

## On reductive and distributive algebras

ANNA ROMANOWSKA

*Abstract.* The paper investigates idempotent, reductive, and distributive groupoids, and more generally  $\Omega$ -algebras of any type including the structure of such groupoids as reducts. In particular, any such algebra can be built up from algebras with a left zero groupoid operation. It is also shown that any two varieties of left  $k$ -step reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras, and of right  $n$ -step reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras, are independent for any positive integers  $k$  and  $n$ . This gives a structural description of algebras in the join of these two varieties.

*Keywords:* idempotent and distributive groupoids and algebras, Mal'cev products of varieties of algebras, independent varieties

*Classification:* 08A05, 03C05, 08C15

### Introduction

The paper investigates the structure of algebras generalizing certain idempotent and distributive groupoids. Such groupoids are algebras  $(A, \cdot)$  with one binary operation satisfying the idempotent and distributive laws:

- (I) 
$$x \cdot x = x,$$
  
 (D) 
$$x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z), \quad \text{and} \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot z).$$

A systematic study of such groupoids was undertaken by Ježek, Kepka and Němec [JKN] in 1981. Much more recent notes of Dehornoy [De] show that such groupoids are really interesting algebras, with a rich theory and many applications. The groupoids we are interested in here have an additional “reductive” property. Multiplying an element  $x$  by an element  $y$  certain number of times, either only on the left or only on the right, returns the element  $y$ .

The idempotent  $\Omega$ -algebras  $(A, \Omega)$  we are interested in also have a binary (term) operation  $\cdot$  that makes  $(A, \cdot)$  an idempotent and distributive groupoid. Moreover, they are distributive, i.e. the operation  $\cdot$  distributes both from the left and the right over each  $\Omega$ -operation. It is known ([PiR]) that in any such algebra  $(A, \Omega)$ , the operation  $\cdot$  acts as a kind of “partition” operation, and allows a decomposition of  $(A, \Omega)$  into a disjoint union of left-reductive subalgebras. On the other hand, the Mal'cev product of the varieties of left  $m$ -step reductive and of left  $n$ -step reductive algebras is contained in the class of  $m + n$ -step left reductive algebras.

A stronger result where these two classes coincide was obtained in [PiR] for the case of  $\Omega$ -modes, i.e. idempotent and entropic  $\Omega$ -algebras, satisfying the identities

$$(I) \quad x \dots x\omega = x,$$

$$(E) \quad (x_{11} \dots x_{1n}\omega) \dots (x_{m1} \dots x_{mn}\omega)\omega' \\ = (x_{11} \dots x_{m1}\omega') \dots (x_{1n} \dots x_{mn}\omega')\omega$$

for each  $n$ -ary  $\omega$  and  $m$ -ary  $\omega'$  in  $\Omega$ . Note that, in particular, modes are distributive algebras. In Section 1, we obtain a similar result for idempotent and distributive algebras in the case  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$ . This, together with results of [RT], gives a nice structural description of left 3-reductive algebras, and in particular of left 3-reductive idempotent and distributive groupoids.

The second part of the paper extends some other results of [PiR]. We show that the varieties of left  $k$ -step reductive and of right  $n$ -step reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras are independent for any positive integers  $k$  and  $n$ . This result, together with the previous ones, gives a structural description of algebras in the join of the above varieties. The presence of entropicity again gives stronger results, and a much simpler proof of the independence. See [PiR]. The paper concludes with some comments and questions.

We use notation and terminology similar to that in the book [RS]. In particular, words (terms) and operations are denoted by  $x_1 \dots x_n w$  instead of  $w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , with the exception of traditional binary operations. The symbol  $x_1 \dots x_n w$  means that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are exactly the variables appearing in the word  $w$ . For a congruence  $\alpha$  of an algebra  $(A, \Omega)$ , the quotient algebra is denoted by  $(A^\alpha, \Omega)$ , and for  $a$  in  $A$ , the  $\alpha$ -class containing  $a$  is denoted by  $a^\alpha$ .

### 1. Left and right reductive algebras

Throughout this paper let  $r : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a fixed type of algebras and let  $x \cdot y$  by a fixed  $\Omega$ -word with precisely two variables  $x$  and  $y$ . Consider the following  $n$ -step left reductive (or briefly  $n$ -reductive) law

$$(r_n) \quad x^n y := x \cdot (x \cdot (\dots (x \cdot y) \dots)) = x.$$

In what follows we are interested in idempotent varieties of  $\Omega$ -algebras satisfying the identity  $(r_n)$  for some positive integer  $n$ , and additionally the left and right distributive laws

$$(ld) \quad x \cdot (x_1 \dots x_m \omega) = (x \cdot x_1) \dots (x \cdot x_m) \omega,$$

$$(rd) \quad (x_1 \dots x_m \omega) \cdot x = (x_1 \cdot x) \dots (x_m \cdot x) \omega$$

for each ( $m$ -ary)  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ . We denote such varieties by  $R_n$  and call them (*left*)  $n$ -reductive varieties. We refer to  $R_n$ -algebras as  $n$ -reductive algebras. An  $\Omega$ -algebra is *left reductive* if it is  $n$ -reductive for some positive integer  $n$ .

An  $\Omega$ -algebra is called *n-step right reductive* or briefly *right n-reductive* if it satisfies the *right n-reductive law*

$$(r'_n) \qquad yx^n := (\dots((y \cdot x) \cdot x) \dots)x = x$$

opposite to  $(r_n)$  and the left and right distributive laws  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$ . It is called *right reductive* if it is right *n-reductive* for some positive integer *n*. The right *n-reductive* variety is denoted by  $R'_n$ . Each fact we formulate for left reductive algebras may easily be reformulated in the opposite way for right reductive algebras.

Left *n-reductive* varieties may be easily obtained from idempotent irregular varieties. Let *V* be an idempotent irregular variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras, i.e. a variety satisfying an identity with different sets of variables on each side. Such a variety is known to have a basis for its identities consisting of the set  $\Sigma$  of regular identities true in *V* and an identity of the form

$$(i) \qquad x \cdot y = x.$$

(See e.g. [PiR].) In other words, the variety *V* is strongly irregular ([PIP]), and as is easily seen, 1-reductive. The set  $\Sigma$  of regular identities true in *V* defines the *regularization*  $\tilde{V}$  of *V* ([PIR]). Evidently  $\tilde{V}$  contains *V*, so  $\tilde{V}$  is a supervariety of *V*. Other supervarieties of *V*, interesting for us in this note, are the varieties  $R_n(V)$  defined by the idempotent laws, the distributive laws  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$  obviously true for *V*, and the *n-reduction* law  $(r_n)$ . Note that the varieties  $R_n(V)$  are all contained in the idempotent variety  $D(V)$  of  $\Omega$ -algebras defined by the identities  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$ . Note also that the variety  $R_n(V)$  depends on the term  $x \cdot y$  chosen for the axiomatization of the variety *V*.

In general, consider for a fixed  $\Omega$ -word  $x \cdot y$ , the idempotent variety *V* defined by the above distributive laws  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$ . Let *U* and *W* be subvarieties of *V*. Recall that the *Mal'cev product*  $U \circ W$  of *U* and *W* (relative to *V*) consists of *V*-algebras  $(A, \Omega)$  with a congruence  $\theta$  such that  $(A^\theta, \Omega)$  is in *W*, and each  $\theta$ -class  $(a^\theta, \Omega)$  is in *U*. The product  $U \circ W$  is a quasivariety ([M]), but in general it is not a variety. The rôle of Mal'cev products for *n-reductive* varieties is explained by the following.

**Theorem 1.1** ([PiR]). *Let V be the idempotent variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras defined by all the left distributive laws (ld). Let n be a positive integer. Then all k-reductive subvarieties  $R_k(V)$  of V, for  $k < n$ , are related as follows:*

$$R_{n-k}(V) \circ R_k(V) \subseteq R_n(V). \qquad \square$$

A better result is obtained in the case of *mode* varieties, i.e. idempotent varieties satisfying the entropic laws. Note that the idempotent and entropic laws imply all distributive laws  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$  for each (derived) binary operation.

**Theorem 1.2** ([PiR]). *Let  $V$  be the variety of  $\Omega$ -modes. Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then all  $k$ -reductive subvarieties  $R_k(V)$  of  $V$ , for  $k < n$ , are related as follows:*

$$R_{n-k}(V) \circ R_k(V) = R_n(V). \quad \square$$

In particular, Theorem 1.2 implies that  $\circ$  is associative and commutative, and

$$R_n(V) = (R_1(V))^n.$$

The paper [RT] provides some construction methods for  $R_n(V)$ -algebras from  $R_{n-k}(V)$ -and  $R_k(V)$ -algebras.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 (see [PiR]) is based on the following:

**Lemma 1.3** ([PRR], [PiR]). *For a fixed type  $r : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  and an  $\Omega$ -term  $x \cdot y$ , the following identities are equivalent in the variety of  $\Omega$ -modes*

- (i) 
$$x^n y = x,$$
- (ii) 
$$x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot \dots (x_{n-1} \cdot x_n) \dots) = x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot \dots (x_n \cdot y) \dots) \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.3 remains true for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ , if one drops entropicity, and instead assumes both distributive laws ( $ld$ ) and ( $rd$ ). Let  $D$  be the idempotent variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras satisfying the distributive laws ( $ld$ ) and ( $rd$ ).

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $x \cdot y$  be an  $\Omega$ -term as above. Then the following two identities are equivalent in the variety  $D$ :*

- (i) 
$$x^2 y = x,$$
- (ii) 
$$x \cdot yz = xy.$$

**PROOF:** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious. We will prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Applying repeatedly 2-reductive and distributive laws, one gets the following

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot yz &= (x^2 y)(yz) \\ &= (x \cdot yz)(xy \cdot yz) \\ &= (xy \cdot xz)(xy \cdot yz) \\ &= xy \cdot (xz \cdot yz) \\ &= xy \cdot (xy \cdot z) \\ &= xy. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 1.5.** *For an  $\Omega$ -term as above, the following two identities are equivalent in the variety  $D$ :*

- (i) 
$$x^3y = x,$$
- (ii) 
$$x(y \cdot zt) = x \cdot yz.$$

**PROOF:** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious. We will prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). First we show several consequences of the identity (i), if it holds in the variety  $D$ :

- (a) 
$$x(y \cdot xt) = xy \cdot x.$$

Applying distributivity and (i) one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} x(y \cdot xt) &= xy \cdot x^2t = x^3t \cdot (y \cdot x^2t) \\ &= x(y \cdot x^2t) = xy \cdot x^3t = xy \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) 
$$x^2(zt) = x^2(zx).$$

We again use distributivity, (i) and (a) to show the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2(zt) &= x^2z \cdot x^2t = x^3t \cdot (xz \cdot x^2t) \\ &= x \cdot (xz \cdot x^2t) = x(x(z \cdot xt)) \\ &= x(xz \cdot x) = x^2(zx). \end{aligned}$$

Now (b) obviously implies

- (c) 
$$x^2z = x^2(zt).$$
- (d) 
$$x(y^2t) = xy.$$

This identity follows by distributivity, and the identities (a) and (c):

$$\begin{aligned} x(y^2t) &= xy \cdot (x \cdot yt) = x^2(yt) \cdot y(x \cdot yt) \\ &= x^2(yt) \cdot yxy = x^2y \cdot yxy \\ &= xy \cdot xy = xy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove that (i) implies (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} x(y \cdot zt) &= xy \cdot (x \cdot zt) \\ &= x(x \cdot zt) \cdot y(x \cdot zt) \\ &= x^2z \cdot y(x \cdot zt) \end{aligned} \qquad \text{by (c)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (x \cdot y(x \cdot zt)) \cdot (xz \cdot y(x \cdot zt)) && \text{by (a)} \\
 &= (xy \cdot x)((xy \cdot x)(z \cdot y(x \cdot zt))) \\
 &= (xy \cdot x)((xy \cdot x)z) && \text{by (c)} \\
 &= (xy \cdot x)((xy \cdot z) \cdot xz) = ((xy \cdot x)(xy \cdot z))((xy \cdot x)(xz)) \\
 &= (xy \cdot xz)(xyx \cdot xz) = (xy \cdot xyx) \cdot xz \\
 &= x(y^2x \cdot z) = x(y^2x) \cdot xz \\
 &= xy \cdot xz = x \cdot yz. && \text{by (d)} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemmata 1.4 and 1.5 make it possible to use the same proof as for Theorem 1.2 in the following situation.

**Theorem 1.6.** *The left reductive subvarieties of the subvariety  $R_3(D)$  of  $D$  are related as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2(D) &= R_1(D) \circ R_1(D), \\
 R_3(D) &= R_1(D) \circ R_2(D) = R_2(D) \circ R_1(D) \\
 &= R_1(D) \circ (R_1(D) \circ R_1(D)) \\
 &= (R_1(D) \circ R_1(D)) \circ R_1(D). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $D$  is the variety IDG of idempotent and distributive groupoids, i.e. groupoids satisfying the distributive laws

$$x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz \quad \text{and} \quad xy \cdot z = xz \cdot yz,$$

then  $R_1(D) = Lz$ , the variety of left-zero semigroups. In this case one can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2(D) &= (Lz)^2, \\
 R_3(D) &= (Lz)^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, we do not know whether the inclusion in Theorem 1.1 can be replaced with equality as in Theorem 1.2.

In subsequent sections we will be interested in the relation between general left  $k$ -reductive and right  $n$ -reductive varieties of  $\Omega$ -algebras defined for a fixed binary word  $x \cdot y$ .

### 2. Independent joins of varieties

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be varieties of  $\Omega$ -algebras of the same fixed type. The varieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are *independent* if there is an  $\Omega$ -word  $x_1x_2d$  with two variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , called a *decomposition word*, such that the identity  $x_1x_2d = x_i$  holds in  $V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It is well known that whenever the varieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are independent, each algebra  $(A, \Omega)$  in their join  $V = V_1 \vee V_2$  is isomorphic to

a product  $(A_1, \Omega) \times (A_2, \Omega)$ , with  $(A_i, \Omega)$  in  $V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and the algebras  $(A_i, \Omega)$  are determined up to isomorphism. In this case, we denote the join  $V$  of  $V_i$  by  $V_1 + V_2$  and say that  $V$  is an *independent join* of the subvarieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . (See [GLP]. Note however that  $V$  is called a “product” there. “Direct sum” is another name used for such a join [RS].) As was shown in [Kn], in the case where the independent varieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  have finite bases for their identities, their join  $V_1 + V_2$  is also finitely based. In the case where  $V_1$  is a left reductive variety, and  $V_2$  is a right reductive variety, it is very easy to find the basis for  $V_1 + V_2$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be varieties of  $\Omega$ -algebras, the first one being  $k$ -reductive and the second one right  $n$ -reductive for a fixed  $\Omega$ -word  $x \cdot y$ . If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are independent, and  $x_1x_2d$  is a corresponding decomposition word, then the independent join  $V = V_1 + V_2$  is the idempotent variety of algebras satisfying all the distributive identities  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$ , and additionally the following ones:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11}x_{12}dx_{21}x_{22}dd &= x_{11}x_{22}d, \\ (x_{11} \dots x_{1m}\omega)(x_{21} \dots x_{2m}\omega)d &= (x_{11}x_{21}d) \dots (x_{1m}x_{2m}d)\omega, \\ (x^k y)zd &= xzd, \\ x(yz^n)d &= xzd \end{aligned}$$

for each  $(m$ -ary)  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ . □

The proof goes exactly as the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [PRR], where a similar result is formulated for mode varieties. We will omit it here.

### 3. On the independence of left and right reductive varieties

In this section, it will be shown that for a fixed  $\Omega$ -word  $x \cdot y$  as in Section 1, and any positive numbers  $k$  and  $n$ , the varieties  $R_k$  of  $k$ -reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras and  $R'_n$  of right  $n$ -reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras are independent.

For a fixed  $n$ , we define a sequence of binary  $\Omega$ -words as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &:= xy^n, \\ d_2 &:= xd_1^n = x(xy^n)^n, \dots, \\ d_{m+1} &:= xd_m^n. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows,  $D$  will denote the idempotent supervariety of  $R_k$  and  $R'_n$  defined by all the distributive laws  $(ld)$  and  $(rd)$ . We start with a number of lemmas that will eventually show that the words  $d_k$  are decomposition words for the varieties  $R_k$  and  $R'_n$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The variety  $D$  satisfies the following identities for each positive  $m$ :*

$$xd_{m+1} = x(xd_m)^n = (x^2d_m)(xd_m)^{n-1}.$$

PROOF: By definition

$$\begin{aligned}
 xd_{m+1} &= x(xd_m^n) \\
 &= x((xd_m)d_m^{n-1}) \\
 &= (x^2d_m)(xd_m)^{n-1} \quad (\text{by distributivity}) \\
 &= x(xd_m)^n. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The variety  $D$  satisfies the following identity for each  $m \geq 2$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 xd_m &= ((\dots((x^m d_1)(x^{m-1} d_1)^{n-1})(x^{m-1} d_1)(x^{m-2} d_1)^{n-1})^{n-1} \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots((x^3 d_1)(x^2 d_1)^{n-1})^{n-1} \dots)^{n-1} \\
 &= ((\dots((x^{m-1} d_1)(x^{m-2} d_1)^{n-1})(x^{m-2} d_1)(x^{m-3} d_1)^{n-1})^{n-1} \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots((x^2 d_1)(x d_1)^{n-1})^{n-1} \dots)^{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: By induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 2$ , Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$xd_2 = x(xd_1)^n = (x^2d_1)(xd_1)^{n-1}.$$

To make the calculations in the general case more readable, let us calculate  $xd_3$ , too:

$$\begin{aligned}
 xd_3 &= x(xd_2)^n = (x^2d_2)(xd_2)^{n-1} \\
 &= x((x^2d_1)(xd_1)^{n-1})(x^2d_1)(xd_1)^{n-1})^{n-1} \\
 &= ((x^3d_1)(x^2d_1)^{n-1})(x^2d_1)(xd_1)^{n-1})^{n-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

the first and second equalities following by Lemma 3.1, and the fourth by distributivity.

To make the notation and calculations easier, we introduce a certain encoding of the expressions appearing in  $xd_m$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we denote by  $i$  the expression  $x^i d_1$ , and we replace by  $j$  any power  $n - j$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^m d_1 &=: m \\
 (x^m d_1)(x^{m-1} d_1)^{n-1} &=: m(m-1)^1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The word  $xd_m$  is encoded as

$$\begin{aligned}
 xd_m &= ((\dots((m(m-1)^1)((m-1)(m-2)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots(32^1)^1 \dots)^1) \\
 &= ((\dots(((m-1)(m-2)^1)((m-2)(m-3)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots(21^1)^1 \dots)^1)^1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will show that if the identity of Lemma 3.2 holds for  $m$ , then it also holds for  $m + 1$ . Again, we use Lemma 3.1 and the distributivity. So assume that the identity holds for  $m$ . Then since by distributivity

$$\begin{aligned} x(ab^j) &= x(ab^{j-1}) \cdot (xb) \\ &= (x(ab^{j-2}) \cdot (xb)) \cdot (xb) \\ &= \dots \\ &= (xa)(xb)^j, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} xd_{m+1} &= (x^2d_m)(xd_m)^{n-1} \\ &= [x \cdot xd_m][xd_m]^{n-1} \\ &= [((\dots(((m+1)m^1)(m(m-1)^1)^1) \dots) \\ &\quad (\dots (43^1)^1 \dots)^1) \\ &\quad ((\dots((m(m-1)^1)((m-1)(m-2)^1)^1) \dots) \\ &\quad (\dots (21^1)^1 \dots)^1)^1] \\ &= [((\dots((m(m-1)^1)((m-1)(m-2)^1)^1) \dots) \\ &\quad (\dots (32^1)^1 \dots)^1) \\ &\quad ((\dots(((m-1)(m-2)^1)((m-2)(m-3)^1)^1) \dots) \\ &\quad (\dots (21^1)^1 \dots)^1)^1]^1. \end{aligned}$$

By induction the identity of Lemma 3.2 holds for each  $m \geq 2$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** *The variety  $D$  satisfies the following identity for each positive  $p$ :*

$$x^p d_1 = (x^{p+1}y)(x^p y)^{n-1}.$$

PROOF: By induction on  $p$ . For  $p = 1$ , distributivity implies

$$\begin{aligned} xd_1 &= x(xy^n) = x((xy)y^{n-1}) \\ &= (x^2y)(xy)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that the identity of 3.3 holds for  $p$ . Then distributivity implies

$$\begin{aligned} x^{p+1}d_1 &= x(x^p d_1) = x[(x^{p+1}y)(x^p y)^{n-1}] \\ &= (x^{p+2}y)(x^{p+1}y)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, the identity of 3.3 holds for all positive  $p$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** *If a  $D$ -algebra  $(A, \Omega)$  is  $m+1$ -reductive, then it satisfies the identity*

$$xd_m = x.$$

PROOF: First note that Lemma 3.3 and the  $m + 1$ -reductive law imply that

$$\begin{aligned} x^m d_1 &= (x^{m+1}y)(x^m y)^{n-1} \\ &= x (x^m y)^{n-1} \\ &= (x(x^m y))(x^m y)^{n-2} \\ &= x(x^m y)^{n-2} \\ &= \dots \\ &= x^{m+1}y = x. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation for subwords of  $xd_m$ :

$$b_1 := m - 1,$$

$$b_2 := (m - 1)(m - 2)^1,$$

...

$$b_i := (\dots((m - 1)(m - 2)^1) \dots)(\dots ((m - (i - 1))(m - i)^1) \dots)^1,$$

with  $i - 1$  powers 1 at the end, and

$$a_0 := m,$$

$$a_1 := mb_1^1,$$

$$a_2 := mb_2^1,$$

...

$$a_i := mb_i^1,$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ . We will show by finite induction on  $i$  that each  $a_i$  equals  $m$ . We know already that

$$a_0 = m.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} mb_1 &= m(m - 1) = (x^m d_1)(x^{m-1} d_1) \\ &= x(x^{m-1} d_1) = x^m d_1 =: m, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= mb_1^1 = m(m - 1)^1 = (m(m - 1))(m - 1)^2 \\ &= m(m - 1)^2 = (m(m - 1))(m - 1)^3 \\ &= m(m - 1)^3 = \dots = m(m - 1) = m. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that all  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}$  equal  $m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 mb_i &= m[(\dots(((m-1)(m-2)^1)((m-2)(m-3)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots ((m-i+1)(m-i)^1 \dots)^1] \\
 &= (\dots((m(m-1)^1)((m-1)(m-2)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots ((m-i+2)(m-i+1)^1)^1) \dots)^1 \\
 &= (\dots(a_1((m-1)(m-2)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots ((m-i+2)(m-i+1)^1)^1 \dots)^1 \\
 &= (\dots((mb_2^1)((m-1)(m-2)^1)((m-2)(m-3)^1)^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots ((m-i+2)(m-i+1)^1 \dots)^1 \\
 &= (\dots(a_2b_3^1) \dots) \\
 &\quad (\dots ((m-i+2)(m-i+1)^1 \dots)^1 \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= m(\dots ((m-i+2)(m-i+1)^1 \dots)^1 \\
 &= mb_{i-1}^1 = a_{i-1} = m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_i &= mb_i^1 = (mb_i)b_i^2 = mb_i^2 \\
 &= (mb_i)b_i^3 = mb_i^3 = \dots \\
 &= mb_i = m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_0 = m = x^m d_1 = x$ , it follows easily that  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{m-1} = x$ . Then Lemma 3.2 and the  $m + 1$ -reductive law imply that

$$xd_m = mb_{m-1}^1 = a_{m-1} = mm = x. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *If a  $D$ -algebra  $(A, \Omega)$  is  $m + 1$ -reductive, then it satisfies the identity*

$$d_{m+1} = x.$$

PROOF: By Lemma 3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{m+1} &= xd_m^m = (xd_m)d_m^{m-1} = xd_m^{m-1} \\
 &= (xd_m)d_m^{m-2} = xd_m^{m-2} = \dots = xd_m = x. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *If a  $D$ -algebra  $(A, \Omega)$  is right  $n$ -reductive, then it satisfies the identity  $d_m = y$  for each positive number  $m$ .*

PROOF: By the right  $n$ -reductive law

$$d_1 = xy^n = y.$$

Hence

$$d_2 = xd_1^n = xy^n = y,$$

$$d_3 = xd_2^n = xy^n = y,$$

...

$$d_m = xd_{m-1}^n = xy^n = y. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *For a fixed  $\Omega$ -word  $x \cdot y$ , and any positive numbers  $k$  and  $n$ , the varieties  $R_k$  of  $k$ -reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras and  $R'_n$  of right  $n$ -reductive  $\Omega$ -algebras are independent.*

PROOF: Lemmas 3.1–3.6 give the proof. The word  $d_k$  is the decomposition word. □

**Corollary 3.8.** *The join of the varieties  $R_k$  and  $R'_n$  is independent, i.e.*

$$R_k \vee R'_n = R_k + R'_n. \quad \square$$

Note that the right-distributive laws ( $rd$ ) were not used in the proof of Theorem 3.7. However, assuming them allows us to use the dual version of Theorem 1.1 for  $R'_n$ -algebras, and thus makes it possible to describe the structure of  $R_k + R'_n$ -algebras.

#### 4. Further comments and questions

If the varieties  $R_k$  and  $R'_n$  of the previous section are entropic, i.e. they are varieties of modes, the results of [PiR] show not only that  $R_k$  and  $R'_n$  are independent, but also that

$$R_k + R'_n = R_k \circ_E R'_n = R_{k,n}.$$

Here  $\circ_E$  denotes the Mal'cev product relative to the variety of  $\Omega$ -modes, and  $R_{k,n}$  is the variety of  $\Omega$ -modes defined by the identity

$$(r_{k,n}) \quad x^k y x^n = x.$$

Note that the variety  $D$  satisfies the identity

$$x^k (y x_n) = (x^k y) x^n.$$

Since the variety of  $\Omega$ -modes is a subvariety of the variety  $D$ , one can safely use notation as in  $(r_{n,k})$ . For reductive varieties we obviously have the following inclusions:

$$R_k + R'_n \subseteq R_k \circ R'_n \subseteq R_{k,n}.$$

Here the Mal'cev product is taken relative to the variety  $D$ , and  $R_{k,n}$  is the subvariety of  $D$  defined by the identity  $(r_{k,n})$ . In the case  $k = n = 1$ , and  $D$  being the variety IDG of groupoids, it is well known that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 \circ R'_1 &= R_1 \circ_E R'_1 = Re = R_{1,1} \\ &= R_1 + R'_1 = Lz + Rz, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Re$  is the variety of rectangular semigroups and  $Rz$  is the variety of right zero semigroups. (See e.g. [Du]). In general, we do not know if the three classes  $R_k + R'_n$ ,  $R_k \circ R'_n$  and  $R_{k,n}$  coincide. A positive solution of this problem, and of that at the end of Section 1, would give a nice characterization of the varieties  $R_{k,n}$ . Note also that for  $k$  and  $n$  equal 2 or 3, and  $D$  equal IDG, Theorem 1.6 implies that

$$R_k + R'_n = (Lz)^k + (Rz)^n.$$

The structure of groupoids in  $(Lz)^k$  and in  $(Rz)^n$  may be described using results of [RT].

#### REFERENCES

- [De] Dehornoy P., *Notes on Self-distributivity*, preprint, Mathématiques, Université de Caen, France.
- [Du] Dudek J., *On varieties of groupoid modes*, Demonstratio Math. **27** (1994), 815–828.
- [GLP] Grätzer G., Lakser H., Płonka J., *Joins and direct product of equational classes*, Canad. Math. Bull. **12** (1969), 741–744.
- [JKN] Ježek J., Kepka T., Némec P., *Distributive Groupoids*, Academia, Praha, 1981.
- [Kn] Knoebel A., *A product of independent algebras with finitely generated identities*, Algebra Universalis **3** (1973), 147–151.
- [M] Mal'cev A.I., *Multiplication of classes of algebraic systems* (in Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **8** (1967), 346–365.
- [PiR] Pilitowska A., Romanowska A., *Reductive modes*, Periodica Math. Hung. **36** (1998), 67–78.
- [PiR] Płonka J., Romanowska A., *Semilattice sums*, Universal Algebra and Quasigroups (eds. A. Romanowska, J.D.H. Smith), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1992), 123–158.
- [PRR] Pilitowska A., Romanowska A., Roszkowska B., *Products of mode varieties and algebras of subalgebras*, Math. Slovaca **46** (1996), 497–514.
- [RS] Romanowska A., Smith J.D.H., *Modal Theory*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [RT] Romanowska A., Traina S., *Algebraic quasi-orders and sums of algebras*, Discuss. Math. Algebra Stochastic Methods **19** (1999), 239–263.

WARSAW TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PLAC POLITECHNIKI,  
00661 WARSAW, POLAND

and

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMES, IOWA 50011, USA

*E-mail:* aroman@alpha.im.pw.edu.pl

(Received December 18, 1998)