Pseudo-amenability of Brandt semigroup algebras

MAYSAM MAYSAMI SADR.

Abstract. In this paper it is shown that for a Brandt semigroup S over a group G with an arbitrary index set I, if G is amenable, then the Banach semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S)$ is pseudo-amenable.

Keywords: pseudo-amenability, Brandt semigroup algebra, amenable group Classification: 43A20, 43A07, 46H20

1. Introduction

The concept of amenability for Banach algebras was introduced by Johnson in 1972 [6]. Several modifications of this notion, such as approximate amenability and pseudo-amenability, were introduced in [2] and [4]. In the current paper we investigate the pseudo-amenability of Brandt semigroup algebras. It was shown in [2] and [4] that for the group algebra $L^1(G)$, amenability, approximate amenability and pseudo-amenability coincide and are equivalent to the amenability of locally compact group G. In the semigroup case we know that, if S is a discrete semigroup, then amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ implies that S is regular and amenable [1]. Ghahramani et al. [3] have shown that, if $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable, then S is regular and amenable. The present author and Pourabbas in [9] have shown that for a Brandt semigroup S over a group S with an index set S, the following are equivalent.

- (i) $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable.
- (ii) $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable.
- (iii) I is finite and G is amenable.

This result corrects [7, Theorem 1.8]. In the present paper we show that for a Brandt semigroup S over a group G with an arbitrary (finite or infinite) index set I, amenability of G implies pseudo-amenability of $\ell^1(S)$.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed projective tensor product. For an element x of a set X, δ_x is its point mass measure in $\ell^1(X)$. Also, we frequently use the identification $\ell^1(X \times Y) = \ell^1(X) \hat{\otimes} \ell^1(Y)$ for the sets X and Y.

A Banach algebra A is called (approximately) amenable, if for any dual Banach A-bimodule E, every bounded derivation from A to E is (approximately) inner. It is well known that amenability of A is equivalent to existence of a bounded approximate diagonal, that is a bounded net $(m_i) \in A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that for every

414 M.M. Sadr

 $a \in A$, $a \cdot m_i - m_i \cdot a \longrightarrow 0$ and $\pi(m_i)a \longrightarrow a$, where $\pi : A \hat{\otimes} A \longrightarrow A$ is the continuous bimodule homomorphism defined by $\pi(a \otimes b) := ab \ (a, b \in A)$, and called the *diagonal map*. The famous Johnson Theorem [6], says that, for any locally compact group G, amenability of G and $L^1(G)$ are equivalent. For a modern account on amenability see [8] and for approximate amenability see the original papers [2] and [3].

A Banach algebra A is called pseudo-amenable ([4]) if there is a net $(n_i) \in A \hat{\otimes} A$, called an approximate diagonal for A, such that $a \cdot n_i - n_i \cdot a \longrightarrow 0$ and $\pi(n_i)a \longrightarrow a$ for each $a \in A$.

Let I be a nonempty set and let G be a discrete group. Consider the set $T:=I\times G\times I$, add a null element \emptyset to T, and define a semigroup multiplication on $S:=T\cup\{\emptyset\}$, as follows. For $i,i',j,j'\in I$ and $g,g'\in G$, let

$$(i,g,j)(i',g',j') = \begin{cases} (i,gg',j') & \text{if } j=i', \\ \emptyset & \text{if } j\neq i', \end{cases}$$

also let $\emptyset(i,g,j) = (i,g,j)\emptyset = \emptyset$ and $\emptyset\emptyset = \emptyset$. Then S becomes a semigroup that is called Brandt semigroup over G with index I, and usually denoted by B(I,G). For more details see [5].

The Banach space $\ell^1(T)$, with the convolution product,

$$(ab)(i,g,j) = \sum_{k \in I, h \in G} a(i,gh^{-1},k)b(k,h,j),$$

for $a,b \in \ell^1(T)$, $i,j \in I$, $g \in G$, becomes a Banach algebra. (Note that if G is the one point group, and I is finite, then $\ell^1(T)$ is an ordinary matrix algebra.) We have a closed relation between the Banach algebra $\ell^1(T)$ and the Banach semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S)$:

Lemma 1. There exists a homeomorphic isomorphism $\ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1(T) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ of Banach algebras, where the multiplication of $\ell^1(T) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is coordinatewise.

PROOF: Consider the following short exact sequence of Banach algebras and continuous algebra homomorphisms:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ell^1(T) \longrightarrow \ell^1(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the second arrow $\Psi: \ell^1(T) \longrightarrow \ell^1(S)$ is defined by $\Psi(b)(t) := b(t)$ and $\Psi(b)(\emptyset) := -\sum_{s \in T} b(s)$, for $b \in \ell^1(T)$ and $t \in T \subset S$, and the third arrow $\Phi: \ell^1(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the integral functional, $\Phi(a) := \sum_{s \in S} a(s) \ (a \in \ell^1(S))$. Now, let $\Theta: \ell^1(S) \longrightarrow \ell^1(T)$ be the restriction map, $\Theta(a) := a \mid_T$. Then Θ is a continuous algebra homomorphism and $\Theta\Psi = \mathrm{Id}_{\ell^1(T)}$. Thus the exact sequence splits and we have $\ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1(T) \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2. If $\ell^1(T)$ is pseudo-amenable, then so is $\ell^1(S)$.

PROOF: Suppose that $\ell^1(T)$ is pseudo-amenable. Then by Lemma 1 and [4, Proposition 2.1], $\ell^1(S)$ is pseudo-amenable.

3. The main result

Let S, T, G and I be as above. We need some other notations and computations: For $a \in \ell^1(T)$ and every $u, v \in I$, let $a_{(u,v)}$ be an element of $\ell^1(G)$ defined by $a_{(u,v)}(g) := a(u,g,v) \ (g \in G)$. Note that

$$||a||_{\ell^1(T)} = \sum_{u,v \in I} ||a_{(u,v)}||_{\ell^1(G)}.$$

For $b \in \ell^1(G \times G)$, $c \in \ell^1(G)$ and any $i, j, i', j' \in I$, let $E^b_{(i,j,i',j')}$ and $H^c_{(i,j)}$ be elements of $\ell^1(T \times T)$ and $\ell^1(T)$ respectively, defined by

$$E^b_{(i,j,i',j')}(u,g,v,u',g',v') = \begin{cases} b(g,g') & \text{if } u = i,v = j, u' = i', v' = j', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$H_{(i,j)}^c(u,g,v) = \begin{cases} c(g) & \text{if } u = i, v = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $u, v, u', v' \in I$ and $g, g' \in G$. Also note that

(1)
$$||E_{(i,j,i',j')}^b||_{\ell^1(T\times T)} = ||b||_{\ell^1(G\times G)}, \qquad ||H_{(i,j)}^c||_{\ell^1(T)} = ||c||_{\ell^1(G)}.$$

For $u, v \in I$ and $g \in G$, the module action of $\ell^1(T)$ on $\ell^1(T \times T)$ becomes

(2)
$$\delta_{(u,g,v)} \cdot E^b_{(i,j,i',j')} = \begin{cases} E^{\delta_g \cdot b}_{(u,j,i',j')} & \text{if } i = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq v, \end{cases}$$

(3)
$$E_{(i,j,i',j')}^{b} \cdot \delta_{(u,g,v)} = \begin{cases} E_{(i,j,i',v)}^{b \cdot \delta_g} & \text{if } j' = u, \\ 0 & \text{if } j' \neq u. \end{cases}$$

For the multiplication of $\ell^1(T)$ we have

(4)
$$\delta_{(u,g,v)}H_{(i,j)}^c = \begin{cases} H_{(u,j)}^{\delta_g c} & \text{if } i = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq v, \end{cases}$$
 $H_{(i,j)}^c\delta_{(u,g,v)} = \begin{cases} H_{(i,v)}^{c\delta_g} & \text{if } j = u, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq u. \end{cases}$

And finally, the diagonal maps $\pi:\ell^1(T\times T)\longrightarrow \ell^1(T)$ and $\pi:\ell^1(G\times G)\longrightarrow \ell^1(G)$ have the relation

(5)
$$\pi(E_{(i,j,i',j')}^b) = \begin{cases} H_{(i,j')}^{\pi(b)} & \text{if } j = i', \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i'. \end{cases}$$

We are now ready to prove our main result:

Theorem 3. Suppose that G is amenable. Then $\ell^1(S)$ is pseudo-amenable.

416 M.M. Sadr

PROOF: Let $(m_{\lambda})_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}\in \ell^1(G\times G)$ be a bounded approximate diagonal for the amenable Banach algebra $\ell^1(G)$. For any finite nonempty subset F of I and ${\lambda}\in\Lambda$, let

$$W_{F,\lambda} := \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{i,j \in F} E_{(i,j,j,i)}^{m_{\lambda}},$$

where #F denotes the cardinal of F. We show that the net $(W_{F,\lambda}) \in \ell^1(T \times T)$ over the directed set $\Gamma \times \Lambda$, where Γ is the directed set of finite subsets of I ordered by inclusion, is an approximate diagonal for $\ell^1(T)$.

For any $u, v \in I$ and $g \in G$, by equations (2) and (3), we have,

$$\delta_{(u,g,v)} \cdot W_{F,\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{\delta_g \cdot m_\lambda} & \text{if } v \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \notin F, \end{cases}$$

$$W_{F,\lambda} \cdot \delta_{(u,g,v)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{m_{\lambda} \cdot \delta_g} & \text{if } u \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \notin F, \end{cases}$$

and thus,

$$\delta_{(u,g,v)} \cdot W_{F,\lambda} - W_{F,\lambda} \cdot \delta_{(u,g,v)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{\delta_g \cdot m_\lambda - m_\lambda \cdot \delta_g} & \text{if } u \in F, v \in F, \\ \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{\delta_g \cdot m_\lambda} & \text{if } v \in F, u \notin F, \\ -\frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{m_\lambda \cdot \delta_g} & \text{if } u \in F, v \notin F, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \notin F, u \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $a = \sum_{u,v \in I, g \in G} a(u,g,v) \delta_{(u,g,v)}$ in $\ell^1(T)$ we have

$$a \cdot W_{F,\lambda} - W_{F,\lambda} \cdot a = \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j,u,v \in F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda} - m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j,v \in F, u \in I - F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{j,u \in F, v \in I - F} E_{(u,j,j,v)}^{m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}},$$

and thus, by (1),

(6)
$$||a \cdot W_{F,\lambda} - W_{F,\lambda} \cdot a|| \leq \sum_{u,v \in F} ||a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda} - m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}|| + \sum_{v \in F, u \in I - F} ||a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda}|| + \sum_{u \in F, v \in I - F} ||m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}||.$$

Now, suppose that M>0 is a bound for the norms of m_{λ} 's. Let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary, and let F_0 be an element of Γ such that

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in J_0, g\in G} |a(u,g,v)| = \sum_{(u,v)\in J_0} ||a_{(u,v)}|| < \epsilon,$$

where $J_0 = (I \times (I - F_0)) \cup ((I - F_0) \times I)$. And choose a $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$\sum_{u,v \in F_0} \|a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda} - m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}\| < \epsilon.$$

Now, if $(F, \lambda) \in \Gamma \times \Lambda$ such that $F_0 \subseteq F$, $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$, then we have,

$$\sum_{u,v \in F} \|a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda} - m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}\| \le \sum_{u,v \in F_0} \|a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda} - m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}\|$$

$$+ \sum_{(u,v) \in J_0} \|a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda}\|$$

$$+ \sum_{(u,v) \in J_0} \|m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}\|$$

$$< \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M,$$

and analogously,

$$\sum_{v \in F} \|a_{(u,v)} \cdot m_{\lambda}\| < \epsilon M$$

and

$$\sum_{u \in F, v \in I - F} \|m_{\lambda} \cdot a_{(u,v)}\| < \epsilon M.$$

Thus by (6), we have $||a \cdot W_{F,\lambda} - W_{F,\lambda} \cdot a|| < \epsilon + 4\epsilon M$.

Therefore, we proved that $a \cdot W_{F,\lambda} - W_{F,\lambda} \cdot a \longrightarrow 0$, for every $a \in \ell^1(T)$.

Now, we prove that $\pi(W_{F,\lambda})a \longrightarrow a$ for any $a \in \ell^1(T)$.

By (5), we have

$$\pi(W_{F,\lambda}) = \frac{1}{\#F} \sum_{i,j \in F} H_{(i,i)}^{\pi(m_{\lambda})} = \sum_{i \in F} H_{(i,i)}^{\pi(m_{\lambda})}.$$

Thus, (4) implies that

$$\pi(W_{F,\lambda})a = \sum_{i \in F, v \in I} H_{(i,v)}^{\pi(m_{\lambda})a_{(i,v)}},$$

418 M.M. Sadr

since $a = \sum_{u,v \in I} H_{(u,v)}^{a_{(u,v)}}$. Then we have,

(7)
$$\|\pi(W_{F,\lambda})a - a\| \leq \sum_{i \in F, v \in I} \|H_{(i,v)}^{\pi(m_{\lambda})a_{(i,v)} - a_{(i,v)}}\| + \sum_{v \in I, u \in I - F} \|H_{(u,v)}^{a_{(u,v)}}\|.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and let F_0 and J_0 be as above. Choose a $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$,

$$\sum_{i,j\in F_0} \|\pi(m_\lambda)a_{(i,j)} - a_{(i,j)}\| < \epsilon.$$

Now, if $(F, \lambda) \in \Gamma \times \Lambda$ is such that $F_0 \subseteq F$, $\lambda \ge \lambda_1$, then by (1) we have,

$$\sum_{i \in F, v \in I} \|H_{(i,v)}^{\pi(m_{\lambda})a_{(i,v)} - a_{(i,v)}}\| \le \sum_{i,j \in F_0} \|\pi(m_{\lambda})a_{(i,j)} - a_{(i,j)}\|$$

$$+ \sum_{(u,v) \in J_0} \|\pi(m_{\lambda})a_{(u,v)}\| + \sum_{(u,v) \in J_0} \|a_{(u,v)}\|$$

$$\le \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon.$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in I, u \in I - F} \|H_{(u,v)}^{a_{(u,v)}}\| = \sum_{v \in I, u \in I - F} \|a_{(u,v)}\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus, by (7) we have

$$\|\pi(W_{F,\lambda})a - a\| < 3\epsilon + \epsilon M.$$

This completes the proof.

We end with a natural question:

Question 4. Does pseudo-amenability of $\ell^1(B(I,G))$ imply amenability of G?

References

- Duncan J., Namioka I., Amenability of inverse semigroups and their semigroup algebras, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 80 (1978), 309–321.
- [2] Ghahramani F., Loy R.J., Generalized notion of amenability, J. Funct. Anal. 208 (2004), 229–260.
- [3] Ghahramani F., Loy R.J., Zhang Y., Generalized notions of amenability II, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 1776–1810.
- [4] Ghahramani F., Zhang Y., Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 142 (2007), 111–123.
- [5] Howie J.M., An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [6] Johnson B.E., Cohomology in Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972), pp 96.
- [7] Lashkarizadeh Bami M., Samea H., Approximate amenability of certain semigroup algebras, Semigroup Forum 71 (2005), 312–322.

- [8] Runde V., Lectures on amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1774, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [9] Sadr M.M., Pourabbas A., Approximate amenability of Banach category algebras with application to semigroup algebras, Semigroup Forum, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES (IASBS), P.O. BOX 45195-1159, ZANJAN, IRAN Email: sadr@iasbs.ac.ir

(Received March 25, 2009)