

## Connected Hausdorff subtopologies

JACK PORTER

*Abstract.* A non-connected, Hausdorff space with a countable network has a connected Hausdorff-subtopology iff the space is not-H-closed. This result answers two questions posed by Tkačenko, Tkachuk, Uspenskij, and Wilson [Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 37 (1996), 825–841]. A non-H-closed, Hausdorff space with countable  $\pi$ -weight and no connected, Hausdorff subtopology is provided.

*Keywords:* connected, H-closed, extensions, condensations

*Classification:* 54C10, 54D05, 54D35

### Introduction

Let  $X$  be a space. A topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  is a **subtopology** of  $\tau(X)$  if  $\sigma \subseteq \tau(X)$ . The aim of this paper is to determine when a space has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology. Tkačenko, Tkachuk, Uspenskij, and Wilson [TTUW] have established these two results:

- (1) A countable infinite Hausdorff space has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology iff it is not H-closed.
- (2) A nonconnected,  $T_3$  space with a countable network has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology iff it is not compact.

In this paper we extend (1) and (2) and completely answer two of the questions posed in [TTUW] by proving this result:

**Main Theorem.** *A nonconnected, Hausdorff space with a countable network has a connected Hausdorff subtopology iff it is not H-closed.*

Examples are provided to show that the hypothesis property of countable network in the main theorem cannot be replaced by a countable  $\pi$ -weight or  $2^\omega$ -network. Vermeer [V] defined a Hausdorff space to be **absolute Katětov** if every Hausdorff subtopology has an H-closed subtopology and noted that H-closed spaces are absolute Katětov. He asked if every absolute Katětov is H-closed. We show that a countable Hausdorff space is absolute Katětov iff it is H-closed and provide an example of a non-H-closed space that is absolutely Katětov.

We extend the well-known result that a compact Hausdorff space with a countable network is second countable to this result: if  $X$  is an H-closed space with a countable network, then  $X(s)$  is second countable. An example of an H-closed space with a countable network is provided which is not second countable.

First some basic definitions (see [PW1]) are provided.

A Hausdorff space  $X$  is **H-closed** if whenever  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space and  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y$ , then  $X$  is closed in  $Y$ . For a Hausdorff space  $X$ , this is equivalent to the property that every open ultrafilter on  $X$  converges and to the property that for every open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = cl_X(\cup \mathcal{D})$ . A Hausdorff space  $X$  is **almost H-closed** if there is exactly one free open ultrafilter on  $X$ .

A space  $X$  is **feebly compact** (see 1.11 in [PW1]) if for every countable open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = cl_X(\cup \mathcal{D})$ . A space is not feebly compact iff there is an infinite locally finite family of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets. A Tychonoff space is feebly compact iff it is pseudocompact.

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and  $\tau(X)(s)$  be the topology generated by the open base  $\{int_X cl_X(U) : U \in \tau\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\tau(X)(s) \subseteq \tau(X)$  and that  $(X, \tau(X)(s))$ , sometimes denoted as  $X(s)$ , is also a Hausdorff space. In particular,  $\tau(X(s)) = \tau(X)(s)$ . A space  $X$  is **semiregular** if  $\tau(X)(s) = \tau(X)$ . The space  $X(s)$  is semiregular.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  **$\theta$ -continuous** if for each  $p \in X$  and open set  $U \in \tau(Y)$  such that  $f(p) \in U$ , there is an open set  $V \in \tau(X)$  such that  $p \in V$  and  $f[cl_X V] \subseteq cl_Y U$ .

Here are some known results about H-closed spaces and  $\theta$ -continuous functions that will be useful in the sequel.

**Fact 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Hausdorff spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjection.*

- (a) *If  $X$  is H-closed and  $f$  is  $\theta$ -continuous, then  $Y$  is also H-closed.*
- (b) *If  $X$  is connected and  $f$  is  $\theta$ -continuous, then  $Y$  is also connected.*
- (c) *The space  $X$  is H-closed iff  $X(s)$  is H-closed.*
- (d) *If  $X$  is H-closed and  $\sigma$  is a Hausdorff subtopology, then  $\tau(X(s)) \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \tau(X)$ .*
- (e) *The space  $X$  is connected iff  $X(s)$  is connected.*

**Note.** An easy consequence of Fact 1 is that an H-closed space has a connected Hausdorff subtopology iff it is connected.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a function. For  $A \subseteq Y$ , define  $f^\# [A] = \{x \in X : f^{-1}(x) \subseteq A\}$ . Note that for subsets  $A, B \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^\# [Y \setminus A] = X \setminus f[A]$  and  $f^\# [A \cap B] = f^\# [A] \cap f^\# [B]$ . The topology on  $Y$  generated by  $\{f^\# [U] : U \in \tau(Y)\}$  is called the  **$\theta$ -quotient topology** induced by  $f$ . The function  $f$  is called **irreducible** if for each nonempty open set  $U \in \tau(Y)$ , there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $f^{-1}(x) \subseteq U$ .

**Fact 2.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be onto and compact where  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space and  $X$  is a set. Let  $\sigma$  be the  $\theta$ -quotient topology induced by  $f$ . Then:*

- (a)  *$(X, \sigma)$  is a Hausdorff space,*
- (b) *if  $X$  is a space and  $f$  is closed, then  $\sigma \subseteq \tau(X)$ ,*

- (c) if  $f$  is irreducible, then  $f$  is  $\theta$ -continuous, and
- (d) if  $f$  is irreducible and  $Y$  is semiregular, then  $X$  is semiregular.

**Application 3.** (a) One obtains an easy proof of this result from [TTUW]: Let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff connected extension of a space  $X$ . If there is a closed, discrete subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|Y \setminus X| \leq |A|$ , then  $X$  has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology.

[If  $g : Y \setminus X \rightarrow A$  is any one-to-one function, it is straightforward to show that the function  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f(y) = g(y)$  for  $y \in Y \setminus X$  and  $f(x) = x$  for  $x \in X$  is a perfect irreducible surjection; apply Fact 2.]

(b) Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space with a countable  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{B}$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $clB$  is not feebly compact. By a result in [PW2] we know that  $X$  has a connected Hausdorff extension  $Y$  such that  $Y \setminus X$  is countable. There is an infinite closed discrete subset as  $X$  is not countably compact. Applying (a),  $X$  has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology.

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and let  $\Theta X = \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \text{ is an open ultrafilter on } X\}$ . For  $U \in \tau(X)$ , let  $O(U) = \{\mathcal{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . For  $U, V \in \tau(X)$ , it is easy to verify (see [PW1]) that  $O(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $O(X) = \Theta X$ ,  $O(U \cap V) = O(U) \cap O(V)$ ,  $O(U \cup V) = O(U) \cup O(V)$ ,  $\Theta X \setminus O(U) = O(X \setminus cl_X U)$ , and  $O(U) = O(int_X cl_X U)$ .  $\Theta X$  with the topology generated by  $\{O(U) : U \in \tau(X)\}$  is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space. The subspace  $EX = \{\mathcal{U} \in \Theta X : \mathcal{U} \text{ is fixed}\}$  is called the **absolute** of  $X$ . The function  $k : EX \rightarrow X$  defined by  $k(\mathcal{U})$  is the unique convergent point of  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a covering function. The subspace  $EX$  is dense in  $\Theta X$  (in particular,  $EX$  is an extremally disconnected Tychonoff space and  $\Theta X = \beta EX$ ), and the covering function  $k : EX \rightarrow X$  is irreducible,  $\theta$ -continuous, perfect and onto.

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is a **network** if for each open set  $U$  and  $p \in U$ , there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $p \in F \subseteq U$ . A space  $X$  with a countable network  $\mathcal{F}$  has a coarser second countable Hausdorff topology. This is verified by first letting  $\mathcal{H} = \{(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}^2 : \text{there are disjoint open sets } U, V \text{ such that } F \subseteq U \text{ and } G \subseteq V\}$ . For  $(F, G) \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $U_{FG}, V_{FG}$  be disjoint open sets such that  $F \subseteq U_{FG}, G \subseteq V_{FG}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}$  is countable and so  $\{U_{FG}, V_{FG} : (F, G) \in \mathcal{H}\}$  generates a second countable topology  $\sigma$  on  $X$  such that  $\sigma \subseteq \tau(X)$ . If  $p, q \in X$  are distinct points, there are disjoint open sets  $U, V \in \tau(X)$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $q \in V$ . So, there are  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $p \in F \subseteq U$  and  $q \in G \subseteq V$ . Now,  $U_{FG}, V_{FG}$  are disjoint open sets containing  $p, q$  respectively.

Thus, the  $\sigma$  is the desired coarser second countable Hausdorff topology.

A key lemma from [TTUW] is needed before we can start the proof of the main result.

**Lemma 4** ([TTUW]). *A noncompact, separable metrizable space has a separable metrizable subtopology which is nowhere locally compact.*

**Proof of the Main Theorem.** Suppose  $X$  is not H-closed and has a countable network for  $X$ . As  $X$  is Lindelöf and not H-closed, it follows that  $X$  is not feebly compact. Thus, there is a locally finite family  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets of  $X$ . It is easy to verify that  $\{O(U_n) : n \in \omega\}$  is a locally finite family of pairwise disjoint nonempty clopen subsets of  $EX$ . So,  $EX$  is not feebly compact. As  $EX$  is Tychonoff, it follows that  $EX$  is not pseudocompact and there is a continuous unbounded real-valued function  $f_0$  on  $EX$ . There is a countable family  $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  with the property that if  $p, q \in X$  and  $p \neq q$ , there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \in V_n$  and  $q \notin cl_X V_n$ . Now,  $EX \cap O(V_n)$  is a clopen subset of  $EX$ ; let  $f_n$  be the continuous real-valued function on  $EX$  such that  $f_n[EX \cap O(V_n)] = \{0\}$  and  $f_n[EX \setminus O(V_n)] = \{1\}$ . In particular, for  $p, q \in X$  and  $p \neq q$ , there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_n[k^{\leftarrow}(p)] = \{0\}$  and  $f_n[k^{\leftarrow}(q)] = \{1\}$ . The diagonal function  $f : EX \rightarrow \prod_{\omega} \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(y)(n) = f_n(y)$  for  $n \in \omega$  is continuous (not necessarily one-to-one),  $f[EX]$  is not compact as  $f_0$  is unbounded, and  $f[k^{\leftarrow}(p)] \cap f[k^{\leftarrow}(q)] = \emptyset$  for distinct points  $p, q \in X$ . By Lemma 4, the space  $f[EX]$  has a separable metrizable subtopology  $\mu$  which is nowhere locally compact. By Application 3(b),  $(f[EX], \mu)$  has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology  $\sigma$ . Since  $f[k^{\leftarrow}(p)] \cap f[k^{\leftarrow}(q)] = \emptyset$  for distinct points  $p, q \in X$ , it follows there is function  $g : f[EX] \rightarrow X$  such that  $g \circ f = k$ , i.e., the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 EX & \xlongequal{\quad} & EX \\
 f \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow k \\
 (f[X], \mu) & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
 id_{f[X]} \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow id_X \\
 (f[X], \sigma) & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
 id_{f[X]} \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow id_X \\
 (f[X], \sigma) & \xrightarrow{g} & (X, \rho)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $f : EX \rightarrow (f[X], \sigma)$  is continuous and for  $p \in X$ ,  $g^{\leftarrow}(p) = f[k^{\leftarrow}(p)]$ . Thus,  $g : (f[X], \sigma) \rightarrow X$  is a compact function. Clearly,  $g$  is onto. If  $A$  is a closed subset of  $(f[X], \sigma)$ , then  $g[A] = k[f^{\leftarrow}[A]]$  is closed in  $X$ . So,  $g$  is a closed function. If  $\emptyset \neq U \in \sigma$ , then there is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $k^{\leftarrow}(p) \subseteq f^{\leftarrow}[U]$ . So,  $g^{\leftarrow}(p) = f[k^{\leftarrow}(p)] \subseteq f[f^{\leftarrow}[U]] = U$ . This shows that  $g : (f[X], \sigma) \rightarrow X$  is irreducible.

Let  $\rho$  be the  $\theta$ -quotient topology on  $X$  induced by  $g : (f[X], \sigma) \rightarrow X$ . By Fact 2,  $(X, \rho)$  is a Hausdorff space,  $\rho \subseteq \tau(X)$ , and  $g : (f[X], \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$  is  $\theta$ -continuous. By Fact 1,  $(X, \rho)$  is connected.  $\square$

By using the fact that a countable H-closed space has a dense subset of isolated points [PW1], an easy consequence of the above theorem is the following known result which motivated the problem of this manuscript.

**Corollary** ([TTUW]). *A countable Hausdorff space has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology iff it is not H-closed.*

**Notation** ([PW1]). Let  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be filter bases on  $X$ . The notation  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$  means for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $G \subseteq F$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  means  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}$ .

Recall [PV] that a Hausdorff space is **Katětov** if it has an H-closed subtopology.

**Corollary.** *A countable Hausdorff space which is not H-closed has a Hausdorff subtopology which is not Katětov.*

PROOF: A countable Hausdorff space which is not H-closed has a connected, Hausdorff subtopology and this subtopology has no isolated points. In particular, this subtopology is not Katětov as a countable H-closed space has a dense set of isolated points.  $\square$

**Fact 7.** *Let  $X$  be an almost H-closed space with three pairwise disjoint clopen sets. Let  $\sigma$  be a Hausdorff subtopology of  $X$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is not connected and either  $\tau(X)(s) \subseteq \sigma$  or  $(X, \sigma)$  is H-closed.*

PROOF: Let  $\sigma$  be Hausdorff subtopology of  $X$ . If  $\tau(X)(s) \subseteq \sigma$ , then  $(X, \sigma)$  is not connected as  $X(s)$  is not connected by Fact 1(e). Suppose  $\tau(X)(s) \not\subseteq \sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the free open ultrafilter on  $X$ . For each  $q \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_q = \{U \in \tau(X)(s) : q \in U\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_q = \{U \in \sigma : q \in U\}$  are open filter bases on  $X$ . There is some  $r \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_r \not\leq \mathcal{G}_r$  and there is some  $V \in \mathcal{F}_r$  such that  $U \setminus V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{G}_r$ . There is some  $W \in \mathcal{F}_r$  such that  $W = \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X W \subseteq V$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{V} = \{U \setminus \text{cl}_X W : U \in \mathcal{G}_r\}$  is a free open filterbase on  $X$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_r \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_s \not\leq \mathcal{G}_s$ , then a similar argument shows that  $\mathcal{G}_s \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{G}_r$  meets  $\mathcal{G}_s$ . As  $(X, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff,  $\mathcal{G}_r = \mathcal{G}_s$ . Assume that  $(X, \sigma)$  is not H-closed. Then there is a free open filter  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $(X, \sigma)$ . So,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a free open filter base on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . So,  $\mathcal{G}_r$  meets  $\mathcal{W}$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $(X, \sigma)$  is H-closed. Of the three pairwise disjoint clopen sets, at least two do not meet  $\mathcal{U}$ . So, there is a clopen set  $C$  such that  $r \notin C \notin \mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{G}_r \subseteq \mathcal{F}_r \cup \mathcal{U}$ ,  $r \notin \text{cl}_\sigma C$ . So,  $C$  is closed in  $(X, \sigma)$ . As  $C \in \tau(X)(s)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{G}_s$  for all  $s \in X \setminus \{r\}$ , it follows that  $C \in \sigma$ . Hence,  $(X, \sigma)$  is not connected.  $\square$

**Example.** (1) One question is whether the main result is true when the “countable network” part of the hypothesis is replaced by “countable  $\pi$ -weight”. The Sorgenfrey Line is the usual example of a space with countable  $\pi$ -weight but no countable network. However, the Sorgenfrey Line has a connected Tychonoff subtopology (i.e., the real line is a subtopology). Now,  $\beta\omega \setminus \{p\}$  where  $p \in \beta\omega \setminus \omega$  is almost H-closed and 0-dimensional. By Fact 7,  $\beta\omega \setminus \{p\}$  has no connected Hausdorff subtopology. Also,  $\beta\omega \setminus \{p\}$  has weight  $2^\omega$  and hence a  $2^\omega$ -network. So,

the Main Theorem cannot be improved by replacing the hypothesis of “countable network” by “ $2^\omega$ -network”.

(2) Another question is whether the main result is true when the hypothesis of “countable network” is replaced by “cardinality  $\leq 2^\omega$ ”. Here is a counterexample: By repeating the proof of 3.5 in [PW2], there is an almost H-closed extension  $X$  of  $\omega$  such that  $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$ . By Fact 7,  $X$  does not have a connected Hausdorff subtopology.

**Comment.** Vermeer [V] noted that H-closed spaces are absolute Katětov and asked if there were absolute Katětov spaces which were not H-closed. Vermeer’s question is re-inforced by the Corollary that the only countable spaces which are absolute Katětov are the H-closed spaces. However, Fact 7 shows that any almost H-closed space is also absolute Katětov.

### H-closed plus countable network

**Note.** A space with a countable network is separable and Lindelöf and has the property that every discrete subspace is countable. A compact Hausdorff space with a countable network is second countable. A natural question is whether an H-closed space with a countable network is second countable. The answer is yes if the space is also semiregular (i.e., minimal Hausdorff) but an example (after the following Fact) shows that an H-closed space with a countable network may not have a countable  $\pi$ -base.

**Fact 8.** *If  $X$  is an H-closed space with a countable network, then  $X(s)$  is second countable.*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a countable network for  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^2 = \{\langle C_n, C_m \rangle : \text{there are regular open sets } U_{nm} \text{ and } V_{nm} \text{ such that } C_n \subseteq U_{nm}, C_m \subseteq V_{nm}, \text{ and } U_{nm} \cap V_{nm} = \emptyset\}$ . For each pair  $\langle C_n, C_m \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^2$ , we select exactly one pair  $\langle U_{nm}, V_{nm} \rangle$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the topology on  $X$  generated by  $\{U_{nm}, V_{nm} : \langle C_n, C_m \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^2\}$ , and note that  $(X, \sigma)$  is a Hausdorff space with a countable base and  $\sigma \subseteq \tau(X)$ . As  $X$  is H-closed,  $\tau(X)(s) \subseteq \sigma$ . However, since  $\tau(X)(s)$  is generated by the collection of all regular open sets, it follows that  $\sigma \subseteq \tau(X)(s)$ . That is,  $\sigma = \tau(X)(s)$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $X = [0, 1]^2$ ,  $Y = X \setminus ([0, 1] \times \{0\})$ ,  $\sigma$  the usual topology on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{S} = \{S \subset Y^\omega : \text{there is a bijection } f : \omega \rightarrow S \text{ converging to } (0, 0)\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under finite unions. Let  $\tau(X)$  denote the topology on  $X$  generated by  $\sigma \cup \{X \setminus S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Note that  $\tau(X)(s) = \sigma$ . So,  $X$  is H-closed. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable base for  $(X, \sigma)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} \cup \{[0, \frac{1}{n}] \times \{0\} : n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}\}$  is a countable network for  $X$ . Also,  $X$  is not first countable at  $(0, 0)$ . In fact, there is no countable  $\pi$ -base at  $(0, 0)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [PT] Porter J.R., Tikoo M.L., *On Katětov spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **289** (4) (1985), 59–71.
- [PV] Porter J.R., Vermeer J., *Space with coarser minimal Hausdorff topologies*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32.4** (1989), 425–433.
- [PW1] Porter J.R., Woods R.G., *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [PW2] Porter J.R., Woods R.G., *Subspaces of connected spaces*, Topology Appl. **68** (1996), 113–131.
- [V] Vermeer J., Private communication, 1984.
- [TTUW] Tkačenko M.G., Tkachuk V.V., Uspenskij V.V., Wilson R.G., *In quest of weaker connected topologies*, Comment. Math Univ. Carolinae **37.4** (1996), 825–841.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KANSAS 66045 USA  
*E-mail:* porter@math.ukans.edu

(Received December 17, 1999)