi^* = \varphi_{m^*}^{\iota^*}$ for some $\langle \iota^*, m^* \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$. Given any $\iota \in 2$, from $j \geq j^\sharp$ we have that $\varphi^\iota(m) = (\vartheta^\iota(m))(j(m)) \in \{(\vartheta^\iota(m))(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m)\}$, which implies by (3) that $\forall m \neq m^*: \varphi^\iota(m) \notin \{\varphi_{m^*}^{\iota^*}(n) \mid n \geq j^\sharp(m^*)\}$ ($m \neq m^*$ entails in any case $\langle \iota, m \rangle \neq \langle \iota^*, m^* \rangle$); therefore, $\text{Im } \varphi^\iota \cap \text{Im } \varphi_{m^*}^{\iota^*} \subseteq \{\varphi^\iota(m^*)\} \cup \{\varphi_{m^*}^{\iota^*}(n) \mid n < j^\sharp(m^*)\}$, which is a finite set. \square

The following lemma is, in some sense, a “one-dimension” formulation of the previous one; they will both be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 3 (MA). *Let $\hat{\Phi} \subseteq \tilde{\Phi}$ be an almost disjoint collection, with $|\hat{\Phi}| = \kappa < 2^\omega$. Suppose that there exists a $\vartheta \in \Theta$ such that for every $m \in \omega$ there exists an $f_m \in \hat{\Phi}$ with $\text{Im}(\vartheta(m)) \subseteq \text{Im} f_m$; also, suppose that $m \mapsto f_m$ is one-to-one. Then there exists $\rho \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that ρ a.d. φ for every $\varphi \in \hat{\Phi}$ and $\text{Im} \rho \cap \text{Im}(\vartheta(m)) \neq \emptyset$ for every $m \in \omega$.*

The proof may be obtained following the outlines of the previous one; or, alternatively, applying Lemma 2 (after extending $\hat{\Phi}$ to a collection $\hat{\Phi}^*$ by adding specular elements, which is possible by [Ku, Corollary 2.16]) and then taking as ρ a suitable φ^t ; or, alternatively, applying [Ku, Theorem 2.15] to $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{Im}(\vartheta(m)) \mid m \in \omega\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{\text{Im} \varphi \mid \varphi \in \hat{\Phi}\} \setminus \{\text{Im} f_m \mid m \in \omega\}$, and then shrinking and indexing the set d .

Now we introduce a set-theoretic operator which will play a crucial role for our further constructions. Let ξ be any infinite cardinal number, and define by transfinite induction the sets M_γ , for $\gamma \in \xi^+$, in the following way. $M_0 = \xi$; if $M_{\gamma'}$ is defined for every $\gamma' < \gamma$, where $\gamma \in \xi^+ \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$M_\gamma = \left\{ \langle \mu^0, \mu^1, \beta^0, \beta^1 \rangle \mid \forall \iota \in 2: \left(\beta^\iota \in 2^\xi \text{ and } \mu^\iota \text{ is a one-to-one function from } \xi \text{ to } \bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} M_{\gamma'} \right) \right\}.$$

The set $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \xi^+} M_\gamma$ will be called the *double iterated power* of ξ , and denoted by $\text{DIP}(\xi)$. For every $x \in \text{DIP}(\xi)$, we also define a subset $\text{supp}(x)$ of $\text{DIP}(\xi)$, the *support* of x , putting $\text{supp}(x) = \emptyset$ if $x \in M_0 = \xi$, and $\text{supp}(x) = \text{Im} \mu^0 \cup \text{Im} \mu^1$ if $x \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \xi^+ \setminus \{0\}} M_\gamma$ and $x = \langle \mu^0, \mu^1, \beta^0, \beta^1 \rangle$.

It is immediate to prove by transfinite induction that $|M_\gamma| = 2^\xi$ for every $\gamma \in \xi^+ \setminus \{0\}$; therefore, $|\text{DIP}(\xi)| = 2^\xi$. We will say that an indexing $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in 2^\xi\}$ of $\text{DIP}(\xi)$ is *well founded* if it is one-to-one, $x_\alpha = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \xi$, and $\forall \alpha \in 2^\omega: \text{supp}(x_\alpha) \subseteq \{x_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' < \alpha\}$.

Lemma 4. *For every infinite cardinal ξ there exists a well founded indexing of $\text{DIP}(\xi)$.*

PROOF: First, fix any one-to-one indexing $\{y_\sigma \mid \sigma \in 2^\xi\}$ of $\text{DIP}(\xi)$. Then define $j: 2^\xi \rightarrow 2^\xi$ in the following way:

- $j(\alpha) = \alpha$, for $\alpha \in \xi$;
- $j(\alpha) = \min \{ \sigma \in 2^\xi \setminus \{j(\alpha') \mid \alpha' < \alpha\} \mid \text{supp}(y_\sigma) \subseteq \{y_{j(\alpha')} \mid \alpha' < \alpha\} \}$, for $\alpha \geq \xi$.

Observe that the above set cannot be empty. Indeed, for every $\beta \in 2^\xi$, we have $\langle \text{id}_\xi, \text{id}_\xi, \beta, 0 \rangle \in M_1 \subseteq \text{DIP}(\xi)$, hence there exists $\sigma_\beta \in 2^\xi$ such that

$\langle \text{id}_\xi, \text{id}_\xi, \beta, 0 \rangle = y_{\sigma_\beta}$. Since $\beta \mapsto \sigma_\beta$ is one-to-one, there must exist $\hat{\beta} \in 2^\xi$ such that $\sigma_{\hat{\beta}} \notin \{j(\alpha') \mid \alpha' < \alpha\}$, and for such a $\sigma_{\hat{\beta}}$ we have that $\text{supp}(y_{\sigma_{\hat{\beta}}}) = \text{supp}(\langle \text{id}_\xi, \text{id}_\xi, \beta, 0 \rangle) = \xi \subseteq \{j(\alpha') \mid \alpha' < \alpha\}$.

Now put, for every $\alpha \in 2^\xi$, $x_\alpha = y_{j(\alpha)}$: by the definition of j , $\alpha \mapsto x_\alpha$ is one-to-one and $\text{supp}(x_\alpha) = \text{supp}(y_{j(\alpha)}) \subseteq \{y_{j(\alpha')} \mid \alpha' < \alpha\} = \{x_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' < \alpha\}$ for every $\alpha \in 2^\xi \setminus \xi$. Thus, we only need to prove the onto character of $\alpha \mapsto x_\alpha$ over $\text{DIP}(\xi)$, which is clearly equivalent to the onto character of j over 2^ξ .

Suppose j is not onto and let $\hat{\gamma} = \min\{\gamma \in \xi^+ \mid M_\gamma \not\subseteq \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in 2^\xi\}\}$; fix $\hat{\sigma} \in 2^\xi$ such that $y_{\hat{\sigma}} \in M_{\hat{\gamma}} \setminus \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in 2^\xi\}$ and put $A = \text{supp}(y_{\hat{\sigma}})$. Then every $a \in A$ belongs to some M_γ with $\gamma < \hat{\gamma}$, hence there exists $\alpha(a) \in 2^\xi$ such that $x_{\alpha(a)} = a$; as $|A| \leq \xi$ and $\text{cof } 2^\xi > \xi$, there exists $\hat{\alpha} \in 2^\xi$ such that $\hat{\alpha} > \alpha(a)$ for every $a \in A$. Then for every $\alpha \in 2^\xi$ with $\alpha \geq \hat{\alpha}$, since $\hat{\sigma} \in \{\sigma \in 2^\xi \setminus \{j(\alpha') \mid \alpha' < \alpha\} \mid \text{supp}(y_\sigma) \subseteq \{y_{j(\alpha')} \mid \alpha' < \alpha\}\}$, we have that $j(\alpha) \leq \hat{\sigma}$; this is in contrast with the one-to-one character of j . \square

3. The main construction

Henceforth, we assume MA. We will associate by transfinite induction to every $\alpha \in 2^\omega$, a pair $\langle \varphi_\alpha^0, \varphi_\alpha^1 \rangle$ of elements of $\tilde{\Phi}$. We adopt the following notation: for every $x \in \text{DIP}(\omega)$, let $\alpha^\#(x)$ denote the unique $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ such that $x_\alpha = x$ (so that $\alpha^\#(x_\alpha) = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in 2^\omega$).

Also, we denote by K the set of all strictly increasing functions $k: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and by Λ the set of all functions $\lambda: \omega \rightarrow K$.

Let $\{F_{\langle \iota, m \rangle}\}_{\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega}$ be a partition of ω — where $\langle \iota, m \rangle \mapsto F_{\langle \iota, m \rangle}$ is one-to-one — such that $|F_{\langle \iota, m \rangle}| = \omega$ for every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$. For every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, let f_m^ι be an element of $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\text{Im } f_m^\iota = F_{\langle \iota, m \rangle}$. For every $\alpha \in \omega$ and $\iota \in 2$, we put $\varphi_\alpha^\iota = f_\alpha^\iota$.

Suppose now to have defined $\varphi_{\alpha'}^\iota$ for every $\iota \in 2$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$, where $\alpha \in 2^\omega \setminus \omega$, in such a way that $\varphi_{\alpha'}^\iota$ a.d. $\varphi_{\alpha''}^{\iota'}$ for $\langle \iota', \alpha' \rangle \neq \langle \iota'', \alpha'' \rangle$. Let $x_\alpha = \langle \mu^0, \mu^1, \beta^0, \beta^1 \rangle$ and define $\vartheta_\alpha^0, \vartheta_\alpha^1 \in \Theta$ by $\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m) = \varphi_{\alpha^\#(\mu^\iota(m))}^\iota$ for $\iota \in 2$. Consider the two elements $\vartheta_\alpha^\iota \circ \theta_{\beta^\iota}$ of Θ ($\iota = 0, 1$): since $\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m)$ a.d. $\vartheta_{\alpha'}^{\iota'}(m')$ for $\langle \iota, m \rangle \neq \langle \iota', m' \rangle$, we also have that $\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m) \circ \theta_{\beta^\iota}(m)$ a.d. $\vartheta_{\alpha'}^{\iota'}(m') \circ \theta_{\beta^{\iota'}}(m')$ for $\langle \iota, m \rangle \neq \langle \iota', m' \rangle$. Let $\Phi^* = \{\varphi_{\alpha'}^\iota \mid \iota \in 2 \wedge \alpha' < \alpha\}$: then Φ^* is an almost disjoint family and $|\Phi^*| = |\alpha| < 2^\omega$. Moreover,

$$\forall \langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega: \text{Im}(\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m) \circ \theta_{\beta^\iota}(m)) \subseteq \text{Im}(\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m)) = \text{Im} \varphi_{\alpha^\#(\mu^\iota(m))}^\iota;$$

since $\langle \iota, m \rangle \mapsto \varphi_{\alpha^\#(\mu^\iota(m))}^\iota$ is one-to-one from $2 \times \omega$ to Φ^* , we may apply Lemma 2 to get a $j \in {}^\omega \omega$ such that the functions $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^0, \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^1$, defined by

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\iota(m) = (\vartheta_\alpha^\iota(m)) \left((\theta_{\beta^\iota}(m))(j(m)) \right) \quad \text{for } \iota \in 2,$$

are such that:

- 1) $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\iota \in \tilde{\Phi}$ for $\iota \in 2$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^0$ a.d. $\tilde{\varphi}^1$;
- 2) $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^\iota$ a.d. $\varphi_{\alpha'}^{\iota'}$ for every $\iota, \iota' \in 2$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$.

Put $\varphi_\alpha^0 = \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^0$, so that φ_α^0 a.d. $\varphi_{\alpha'}^\iota$ for every $\langle \iota, \alpha' \rangle \in 2 \times \alpha$. Also, define $\hat{\lambda}_\alpha \in \Lambda$ by:

$$(5) \quad (\hat{\lambda}_\alpha(m))(n) = n + \hat{j}_\alpha(m)$$

for every $m, n \in \omega$ — remember ().

Now, consider the almost disjoint collection of functions: $\hat{\Phi} = \Phi^* \cup \{\varphi_\alpha^0\}$: putting

$$(6) \quad \hat{\vartheta}_\alpha(m) = f_m^0 \circ (\hat{\lambda}_\alpha(m))$$

we get a function $\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha \in \Theta$ such that $\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha(m)$ a.d. $\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha(m')$ for $m \neq m'$ and $\text{Im}(\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha(m)) \subseteq \text{Im} f_m^0$ for every $m \in \omega$. Since $m \mapsto f_m^0$ is one-to-one (from ω to $\hat{\Phi}$), we have by Lemma 3 that there exists $\rho_\alpha \in \hat{\Phi}$ such that ρ_α a.d. φ for every $\varphi \in \hat{\Phi}$ and that

$$(7) \quad \text{Im} \rho_\alpha \cap \text{Im}(\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha(m)) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } m \in \omega.$$

Put $S_\alpha = \text{Im} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^1 \cup \text{Im} \rho_\alpha$ and let φ_α^1 be an element of $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\text{Im} \varphi_\alpha^1 = S_\alpha$. Since both ρ_α and $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^1$ are a.d. from every $\varphi \in \hat{\Phi}$, the same holds for φ_α^1 . This completes the inductive definition.

Thus the family $\{\varphi_\alpha^\iota \mid \langle \iota, \alpha \rangle \in 2 \times 2^\omega\}$ is such that φ_α^ι a.d. $\varphi_{\alpha'}^{\iota'}$ for $\langle \iota, \alpha \rangle \neq \langle \iota', \alpha' \rangle \in 2 \times 2^\omega$. Moreover, by our construction we have that for every $\alpha \in 2^\omega \setminus \omega$ there exist $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^0, \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^1, \rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^0 = \varphi_\alpha^0$, $\text{Im} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^1 \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^1$, $\text{Im} \rho_\alpha \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^1$, and (4), (7) are fulfilled (with $\hat{\lambda}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\vartheta}_\alpha$ defined by (5) and (6)).

We put $\Phi^\iota = \{\varphi_\alpha^\iota \mid \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ for $\iota = 0, 1$. We claim that X_{Φ^0} and X_{Φ^1} are the required spaces X and Y .

4. Proof of the main result

First, we want to prove that X_{Φ^0} , X_{Φ^1} and $X_{\Phi^0} \times X_{\Phi^1}$ are $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -spaces. In accordance with [En], for $f, g: A \rightarrow X, Y$ we denote by $f\Delta g$ the function from A to $X \times Y$ defined by: $(f\Delta g)(a) = \langle f(a), g(a) \rangle$ for every $a \in A$.

Lemma 5. *Let X^0, X^1 be two topological spaces, such that $X^\iota = D^\iota \cup \{\infty^\iota\}$ for $\iota \in 2$, where D^ι is discrete and $\infty^\iota \notin D^\iota$. Suppose that for every $\iota \in 2$ there is at least a $\rho^\iota: \omega \rightarrow D^\iota$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho^\iota(n) = \infty^\iota$. Also, suppose that whenever for every $\langle \iota, i \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, $\hat{\psi}_i^\iota$ is a sequence in D^ι such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\psi}_i^\iota(n) = \infty^\iota$, then there exist $\hat{\psi}^\iota: \omega \rightarrow D^\iota$ for $\iota \in 2$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\psi}^\iota(i) = \infty^\iota$ and*

$$\left| \left\{ i \in \omega \mid \text{Im}(\hat{\psi}^0 \Delta \hat{\psi}^1) \cap \text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_i^0 \Delta \hat{\psi}_i^1) \neq \emptyset \right\} \right| = \omega.$$

Then X^0 , X^1 and $X^0 \times X^1$ are all $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -spaces.

PROOF: We first prove that, for $\iota \in 2$, X^ι is an $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -space. Let $\iota = 0$ (the proof for $\iota = 1$ is symmetric). Since the points of D^0 trivially have the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$, suppose to have for every $i \in \omega$ a $\tilde{\psi}_i: \omega \rightarrow X^0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i(n) = \infty^0$. If for infinitely many $i \in \omega$ the sequence $\tilde{\psi}_i$ takes on the value ∞^0 , then the $\tilde{\psi}: \omega \rightarrow X^0$ having constant value ∞^0 is such that $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_i \cap \text{Im } \tilde{\psi}\}| = \omega$. Thus, we may suppose $\tilde{\psi}_i: \omega \rightarrow D^0$ for every $i \in \omega$. Putting $\hat{\psi}_i^0 = \tilde{\psi}_i$ and $\hat{\psi}_i^1 = \rho^1$ for every $i \in \omega$, we get by hypothesis $\hat{\psi}^0, \hat{\psi}^1: \omega \rightarrow D^0, D^1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\psi}^\iota(n) = \infty^\iota$ for $\iota \in 2$ and $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } (\hat{\psi}^0 \Delta \hat{\psi}^1) \cap \text{Im } (\hat{\psi}_i^0 \Delta \hat{\psi}_i^1) \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$; thus $\hat{\psi}^0$ is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\psi}^0(n) = \infty^0$ and $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \hat{\psi}^0 \cap \text{Im } \hat{\psi}_i^0 \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$, i.e., $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \hat{\psi}^0 \cap \text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.

Now we prove that $X^0 \times X^1$ is an $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -space. Property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ is trivial at the points of $D^0 \times D^1$, while at the points of $(D^0 \times \{\infty^1\}) \cup (\{\infty^0\} \times D^1)$ it easily comes from the $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ character of X^0 and X^1 . Then consider the point $\langle \infty^0, \infty^1 \rangle$ and suppose to have, for every $\langle \iota, i \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, a $\tilde{\psi}_i^\iota: \omega \rightarrow X^\iota$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\psi}_i^\iota(n) = \infty^\iota$. Let $M^\iota = \{i \in \omega \mid \tilde{\psi}_i^\iota \text{ is frequently equal to } \infty^\iota\}$ for $\iota \in 2$: if $|M^0| = \omega$, then the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ at the point ∞^1 of X^1 easily gives the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ at $\langle \infty^0, \infty^1 \rangle$, in this case; if $|M^1| = \omega$, the situation is symmetric. If $|M^\iota| < \omega$ for every $\iota \in 2$, then we may suppose that $\tilde{\psi}_i^\iota: \omega \rightarrow D^\iota$ for every $i \in \omega$; hence the hypothesis gives the property $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ at $\langle \infty^0, \infty^1 \rangle$, in this case. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $a \in X$, where X is any topological space, and $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = a$. For every $m \in \omega$, let k_m be an element of K — so that $(a_{k_m(i)})_{i \in \omega}$ is a subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$; then there exists $j \in {}^\omega \omega$ such that for every $j' \in {}^\omega \omega$ with $j' \geq j$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} a_{k_m(j'(m))} = a$.*

PROOF: Define j by induction: let $j(0)$ be arbitrary; if $j(m)$ is defined, let $j(m+1)$ be such that $k_{m+1}(j(m+1)) > k_m(j(m))$ (this is possible because $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} k_{m+1}(n) = +\infty$). Suppose now $j' \geq j$: given any nbhd V of a , we know that there exists $\bar{n} \in \omega$ such that $\forall n \geq \bar{n}: a_n \in V$; since $m \mapsto k_m(j(m))$ is strictly increasing, there exists $\bar{m} \in \omega$ such that $k_{\bar{m}}(j(\bar{m})) \geq \bar{n}$; then for every $m \geq \bar{m}$ we have $k_m(j'(m)) \geq k_m(j(m)) \geq k_{\bar{m}}(j(\bar{m})) \geq \bar{n}$ (because k_m is strictly increasing) and hence $a_{k_m(j'(m))} \in V$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let η^ι , for $\iota \in 2$, be a one-to-one function from ω to 2^ω , and for every $m \in \omega$ let $\tilde{\Psi}_m: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ be such that $\tilde{\Psi}_m = \tilde{\psi}_m^0 \Delta \tilde{\psi}_m^1$, with $\text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_m^\iota \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi_{\eta^\iota(m)}^\iota$ and $\tilde{\psi}_m^\iota \in \tilde{\Phi}$ for $\iota \in 2$. Then there exists $\tilde{\Psi}: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(m) = \langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle$ and $\tilde{\Psi}(m) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\Psi}_m$ for every $m \in \omega$.*

PROOF: For $\iota \in 2$, let $\mu^\iota: \omega \rightarrow \text{DIP}(\omega)$ be defined by $\mu^\iota(m) = x_{\eta^\iota(m)}$: then μ^ι is one-to-one. For every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, there exists $\gamma_m^\iota \in \omega_1$ such that $\mu^\iota(m) \in M_{\gamma_m^\iota}$ (remember the definition of $\text{DIP}(\omega)$): take $\hat{\gamma} \in \omega_1$ such that $\gamma_m^\iota < \hat{\gamma}$ for every

$\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$. Also, for every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ there exists a $\phi'_m \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that

$$\tilde{\psi}^\iota_m = \varphi^\iota_{\eta^\iota(m)} \circ \phi'_m$$

— namely, $\phi'_m = (\varphi^\iota_{\eta^\iota(m)})^{-1} \circ \tilde{\psi}^\iota_m$; define $\hat{\theta}^\iota \in \Theta$, for $\iota \in 2$, by $\hat{\theta}^\iota(m) = \phi'_m$, and take $\beta^\iota \in 2^\omega$ such that $\hat{\theta}^\iota = \theta_{\beta^\iota}$. Then $\langle \mu^0, \mu^1, \beta^0, \beta^1 \rangle \in M_{\hat{\gamma}} \subseteq \text{DIP}(\omega)$ and hence there exists $\hat{\alpha} \in 2^\omega \setminus \omega$ such that $\langle \mu^0, \mu^1, \beta^0, \beta^1 \rangle = x_{\hat{\alpha}}$; we claim that $\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{\varphi}^0_{\hat{\alpha}} \Delta \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}} = \varphi^0_{\hat{\alpha}} \Delta \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}}$ has the desired properties.

Indeed, since $\tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}} \in \tilde{\Phi}$, $\text{Im } \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}} \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi^1_{\hat{\alpha}}$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^1_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$, we also have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$; since $\tilde{\varphi}^0_{\hat{\alpha}} = \varphi^0_{\hat{\alpha}}$, we get:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\tilde{\varphi}^0_{\hat{\alpha}} \Delta \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}} \right) (m) = \langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by (4) we know that there exists a $j \in {}^\omega \omega$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = (\vartheta^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}}(m)) \left((\theta_{\beta^\iota}(m))(j(m)) \right) \text{ for every } \langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega,$$

where $\vartheta^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = \varphi^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}^\#(\mu^\iota(m))} = \varphi^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}^\#(x_{\eta^\iota(m)})} = \varphi^\iota_{\eta^\iota(m)}$. Since $\theta_{\beta^\iota}(m) = \hat{\theta}^\iota(m) = \phi'_m$ for $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, we have that $\tilde{\varphi}^\iota_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = (\varphi^\iota_{\eta^\iota(m)} \circ \phi'_m)(j(m)) = \tilde{\psi}^\iota_m(j(m))$, and hence for every $m \in \omega$: $\tilde{\Psi}(m) = \langle \tilde{\varphi}^0_{\hat{\alpha}}(m), \tilde{\varphi}^1_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\psi}^0_m(j(m)), \tilde{\psi}^1_m(j(m)) \rangle \in \text{Im } \tilde{\Psi}_m$. □

Corollary 8. *Let η be a one-to-one function from ω to 2^ω , $\iota \in 2$ and for every $m \in \omega$ let $\tilde{\psi}_m$ be an element of $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_m \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi^\iota_{\eta(m)}$. Then there exists $\tilde{\psi} \in {}^\omega \omega$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\psi}(m) = \infty_{\Phi^\iota}$ and $\tilde{\psi}(m) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_m$ for every $m \in \omega$.*

PROOF: We may suppose $\iota = 0$. Put $\eta^0 = \eta^1 = \eta$ and, for every $m \in \omega$, let $\tilde{\psi}^0_m = \tilde{\psi}_m$, $\tilde{\psi}^1_m = \varphi^1_{\eta(m)}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_m = \tilde{\psi}^0_m \Delta \tilde{\psi}^1_m$. If $\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{\psi}^0 \Delta \tilde{\psi}^1$ satisfies the thesis of Lemma 7, then $\tilde{\psi}^0$ is the required $\tilde{\psi}$. □

Lemma 9. *If φ', φ'' are functions from ω to any set E such that $|\text{Im } \varphi' \cap \text{Im } \varphi''| = \omega$, then there exist $k', k'' \in K$ such that $\varphi' \circ k' = \varphi'' \circ k''$ (i.e., φ' and φ'' have a common subsequence), and such a function is one-to-one.*

PROOF: We will construct simultaneously k' and k'' by induction. Put $F = \text{Im } \varphi' \cap \text{Im } \varphi''$ and fix $a_0 \in F$: let $k'(0)$ be an element of $(\varphi')^{-1}(a_0)$ and $k''(0)$ an element of $(\varphi'')^{-1}(a_0)$, so that $\varphi'(k'(0)) = a_0 = \varphi''(k''(0))$.

Suppose now to have defined $k'(m')$, $k''(m')$ for every $m' \leq m$: since F is infinite, the set $F \setminus (\{\varphi'(n) \mid n \leq k'(m)\} \cup \{\varphi''(n) \mid n \leq k''(m)\})$ contains a point a_{m+1} . Then choose $k'(m+1) \in (\varphi')^{-1}(a_{m+1})$ and $k''(m+1) \in (\varphi'')^{-1}(a_{m+1})$: thus $k'(m+1) > k'(m)$, $k''(m+1) > k''(m)$, $\varphi'(k'(m+1)) = a_{m+1} = \varphi''(k''(m+1))$ and $\varphi'(k'(m+1)) \neq \varphi'(k'(m'))$ for every $m' \leq m$. □

We prove now that $X_{\mathfrak{F}0}$, $X_{\mathfrak{F}1}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{F}0} \times X_{\mathfrak{F}1}$ are $\langle \alpha_4 \rangle$ -spaces. By Lemma 5, it is sufficient to show that whenever $(\hat{\Psi}_i)_{i \in \omega}$ is a sequence of functions from ω to $\omega \times \omega$ such that

$$\forall i \in \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\Psi}_i(n) = \langle \infty_{\mathfrak{F}0}, \infty_{\mathfrak{F}1} \rangle,$$

there exists a $\hat{\Psi}: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ such that $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \hat{\Psi} \cap \text{Im } \hat{\Psi}_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.

For every $i \in \omega$, we have that $\hat{\Psi}_i = \hat{\psi}_i^0 \Delta \hat{\psi}_i^1$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\psi}_i^\iota(n) = \infty_{\mathfrak{F}^\iota}$ for $\iota \in 2$. By Remark 1, for every $i \in \omega$ there exists $\alpha_i^0 \in 2^\omega$ such that $|\text{Im } \varphi_{\alpha_i^0} \cap \text{Im } \hat{\psi}_i^0| = \omega$; now use Lemma 9 to get a $\hat{k}_i^0 \in K$ such that $\hat{\psi}_i^0 \circ \hat{k}_i^0$ is a one-to-one subsequence of $\varphi_{\alpha_i^0}^0$. Of course, for every $i \in \omega$ we still have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\hat{\psi}_i^1 \circ \hat{k}_i^0)(m) = \infty_{\mathfrak{F}1}$, hence by Remark 1 there exists α_i^1 such that $|\text{Im } \varphi_{\alpha_i^1}^1 \cap \text{Im } (\hat{\psi}_i^1 \circ \hat{k}_i^0)| = \omega$; using again Lemma 9, we get a $\tilde{k}_i^1 \in K$ such that $\hat{\psi}_i^1 \circ \hat{k}_i^0 \circ \tilde{k}_i^1$ is a one-to-one subsequence of $\varphi_{\alpha_i^1}^1$.

Putting, for $\langle \iota, i \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$, $\psi_i^\iota = \tilde{\psi}_i^\iota \circ \hat{k}_i^0 \circ \tilde{k}_i^1$ and $\Psi_i = \psi_i^0 \Delta \psi_i^1 = \hat{\Psi} \circ \hat{k}_i^0 \circ \tilde{k}_i^1$, for every $\langle \iota, i \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ we have at the same time that Ψ_i is a subsequence of $\hat{\Psi}_i$ and that ψ_i^ι is a one-to-one subsequence of $\varphi_{\alpha_i^\iota}^\iota$. In particular, if we can find a $\Psi: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(m) = \langle \infty_{\mathfrak{F}0}, \infty_{\mathfrak{F}1} \rangle$, such that $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \Psi \cap \text{Im } \Psi_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$, we will also have that

$$|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \Psi \cap \text{Im } \hat{\Psi}_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega.$$

Let $A^0 = \{\alpha_i^0 \mid i \in \omega\}$: we have two cases.

1st case. A^0 is infinite.

Fix $H^0 \subseteq \omega$ such that $\{\alpha_i^0 \mid i \in H^0\} = A^0$ and $\alpha_{i'}^0 \neq \alpha_{i''}^0$, for $i', i'' \in H^0$ with $i' \neq i''$. Consider now $\tilde{A}^1 = \{\alpha_i^1 \mid i \in H^0\}$.

1st subcase. \tilde{A}^1 is infinite.

Then there exists an (infinite) $\tilde{H} \subseteq H^0$ such that $\{\alpha_i^1 \mid i \in \tilde{H}\} = \tilde{A}^1$ and $\alpha_{i'}^1 \neq \alpha_{i''}^1$, for $i', i'' \in \tilde{H}$ with $i' \neq i''$. Let $\tilde{A}^0 = \{\alpha_i^0 \mid i \in \tilde{H}\}$: since $\tilde{H} \subseteq H^0$, we also have that $\alpha_{i'}^0 \neq \alpha_{i''}^0$, for $i', i'' \in \tilde{H}$ with $i' \neq i''$.

As $|\tilde{H}| = \omega$, there exists a (unique) $\tilde{k} \in K$ such that $\text{Im } \tilde{k} = \tilde{H}$; then $\{\alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota \mid m \in \omega\} = \tilde{A}^\iota$ for $\iota \in 2$. Define $\eta^\iota: \omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$, for $\iota \in 2$, by $\eta^\iota(m) = \alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota$: since each η^ι is one-to-one and $\text{Im } \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi_{\eta^\iota(m)}^\iota$ for every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ (because $\psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota$ is a subsequence of $\varphi_{\eta^\iota(m)}^\iota$), by Lemma 7 there exists $\tilde{\Psi}: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(m) = \langle \infty_{\mathfrak{F}0}, \infty_{\mathfrak{F}1} \rangle$ and $\text{Im } \tilde{\Psi} \cap \text{Im } \Psi_{\tilde{k}(m)} \neq \emptyset$ for every $m \in \omega$, which implies that $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \tilde{\Psi} \cap \text{Im } \Psi_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.

2nd subcase. \tilde{A}^1 is finite.

Then there exists an infinite subset \tilde{H} of H^1 and an $\hat{\alpha} \in 2^\omega$ such that $\forall i \in \tilde{H}: \alpha_i^1 = \hat{\alpha}$. Again, let $\tilde{k} \in K$ be such that $\text{Im } \tilde{k} = \tilde{H}$: since $\tilde{H} \subseteq H^0$, we have that $\eta: \omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ defined by $\eta(m) = \alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0$ is one-to-one.

For every $m \in \omega$ we have that $\psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1$ is a one-to-one subsequence of $\varphi_{\alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1}^1$, which coincides with $\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1$ because $\tilde{k}(m) \in \tilde{H}$; hence by Lemma 6 there exists $j \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that

$$(8) \quad \forall j' \geq j: \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1(j'(m)) = \infty_{\Phi^1}.$$

Now define, for every $m \in \omega$, a $\tilde{\psi}_m \in \tilde{\Phi}$ by:

$$(9) \quad \tilde{\psi}_m(n) = \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0(n + j(m)).$$

Observe that, for every $m \in \omega$, $\text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_m \subseteq \text{Im } \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0 \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi_{\alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0}^0 = \text{Im } \varphi_{\eta(m)}^0$.

Then by Corollary 8 there exists $\psi^0 \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^0(m) = \infty_{\Phi^0} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall m \in \omega: \psi^0(m) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\psi}_m;$$

using (9), we have that for every $m \in \omega$ there exists $\tilde{n}(m) \in \omega$ such that $\psi^0(m) = \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0(\tilde{n}(m) + j(m))$.

Put $j'(m) = \tilde{n}(m) + j(m)$ and define $\psi^1 \in {}^\omega\omega$ by $\psi^1(m) = \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1(j'(m))$: then $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^1(m) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$ by (8). Thus, putting $\Psi = \psi^0 \Delta \psi^1$, we have that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(m) = \langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle;$$

moreover, for every $m \in \omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(m) &= \langle \psi^0(m), \psi^1(m) \rangle = \langle \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0(j'(m)), \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1(j'(m)) \rangle \\ &= \Psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}(j'(m)) \in \text{Im } \Psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \Psi \cap \text{Im } \Psi_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.

2nd case. A^0 is finite.

Then there exists an infinite subset H^0 of ω and an $\hat{\alpha}^0 \in 2^\omega$ such that $\forall i \in H^0: \alpha_i^0 = \hat{\alpha}^0$. Again, let $\tilde{A}^1 = \{\alpha_i^1 \mid i \in H^0\}$.

1st subcase. \tilde{A}^1 is infinite.

Then there exists an infinite subset \tilde{H} of H^1 such that $\{\alpha_i^1 \mid i \in \tilde{H}\} = \tilde{A}^1$ and $\alpha_{i'}^1 \neq \alpha_{i''}^1$, for distinct $i', i'' \in \tilde{H}$. The situation is symmetric to the 2nd subcase of the 1st case.

2nd subcase. \tilde{A}^1 is finite.

Then there exists an infinite $\tilde{H} \subseteq H^0$ and an $\hat{\alpha}^1 \in 2^\omega$ such that $\forall i \in \tilde{H}: \alpha_i^1 = \hat{\alpha}^1$; clearly, since $\tilde{H} \subseteq H^0$, we also have that $\forall i \in \tilde{H}: \alpha_i^0 = \hat{\alpha}^0$. Let $\tilde{k} \in K$ such that $\text{Im } \tilde{k} = \tilde{H}$: then for every $\langle \iota, m \rangle \in 2 \times \omega$ we have that $\psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota$ is a subsequence of $\varphi_{\alpha_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota}^\iota = \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}^\iota}$. Applying Lemma 6, we get $j^0, j^1 \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that

$$\forall \iota \in 2: \forall j' \in {}^\omega\omega: (j' \geq j^\iota \implies \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota(j'(m)) = \infty_{\Phi^\iota}).$$

Let $j = \sup \{j^0, j^1\}$ and define $\psi^\iota \in {}^\omega\omega$ for $\iota \in 2$ by:

$$\psi^\iota(m) = \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^\iota(j(m))$$

for every $m \in \omega$. Putting $\Psi = \psi^0 \Delta \psi^1$, we have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(m) = \langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle$ and that

$$\forall m \in \omega: \Psi(m) = \langle \psi^0(m), \psi^1(m) \rangle = \langle \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^0(j(m)), \psi_{\tilde{k}(m)}^1(j(m)) \rangle \in \text{Im } \Psi_{\tilde{k}(m)},$$

whence $|\{i \in \omega \mid \text{Im } \Psi \cap \text{Im } \Psi_i \neq \emptyset\}| = \omega$.

Now we proceed to show that $X_{\Phi^0} \times X_{\Phi^1}$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn. First of all, we prove that putting $D = \{\langle \ell, \ell \rangle \mid \ell \in \omega\}$, we have that $\langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle \in \overline{D}$ in $X_{\Phi^0} \times X_{\Phi^1}$.

Indeed, let V^0, V^1 be arbitrary nbhds of $\infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1}$ in X_{Φ^0}, X_{Φ^1} , respectively. For every $m \in \omega$, $\varphi_m^0 = f_m^0$ belongs to Φ^0 , and hence there exists $j \in \omega$ such that

$$(10) \quad \forall m \in \omega: \forall n \geq j(m): f_m^0(n) \in V^0.$$

Take $\hat{\alpha} \in 2^\omega \setminus \omega$ such that $j = \hat{j}_{\hat{\alpha}}$: then (5), (6) and (7) (for $\alpha = \hat{\alpha}$) combine to show that

$$\forall m \in \omega: \exists n' \geq \hat{j}_{\hat{\alpha}}(m): f_m^0(n') \in \text{Im } \rho_{\hat{\alpha}};$$

hence we can associate to every $m \in \omega$ a $\tilde{n}(m) \geq \hat{j}_{\hat{\alpha}}(m)$ such that

$$(11) \quad f_m^0(\tilde{n}(m)) \in \text{Im } \rho_{\hat{\alpha}} \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1(n) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$ in X_{Φ^1} , there exists $n^\sharp \in \omega$ such that

$$(12) \quad \forall n \geq n^\sharp: \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1(n) \in V^1.$$

Observe that $m \mapsto f_m^0(\tilde{n}(m))$ is one-to-one from ω to ω (because $\text{Im } f_{m'}^0 \cap \text{Im } f_{m''}^0 = F_{0,m'} \cap F_{0,m''} = \emptyset$ for $m' \neq m''$); therefore the set $\{f_m^0(\tilde{n}(m)) \mid m \in \omega\}$

cannot be contained into $\{\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1(n) \mid n < n^\#\}$, and hence by (11) there exists $n^* \geq n^\#$ such that

$$\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1(n^*) \in \{f_m^0(\tilde{n}(m)) \mid m \in \omega\}.$$

Since $\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^1(n^*) \in V^1$ by (12), and $f_m^0(\tilde{n}(m)) \in V^0$ for every $m \in \omega$ (because of (10) and the fact that $\tilde{n}(m) \geq \hat{j}_{\hat{\alpha}}(m) = j(m)$), we conclude that for some $\ell \in \omega$, $\langle \ell, \ell \rangle \in V^0 \times V^1$.

Now, if $X_{\Phi^0} \times X_{\Phi^1}$ were Fréchet, there would exist a sequence in D which converges to $\langle \infty_{\Phi^0}, \infty_{\Phi^1} \rangle$, and clearly it could be supposed to be one-to-one. Thus, there would exist $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\varphi}(n) = \infty_{\Phi^0}$ in X_{Φ^0} and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\varphi}(n) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$ in X_{Φ^1} . From the former relation we have that $|\text{Im } \tilde{\varphi} \cap \text{Im } \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^0| = \omega$ for some $\hat{\alpha} \in 2^\omega$; by Lemma 9, there exists $\varphi^* \in \tilde{\Phi}$ which is a common subsequence of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^0$. In particular, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\varphi}(n) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$ in X_{Φ^1} , we also have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi^*(n) = \infty_{\Phi^1}$ in X_{Φ^1} , so that there exists $\alpha^* \in 2^\omega$ such that $|\text{Im } \varphi^* \cap \text{Im } \varphi_{\alpha^*}^1| = \omega$, and hence $|\text{Im } \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^0 \cap \text{Im } \varphi_{\alpha^*}^1| = \omega$ (because $\text{Im } \varphi^* \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^0$). This contradicts the fact that every element of Φ^0 is almost disjoint from every element of Φ^1 .

Acknowledgment. The author is very grateful to Alan Dow for general references about $\langle \alpha_i \rangle$ -spaces.

REFERENCES

- [Ar1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *The frequency spectrum of a topological space and the classification of spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **13** (1972), 265–268.
- [Ar2] Arhangel'skii A.V., *The frequency spectrum of a topological space and the product operation*, Transl. Moscow Math. Soc., Issue 2 (1981), 163–200.
- [CS] Costantini C., Simon P., *An α_4 , not Fréchet product of α_4 Fréchet spaces*, Topology Appl., to appear.
- [Do] Dow A., *Two classes of Fréchet-Urysohn spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 241–247.
- [En] Engelking R., *General Topology. Revised and Completed Ed.*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [Ku] Kunen K., *Set Theory. An Introduction to Independence Proofs*, Nort-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [No] Nogura T., *The product of $\langle \alpha_i \rangle$ -spaces* Topology Appl. **21** (1985), 251–259.
- [Ol] Olson R.C., *Bi-quotient maps, countably bi-sequential spaces, and related topics*, Gen. Topology Appl. **4** (1974), 1–28.
- [Si1] Simon P., *A compact Fréchet space whose square is not Fréchet*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **21** (1980), 749–753.
- [Si2] Simon P., *A hedgehog in a product*, Acta Univ. Carolin.—Math. Phys., to appear.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

(Received August 10, 1998)