

## On $\pi$ -metrizable spaces, their continuous images and products

DERRICK STOVER

*Abstract.* A space  $X$  is said to be  $\pi$ -metrizable if it has a  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base. The behavior of  $\pi$ -metrizable spaces under certain types of mappings is studied. In particular we characterize strongly  $d$ -separable spaces as those which are the image of a  $\pi$ -metrizable space under a perfect mapping. Each Tychonoff space can be represented as the image of a  $\pi$ -metrizable space under an open continuous mapping. A question posed by Arhangel'skii regarding if a  $\pi$ -metrizable topological group must be metrizable receives a negative answer.

*Keywords:*  $\pi$ -metrizable, weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable,  $\pi$ -base,  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base,  $\sigma$ -disjoint  $\pi$ -base,  $d$ -separable

*Classification:* 54B10, 54C10, 54D70

### 1. Introduction

By  $\mathbb{N}$  we mean the set of all natural numbers. Recall that for a space  $X$ , a collection of nonempty open sets  $\Theta$ , is called a  $\pi$ -base if for every nonempty open set  $O$ , there exists  $U \in \Theta$  such that  $U \subset O$ . Recall that for a Tychonoff space  $X$ ,  $\pi w(X)$  is defined to be the least cardinal  $\tau$  such that  $X$  has a  $\pi$ -base  $\Gamma$  with  $|\Gamma| = \tau$ . Recall that a collection of sets  $\Gamma$   $\pi$ -refines a collection of sets  $\Theta$  if for each  $O \in \Theta$  there exists  $U \in \Gamma$  such that  $U \subset O$  and  $\emptyset \notin \Gamma$ . It is clear that  $\pi$ -metrizability is preserved by open subspaces, closures of open subspaces, and dense subspaces. A space  $X$  is said to be weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable if it has a  $\sigma$ -disjoint  $\pi$ -base. Weak  $\pi$ -metrizability is preserved by open subspaces, closures of open subspaces, and dense subspaces in both directions. Some examples of  $\pi$ -metrizable spaces are:  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , the Sorgenfrey Line and  $K^{\mathbb{N}_1}$  where  $K$  is uncountable discrete (as we shall later see). The space  $[0, 1]_{\tau}^2$  where  $\tau$  is the topology induced by lexicographic ordering is one of many weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable, not  $\pi$ -metrizable spaces. Recall that a space  $X$  is called  $d$ -separable if there exists  $\{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that each  $K_n$  is a discrete (in itself) subset of  $X$  and  $\bigcup\{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . For more on  $d$ -separable spaces see [2]. A space  $X$  is called strongly  $d$ -separable if there exists  $\{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that each  $K_n$  is a closed discrete subset of  $X$  and  $\bigcup\{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

A  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base was first observed as a necessary condition for being the absolute of a metrizable space (see [7]). First countable spaces with  $\sigma$ -disjoint

$\pi$ -bases (weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable) were studied by H.E. White in [8]. In this paper he has also shown that a first countable space has a dense metrizable subspace if and only if it is  $\pi$ -metrizable. Also Fearnley has constructed a Moore space with a  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base which does not densely embed into any Moore space having the Baire property [5]. This paper will be an attempt to examine the behavior of  $\pi$ -metrizable spaces under products and mappings.

All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff.

## 2. Continuous mappings

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every locally finite collection of open sets in a space  $X$  has a discrete  $\pi$ -refinement (of open sets) of the same cardinality if the collection is infinite.*

PROOF: Let  $\Psi$  be a locally finite collection of nonempty open sets in  $X$ . For each  $O \in \Psi$  choose  $x_O \in O$ . Put  $F = \{x_O : O \in \Psi\}$ . Well order  $F$ : that is for some cardinal  $\kappa$  write  $F = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  where the indexing is faithful. Clearly  $F$  is closed and discrete, thus there exists an open set  $U_\alpha$  such that  $\text{cl}(U_\alpha) \cap F = \{x_\alpha\}$  and  $W_\alpha \subset \bigcap \{V \in \Psi : x_O \in V\}$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Put  $\Gamma = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ . Then clearly  $\Gamma$  is a  $\pi$ -refinement of  $\Psi$ . Now  $\Gamma$  is also locally finite so the set  $V_\alpha = U_\alpha \setminus \bigcup \{\text{cl}(U_\beta) : \beta < \alpha\}$  is an open set containing  $x_\alpha$ . Thus  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is disjoint and locally finite. Finally use regularity to choose an open set  $H_\alpha$  such that  $x_\alpha \in H_\alpha$  and  $\text{cl}(H_\alpha) \subset V_\alpha$ . Then  $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a discrete  $\pi$ -refinement of  $\Psi$  and it has of course the same cardinality.  $\square$

It is well known from metrizability criterion that the existence of a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base is equivalent to existence of a  $\sigma$ -discrete base. Analogous to this is the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *A space  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable if and only if it has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi$ -base.*

PROOF: This follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that a  $\pi$ -refinement of a  $\pi$ -base is a  $\pi$ -base.  $\square$

A collection of sets  $\Gamma$  in a space  $X$  each with nonempty interior is called a  $\pi_*$ -base if for each open set  $O$  there exists  $B \in \Gamma$  with  $B \subset O$ . It is typically clear that the existence of a  $\pi_*$ -base with a finiteness type property implies the existence of a  $\pi$ -base with the same property.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Open perfect mappings preserve  $\pi$ -metrizability.*

PROOF: Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be perfect onto and open and  $X$  be  $\pi$ -metrizable. Let  $\bigcup \{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X$  with each  $\Psi_n$  discrete. For each set  $B \in \bigcup \{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  there exists a closed set  $C_B \subset B$  with nonempty interior (using regularity). Then  $\{C_B : B \in \bigcup \{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\}$  is a  $\pi_*$ -base and it is of course  $\sigma$ -discrete. Since  $f$  is closed and open,  $\{f(C_B) : B \in \bigcup \{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\}$  is

a  $\pi_*$ -base for  $Y$  consisting of closed sets. Let us show that this collection is  $\sigma$ -locally finite.  $\bigcup\{C_B : B \in \Psi_n\}$  is the union of a discrete collection of closed sets so it is closed. Let  $y \in Y$ . The set  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact so  $(\bigcup\{C_B : B \in \Psi_n\}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. But  $\Psi_n$  is an open cover of this set. So we have a finite subcover. But the cover is pairwise disjoint, so  $f^{-1}(y)$  must intersect only finitely many elements of  $\Psi_n$  and thus of  $\{C_B : B \in \Psi_n\}$ . Let  $H = \{C_B : B \in \Psi_n \text{ and } f^{-1}(y) \cap C_B = \emptyset\}$  and let  $Z = \bigcup H$ . Since  $H$  is a discrete collection of closed sets,  $Z$  is closed and  $f^{-1}(y) \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Y \setminus f(Z)$  is an open set containing  $y$  and intersecting only finitely many elements of  $\{f(C_B) : B \in \Psi_n\}$  (only those not in  $H$ ). Therefore  $\{f(C_B) : B \in \Psi_n\}$  is locally finite and so  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi_*$ -base and thus is  $\pi$ -metrizable.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $X \times Y$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable and  $Y$  is compact then  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: The projection map  $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  is perfect and open so this follows by Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Irreducible perfect mappings preserve  $\pi$ -metrizability in both directions.*

PROOF: Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be perfect, onto and irreducible and  $X$  be  $\pi$ -metrizable. Let  $\bigcup\{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X$  with each  $\Psi_n$  discrete. Take as a  $\pi$ -base in  $Y$ , the family  $\bigcup\{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $\Gamma_n = \{Y \setminus f(X \setminus B) : B \in \Psi_n\}$ . First note that for each  $B \in \Gamma_n$  since  $B \neq \emptyset$  then by the irreducibility of  $f$  we have  $Y \setminus f(X \setminus B) \neq \emptyset$  and that each  $Y \setminus f(X \setminus B)$  is open. So now let  $O$  be open in  $Y$ , then there exists  $B \in \bigcup\{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $B \subset f^{-1}(O)$ , thus  $Y \setminus f(X \setminus B) \subset Y \setminus f(X \setminus f^{-1}(O)) \subset O$  so it is a  $\pi$ -base.

Now to see that  $\Gamma_n$  is locally finite: Let  $y \in Y$ . For each  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ , there exists an open set  $O_x$  such that  $x \in O_x$  and  $O_x$  intersects at most one element of  $\Psi_n$ . By compactness of  $f^{-1}(y)$  there exist  $O_{x_1}, \dots, O_{x_k}$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcup\{O_{x_i} : i = 1, \dots, k\}$ . So then let  $U = \bigcup\{O_{x_i} : i = 1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y) \subset U$  and  $U$  intersects only finitely many elements of  $\Psi$ . Now if  $Y \setminus f(X \setminus U) \cap Y \setminus f(X \setminus B) \neq \emptyset$  then  $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$  intersects only finitely many elements of  $\Gamma_n$ . Furthermore since  $f^{-1}(y) \subset U$ , it follows that  $y \in Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$ . So  $\Gamma_n$  is locally finite which implies that  $Y$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

That  $\pi$ -metrizability is preserved by irreducible perfect continuous inverse images follows by a standard argument.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *A space  $Y$  is the image of a  $\pi$ -metrizable space  $X$  under a perfect mapping if and only if  $Y$  is strongly  $d$ -separable.*

PROOF: Every  $\pi$ -metrizable space is strongly  $d$ -separable and strong  $d$ -separability is preserved by closed mappings.

Now assume  $Y$  is strongly  $d$ -separable. Let  $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a collection of closed discrete subspaces of  $Y$  with  $\bigcup\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  dense in  $Y$ . Let  $E_n = \bigcup\{D_i :$

$i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $E_n$  is closed and discrete for each  $n$  and  $\bigcup\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $Y$ . Now consider the following subspace of  $\mathbb{N}_* \times Y$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$  is the Alexandroff compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$ : The space  $X = (\bigcup\{\{n\} \times E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cup (\{p\} \times Y)$ .

We shall show that  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. Let  $\Gamma_n = \{\{(n, d)\} : d \in E_n\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_n$  is discrete, for if  $(a, b) \in X$  with  $a \neq n$  then  $(X \setminus \{n\}) \times E_n$  is an open set containing  $(a, b)$  and intersecting no element of  $\Gamma_n$ . Now if  $a = n$  then  $\{(a, b)\}$  is open. Furthermore  $\bigcup\{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -base. Let  $O$  be a nonempty open set in  $X$ . It will be sufficient to show  $O$  intersects  $\bigcup\{\{n\} \times E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $O \cap (\{p\} \times Y) = \emptyset$  then this is trivial. So otherwise let us assume we have  $O = U \times N_m$  for an open set  $U \subset Y$  and  $N_m = \mathbb{N}_* \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ . Now since  $\bigcup\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $Y$  there exists  $d \in E_n$  for some  $n$  such that  $d \in U$ . Now if  $n \geq m$  then we have  $\{(n, d)\} \subset O$  where  $\{(n, d)\} \in \Gamma_n$ . If instead  $n < m$  then  $E_n \subset E_m$  and thus  $d \in E_m$  and so  $\{(m, d)\} \subset O$  where  $\{(m, d)\} \in \Gamma_m$ . Hence  $\bigcup\{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $X$  and so  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

Now take  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to be the projection map. The projection of  $\mathbb{N}_* \times Y$  onto  $Y$  is a closed mapping as  $\mathbb{N}_*$  is compact and  $X$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{N}_* \times Y$  thus  $f$  is a closed mapping. That  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for all  $y \in Y$  follows as  $f^{-1}(y)$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\mathbb{N}_*$  containing the limit point  $p$ . Thus  $f$  is a perfect mapping. □

In fact we need only that the mapping be closed in order that the image be strongly  $d$ -separable and thus we get another characterization.

**Corollary 2.7.** *A space  $Y$  is the image of a  $\pi$ -metrizable space  $X$  under a closed mapping if and only if  $Y$  is strongly  $d$ -separable.*

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an onto open continuous mapping such that each fiber is compact, then  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -point-finite  $\pi$ -base.*

PROOF: Let  $\bigcup\{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X$  with  $\Psi_n$  discrete. Let  $\Gamma_n = \{f(B) : B \in \Psi_n\}$ , for  $y \in Y$ , the  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact and thus intersects only finitely many members of  $\Psi_n$ . Thus  $y \in f(B)$  for only finitely many  $B \in \Psi_n$  and so  $\Gamma_n$  is point-finite. That  $\bigcup\{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -base follows trivially as  $f$  is an open continuous mapping. □

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $Y$  has an open dense  $\pi$ -metrizable subspace then there exists a  $\pi$ -metrizable space  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f$  is onto, open, continuous and each fiber is compact.*

PROOF: Let  $O$  be the subspace. Let  $\bigcup\{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $O$  with  $\Psi_n$  discrete in  $O$ . Now consider subspace of  $\mathbb{N}_* \times Y$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$  is the Alexandroff compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$ : The space  $X = (\mathbb{N} \times O) \cup (\{p\} \times Y)$ . Now let  $\Gamma_{n,m} = \{\{n\} \times B : B \in \Psi_m\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  is discrete. For if  $(a, b) \in X$  and  $a \neq n$  then  $X \setminus \{n\} \times O$  is an open set containing  $(a, b)$  and intersecting no

element of  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ . If  $a = n$  then there exists an open set  $U \in \mathcal{O}$  with  $b \in U$  and  $U$  intersecting at most one element of  $\Psi_m$ . Then  $(a, b) \in \{n\} \times U$  and  $\{n\} \times U$  intersects at most one element of  $\Gamma_{n,m}$ . Thus  $\Gamma_{n,m}$  is discrete.

Now let  $U$  be a basic open set in  $X$ . Then  $U = (V \times W) \cap X$  where  $V$  is open in  $\mathbb{N}_*$  and  $W$  is open in  $Y$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in V$ , and  $W \cap \mathcal{O}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $Y$  so there exists  $B \in \Psi_m$  for some  $m$ , such that  $B \subset W \cap \mathcal{O}$ . Thus  $\{n\} \times B \subset U$  and  $\{n\} \times B \in \Gamma_{n,m}$ . Thus  $\bigcup\{\Psi_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $X$  and thus  $X$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

Now consider  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to be the projection mapping. Let  $U$  be a basic open set in  $X$ . Then  $U = (V \times W) \cap X$  where  $V$  is open in  $\mathbb{N}_*$  and  $W$  is open in  $Y$ . Then  $f(U) = W$  and so  $f$  is an open mapping. That  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for all  $y \in Y$  follows as  $f^{-1}(y)$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\mathbb{N}_*$  containing the limit point  $p$ . □

**Problem 2.10.** *How might the class of spaces described in the previous two propositions be further characterized?*

### 3. Products

We now turn our attention to the question of when products are (weakly)  $\pi$ -metrizable. First a standard observation.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $X_n$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: Let  $\Psi_n = \bigcup\{\Psi_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X_n$  with  $\Psi_{n,m}$  discrete. There are countably many ways to select a finite subset  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there are countably many ways to select  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now let  $P(a_1, \dots, a_k, n_1, \dots, n_k) = \{\prod\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : O_{n_i} \in \Psi_{a_i, n_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } O_n = X_n \text{ otherwise}\}$ . Then there are countably many such  $P(a_1, \dots, a_k, n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . Let  $x \in \prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for each  $\Psi_{a_i, n_i}$  there exists  $U_i$  open in  $X_i$  such that  $x(i) \in U_i$  and  $U_i$  intersect at most one member of  $\Psi_{a_i, n_i}$ . Now define  $U_i = X_i$  for all  $i \neq 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $x \in \prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and this is an open set intersecting at most one element of  $P(a_1, \dots, a_k, n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . Therefore each  $P(a_1, \dots, a_k, n_1, \dots, n_k)$  is discrete.

Let  $\prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a basic open set ( $U_n$  open in  $X_n$ ). Let  $k$  be such that  $U_n = X_n$  for all  $n > k$ . Then we can find  $O_{i,j(i)} \in \Psi_{i,j(i)}$  such that  $O_{i,j(i)} \subset U_i$  for each  $i \leq k$ . Then  $O_{1,j(1)} \times \dots \times O_{k,j(k)} \times X_{k+1} \times X_{k+2} \times \dots \subset \prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and this is in  $P(1, \dots, k, j(1), \dots, j(k))$ . Thus this is a  $\pi$ -base and so  $\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. □

The proof of the corresponding result for weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable spaces follows by a similar argument.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $X_n$  is weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

It is not at all obvious (at this point) whether we can have  $X \times Y$  being  $\pi$ -metrizable without both  $X$  and  $Y$  being so. We will see that in fact much more is true.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $X_n$  has a discrete collection of  $\kappa$  open sets for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\pi w(Y), \pi w(X_n) \leq \kappa$  for all  $n$ , then  $Y \times (\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: Let  $X_0 = Y$  and let  $\mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Now let  $\Psi_n$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$  with  $|\Psi_n| = \kappa$ . Now let  $\Gamma_n$  be a discrete collection open sets with  $|\Gamma_n| = \kappa$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We essentially want to construct “almost all” of the products where  $n$  factors are nontrivial: the trick is to do it for  $\mathbb{N}_* \setminus \{n\}$ . So we observe that there are  $\aleph_0$  ways to choose  $A \subset \mathbb{N}_* \setminus \{n\}$  such that  $|A| = n$ . For each  $k \in A$  there are  $\kappa$  ways to choose  $B_k \in \Psi_k$ . Thus there are  $\kappa$  ways to choose a set  $A$  and  $\{B_k : k \in A\}$  where  $B_k \in \Psi_k$ . Now  $|\Gamma_n| = \kappa$ , so for each  $A \subset \mathbb{N}_* \setminus \{n\}$  such that  $|A| = n$  and  $\{B_k : k \in A\}$  where  $B_k \in \Psi_k$ , we can associate a unique  $f(A, \{B_k | k \in A\}) \in \Gamma_n$ . So  $f$  is a one to one function. Now let  $O(A, \{B_k : k \in A\}) = \prod\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$  where  $O_k = B_k$  for all  $k \in A$ ,  $O_n = f(A, \{B_k : k \in A\})$  and  $O_m = X_m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_* \setminus (A \cup \{n\})$ . Then  $O(A, \{B_k : k \in A\})$  is open. So let  $\Delta_n = \{O(A, \{B_k | k \in A\}) : A \subset \mathbb{N}_* \setminus \{n\} \text{ with } |A| = n \text{ and } B_k \in \Psi_k \text{ for each } k \in A\}$ . Then  $\Delta_n$  is discrete. Let  $g \in \prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ . Since  $\Gamma_n$  is discrete, there exists  $g(n) \in O$  open in  $X_n$  such that  $O$  intersects at most one elements of  $\Gamma_n$ . Then  $\prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$  where  $U_m = X_m$  for  $n \neq m$  and  $U_n = O$ , is an open set containing  $g$ . Furthermore  $\prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$  intersects  $B \in \Delta_n$  only if  $O$  intersects  $\pi_n(B) = f(A, \{B_k : k \in A\}) \in \Gamma_n$ . Since  $O$  intersects at most one element of  $\Gamma_n$  and  $f$  is one to one, it follows that  $\prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$  intersects at most one element of  $\Delta_n$ .

Now to see that  $\bigcup\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -base choose a basic open set  $\prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ , that is,  $U_n$  is open for all  $n$  and  $U_n = X_n$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Let  $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_* : U_n \neq X_n\}$ . Since  $|B| = n$  is finite, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < m$  and  $m \notin B$ . Now let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}_*$  be such that  $|A| = m$ ,  $m \notin A$  and  $B \subset A$ . Now for  $k \in A$  choose  $B_k \in \Psi_k$  such that  $B_k \subset U_k$ . Then  $O(A, \{B_k | k \in A\}) = \prod\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\} \subset \prod\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$  as  $O_k = B_k$  for  $k \in A$  so  $O_k \subset U_k$  and  $U_k = X_k$  for  $k \notin A$  so  $O_k \subset U_k$  automatically. Thus  $\bigcup\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base so  $\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_*\} = Y \times (\prod\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *For every space  $X$  there exists a space  $Y$  such that  $X \times Y$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: Let  $D$  be a discrete space with  $|D| = \pi w(X)$ . Now let  $Y = D^{\aleph_0}$ . Then  $X \times Y$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable by Lemma 3.3. □

**Corollary 3.5.** *Every space is the open continuous image of a  $\pi$ -metrizable space.*

PROOF: Let  $Y$  be a space, and  $X$  be such that  $X \times Y$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. Now take  $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  to be the projection map. □

One further consequence of this is a solution to a problem posed in [1].

**Example 3.6.** There exists a  $\pi$ -metrizable topological group that is not metrizable (and therefore not first countable).

PROOF: Let  $K$  be a discrete space with  $|K| = \aleph_1$ , then  $K$  is a topological group, as is  $K^{\aleph_1}$ . Furthermore  $K^{\aleph_1}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. However  $K^{\aleph_1}$  is not metrizable.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  with  $(\mathbb{N} \subset I)$  be a collection of not more than  $\kappa$  spaces, with  $\pi w(X_\alpha) \leq \kappa$ . If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of cardinal numbers converging to  $\kappa$  (in the topology induced by the usual ordering) and  $X_n$  has a discrete collection of  $\lambda_n$  open sets for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

PROOF: From elementary set theory, there exist a partition  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup\{N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , such that  $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $|N_n| = \omega$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  converges to  $\kappa$ .  $\prod\{X_n : n \in N_i\}$  has a discrete collection of  $\kappa$  open sets. Write  $N_i = \{i_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\lambda_{i_1} \geq \aleph_0$ . Now let  $\Gamma_n$  be a discrete collection of open sets of  $X_{i_n}$  of cardinality  $\lambda_{i_n}$ . Choose  $\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \Gamma_1$ . Now for each  $U \in \Gamma_n$  with  $n > 1$  let  $h(U) = \prod\{O_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $O_{i_1} = O_n$  and  $O_{i_n} = U$  and for  $k \neq 1, n$  put  $O_{i_k} = X_{i_k}$ . Now let  $\Delta_n = \{h(U) : U \in \Gamma_n\}$  and  $\Delta = \bigcup\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\Delta$  is discrete and  $|\Delta| = \kappa$ . So let  $Y_n = \prod\{X_k : k \in N_n\}$ . Let  $Y = \prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I \setminus \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is known that  $\pi w(Y) \leq \kappa$ .

Then  $\prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} = \prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I \setminus \mathbb{N}\} \times \prod\{X_k : k \in N_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = Y \times \prod\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable by Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a collection of not more than  $\kappa$  spaces with  $\pi w(X_\alpha) \leq \kappa$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . Assume that whenever  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of cardinal numbers converging (in the topology induced by the usual ordering) to  $\kappa$ , there exist  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X_n$  has a collection of pairwise disjoint open sets of cardinality  $\lambda_n$ . Then  $\prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable.

The proof is similar in spirit to that of Theorem 3.7.

**Lemma 3.9.** For every space  $X$  there exists a compact space  $Y$  such that  $X \times Y$  is weakly- $\pi$ -metrizable.

PROOF: Let  $A$  be a discrete space with  $|A| = \pi w(X)$ , let  $B$  be the Alexandroff compactification of  $A$  and declare  $Y = B^{\aleph_0}$ . Then  $X \times Y$  is weakly- $\pi$ -metrizable by Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** Every space is the image of a weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable space under an open perfect mapping.

We now present a result of a different kind: one which provides an upper bound on the number of factors in a weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable product.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be cardinal numbers. If  $Y$  is the product of  $\kappa$  factors each with at least two points and density less than or equal to  $\lambda$  where  $\lambda < \kappa$ , then  $Y$  is not weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: Let  $p(\beta) = \prod\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  where  $O_\alpha = X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $O_\beta = X_\beta \setminus \{x\}$  for some  $x \in X_\beta$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{p(\beta) : \beta \in I\}$ . Put  $\Delta = \bigcup\{\Psi_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to be a  $\pi$ -base with  $\Psi_n$  pairwise disjoint. For each element  $U$  of  $\Gamma$  there is an element  $B$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $B \subset U$ . Furthermore for each  $B \in \Delta$  there can exist only finitely many  $U \in \Gamma$  such that  $B \subset U$ . Thus  $|\Delta| = |\Gamma| = \kappa$ . Therefore there exists  $n$  such that  $|\Psi_n| > \lambda$ . But  $\Psi_n$  is pairwise disjoint and it is known that  $c(\prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}) \leq \lambda$ . So we have a contradiction. Thus  $\prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is not weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable.  $\square$

As an application of the product theorem offered earlier, the premise on the above theorem cannot be weakened to “If  $Y$  is the product of  $\kappa$  factors each with at least two points and density less than  $\kappa$  then  $Y$  is not weakly  $\pi$ -metrizable”. To see this take  $A(n)$  to be a discrete space of size  $\aleph_n$ . Then take  $Y = \prod\{A(n)^{\aleph_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . There are  $\aleph_\omega$  factors each with density less than  $\aleph_\omega$  but the product is  $\pi$ -metrizable as evident from Theorem 3.8 by using the sequence  $\{\aleph_n\}$  which converges to  $\aleph_\omega$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $\pi w(X)$  is a cardinal with countable cofinality or a successor, and  $X^\kappa$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable, then  $X^{\aleph_0}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.*

PROOF: We shall begin with the simple case where  $\pi w(X) = \tau$ , with  $\tau$  is a successor and  $X^\tau$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. Let  $\bigcup\{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $X^\tau$ . We have  $\pi w(X^\tau) \geq \pi(X) = \tau$  so  $|\bigcup\{\Psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}| \geq \tau$  thus  $|\Psi_n| \geq \tau$  for some  $n$  as  $\tau$  is a successor. Thus  $X^\tau$  has a discrete collection of nonempty open subsets:  $\Gamma$  such that  $|\Gamma| = \tau$  and without loss of generality we may assume all elements of  $\Gamma$  are basic open sets: that is, the product of open sets. Now again since  $\tau$  is a successor, there must exist  $n$  such that  $|\{O \in \Gamma : \pi_\alpha(O) \neq X_\alpha \text{ for } n \text{ values of } \alpha\}| = \tau$ . Let  $\Theta$  be this set. So  $\Theta$  is discrete. Let  $P : \Theta \rightarrow I^m$  be defined by  $P(U) = \{\alpha : \pi_\alpha(U) \neq X_\alpha\}$ . Now let  $O \in \Theta$ , for all  $U \in \Theta$  we have  $P(O) \cap P(U) \neq \emptyset$  else  $O \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there must exist  $\alpha_1 \in P(O)$  such that  $|\{U \in \Theta : \alpha_1 \in P(U)\}| = \tau$ . Let  $\Theta_1$  be this set and  $\alpha$  the corresponding coordinate. Now suppose in the set  $\Theta_i$  if there is an  $\alpha_{i+1} \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i$  such that  $|\{U \in \Theta_i : \alpha_{i+1} \in P(U)\}| = \tau$ , then let  $\Theta_{i+1}$  be this set. Since each set has only  $n$  elements, this must terminate at some finite point. That is, there exists  $\Theta_m$  such that for all  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  we have  $|\{U \in \Theta_m : \alpha \in P(U)\}| < \tau$ . Now define  $Q : \Theta_m \rightarrow I^{n-m}$  by  $Q(U) = P(U) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ . So then for all  $\alpha \in I$  we have  $|\{U \in \Theta_m : \alpha \in Q(U)\}| < \tau$ .

Now well order  $\Theta_m$ . Construct the set  $\Omega$  as follows: let  $O_1 \in \Omega$  and for  $O_\alpha$ , if there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $Q(O_\beta) \cap Q(O_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  then  $O_\alpha \notin \Omega$  otherwise  $O_\alpha \in \Omega$ . Then by construction  $\Omega$  is pairwise disjoint. We will see that  $|\Omega| = \tau$ .



Let us define  $s : \Omega \longrightarrow \text{Pow}(\Theta_m)$  by  $s(O) = \{U \in \Theta_m : Q(U) \cap Q(O) \neq \emptyset\}$ . If  $|s(O)| = \tau$  then there exists  $\zeta \in Q(O)$  such that  $|\{U \in \Theta_m : \zeta \in Q(U)\}| = \tau$  a contradiction. So  $|s(O)| \leq \tau - 1$  for all  $O \in \Omega$ . Now  $\Theta_m = \bigcup\{s(O) : O \in \Omega\}$ . Thus  $\tau = |\Theta_m| \leq \sum\{|s(O)| : O \in \Omega\} \leq |\Omega|(\tau - 1)$ . Hence  $|\Omega| = \tau$ .

Now assume (for contradiction) that  $X^n$  does not have a discrete collection of open sets of cardinality  $\tau$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $X^n$  does not have a locally finite collection of open sets of cardinality  $\tau$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So there exists a point in  $(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m}) \in X_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times X_{\alpha_m}$  such that every open set containing  $(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m})$  intersects infinitely many members of  $\{\pi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(O) : O \in \Omega\}$  where  $\pi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}$  is the projection onto the coordinates  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  and the collection is not taken faithfully so that it has cardinality  $\tau$ . Now define the point  $f$  as follows:  $f(\alpha_i) = x_{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , if  $x_\alpha \in Q(O)$  (for some  $O \in \Omega$ ) then  $f(\alpha)$  is chosen so that  $f(\alpha) \in O$ , otherwise choose  $f(\alpha)$  arbitrarily.

Let  $\prod\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be an open set containing  $z$ . So  $O_\alpha$  is open and  $O_\alpha = X$  for all but finitely many values of  $\alpha$ . Now  $O_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times O_{\alpha_m}$  is an open set containing  $(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m})$  so it intersects infinitely many elements of  $\{\pi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(O) : O \in \Omega\}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be this infinite set. If  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} = \{\alpha \in I : O_\alpha \neq X\}$ . Since  $\{Q(O) : O \in \Delta\}$  is an infinite collection of pairwise disjoint sets, there exists  $V, U \in \Delta$  such that  $\beta_i \notin Q(V) \cup Q(U)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . All that is left is to show that  $\prod\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  intersects  $V$  and  $U$ .  $\pi_\alpha(V) = X$  for each  $\alpha \notin P(V)$ . So we know that  $O_\alpha \cap \pi_\alpha(V) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha \notin P(V)$ . Now  $P(V) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \cup Q(V)$ . The set  $O_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times O_{\alpha_m}$  intersects  $\pi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(V)$  by virtue of  $V \in \Delta$ , and since  $O_\alpha = X$  for each  $\alpha \in Q(V)$  it follows that  $O_\alpha \cap \pi_\alpha(V) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha \in Q(V)$ . Thus  $\pi_\alpha(V) \cap O_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . Since  $V$  is the product of open sets, this implies that  $V \cap \prod\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly,  $U \cap \prod\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \neq \emptyset$  thus  $\Omega$  is not discrete. Thus  $\Gamma$  is not discrete: a contradiction.

Therefore  $X^n$  does have a discrete collection of open sets of cardinality  $\tau$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\pi w(X^n) = \tau$ , we get  $(X^n)^{\aleph_0} = X^{\aleph_0}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

In the case of  $\pi w(X) = \tau$  not a successor but with countable cofinality. There exists an increasing sequence  $\lambda_n \longrightarrow \tau$  such that each  $\lambda_n$  is a successor. Then we may repeat the above argument to see that since  $X^\tau$  must have a discrete collection of  $\lambda_n$  open sets, there exists  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X^{m_n}$  has a discrete collection of  $\lambda_n$  open sets. Thus  $\prod\{X^{m_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = X^{\aleph_0}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable.

Finally for the most general case where  $X^\kappa$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable. By Theorem 3.11 we get  $\kappa \leq d(X) \leq \pi w(X)$ . Thus  $\pi w(X^\kappa) = \pi w(X)$ . So  $(X^\kappa)^{\pi w(X)} = X^{\pi w(X)}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable, thus  $X^{\aleph_0}$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable from above. □

Many of these results can be summarized in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.13.** *If  $\pi w(X)$  is a cardinal with countable cofinality or a successor and  $X^\kappa$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable (for some  $\kappa$ ), then  $X^\tau$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable for all  $\aleph_0 \leq \tau \leq \pi w(X)$ .*

**Problem 3.14.** *Is it true that for any non- $\pi$ -metrizable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have that  $X \times Y$  is also non- $\pi$ -metrizable?*

**Problem 3.15.** *Does there exist a non- $\pi$ -metrizable space  $X$  such that  $X^n$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ?*

**Problem 3.16.** *If  $X^\kappa$  is  $\pi$ -metrizable is  $X^{\aleph_0}$   $\pi$ -metrizable as well?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Topological invariants in algebraic environment*, Recent Progress in General Topology, II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 1–57.
- [2] Arhangel'skii A.V., *d-separable spaces*, Seminar on General Topology, Moscow, 1981, pp. 3–8.
- [3] Davis S., *Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2004.
- [4] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] Fearnley D., *A Moore space with a  $\sigma$ -discrete  $\pi$ -base which cannot be densely embedded in any Moore space with the Baire property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 3095–3100.
- [6] Isbell J., *Uniform Spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1964.
- [7] Ponomarev V., *On the absolute of a topological space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **149** (1963), 26–29.
- [8] White H.E., *First countable spaces that have countable pseudo-bases*, Canad. Math. Bull. **21** (1978), 103–112.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ATHENS, OHIO 45701, USA  
*E-mail:* stover@math.ohiou.edu

(Received April 18, 2008, revised September 13, 2008)