# Remarks on LBI-subalgebras of C(X)

#### Mehdi Parsinia

Abstract. Let A(X) denote a subalgebra of C(X) which is closed under local bounded inversion, briefly, an LBI-subalgebra. These subalgebras were first introduced and studied in Redlin L., Watson S., Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications, Fund. Math. 152 (1997), 151–163. By characterizing maximal ideals of A(X), we generalize the notion of  $z_A^\beta$ -ideals, which was first introduced in Acharyya S.K., De D., Aninteresting class of ideals in subalgebras of C(X) containing  $C^*(X)$ , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 48 (2007), 273–280 for intermediate subalgebras, to the LBI-subalgebras. Using these, it is simply shown that the structure space of every LBI-subalgebra is homeomorphic with a quotient of  $\beta X$ . This gives a different approach to the results of Redlin L., Watson S., Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications, Fund. Math. 152 (1997), 151–163 and also shows that the Banaschewski-compactification of a zero-dimensional space X is a quotient of  $\beta X$ . Finally, we consider the class of complete rings of functions which was first defined in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., Local invertibility in subrings of  $C^*(X)$ , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 46(1992), 449–458. Showing that every such subring is an LBI-subalgebra, we prove that the compactification of X associated to each complete ring of functions, which is identified in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., Local invertibility in subrings of  $C^*(X)$ , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.  $\mathbf{46}(1992)$ , 449-458 via the mapping  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ , is in fact, the structure space of that subring. Henceforth, some statements in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., Local invertibility in subrings of  $C^*(X)$ , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 46(1992), 449–458 could be proved in a different way.

Keywords: local bounded inversion; structure space;  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal; complete ring of functions

Classification: 54C30, 46E25

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be completely regular and Hausdorff. For a given topological space X, C(X) denotes the algebra of all real-valued continuous functions on X,  $C^*(X)$  denotes the subalgebra of C(X) consisting of all bounded continuous functions. For each  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  denotes the zero-set of f and Coz(f) denotes the complement of Z(f) with respect to X. For each element f of an intermediate

subalgebra A(X) (i.e.,  $C^*(X) \subseteq A(X) \subseteq C(X)$ ),  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  denotes  $\{E \in Z(X) : A(X) \subseteq C(X) : A(X) \subseteq C(X) \}$  $\exists g \in A(X) : fg|_{X \setminus E} = 1$  (refer to [6] for more details about the mapping  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ ). By a realcompactification of X we mean a realcompact space containing X as a dense subspace. For a topological space X,  $\beta X$  is the Stone-Cech compactification of X and vX is the Hewitt-real compactification of X. Every  $f \in C(X)$  may be considered as a continuous function from X into the one-point compactification  $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and thus it has a Stone extension  $f^* : \beta X \to \mathbb{R}^*$ . Clearly, if f is bounded, then  $f^*$  is the same as  $f^{\beta}$ . The set of all points in  $\beta X$  where  $f^*$  takes real values is denoted by  $v_f X$ , i.e.,  $v_f X = \{ p \in \beta X : f^*(p) \neq \infty \}$ . For a subring  $R \text{ of } C(X) \text{ we set } v_R X = \{ p \in \beta X : f^*(p) < \infty, \forall f \in R \} = \bigcap_{f \in R} v_f X. \text{ It follows}$ that  $v_C X = v X$  and  $v_{C^*} X = \beta X$ . Also,  $v X \subseteq v_R X$  for each subring R of C(X), see [1] for more details. A maximal ideal M of a subalgebra A(X) is called real maximal, if  $A(X)/M \cong \mathbb{R}$ . If the field A(X)/M properly contains a copy of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then M is called a hyper-real maximal ideal. A subalgebra A(X) of C(X) is called closed under bounded inversion, briefly, a BI-subalgebra, if f is invertible in A(X)whenever  $f \in A(X)$  with f > 1. Also, A(X) is called a  $\beta$ -subalgebra, if the structure space of A(X) is homeomorphic with  $\beta X$  ([13, Definition 2.5]). It is shown in [13, Theorem 2.8] that every  $\beta$ -subalgebra is a BI-subalgebra. However, the converse is not true, in general. For example, let  $p, q \in \beta X \setminus vX$  and  $I = M^p \cap M^q$ , then [17, Remark 1.7 and Remark 4.1] and [13, Theorem 2.9] show that  $I + \mathbb{R}$  is a BI-subalgebra which is not a  $\beta$ -subalgebra. It is easy to see that every intermediate subalgebra A(X) is a  $\beta$ -subalgebra. However, a  $\beta$ -subalgebra need not be an intermediate subalgebra. For example, whenever  $p \in \beta X \setminus vX$ , then  $M^p + \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\beta$ -subalgebra which is not an intermediate subalgebra (refer to [13] and [17]). Note that [13, Theorem 2.9] shows that the  $\beta$ -subalgebras which are also closed under uniform topology are precisely the intermediate subalgebras. A subalgebra A(X)of C(X) is called closed under local bounded inversion, briefly, LBI-subalgebras, if whenever  $f \in A(X)$  is bounded away from zero on some cozero-set E, then f is E-regular in A(X); i.e., if  $f \geq c > 0$  on E, then there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $fg|_E = 1$ . These subalgebras were introduced and studied in [15]. It is easy to see that every LBI-subalgebra is a BI-subalgebra. However, the converse of this statement does not hold, in general (see Example 2.2 in the next section). In [13, Theorem 2.8] it is stated that the collection of all maximal ideals of a  $\beta$ -subalgebra A(X) is  $\{M_A^p : p \in \beta X\}$ , in which  $M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : (fg)^*(p) = 0, \forall g \in A(X)\}.$ Moreover, it follows from [13, Proposition 2.7] that every maximal ideal of a BI-subalgebra A(X) is of the form  $M_A^p$ , for some  $p \in \beta X$ . Following [13] we set  $S_A(f) = \{ p \in \beta X : (fg)^*(p) = 0, \forall g \in A(X) \}$  for each f in a subalgebra A(X); thus,  $M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \in S_A(f)\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S_A(fg) = S_A(f) \cup S_A(g), S_A(f^2 + g^2) = S_A(f) \cap S_A(g) \text{ and } S_A(f^n) = S_A(f), \text{ for } f$ each  $f, g \in A(X)$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore,  $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \subseteq S_A(f) \subseteq Z(f^*)$  and thus  $S_A(f) \cap X = Z(f)$ . It is evident that  $S_C(f) = \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$  and  $S_{C^*}(f) = Z(f^{\beta})$ . For terms and notations not defined here we follow the standard text [9].

The aim of this paper is to investigate a different approach to the results of [5] and [15]. This is done via characterizing maximal ideals of the subalgebras which

are considered in the mentioned papers. Moreover, we generalize the notion of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideals, which was first defined in [2] for intermediate subalgebras, to the LBIsubalgebras. Furthermore, we show that  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideals coincide with z-ideals in LBIsubalgebras. Note that an ideal I in a commutative ring R is called a z-ideal, if  $M_f \subseteq I$  whenever  $f \in I$ , where  $M_f$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of R containing f. This paper consists of three sections. Section 1 is the introduction as we have already noticed. In Section 2, we consider the class of LBI-subalgebras of C(X). By characterizing maximal ideals of these subalgebras, we generalize the notion of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideals to the LBI-subalgebras. Using these, we give another proof of the fact that the structure space of each LBI-subalgebra is homeomorphic with a quotient of  $\beta X$ , which is proved in [15] via the mapping  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ . In Section 3, we consider the class of complete rings of functions which is introduced in [5]. It simply follows that every complete ring of functions is an LBI-subalgebra and thus the compactification associated with each complete ring of functions, which is identified in [5] via the mapping  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ , is just the structure space of that subring. Thus, some results of [5] could be achieved in a different way.

## 2. LBI-subalgebras of C(X)

As noted in the introduction, every LBI-subalgebra is a BI-subalgebra. Thus, [13, Proposition 2.7] implies that each maximal ideal of an LBI-subalgebra A(X) has the form  $M_A^p$  for some  $p \in \beta X$ . The following statement shows that in an LBI-subalgebra A(X), the ideal  $M_A^p$  is always maximal for each  $p \in \beta X$ . Note that in this paper LBI-subalgebras are assumed to separate points and closed sets of X.

**Lemma 2.1.** For each  $p \in \beta X$ , the ideal  $M_A^p$  is maximal in the LBI-subalgebra A(X).

PROOF: Assume that  $M_A^p$  is not a maximal ideal. As A(X) is an LBI-subalgebra, there exists  $q \in \beta X$  such that  $M_A^q$  is a maximal ideal in A(X) and  $M_A^p \subset M_A^q$ . Let  $f \in M_A^q \setminus M_A^p$ , thus, there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $(fg)^*(p) \neq 0$ ; i.e,  $p \notin Z((fg)^*)$ . Therefore, there exists  $h \in C(X)$  such that  $p \in cl_{\beta X}Z(h)$  and  $cl_{\beta X}Z(h) \cap Z((fg)^*) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $h \in M^p$  and f(x)g(x) > c > 0 for each  $x \in Z(h)$  where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ . Set  $F = \{x \in X : f(x)g(x) > c\}$ , clearly, F is a cozero-set containing Z(h) on which fg is bounded away from zero. Thus, there exists  $k \in A(X)$  such that  $fgk|_F = 1$ , since A(X) is an LBI-subalgebra. Hence,  $fgk|_{Z(h)} = 1$  which implies that  $1 - fgk|_{Z(h)} = 0$ . As  $p \in cl_{\beta X}Z(h)$ , we have  $(1 - fgk)^*(p) = 0$  and hence for each  $t \in A(X)$  we have  $((1 - fgk)t)^*(p) = 0$ , since  $Z(h) \subseteq Z((1 - fgk)t)$  and thus if  $p \notin Z(((1 - fgk)t)^*)$ . Then there exists  $l \in C(X)$  such that  $p \in cl_{\beta X}Z(l)$  and  $Z(l) \cap Z((1 - fgk)t) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $Z(l) \cap Z(h) = \emptyset$ , however,  $l, h \in M^p$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $1 - fgk \in M_A^p \subseteq M_A^q$  and thus  $1 \in M_A^q$  which is a contradiction.

Note that Lemma 2.1 does not hold for BI-subalgebras, in general, as the following example shows. This example investigates a BI-subalgebra which is not an *LBI*-subalgebra.

Example 2.2. Let X be a topological space and  $p, q \in \beta X \setminus vX$  with  $p \neq q$ . Also, let  $I = M^p \cap M^q$  and  $A_I = I^u + \mathbb{R}$ . It follows from [17, Lemma 2.2] that  $A_I$  is a BI-subalgebra. Moreover, using [16, Lemma 5.1], we have  $M^p \subseteq M^p_{A_I}$  and thus  $I \subseteq M_{A_I}^p$ . Therefore, if  $M_{A_I}^p$  is maximal in  $A_I$ , then [17, Theorem 2.7] implies that  $M_{A_I}^p = I^u$  which means that  $(M^p)^u = (M^q)^u$ . This contradicts  $p \neq q$ . Therefore,  $M_{A_I}^p$  is not maximal in  $A_I$  and hence we can infer from Lemma 2.1 that  $A_I$  is not an LBI-subalgebra.

The concept of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal was first introduced in [2] for intermediate subalgebras. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that this concept could be applied for LBI-subalgebras, see Definition 2.5 in the following. The next statement generalizes [2, Lemma 2.2] to LBI-subalgebras.

**Notation.** For a subalgebra A(X) of C(X), S(A) denotes  $\{S_A(f): f \in A(X)\}$ ; for an ideal I of A(X),  $S_A[I]$  denotes  $\{S_A(f): f \in I\}$  and for a subcollection  $\mathcal{F}$ of S(A),  $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$  denotes  $\{f \in A(X) : S_A(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** Let A(X) be an LBI-subalgebra of C(X), then  $S_A(f) = \emptyset$  if and only if f is an invertible element in A(X).

PROOF: It is clear that if f is invertible in A(X), then  $S_A(f) = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in A(X)$ and  $S_A(f) = \emptyset$ , therefore,  $f \notin M_A^p$  for each  $p \in \beta X$ . As A(X) is an LBIsubalgebra, [13, Proposition 2.7] implies that f misses each maximal ideal of A(X). Hence, f is invertible in A(X).

**Definition 2.4.** A non-empty subcollection  $\mathcal{F}$  of S(A) is called a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -filter on  $\beta X$ , whenever

- 1)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ;
- 2) if  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ ;

3) if  $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $S_2 \in S(A)$  and  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $S_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Also,  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ultrafilters and prime  $z_A^{\beta}$ -filters are defined similarly to z-ultrafilters and prime z-filters, respectively.

**Definition 2.5.** An ideal I in an LBI-subalgebra A(X) is called a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal if  $S_A^{-1}S_A[I] = I$  in which  $S_A^{-1}S_A[I] = \{ f \in A(X) : S_A(f) \in S_A[I] \}.$ 

The definition of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal, evidently, implies that every maximal ideal of A(X)is a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal. The next statement, which is a generalization of [2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6] to LBI-subalgebras, indicates the close connection between  $z^{\beta}_{\Delta}$ -ideals and  $z^{\beta}_{\Delta}$ -filters.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let A(X) be an LBI-subalgebra of C(X), then

- 1) if I is a proper ideal of A(X), then  $S_A[I]$  is a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -filter on  $\beta X$ ;
- 2) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -filter on  $\beta X$ , then  $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$  is a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideal in A(X);

- 3) if M is a maximal ideal in A(X), then  $S_A[M]$  is a  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ultrafilter on  $\beta X$ ;
- 4) if  $\mathcal U$  is a  $z_A^\beta$ -ultrafilter on  $\beta X$ , then  $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal U]$  is a maximal ideal in A(X).

PROOF: Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, and also [2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6], the proof is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

The next statement gives an algebraic characterization of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideals which reveals that the class of  $z_A^{\beta}$ -ideals of an LBI-subalgebra A(X) coincides with the class of z-ideals of A(X). This statement is a generalization of [2, Theorem 3.8] to LBI-subalgebras.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let A(X) be an LBI-subalgebra and  $f, g \in A(X)$ , then  $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$  if and only if  $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$ .

PROOF: As A(X) is an LBI-subalgebra,  $f \in M_g(A)$  if and only if  $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$ . Now, let  $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$  and  $p \in S_A(g)$ , then  $g \in M_A^p$  and  $f \in M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A) \subseteq M_A^p$ . Thus,  $p \in S_A(f)$ , which implies that  $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$ . Conversely, assume the contrary that  $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$  but  $M_f(A) \not\subseteq M_g(A)$ . Therefore, there exists  $h \in M_f(A)$  such that  $h \notin M_g(A)$ . Hence, there exists some  $M \in Max(A)$  such that  $h \notin M$  and  $g \in M$ . As A(X) is an LBI-subalgebra,  $M = M_A^p$ , for some  $p \in \beta X$ . Hence,  $g \in M_A^p$  and  $h \notin M_A^p$ , which means that  $p \in S_A(g)$  and  $p \notin S_A(f)$ . This contradiction shows that  $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$ .

It follows from the above proposition that an ideal I in an LBI-subalgebra A(X) is a  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal if and only if it is a z-ideal. Therefore, from well-known properties of z-ideals, it follows that every maximal ideal in A(X) is a  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal, every  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal is an intersection of prime ideals, every minimal prime ideal over a  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal is also a  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal and hence every minimal prime ideal of A(X) is a  $z_A^\beta$ -ideal. These facts are generalizations of [2, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 3.8] to LBI-subalgebras. Using the notion of  $z_A^\beta$ -ideals, we show that the structure space of each LBI-subalgebra is Hausdorff. Let A(X) be an LBI-subalgebra, then it is clear that S(A) constitutes a base for the closed subsets of a topology on  $\beta X$  which we call S(A)-topology and denote by  $\tau_{S(A)}$ . X is a dense subspace of  $(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})$ , since A(X) separates points and closed sets in X. If  $\tau$  denotes the usual topology on  $\beta X$ , then, clearly,  $\tau_{S(A)} \subseteq \tau$ . Therefore,  $(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})$  is compact. This fact leads to the next statement which is a reformulation of [15, Theorem 3.5].

**Theorem 2.8.** The structure space of an LBI-subalgebra A(X) is homeomorphic with  $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$ .

PROOF: Define  $\sim_A$  on  $\beta X$  as follows  $p \sim_A q$  if  $M_A^p = M_A^q$ , where  $p, q \in \beta X$ . Clearly,  $\sim_A$  defines an equivalence relation on  $\beta X$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_A}$  is a quotient of  $\beta X$ . Now, define  $\varphi: \frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A} \to Max(A)$  by  $\varphi(p) = M_A^p$ . We show that this mapping is a homeomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{M}_f = \{M \in Max(A) : f \in M\}$  be a basic

closed set in Max(A) and  $p \notin \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_f)$ , thus,  $M_A^p \notin \mathcal{M}_f$  and hence  $p \notin S_A(f)$ . Therefore, there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $p \notin S_A(g)$  and  $S_A(f) \subseteq S_A(g)$ . Thus,  $p \notin S_A(g)$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_f) \subseteq S_A(g)$  which means that  $\varphi$  is continuous. It is clear that  $\varphi$  is also one-one and onto. By showing that  $\varphi$  is a closed mapping the proof is completed. Let  $S_A(f)$  be a basic closed set and  $M_A^p \notin \varphi(S_A(f))$ . Thus,  $p \notin S_A(f)$  and hence there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $S_A(f) \subseteq S_A(g)$  and  $p \notin S_A(g)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(S_A(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_g$  and  $M_A^p \notin \mathcal{M}_g$ . Hence,  $\varphi$  is a closed mapping and we are done.

The next statement follows from Theorem 2.8 which is a reformulation of [15, Theorem 3.6].

**Theorem 2.9.** The structure space of each LBI-subalgebra of C(X) is a quotient of  $\beta X$ ; precisely, Max(A) is homeomorphic with  $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$ .

PROOF: Let A(X) be an LBI-subalgebra of C(X). At first, we show that  $\frac{(\beta X, \tau)}{\sim_A}$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Evidently, this space is compact. Now, assume that p and q are two distinct points  $\beta X$  where  $\beta X$  is equipped with the S(A)-topology and the equivalence relation  $\sim_A$  is defined on it. It follows that  $M_A^p$  and  $M_A^q$  are two distinct maximal ideals in A(X). We claim that there exists  $f \in M_A^p$  and  $g \in M_A^q$  such that  $S_A(f) \cap S_A(g) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,  $S_A[M_A^p] \cup S_A[M_A^q]$  constitutes a base for a  $z_A^\beta$ -filter on  $\beta X$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be this  $z_A^\beta$ -filter. Then clearly  $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$  is an ideal in A(X) containing both  $M_A^p$  and  $M_A^q$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$  is Hausdorff. Now, the identity mapping  $\mathcal{I}: \frac{(\beta X, \tau)}{\sim_A} \to \frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{I}$  is a homeomorphism as it is a continuous bijective mapping to a compact Hausdorff space. Therefore, by Theorem 2.8, we are done.

An immediate consequence of the above statements is the characterization of maximal ideals of invertible lattice-ordered subalgebras of C(X). We call a subalgebra R of C(X) an invertible subalgebra, if  $f^{-1} \in R$  whenever  $f \in R$  with  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ . Some well-known examples of invertible lattice-ordered subalgebras are  $I+\mathbb{R}$ , where I is an absolutely convex ideal in C(X) (refer to [17, Remark 1.8 and Remark 4.1) and  $C_c(X)$ , the subalgebra of C(X) consisting of all functions with countable image (refer to [8]). It is easy to see that every invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra R of C(X) is an LBI-subalgebra. Indeed, it is clear that this kind of subalgebras are BI-subalgebras and if  $f \in R$  and  $f \ge c > 0$  on a cozero-set E, then  $g = c \vee f$  is in R and clearly is bounded away from zero on X and hence, has an inverse h in R, and it follows that  $fh|_E = 1$ . Therefore, the collection of all the maximal ideals of an invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra R is  $\{M_R^p: p \in \beta X\}$ , also, it is easy to see that  $M_R^p = M^p \cap R$  for each  $p \in \beta X$ . Hence, whenever I is an absolutely convex ideal in C(X), then the collection of all the maximal ideals of  $I + \mathbb{R}$  is  $\{M^p \cap (I + \mathbb{R}) : p \in \beta X\}$  and clearly  $M^p_{I+\mathbb{R}} = M^q_{I+\mathbb{R}}$  if and only if  $p,q \in \theta(I)$ , where  $\theta(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} cl_{\beta X} Z(f)$ . Therefore,  $I + \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\beta$ -subalgebra if and only if I is contained in a unique maximal ideal. Applying these facts for the subalgebra  $C_K(X) + \mathbb{R}$ , where  $C_K(X)$  denotes the ideal of C(X) consisting of all functions with compact support (refer to [9, 4D]), implies that  $C_K(X) + \mathbb{R}$  has the unique free maximal ideal  $C_K(X)$ . Thus, whenever X is locally compact, then  $Max(C_K(X) + \mathbb{R})$  is homeomorphic with the one-point compactification of X. Furthermore, the unique free maximal ideal of  $C_{\psi}(X) + \mathbb{R}$  is  $C_{\psi}(X)$ , in which  $C_{\psi}(X)$  denotes the ideal of C(X) consisting of all functions with pseudocompact support (refer to [11]). Hence, whenever X is locally compact, then  $Max(C_{\psi}(X) + \mathbb{R})$  is homeomorphic with the one-point pseudocompactification of X. This means that the one-point compactification and the one-point pseudocompactification of locally compact spaces are homeomorphic with quotients of  $\beta X$ .

Similarly, the characterization of maximal ideals of the subalgebra  $C_c(X)$  follows from Lemma 2.1. As earlier noted,  $C_c(X)$  is an invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra of C(X) and thus, the collection of all the maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  is  $\{M^p \cap C_c(X) : p \in \beta X\}$ . It is well-known that whenever X is a zero-dimensional space, then  $Max(C_c(X))$  is homeomorphic with the Banaschewski compactification of X which is denoted by  $\beta_o X$  (refer to [3]). Therefore,  $C_c(X)$  is a  $\beta$ -subalgebra if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional. Moreover, if X is a zero-dimensional space, then  $\beta_0 X$  is homeomorphic with a quotient of  $\beta X$ ; in fact, if we define  $\sim_c$  on  $\beta X$  as  $p \sim_c q$  if and only if  $M_{C_c}^p = M_{C_c}^q$ , then  $\beta_0 X$  is homeomorphic with  $\frac{\beta X}{\gamma_0}$ .

Note that a subring R of C(X) is called a C-ring, if R is isomorphic with C(Y) for some completely regular Hausdorff space Y (see [15]). R is called an intermediate C-algebra, if it is an intermediate subalgebra which is also a C-ring. Intermediate C-algebras of C(X) are in a 1-1 correspondence with realcompactifications of X according to the following proposition which is a restatement of [15, Theorem 4.7].

**Proposition 2.10** ([15, Theorem 4.7]). There exists a 1-1 correspondence between realcompactifications of X and intermediate C-algebras of C(X).

PROOF: We first note that every realcompactification of X is homeomorphic with a realcompactification of X which is a subset of  $\beta X$ , In fact, let Y be a realcompactification of X and set  $A_Y(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f \text{ has an extension to } Y\}$ . As stated in the proof of part (b) of [14, Theorem 4.6.],  $A_Y(X) \cong C(Y)$  and  $Y \simeq v_{A_Y}X$ . Also, clearly,  $v_{A_Y}X \subseteq \beta X$ . Therefore, it suffices to consider the realcompactifications which are subsets of  $\beta X$ . Now, it is evident that if A(X) is an intermediate C-algebra of C(X), then  $v_AX$  is a realcompactification of X. Also, whenever K is a realcompactification of X, then C(K) is isomorphic with the intermediate subalgebra  $A_K(X) = \{f|_X : f \in C(K)\}$  of C(X). It follows that  $A_K(X)$  is an intermediate C-algebra of C(X) and  $v_{A_K}X \simeq K$ .

For each  $T \subseteq \beta X$ , let  $B_T$  denote  $\{f \in C(X) : f^*(p) < \infty, \forall p \in T\}$ , we use  $B_p$  instead of  $B_{\{p\}}$ . It is stated in [7, Theorem 1.2] that an intermediate subalgebra A(X) is a C-algebra if and only if there exists a subset T of  $\beta X$  such

that  $A(X) = B_T$ . It is clear that  $B_T = \bigcap_{p \in T} B_p$  for each subset T of  $\beta X$ . The next statement shows that, for each  $p \in \beta X$ ,  $B_p$  is the intermediate subalgebra generated by the maximal ideal  $M^p$  of C(X).

**Proposition 2.11.** For each  $p \in \beta X$ , we have  $B_p = M^p + C^*(X)$ .

PROOF: It is clear that  $M^p + C^*(X) \subseteq B_p$ . It follows from [13, Theorem 2.9] that each intermediate subalgebra is uniformly closed, thus,  $(M^p)^u + C^*(X) = M^p + C^*(X)$ . Moreover, [16, Lemma 5.1] implies that  $(M^p)^u = \{f \in C(X) : p \in Z(f^*)\}$ . Therefore, if  $f \in B_p$ , then  $f^*(p) = r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , hence,  $(f-r)^*(p) = 0$  and thus,  $f - r \in (M^p)^u$  which clearly implies that  $f \in M^p + C^*(X)$ . This completes the proof.

It follows from the above proposition and [7, Theorem 1.2] that each intermediate C-algebra is an intersection of intermediate subalgebras generated by a family of maximal ideals. In fact, whenever A(X) is an intermediate C-algebra, then  $A(X) = \bigcap_{p \in T} (M_A^p + C^*(X))$ , for some  $T \subseteq \beta X$ .

## 3. Complete ring of functions

Following [5] a subring A(X) of  $C^*(X)$  is called a complete ring of functions if A(X) is a uniformly closed subset of  $C^*(X)$ , contains the constants and separates points and closed sets in X. Throughout this section A(X) denotes a subalgebra of  $C^*(X)$  which is a complete ring of functions. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and part (c) of [5, Lemma 1.2] that every complete ring of functions is an LBI-subalgebra of C(X). As an example of such rings, let I be a free z-ideal in C(X), then it is easy to see that  $(I^u + \mathbb{R}) \cap C^*(X)$  is a complete ring of functions.

**Lemma 3.1.** Every maximal ideal in a complete ring of functions A(X) has the form  $M_A^p = M^{*p} \cap A(X)$ , for some  $p \in \beta X$ . Moreover, all such ideals are distinct if and only if  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ .

PROOF: As noted above, every complete ring of functions is an LBI-subalgebra. Therefore, the collection of all the maximal ideals of A(X) is  $\{M_A^P: p \in \beta X\}$ . Moreover, as every complete ring of functions A(X) is a subring of  $C^*(X)$ ,  $S_A(f) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)$ , for all  $f \in A(X)$ . Thus, every maximal ideal in A(X) has the form  $M^{*p} \cap A(X) = M_A^p$ , for some  $p \in \beta X$ . Also, as such subrings are uniformly closed, [13, Theorem 2.9.] implies that the only complete ring of functions which is a  $\beta$ -subalgebra is  $C^*(X)$ .

As every complete ring of functions is an LBI-subalgebra, the structure space of each complete ring of functions is a compactification of X and hence is a quotient of  $\beta X$ . This means that the compactification which is characterized in [5] via the mapping  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  for a complete ring of functions A(X) is, in fact, the structure space of A(X).

**Proposition 3.2.** Every complete ring of functions is a C-ring of  $C^*(X)$ .

PROOF: Every complete ring of functions is, clearly, a uniformly closed  $\Phi$ -algebra. Thus, by [10, 3.2], we have  $A(X) \cong C(Max(A))$  and hence we are done.

In [5], the equivalence relation  $\sim_A$  is defined on  $\beta X$  as  $p \sim_A q$  if  $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_p] = \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_q]$ , in which,  $\mathcal{U}_p$  is the unique z-ultrafilter on X containing p and  $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} = \{f \in A(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_p\}$ . It is easy to see that  $p \sim_A q$  if and only if  $M_A^p = M_A^q$ . Therefore,  $\beta_A X (=\frac{\beta X}{\sim_A}) \cong Max(A)$ . Using this fact, [5, Theorem 2.3] can be proved in a different way.

**Theorem 3.3** ([5, Theorem 2.3]). Let  $f \in C^*(X)$ , then f has an extension  $f^A$  to  $\beta_A X$  if and only if  $f \in A(X)$ .

PROOF: As  $A(X) \cong C(Max(A)) \cong C(\beta_A X)$ , the statement is clear.

**Acknowledgment.** This paper is a part of my Ph.D thesis in Shadid Chamran University of Ahvaz written under the supervision of professor A.R. Aliabad. I would like to express my deep gratitude to him for his kind supervision. I also thank the referee for his/her careful reading and his/her comments which improved the paper.

### References

- [1] Acharyya S.K., De D., A-compactness and minimal subalgebras of C(X), Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35** (2005), no. 4, 1061–1067.
- [2] Acharyya S.K., De D., An interesting class of ideals in subalgebras of C(X) containing  $C^*(X)$ , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 48 (2007), 273–280.
- [3] Bhattacharjee P., Knox M.L., McGovern W.W., The classical ring of quotients of C<sub>c</sub>(X), Appl. Gen. Topol. 15 (2014), no. 2, 147–154.
- [4] Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., Local bounded inversion in rings of continuous functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 37 (1997), 37–46.
- [5] Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., Local invertibility in subrings of C\*(X), Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 46 (1992), 449–458.
- [6] Byun H.L., Watson S., Prime and maximal ideals in subrings of C(X), Topology Appl. 40 (1991), 45–62.
- [7] De D., Acharyya S.K., Characterization of function rings between C\*(X) and C(X), Kyungpook Math. J. 40 (2006), 503–507.
- [8] Ghadermazi M., Karamzadeh O.A.S., Namdari M., On the functionally countable subalgebra of C(X), Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 129 (2013), 47–69.
- [9] Gillman L., Jerison M., Rings of Continuous Functions, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [10] Henriksen M., Johnson D.G., On the struture of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), 73–94.
- [11] Johnson D.G., Mandelker M., Functions with pseudocompact support, General Topology Appl. 3 (1973), 331–338.
- [12] Koushesh M.R., The partially ordered set of one-point extensions, Topology Appl. 158 (2011), 509–532.
- [13] Plank D., On a class of subalgebras of C(X) with applications to  $\beta X X$ , Fund. Math. **64** (1969), 41–54.
- [14] Redlin H., Watson S., Maximal ideals in subalgebras of C(X), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 763–766.

- [15] Redlin L., Watson S., Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications, Fund. Math. 152 (1997), 151–163.
- [16] Rudd D., On isomorphism between ideals in rings of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (1971), 335–353.
- [17] Rudd D., On structure spaces of ideals in rings of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 393–403.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID CHAMRAN UNIVERSITY, AHWAZ, IRAN E-mail: parsiniamehdi@gmail.com

(Received August 3, 2015, revised December 8, 2015)