

FC-modules with an application to cotorsion pairs

YONGHUA GUO

Abstract. Let R be a ring. A left R -module M is called an FC-module if $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a flat right R -module. In this paper, some homological properties of FC-modules are given. Let n be a nonnegative integer and \mathcal{FC}_n the class of all left R -modules M such that the flat dimension of M^+ is less than or equal to n . It is shown that $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair and if R is a ring such that $\text{fd}({}_R R^+) \leq n$ and \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct sums, then $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair. In particular, some known results are obtained as corollaries.

Keywords: character modules, flat modules, cotorsion pairs

Classification: 16D40, 16D80, 16E99

1. Introduction

Throughout this note, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. For an R -module M , the character module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by M^+ . The left R -module category is denoted by ${}_R\mathcal{M}$. The projective (resp., injective, flat) dimension of M is denoted by $\text{pd}(M)$ (resp., $\text{id}(M)$, $\text{fd}(M)$). The symbol \mathcal{P}_n (resp., \mathcal{I}_n , \mathcal{F}_n) stands for the class of all left R -modules with projective (resp., injective, flat) dimension less than or equal to a fixed nonnegative integer n .

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of R -modules and M an R -module. A homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow F$ with $F \in \mathcal{C}$ is called a \mathcal{C} -preenvelope of M [9] if for any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow F'$ where $F' \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a homomorphism $g : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $g\phi = f$. A \mathcal{C} -preenvelope $\phi : M \rightarrow F$ is said to be a \mathcal{C} -envelope if every endomorphism $g : F \rightarrow F$ such that $g\phi = \phi$ is an isomorphism. Following [9, Definition 7.1.6], a monomorphism $\alpha : M \rightarrow C$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be a *special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope* of M if $\text{coker}(\alpha) \in {}^\perp\mathcal{C}$. Dually we have the definitions of a (*special*) \mathcal{C} -precover and a \mathcal{C} -cover. Special \mathcal{C} -preenvelopes (resp. special \mathcal{C} -precovers) are obviously \mathcal{C} -preenvelopes (resp., \mathcal{C} -precovers). If every R -module has a \mathcal{C} -(pre)envelope (resp., \mathcal{C} -(pre)cover), we say that \mathcal{C} is (*pre*)*enveloping* (resp., (*pre*)*covering*).

A pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ of classes of R -modules is called a *cotorsion pair* (or *cotorsion theory*) [9, 12] if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{C : \text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \{F : \text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. A cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$

This research was partially supported by NSFC (No. 10771096) and National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (No. 2008365).

is called *complete* (resp., *perfect*) provided that every R -module has a special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope and a special \mathcal{F} -precover (resp., a \mathcal{C} -envelope and an \mathcal{F} -cover).

In what follows, we write $wD(R)$ for the weak dimension of the ring R . Recall that a left R -module M is called *FP-injective* (or *absolutely pure*) [18] if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for all finitely presented left R -modules N . A ring R is called *right IF-ring* [14] if every injective right R -module is flat.

For unexplained concepts and notations, we refer the reader to [1], [9].

2. Some results on FC-modules

Following Ramamurthi [16] we call an R -module M an FC-module if M^+ is a flat R -module on the opposite side.

Let $\mathcal{FI} = \{M \mid M \text{ is an FP-injective left } R\text{-module}\}$ and $\mathcal{FC}_n = \{M \mid M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module with } \text{fd}(M^+) \leq n\}$, thus $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \{M \in {}_R\mathcal{M} \mid M \text{ is an FC-module}\}$.

We note that if M is an FC-module then M is FP-injective (Proposition 2.1).

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a left R -module. Consider the following statements:*

- (1) M is an FC-module;
- (2) $M^+ \twoheadrightarrow S^+$ is a flat precover for every submodule S of M ;
- (3) there exists a pure exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with $N \in \mathcal{FC}_0$;
- (4) M is FP-injective.

Then (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4). And (4) \Rightarrow (3) holds in case R is a left coherent ring.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (3) and (2) \Rightarrow (1) are trivial.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) For a flat right R -module F , $(F \otimes_R M)^+ \rightarrow (F \otimes_R S)^+ \rightarrow 0$ is exact, equivalently, $\text{Hom}_R(F, M^+) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, S^+) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. So $M^+ \twoheadrightarrow S^+$ is a flat precover.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be a pure exact sequence with $N \in \mathcal{FC}_0$. $0 \rightarrow L^+ \rightarrow N^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow 0$ is split by [11, Theorem 3.1]. Thus M^+ is flat since N^+ is flat.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Since $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{++}$ is a pure embedding and M^{++} is injective, M is FP-injective by [18, Proposition 2.6].

If R is left coherent, then (4) \Rightarrow (1) follows from [4, Theorem 1]. □

Remark 2.2. Given an exact sequence $F \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ with F flat, in general, $f : F \rightarrow N$ need not be a flat precover. For example, $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not a flat precover.

It is not true in general that a submodule of an FC_n -module is an FC_n -module. However, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring. If S is a pure submodule of a right FC_n -module M , then S and M/S are FC_n -modules.*

PROOF: Since S is a pure submodule of M , $0 \rightarrow (M/S)^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow S^+ \rightarrow 0$ is a split exact sequence by [11, Theorem 3.1]. Hence $\text{fd}(S^+) \leq n$ and $\text{fd}((M/S)^+) \leq n$. □

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of modules. \mathcal{C} is called *coresolving* [12, Definition 2.2.8(ii)], provided that \mathcal{C} is closed under extensions, $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ whenever $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence such that $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is left coherent;
- (2) \mathcal{FI} is coresolving;
- (3) \mathcal{FC}_0 is coresolving;
- (4) $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$.

PROOF: Since \mathcal{FI} is closed under extensions and $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FI}$, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from [6, Theorem 1.5].

(1) \Rightarrow (3) By [4, Theorem 1], $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$ since R is left coherent. Therefore \mathcal{FC}_0 is coresolving by (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) It is enough to prove $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$ by [4, Theorem 1]. By Proposition 2.1, we have $\mathcal{FC}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FI}$. For any $F \in \mathcal{FI}$, there is a pure short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with E injective. Hence $F \in \mathcal{FC}_0$ by Proposition 2.1. It follows that $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$, as desired. \square

Remark 2.5. If R is not a left coherent ring, then there exists an injective right R -module M such that M is not an FC-module by Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. *R is left coherent if and only if every left R -module has a monomorphic \mathcal{FC}_0 -preenvelope.*

PROOF: If R is left coherent, then $\mathcal{FI} = \mathcal{FC}_0$. By [10, Corollary 1.4], every left R -module has a monomorphic \mathcal{FC}_0 -preenvelope. On the other hand, if every left R -module has a monomorphic \mathcal{FC}_0 -preenvelope, then every injective left R -module is an FC-module. Hence, R is left coherent by Theorem 2.4. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a right IF-ring;
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$;
- (3) $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be a flat left R -module. Since F^+ is injective as a right R -module, F^+ is flat and hence F is an FC-module.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) follows from [5, Theorem 1(4)]. \square

Remark 2.8. The conditions in Proposition 2.7 are equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$ by [7, Theorem 3.5] for every positive integer n .

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be a ring. If R is a two-sided IF-ring, then R is two-sided coherent. Moreover, commutative IF-rings are coherent.*

A coherent ring need not be an IF-ring. \mathbb{Z} is not an IF-ring since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is injective (divisible) but not flat ($\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$). It is an open question whether a right IF-ring is left coherent [14, P442]. The next theorem gives a partial answer to this question.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R is a right IF-ring. If $\text{fd}(E^{++}) < \infty$ for every injective left R -module E , then R is left coherent.*

PROOF: Let E be an injective left R -module. Note that $\text{id}(E^{+++}) = \text{fd}(E^{++}) < \infty$ by hypothesis, and so E^{+++} is flat by [5, Proposition 4]. Since E^+ is a pure submodule of E^{+++} , E^+ is flat. Thus R is left coherent by Theorem 2.4. \square

Proposition 2.11. *The following are equivalent for a commutative ring R :*

- (1) R is an IF-ring;
- (2) M is flat if and only if M is an FC-module;
- (3) $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{FC}_n$ for any integer $n \geq 0$.

PROOF: It follows from Proposition 2.7 and the proof of Theorem 2.10. \square

Remark 2.12. If R is a coherent and self-injective commutative ring, then R is an IF-ring by Proposition 2.7. According to above propositions, in this ring, an R -module is flat if and only if it is FP-injective. Hence [3, Theorem 12] allows us to get examples of rings over which every finitely presented module has an FP-injective envelope but not every module has an FP-injective envelope.

Proposition 2.13. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is von Neumann regular;
- (2) every left R -module is an FC-module;
- (3) M^+ is an FC-module for every pure injective right R -module M .

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) For any left R -module N , N^+ is pure injective right R -module. Therefore N^{++} is an FC-module. Since N is a pure submodule of N^{++} , N is an FC-module by Proposition 2.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any left R -module M , let $f : F \rightarrow M$ be a flat cover of M . Then F^+ is injective and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow F^+ \rightarrow (\text{Ker}(f))^+ \rightarrow 0$ is split since $(\text{Ker}(f))^+$ is flat by assumption. Thus M^+ is injective, and hence M is flat. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let R a commutative ring such that $wD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is von Neumann regular;
- (2) every R -module has a monomorphic flat envelope;
- (3) R is an IF-ring such that every R -module has an \mathcal{FC}_0 -envelope.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If every R -module has a monomorphic flat envelope, then R is an IF-ring. Now by using [2, Theorem 9], we get that $wD(R) \leq 2$. Hence R is von Neumann regular by [5, Proposition 5].

(1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Proposition 2.13.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) By Proposition 2.11, every R -module has a flat envelope. Since every injective module is flat, the flat envelope must be monomorphic. \square

3. An application to cotorsion pairs

We begin with the following

Proposition 3.1. *For a family $\{F_i\}$ of right R -modules, if ΠF_i is a right FC_n -module, then $\oplus F_i$ is a right FC_n -module.*

PROOF: The result follows since $\oplus F_i$ is a pure submodule of ΠF_i . \square

Remark 3.2. By [17, Corollary 3.5(c)], if a class \mathcal{G} of modules over a ring is closed under pure submodules, then \mathcal{G} is preenveloping if and only if it is closed under direct products. If a class \mathcal{F} is closed under pure quotient modules, then \mathcal{F} is precovering if and only if it is covering if and only if \mathcal{F} is closed under direct sums by [13, Theorem 2.5]. From Proposition 3.1, we know that if \mathcal{FC}_n is preenveloping, then \mathcal{FC}_n is covering. Moreover, \mathcal{FC}_n is a Kaplansky class by [13, Proposition 3.2].

Lemma 3.3. *\mathcal{FC}_n is covering if and only if \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct sums.*

PROOF: This follows from Proposition 2.3 and [13, Theorem 2.5]. \square

Corollary 3.4. *For a left coherent ring R , every left R -module has an FP-injective cover.*

Theorem 3.5. *$({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair. Moreover, if R is a ring such that $\text{fd}(({}_R R)^+) \leq n$ and \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct sums, then $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

PROOF: Let E be a right R -module with $\text{fd}(E^+) \leq n$. By [9, Lemma 5.3.12], if $\text{Card } R \leq \aleph_\beta$, then, for each $x \in E$, there is a pure submodule $S \subseteq E$ with $x \in S$ such that $\text{Card } S \leq \aleph_\beta$ (simply let $N = Rx$ and $f = \text{id}_N$ in the lemma). By Proposition 2.3, $S \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ and $E/S \in \mathcal{FC}_n$. So we can write E as a union of a continuous chain $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ of pure submodules of E such that $\text{Card } E_0 \leq \aleph_\beta$ and $\text{Card}(E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha) \leq \aleph_\beta$ whenever $\alpha + 1 < \lambda$. Moreover $E_0 \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ and $E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha \in \mathcal{FC}_n$. By [9, Theorem 7.3.4], we see that if C is a right R -module such that $\text{Ext}^1(E_0, C) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha, C) = 0$ whenever $\alpha + 1 < \lambda$, then $\text{Ext}^1(E, C) = 0$. So if Y is a set of representatives of all right R -modules $G \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ with $\text{Card } G \leq \aleph_\beta$, then $C \in \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(G, C) = 0$ for all $G \in Y$. But then this just says that the given cotorsion pair $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is cogenerated by the set Y . Hence $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair by [8, Theorem 10].

By Proposition 2.3 and hypothesis, \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct limits. Since $R \in \mathcal{FC}_n$, we may assume $R \in Y$. So the class ${}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ consists of direct summands of Y -filtered modules by [12, Corollary 3.2.4]. By an induction on the length of the Y -filtration, we get that ${}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp) = \mathcal{FC}_n$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is perfect by [12, Corollary 2.3.7]. \square

Corollary 3.6 ([15, Theorem 3.4(1)]). *For a left coherent ring R with $\text{FP-id}({}_R R) \leq n$, $(\mathcal{FI}_n, \mathcal{FI}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

Corollary 3.7 ([12, Theorem 4.1.13]). *Let R be a left noetherian ring. Then $\mathfrak{C}_n = ({}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^\perp), \mathcal{I}_n^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair. Moreover, if $\text{id}({}_R R) \leq n$, then $\mathfrak{C}_n = (\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{I}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of modules. Then \mathcal{C} is *definable* [12, Definition 3.1.9] provided that \mathcal{C} is closed under direct limits, direct products and pure submodules.

Theorem 3.8. *If R is a right IF-ring such that \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct products, then \mathcal{FC}_n is definable and $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

PROOF: By hypothesis and Proposition 3.1, \mathcal{FC}_n is closed under direct sums. Thus \mathcal{FC}_n is definable by Proposition 2.3 and $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion pair by Theorem 3.5. \square

Remark 3.9. If R is a ring such that $(\mathcal{FC}_0, \mathcal{FC}_0^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair, then R is a right IF-ring.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for his/her careful reading and comments which improve the presentation of this article. Also the author would like to thank Professor Nanqing Ding for his constant encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson F.W., Fuller K.R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [2] Asensio Mayor J., Martinez Hernandez J., *Flat envelopes in commutative rings*, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), no. 1, 123–128.
- [3] Asensio Mayor J., Martinez Hernandez J., *Monomorphic flat envelopes in commutative rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **54** (1990), no. 5, 430–435.
- [4] Cheatham T.J., Stone D.R., *Flat and projective character modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), no. 2, 175–177.
- [5] Colby R.R., *Rings which have flat injective modules*, J. Algebra **35** (1975), 239–252.
- [6] Couchot F., *Exemples d'anneaux auto-fp-injectifs*, Comm. Algebra **10** (1982), no. 4, 339–360.
- [7] Ding N.Q., Chen J.L., *The flat dimensions of injective modules*, Manuscripta Math. **78** (1993), 165–177.
- [8] Eklof P.C., Trlifaj J., *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 1, 41–51.
- [9] Enochs E.E., Jenda O.M.G., *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.

- [10] Enochs E.E., Jenda O.M.G., Xu J., *The existence of envelopes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **90** (1990), 45–51.
- [11] Fieldhouse D.J., *Character modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 274–276.
- [12] Göbel R., Trlifaj J., *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 41, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006.
- [13] Holm H., Jørgensen P., *Covers, preenvelopes, and purity*, Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), 691–703.
- [14] Jain S., *Flat and FP-injectivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), no. 2, 437–442.
- [15] Mao L.X., Ding N.Q., *Envelopes and covers by modules of finite FP-injective and flat dimensions*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), 835–849.
- [16] Ramamurthi V.S., *On modules with projective character modules*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 181–184.
- [17] Rada J., Saorín M., *Rings characterized by (pre)envelopes and (pre)covers of their modules*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), 899–912.
- [18] Stenström B., *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 323–329.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUILIN, GUANGXI
541004, CHINA

and

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, CHINA

Email: gyonghua@yahoo.com

(Received June 10, 2009, revised October 30, 2009)