

On centrally nilpotent loops

L.V. SAFONOVA, K.K. SHCHUKIN

Abstract. Using a lemma on subnormal subgroups, the problem of nilpotency of multiplication groups and inner permutation groups of centrally nilpotent loops is discussed.

Keywords: group, subnormal subgroup, loop, multiplication group, inner permutation group

Classification: 20N05, 20B35

R. Baer proved, among others, the following result ([1, Lemma 2.3]): a subgroup H of a group G is subnormal in G if and only if H is subnormal in the subgroup $\langle H, X \rangle$ for every denumerable subset X of G . Moreover, in the same paper, an easy counterexample shows that it is impossible to replace “denumerable” by “finite”. As an extension of both this idea and another one [2], we deduce its new variant.

First, we recall some notions. For a subgroup H of a group G we put $H_0 = G$, $H_{i+1} = H^{H_i} = \langle xhx^{-1} \mid h \in H, x \in H_i \rangle$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$. If there exists an n such that $H_n = H^{H_{n-1}} = H$ then H is called a subnormal subgroup of depth (or defect) at most n in G . H is of depth (exactly) n if, moreover, $H_{n-1} \neq H$. In the last case, $G = H_0 \triangleright H_1 \triangleright \dots \triangleright H_{n-1} \triangleright H_n = H$ and H is nonnormal in H_{n-2} for $n > 1$.

Lemma. *Let H be a subgroup of a group G and n be a nonnegative integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) H is subnormal of depth at most n in G ;
- (ii) H is subnormal of depth at most n in the subgroup $\langle H, X \rangle$ of G for every denumerable subset X of G ;
- (iii) H is subnormal of depth at most n in the subgroup $\langle H, X \rangle$ of G for every finite subset X of G .

PROOF: The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are clear. As for (iii) \Rightarrow (i), its proof can be deduced from the proof of [1, Lemma 2.1]. Nevertheless we present a direct proof here. Let us assume that the condition (iii) of Lemma is fulfilled but $H \neq H_n$. Then there is $x_0 \in H_{n-1}$ such that $x_0 H x_0^{-1} = H^{x_0} \not\subseteq H$. Since $H_{n-1} = H^{H_{n-2}}$, there exists a finite subset $X_1 \subseteq H_{n-2}$ such that $x_0 \in H^{X_1}$. Let us assume that $X_i \subseteq H_{n-i-1}$ is selected so that $X_{i-1} \subseteq H^{X_i}$. Then $H_{n-i-1} = H^{H_{n-i-2}}$ implies the existence of a subset $X_{i+1} \subseteq H_{n-i-2}$ such that $X_i \subseteq H^{X_{i+1}}$.

Now, for the finite subset $X_{n-1} \subseteq H_0$, we construct the subgroup $\langle H, X_{n-1} \rangle = K$. Since by (iii) the subgroup H is subnormal in K of depth n , we obtain $H^{K,n} = H$, where $K = H^{K,0}$, $H^{K,i+1} = H^{H_{K,i}}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. On the other hand, $X_{n-1} \subseteq K = H^{K,0}$. If $X_i \subseteq H^{K_{n-i-1}}$ then $X_{i-1} \subseteq H^{X_i} \subseteq H^{K_{n-i-1}} = H^{K_{n-i}}$. From this $x_0 \in H^{X_1} \in H^{H^{K,n-2}} = H^{K,n-1}$ and hence $H^{x_0} \subseteq H^{H^{X_1}} \subseteq H^{H^{K,n-1}} = H$ in contradiction to our assumption. \square

Remark. For $n = 2$, there is the fourth equivalent condition:

- (iv) H is subnormal of depth at most 2 in the subgroup $\langle H, X \rangle$ of G for every subset X of G , $|X| = 1$.

PROOF: Let, on the contrary, condition (iv) be satisfied and $H_2 \neq H$. Since H is a nonnormal subgroup in G , there is an element $x_0 \in G$ such that $x_0 H x_0^{-1} = H^{x_0} \not\subseteq N_G(H)$ (the normalizer of H in G) Then there are elements $h_0 \in H$ and $x_0 h_0 x_0^{-1} = x_1$ such that $x_1 H x_1 = H^{x_1} \subseteq H^{H_1} = H_2$ and $H \not\supseteq H^{x_1}$. Now we construct the subgroup $A = \langle H, x_0 \rangle$ and then $H_{A,0} = A$, $H^{H_{A,0}} = H_{A,1} \ni x_0$ and $H^{x_1} \subseteq H^{H_{A,1}} = H_{A,2} = H$ in contradiction to our assumption. \square

The equivalence of (i) and (iv) is false for $n = 3$: there is a group of order 5^{20} and exponent 5 with the properties that every 2 elements generate a subgroup of class 3 and that the group itself has class precisely 5 ([6, Theorem 4]). For $n > 3$, an expected answer is also negative.

As an immediate corollary of Lemma we obtain a new version of well known

Theorem 1 ([3, 2.19]). *Let Q be a loop with inner permutation group $I(Q)$ and multiplication group $M(Q)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $I(Q)$ satisfies at least one (and then every) of the conditions of Lemma;
- (2) Q is centrally nilpotent of class at most n .

It can also be proved that the multiplication group $M(Q)$ of a centrally nilpotent loop Q is soluble ([3, Proposition 2.22]). This leads to a natural

Question. For which class of centrally nilpotent loops their multiplication groups are nilpotent?

Moreover, the question is under which hypotheses the following statements:

- (3) $M(Q)$ is nilpotent of class at most m ;
- (4) $I(Q)$ is subnormal and nilpotent of class at most $n - 1$;

are equivalent to the condition (2) of Theorem 1?

In an attempt to answer this question, we examine in a loop Q the (upper) central series

$$(\alpha) \quad e = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_i \subset Z_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset Z_n = Q,$$

where $Z_{i+1}/Z_i = Z(Q/Z_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ($Z(Q)$ denotes the center of the loop Q), which induces invariant series both in $M(Q) = G$

$$(\beta) \quad 1 = \bar{C}_0 \subset \bar{C}_1 \subset Z_1^* \subset \bar{C}_2 \subset \dots \subset Z_i^* \subset \bar{C}_{i+1} \subset Z_{i+1}^* \subset \dots \\ \dots \subset Z_{n-1}^* \subset \bar{C}_n = G,$$

where $Z_i^* = \{ \Psi \in G \mid \Psi(x) \equiv x \pmod{Z_1}, x \in Q \}$, $\bar{C}_{i+1}/Z_i^* = C(G/Z_i^*)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and in the inner permutation group $I(Q) = I$

$$(\gamma) \quad 1 = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \subset I_i \subset I_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset I_{n-1} = I,$$

where $I_i = I \cap Z_i^*$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$.

When the series (α) induces also the upper central series of $M(Q)$

$$(\delta) \quad 1 = C_0 \subset C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \dots \subset C_i \subset C_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset C_m = G,$$

where $C_{i+1}/C_i = C(G/C_i)$ and $C_1 = \bar{C}_1 \cong Z_1$?

Besides the trivial case $C_i = Z_i^*$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, when $Q \cong M(Q)$ is Abelian, a central refinement of (β) by (δ) is possible in the following situations:

- (A) $Z_i^* \not\subseteq C_{i+1} = \bar{C}_{i+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and evidently $M(Q)$ will be nilpotent of class $m = n$;
- (B) $Z_i^* = C_{2i}$, and then $\bar{C}_{i+1} = C_{2i+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, so that $M(Q)$ will be nilpotent of class $m = 2n - 1$.

In both cases (A) and (B), we have the following conclusion:

$$(\Gamma) \quad Z_1^* \subseteq C_2 \Leftrightarrow Z_1^* \cap I = C_2 \cap I = I_1, \text{ in particular } I_1 \subseteq C(I) \text{ and } Z_1^* = C_1 \cdot I_1, \\ C_1 \cap I_1 = 1.$$

In fact, every $\Psi \in Z_1^*$ has a unique representation as $\Psi = L_z \Theta$, $z \in Z_1$, $\Theta \in I_1 = Z_1^* \cap I$ and $I_1 \cap C_1 = 1$, so that the converse implication is trivial. If $Z_1^* \subseteq C_2$ then $(C_2/Z_1^*) \cap I/Z_1^* \cap I \subseteq (\bar{C}_2/Z_1^*) \cap (I/Z_1^* \cap I) = 1$, i.e. $Z_1^* \cap I = C_2 \cap I = I_1$. Now for $\Theta \in I_1$, $\eta \in I$ we have $\Theta^{-1} \eta^{-1} \Theta \eta \in (C_1 \cap I_1) = 1$, hence $\Theta \in I_1 \subseteq C(I)$.

Using (Γ) and induction on i , we can easily deduce:

- (Δ) In both cases (A) and (B), the inner permutation group $I(Q) = I$ of Q is nilpotent of class (at most) $n - 1$.

Now, according to what has been said above, we can formulate

Proposition. *Under hypotheses of Theorem 1 and provided that either (A) or (B) is fulfilled, the following statement is valid: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4).*

Indeed, it is clear that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4). Since the series (α) and (β) are dual, (3) \Rightarrow (2) is also correct. Moreover, the implication (4) \Rightarrow (2) will be correct in a particular case of (Γ) :

$$(\Gamma_0) \quad Z_1^* \subseteq C_2 \Rightarrow Z_1^* \cap I = Z_1^* \cap C_2 = I_1 = C(I).$$

For example, this condition is true for commutative Moufang loops ([4, Lemma 11.6, Chapter VIII]). The case (B) is realized by

Theorem 2 (cf. [4, 11.4, Chapter VIII]; [5]). *Let Q be a commutative A-loop ($I(Q) \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$) with inner permutation group $I = I(Q)$ and multiplication group $M(Q)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (I) Q is centrally nilpotent of class at most n ;
- (II) $M(Q)$ is nilpotent of class at most $2n - 1$.

PROOF: According to Proposition, it is sufficient to establish $Z_1^* = C_2$ and to use easy induction on i . For every $\Theta \in Z_1^* \cap I$, $x \in Q$ and some $z \in Z_1$, we have $\Theta(x) = xz$. Using $\Theta \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ we get $\Theta^{-1}L_x\Theta = L_{\Theta(x)} = L_xL_z$ and hence $L_x^{-1}\Theta^{-1}L_x\Theta = L_z \in C_1$, i.e. $\Theta \in C_2$. According to (Γ) we have $Z_1^* \subseteq C_2$. For the proof of the inverse inclusion, writing $\Psi \in C_2$ as $\Psi = L_a\Theta$, $a = \Psi(e)$, $\Theta \in I$ and using $I \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$, we get a chain of equalities and congruences: $L_aL_{\Theta(x)}\Theta = L_a\Theta L_x \equiv L_xL_a\Theta \pmod{C_1}$, i.e. $L_aL_{\Theta(x)} = L_xL_aL_z$ for every $x \in Q$ and suitable $z \in Z_1$. From this $\Theta(x) = L_{\Theta(x)}(e) = L_a^{-1}L_zL_xL_a(e) = L_a^{-1}(a \cdot xz) = xz$, i.e. $\Theta \in Z_1^*$. Since $L_{az} = L_aL_z$, we get $L_aL_xL_z = L_xL_aL_z$, i.e. $L_aL_x = L_xL_a$ for every $x \in Q$. Hence $a \in Z_1$ and $L_a\Theta = \Psi \in Z_1^*$. Therefore $Z_1^* = C_2$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2, the case (A) is impossible for commutative A-loops.

Acknowledgment. Both authors express their acknowledgement to Professor T. Kepka and Professor P. Némec for their attention and support of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baer R., *Abzählbar erkennbare gruppentheoretische Eigenschaften*, Math. Z. **79** (1962), 344-363.
- [2] Safonova L.V., Shchukin K.K., *About n -Engel elements of groups*, 12-th Algebr. Coll. of USSR, Thesis-book 1, Sverdlovsk, 1973, p. 54.
- [3] Smith J.D., *Multiplication groups of quasigroups*, Preprint 603, Technische Hochschule, Darmstadt, 1981.
- [4] Bruck R.H., *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [5] Shchukin K.K., *On nilpotency of the multiplication group of an A-loop*, Mat. Issled. **162** (1988), 116-117.
- [6] Macdonald D., Neumann B.H., *A third-Engel 5-group*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **7** (1967), 555-569.

MOLDOVA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, 60 MATEEVICH STR., MD-2009, CHISINAU, MOLDOVA

E-mail: shchukin@usm.md

(Received September 14, 1999, revised January 6, 2000)