Sergio Celani, Ismael Calomino

Some remarks on distributive semilattices

Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 54,3 (2013) 407 -428.

Abstract: In this paper we shall give a survey of the most important characterizations of the notion of distributivity in semilattices with greatest element and we will present some new ones through annihilators and relative maximal filters. We shall also simplify the topological representation for distributive semilattices given in Celani S.A., *Topological representation of distributive semilattices*, Sci. Math. Japonicae online **8** (2003), 41–51, and show that the meet-relations are closed under composition. So, we obtain that the *DS*spaces with meet-relations is a category dual to the category of distributive semilattices with homomorphisms. These results complete the topological representation presented in Celani S.A., *Topological representation of distributive semilattices*, Sci. Math. Japonicae online **8** (2003), 41–51, without the use of ordered topological spaces. Finally, following the work of G. Bezhanishvili and R. Jansana in *Generalized Priestley quasi-orders*, Order **28** (2011), 201–220, we will prove a characterization of homomorphic images of a distributive semilattice A by means of family of closed subsets of the dual space endowed with a lower Vietoris topology.

Keywords: distributive semilattices; topological representation; meet-relations AMS Subject Classification: Primary 03G10, 06A12; Secondary 06D50

References

- Balbes R., A representation theory for prime and implicative semilattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (1969), 261–267.
- Bezhanishvili G., Jansana R., Priestley style duality for distributive meet-semilattices, Studia Logica 98 (2011), 83–122.
- [3] Bezhanishvili G., Jansana R., Generalized Priestley quasi-orders, Order 28 (2011), 201–220.
- [4] Celani S.A., Topological representation of distributive semilattices, Sci. Math. Japonicae online 8 (2003), 41–51.
- [5] Chajda I., Halaš R., Kühr J., Semilattice Structures, Research and Exposition in Mathematics, 30, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 2007.
- [6] Cornish W.H., Hickman R.C., Weakly distributive semilattices, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 32 (1978), 5–16.
- [7] Grätzer G., General Lattice Theory, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [8] Gierz G., Hofmann K.H., Keimel K., Lawson J.D., Mislove M.W., Scott D.S., Continuous Lattices and Domains, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [9] Hickman R.C., Mildly distributive semilattices, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 36 (1984), 287–315.
- [10] Mandelker M., Relative annihilators in lattices, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 377-386.
- [11] Priestley H.A., Representation of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 186–190.
- [12] Rhodes J.B., Modular and distributive semilattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 201 (1975), 31–41.
- [13] Stone M., Topological representation of distributive lattices and Brouwerian logics, Casopis pěst. mat. fys. 67 (1937), 1–25.
- [14] Varlet J.C., A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 37 (1968), 149–158.
- [15] Varlet J.C., Distributive semilattices and Boolean lattices, Bull. Soc. Roy. Liège 41 (1972), 5–10.
- [16] Varlet J.C., Relative annihilators in semilattices, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 9 (1973), 169–185.
- [17] Varlet J.C., On separation properties in semilattices, Semigroup Forum 10 (1975), 220–228.