

## Positive solutions for systems of generalized three-point nonlinear boundary value problems

J. HENDERSON, S.K. NTOUYAS, I.K. PURNARAS

*Abstract.* Values of  $\lambda$  are determined for which there exist positive solutions of the system of three-point boundary value problems,  $u'' + \lambda a(t)f(v) = 0$ ,  $v'' + \lambda b(t)g(u) = 0$ , for  $0 < t < 1$ , and satisfying,  $u(0) = \beta u(\eta)$ ,  $u(1) = \alpha u(\eta)$ ,  $v(0) = \beta v(\eta)$ ,  $v(1) = \alpha v(\eta)$ . A Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem is applied.

*Keywords:* generalized three-point boundary value problem, system of differential equations, eigenvalue problem

*Classification:* 34B18, 34A34

### 1. Introduction

We are concerned with determining values of  $\lambda$  (eigenvalues) for which there exist positive solutions for the system of three-point boundary value problems,

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u''(t) + \lambda a(t)f(v(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ v''(t) + \lambda b(t)g(u(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} u(0) = \beta u(\eta), & u(1) = \alpha u(\eta), \\ v(0) = \beta v(\eta), & v(1) = \alpha v(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/\eta$ ,  $0 < \beta < \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$  and

- (A)  $f, g \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ,
- (B)  $a, b \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ , and each does not vanish identically on any subinterval,
- (C) all of

$$f_0 := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad g_0 := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{x},$$

$$f_\infty := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad g_\infty := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{x}$$

exist as positive real numbers.

For several years now, there has been a great deal of activity in studying positive solutions of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. Interest in such solutions is high from both a theoretical sense [3], [5], [8], [11], [18] and as applications for which only positive solutions are meaningful [1], [4], [12], [13]. These considerations are cast primarily for scalar problems, but good attention has been given to boundary value problems for systems of differential equations [9], [10], [15], [17], [19]. The existence of positive solutions for three-point boundary value problems has been studied extensively in recent years. For some appropriate references we refer the reader to [15], [16]. Recently in [14], the existence of positive solutions was studied for the following generalized second order three-point boundary value problem

$$(3) \quad y''(t) + a(t)f(y(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$(4) \quad y(0) = \beta y(\eta), \quad y(T) = \alpha y(\eta).$$

When  $\beta = 0$ , the conditions (4) reduce to the usual three-point boundary conditions

$$(5) \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y(T) = \alpha y(\eta).$$

Recently Benchohra *et al.* [2] and Henderson and Ntouyas [6] studied the existence of positive solutions for systems of nonlinear eigenvalue problems. Also Henderson and Ntouyas [7] studied the existence of positive solutions for systems of nonlinear eigenvalue problems for three-point boundary conditions of the form (5) with  $T = 1$ . Here we extend these results to eigenvalue problems for the systems of generalized three-point boundary value problems (1), (2). The main tool in this paper is an application of the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem for operators leaving a Banach space cone invariant [5]. A Green's function plays a fundamental role in defining an appropriate operator on a suitable cone.

## 2. Some preliminaries

In this section, we state some preliminary lemmas and the well-known Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem.

**Lemma 2.1** ([14]). *Let  $\beta \neq \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$ ; then for any  $y \in C[0, 1]$ , the boundary value problem*

$$(6) \quad u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1$$

$$(7) \quad u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(1) = \alpha u(\eta),$$

has the unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)y(s) ds$$

where  $k(t, s) : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is defined by

$$(8) \quad k(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{[(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta](1-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} \\ + \frac{[(\beta-\alpha)t-\beta](\eta-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} - (t-s), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } s \leq \eta, \\ \frac{[(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta](1-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} + \frac{[(\beta-\alpha)t-\beta](\eta-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq \eta, \\ \frac{[(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta](1-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \text{ and } s \geq \eta, \\ \frac{[(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta](1-s)}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} - (t-s), & \eta \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that by Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$(9) \quad u(t) = \frac{(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)y(s) ds \\ + \frac{(\beta-\alpha)t-\beta}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-s)y(s) ds - \int_0^t (t-s)y(s) ds.$$

If  $y \geq 0$  and  $0 < \beta < \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$ , from (9) we have that

$$(10) \quad u(t) \leq \frac{(1-\beta)t+\beta\eta}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)y(s) ds,$$

and

$$(11) \quad u(\eta) \geq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha\eta-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_\eta^1 (1-s)y(s) ds.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([14]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/\eta$ ,  $0 < \beta < \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$  and assume that (A) and (B) hold. Then, the unique solution of (1)–(2) satisfies*

$$\inf_{t \in [0,1]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|,$$

where  $\gamma = \min \left\{ \alpha\eta, \frac{\alpha(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha\eta}, \beta\eta, \beta(1-\eta) \right\}$ .

We note that a pair  $(u(t), v(t))$  is a solution of the eigenvalue problem (1), (2) if, and only if,

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s)a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

and

$$v(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s)b(s)g(u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Values of  $\lambda$  for which there are positive solutions (positive with respect to a cone) of (1), (2) will be determined via applications of the following fixed point theorem, which is now commonly called the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space, and let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a cone in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Assume that  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$ , and let*

$$T : \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

*be a completely continuous operator such that, either*

- (i)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
- (ii)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

### 3. Positive solutions in a cone

In this section, we apply Theorem 1 to obtain positive solution pairs of (1), (2). For our construction, let  $\mathcal{B} = C[0, 1]$  be equipped with the usual supremum norm,  $\|\cdot\|$ , and define a cone  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{B} \mid x(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, 1], \text{ and } \min_{t \in [\eta, 1]} x(t) \geq \gamma \|x\| \right\}.$$

For our first result, we define the positive numbers  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  by

$$L_1 := \max \left\{ \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)a(r)f_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)g_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1} \right\},$$

and

$$L_2 := \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)a(r)f_0 dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)g_0 dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that conditions (A), (B) and (C) hold. Then, for each  $\lambda$  satisfying*

$$(12) \quad L_1 < \lambda < L_2,$$

*there exists a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1), (2) such that  $u(x) > 0$  and  $v(x) > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\lambda$  be as in (12), and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$\max \left\{ \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)a(r)(f_{\infty} - \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_{\infty} - \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\} \leq \lambda$$

and

$$\lambda \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)a(r)(f_0 + \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_0 + \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

Define an integral operator  $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$(13) \quad Tu(t) := \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s)a(s)f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We seek suitable fixed points of  $T$  in the cone  $\mathcal{P}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $T\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}$ . In addition, standard arguments show that  $T$  is completely continuous. Now, from the definitions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$ , there exists an  $H_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \leq (f_0 + \epsilon)x \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \leq (g_0 + \epsilon)x, \quad 0 < x \leq H_1.$$

Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_1$ . First, from (10) and the choice of  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr &\leq \lambda \frac{(1 - \beta)t + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{(1 - \beta)t + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_0 + \epsilon)u(r) dr \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r) dr (g_0 + \epsilon)\|u\| \\ &\leq \|u\| \\ &= H_1. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, in view of (10), and the choice of  $\epsilon$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 k(t,s)a(s)f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s,r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\
 &\leq \lambda \frac{(1-\beta)t + \beta\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s,r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\
 &\leq \lambda \frac{(1-\beta)t + \beta\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)(f_0 + \epsilon)\lambda \int_0^1 k(s,r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr ds \\
 &\leq \lambda \frac{1-\beta + \beta\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)(f_0 + \epsilon)H_1 ds \\
 &\leq H_1 \\
 &= \|u\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_1$ . Hence if we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_1\},$$

then

$$(14) \quad \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Next, by the definitions of  $f_\infty$  and  $g_\infty$ , there exists an  $\overline{H}_2 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \geq (f_\infty - \epsilon)x \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \geq (g_\infty - \epsilon)x, \quad x \geq \overline{H}_2.$$

Let

$$H_2 = \max \left\{ 2H_1, \frac{\overline{H}_2}{\gamma} \right\}.$$

Then, for  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\|u\| = H_2$ ,

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\| \geq \overline{H}_2.$$

Consequently, from (11) and the choice of  $\epsilon$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda \int_0^1 k(s,r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_\eta^1 (1-r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_\eta^1 (1-r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_\eta^1 (1-r)b(r)(g_\infty - \epsilon)u(r) dr \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_\eta^1 (1-r)b(r)(g_\infty - \epsilon) dr \gamma \|u\| \\
 &\geq \|u\| \\
 &= H_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

And so, we have from (11) and the choice of  $\epsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tu(\eta) &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)(f_{\infty} - \epsilon) \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr ds \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)(f_{\infty} - \epsilon)H_2 ds \\
 &\geq \lambda \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)(f_{\infty} - \epsilon)H_2 ds \\
 &\geq H_2 \\
 &= \|u\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ . So, if we set

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_2\},$$

then

$$(15) \quad \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$

In view of (14) and (15), applying Theorem 1 we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $u \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ . As such, and with  $v$  defined by

$$v(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s)b(s)g(u(s)) ds,$$

the pair  $(u, v)$  is a desired solution of (1), (2) for the given  $\lambda$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Prior to our next result, we define positive numbers  $L_3$  and  $L_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_3 := \max \left\{ \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)a(r)f_0 dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\
 \left. \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)g_0 dr \right]^{-1} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_4 := \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)a(r)f_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\
 \left. \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)g_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.** Assume that conditions (A)–(C) hold. Then, for each  $\lambda$  satisfying

$$(16) \quad L_3 < \lambda < L_4,$$

there exists a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1), (2) such that  $u(x) > 0$  and  $v(x) > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ .

PROOF: Let  $\lambda$  be as in (16) and  $\epsilon > 0$  be chosen such that

$$\max \left\{ \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)a(r)(f_0 - \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_0 - \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\} \leq \lambda$$

and

$$\lambda \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)a(r)(f_{\infty} + \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_{\infty} + \epsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

Let  $T$  be the cone preserving, completely continuous operator defined by (13). By the definitions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$ , there exists an  $\overline{H}_3 > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \geq (f_0 - \epsilon)x \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \geq (g_0 - \epsilon)x, \quad 0 < x \leq \overline{H}_3.$$

Also, from the definition of  $g_0$  it follows that  $g(0) = 0$  and so there exists  $0 < H_3 < \overline{H}_3$  such that

$$\lambda g(x) \leq \frac{\overline{H}_3}{\frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r) dr}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq H_3.$$

Let  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr &\leq \lambda \frac{(1 - \beta)t + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r)b(r)\overline{H}_3 dr \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)b(s) ds \\ &\leq \overline{H}_3. \end{aligned}$$



Then, by (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
Tu(\eta) &\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s) \times \\
&\quad \times f \left( \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\
&\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s) \times \\
&\quad \times (f_0 - \epsilon) \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)g(u(r)) dr ds \\
&\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s) \times \\
&\quad \times (f_0 - \epsilon) \lambda \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - r)b(r)(g_0 - \epsilon)\|u\| dr ds \\
&\geq \lambda \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)(f_0 - \epsilon)\|u\| ds \\
&\geq \lambda \frac{\gamma\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_{\eta}^1 (1 - s)a(s)(f_0 - \epsilon)\|u\| ds \\
&\geq \|u\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$ . If we put

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_3\},$$

then

$$(17) \quad \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_3.$$

Next, by the definitions of  $f_{\infty}$  and  $g_{\infty}$ , there exists an  $\overline{H}_4$  such that

$$f(x) \leq (f_{\infty} + \epsilon)x \text{ and } g(x) \leq (g_{\infty} + \epsilon)x, \quad x \geq \overline{H}_4.$$

Clearly, since  $g_{\infty}$  is assumed to be a positive real number, it follows that  $g$  is unbounded at  $\infty$ , and so, there exists an  $\widetilde{H}_4 > \max\{2H_3, \overline{H}_4\}$  such that  $g(x) \leq g(\widetilde{H}_4)$ , for  $0 < x \leq \widetilde{H}_4$ .

Set

$$f^*(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s), \quad g^*(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} g(s), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Clearly  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  are nondecreasing real valued function for which it holds

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^*(x)}{x} = f_\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^*(x)}{x} = g_\infty.$$

Hence, there exists an  $H_4$  such that  $f^*(x) \leq f^*(H_4)$ ,  $g^*(x) \leq g^*(H_4)$  for  $0 < x \leq H_4$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|u\| = H_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s) a(s) f \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r) b(r) g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s) a(s) f^* \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r) b(r) g(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 k(t, s) a(s) f^* \left( \lambda \int_0^1 k(s, r) b(r) g^*(u(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) a(s) \times \\ &\quad \times f^* \left( \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r) b(r) g^*(H_4) dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) a(s) \times \\ &\quad \times f^* \left( \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - r) b(r) (g_\infty + \epsilon) H_4 dr \right) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) a(s) f^*(H_4) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1 - \beta + \beta\eta}{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) a(s) ds (f_\infty + \epsilon) H_4 \\ &\leq H_4 \\ &= \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ . For this case, if we set

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|x\| < H_4\},$$

then

$$(18) \quad \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_4.$$

Application of part (ii) of Theorem 1 yields a fixed point  $u$  of  $T$  belonging to  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_4 \setminus \Omega_3)$ , which in turn yields a pair  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1), (2) for the chosen value of  $\lambda$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section we give some examples illustrating our results. For the sake of simplicity we take  $a(t) = b(t)$  and  $f(t) = g(t)$ .

**Example 1.** Consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) + \frac{1}{10}\lambda t \frac{kve^{2v}}{c + e^v + e^{2v}} &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ v''(t) + \frac{1}{10}\lambda t \frac{kue^{2u}}{c + e^u + e^{2u}} &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= \frac{1}{4}u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad u(1) = 2u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \\ v(0) &= \frac{1}{4}v\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad v(1) = 2v\left(\frac{1}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here:  $a(t) = b(t) = \frac{1}{10}t$ ,  $k = 500$ ,  $c = 1000$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\eta = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $f(v) = \frac{kve^{2v}}{c + e^v + e^{2v}}$ ,  $f(u) = \frac{kue^{2u}}{c + e^u + e^{2u}}$ . By simple calculations we find:  $\gamma = \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $f_0 = g_0 = \frac{k}{c+2} = \frac{500}{1002}$ ,  $f_\infty = g_\infty = k = 500$ ,  $L_1 = \frac{486}{500} \simeq 0.972$ ,  $L_2 = \frac{12024}{500} = 24.048$ . By Theorem 2 it follows that for every  $\lambda$  such that  $0.972 < \lambda < 24.048$  the three-point boundary value problem has at least one positive solution.

**Example 2.** Consider the system of three-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) + \lambda tv \left(1 + \frac{c}{1+v^2}\right) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ v''(t) + \lambda tu \left(1 + \frac{c}{1+u^2}\right) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= \frac{1}{2}u\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \quad u(1) = 2u\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \\ v(0) &= \frac{1}{2}v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \quad v(1) = 2v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here:  $a(t) = b(t) = t$ ,  $c = 100$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\eta = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $f(v) = v\left(1 + \frac{c}{1+v^2}\right)$ ,  $f(u) = u\left(1 + \frac{c}{1+u^2}\right)$ . We find:  $\gamma = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $f_0 = g_0 = 1 + c$ ,  $f_\infty = g_\infty = 1$ ,  $L_3 = \frac{768}{2727} \simeq 0.28$ ,  $L_4 = \frac{6}{5} = 1.2$ . Therefore Theorem 3 holds for every  $\lambda$  such that  $0.28 < \lambda < 1.2$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to the referee for her/his comments and remarks.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal R.P., O'Regan D., Wong P.J.Y., *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [2] Benchohra M., Hamani S., Henderson J., Ntouyas S.K., Ouahab A., *Positive solutions for systems of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Global J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), 19–28.
- [3] Erbe L.H., Wang H., *On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 743–748.
- [4] Graef J.R., Yang B., *Boundary value problems for second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Commun. Appl. Anal. **6** (2002), 273–288.
- [5] Guo D., Lakshmikantham V., *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1988.
- [6] Henderson J., Ntouyas S.K., *Positive solutions for systems of nonlinear boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Studies, in press.
- [7] Henderson J., Ntouyas S.K., *Positive solutions for systems of three-point nonlinear boundary value problems*, Austr. J. Math. Anal. Appl., in press.
- [8] Henderson J., Wang H., *Positive solutions for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **208** (1997), 1051–1060.
- [9] Henderson J., Wang H., *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear systems*, Comput. Math. Appl. **49** (2005), 1941–1949.
- [10] Henderson J., Wang H., *An eigenvalue problem for quasilinear systems*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **37** (2007), 215–228.
- [11] Hu L., Wang L.L., *Multiple positive solutions of boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second order differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007), no. 2, 1052–1060.
- [12] Infante G., *Eigenvalues of some nonlocal boundary value problems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **46** (2003), 75–86.
- [13] Infante G., Webb J.R.L., *Loss of positivity in a nonlinear scalar heat equation*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **13** (2006), 249–261.
- [14] Liang R., Peng J., Shen J., *Positive solutions to a generalized second order three-point boundary value problem*, Appl. Math. Comput. (**2007**), doi:10.1016/j.amc.2007.07.025.
- [15] Ma R., *Multiple nonnegative solutions of second order systems of boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **42** (2000), 1003–1010.
- [16] Raffoul Y., *Positive solutions of three-point nonlinear second order boundary value problems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2002, no. 15, 11 pp. (electronic).
- [17] Wang H., *On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **281** (2003), 287–306.
- [18] Webb J.R.L., *Positive solutions of some three point boundary value problems via fixed point index theory*, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 4319–4332.

- [19] Zhou Y., Xu Y., *Positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **320** (2006), 578–590.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TX 76798-7328, USA

*E-mail:* Johnny.Henderson@baylor.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, 451 10 IOANNINA, GREECE

*E-mail:* sntouyas@cc.uoi.gr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, 451 10 IOANNINA, GREECE

*E-mail:* ipurnara@cc.uoi.gr

(Received September 6, 2007, revised December 13, 2007)