

## About G-rings

NAJIB MAHDOU

*Abstract.* In this paper, we are concerned with G-rings. We generalize the Kaplansky's theorem to rings with zero-divisors. Also, we assert that if  $R \subseteq T$  is a ring extension such that  $mT \subseteq R$  for some regular element  $m$  of  $T$ , then  $T$  is a G-ring if and only if so is  $R$ . Also, we examine the transfer of the G-ring property to trivial ring extensions. Finally, we conclude the paper with illustrative examples discussing the utility and limits of our results.

*Keywords:* G-ring; pullback; trivial extension

*Classification:* 13D05, 13D02

### 1. Introduction

All rings considered below are commutative with unit and all modules are unital. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $Q(R)$  denote the total quotient ring of  $R$ . We call  $R$  a G-ring if  $Q(R) = R[u^{-1}]$  for some regular element  $u \in R$  (equivalently, if  $Q(R)$  is finitely generated as a ring over  $R$ ) [1]. This generalizes Kaplansky's definition of G-domain [12]. Also, he shows that if  $R \subseteq T$  are domains and if  $T$  is algebraic over  $R$  and finitely generated as a ring over  $R$ , then  $R$  is a G-domain if and only if so is  $T$  [12, Theorem 22].

In this paper, we are concerned with G-rings. Our main result of Section 2 is to generalize the above Kaplansky's theorem to rings with zero-divisors. Also, we assert that if  $R \subseteq T$  is a ring extension such that  $mT \subseteq R$  for some regular element  $m$  of  $T$ , then  $T$  is a G-ring if and only if so is  $R$ . As an immediate consequence, we get a corollary on the transfer of the G-ring property to pullbacks issued from domains. Our main result of Section 3 examines the transfer of the G-ring property to trivial ring extensions; precisely, it states that if  $A$  is a ring,  $E$  is an  $A$ -module such that  $Z(E) \subseteq Z(A)$  (where  $Z(E) := \{a \in A; ae = 0 \text{ for some } e \in E - \{0\}\}$  is the set of zero-divisors on  $E$ ), then the trivial extension of  $A$  by  $E$  is a G-ring if and only if  $A$  is a G-ring. In Section 4, we conclude the paper with illustrative examples discussing the utility and limits of our results.

### 2. The G-ring property in a pullback

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $R_u := R[1/u]$ , where  $u$  is regular in  $R$ . We first give a zero-divisor extension of Kaplansky's theorem [12, Theorem 22].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of  $T$  such that each regular element of  $R$  is regular in  $T$  (consequently,  $K := Q(R) \subseteq L := Q(T)$ ). Assume that  $L$  is integral over  $K$ . Then:*

- (1) *if  $R$  is a G-ring, then  $T$  is a G-ring;*
- (2) *if  $T$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra, then  $T$  is a G-ring if and only if  $R$  is a G-ring.*

PROOF: (1) Assume that  $R$  is a G-ring. Hence,  $K := Q(R) = R_u$  for some regular element  $u \in R$ . But,  $K := R_u \subseteq T_u \subseteq L := Q(T)$ . Hence,  $L$  is integral over  $T_u$  since  $L$  is integral over  $K$ . Therefore,  $L = T_u$  since  $L$  is a fraction ring of  $T_u$  and so  $T$  is a G-ring.

(2) If  $R$  is a G-ring, then  $T$  is a G-ring by (1). Conversely, assume that  $T$  is a G-ring. Hence,  $L = T_v$  for some regular element  $v \in T$  and  $T = R[w_1, \dots, w_k]$  for some  $w_i \in T$  and for a positive integer  $k$  (since  $T$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra). Then, the elements  $v^{-1}, w_1, \dots, w_k$  are integral over  $K$ . So, we get Kaplansky's equations (see proof of [12, Theorem 22]) with  $a, b_i$  being regular elements of  $R$ . Let  $R_1 := R[a^{-1}, b_1^{-1}, \dots, b_k^{-1}]$ . As argued by [12, Theorem 22],  $L = R_1[w_1, \dots, w_k, v^{-1}]$  and  $L$  is integral over  $R_1$ . Then,  $K$  is integral over  $R_1$  and so  $K = R_1$  since  $K$  is a fraction ring of  $R_1$ . Hence,  $R$  is a G-ring and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Now, we provide a somewhat analogue of a zero-divisor extension of Kaplansky's result mentioned above. Precisely, we have:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R \subseteq T$  be a ring extension such that  $mT \subseteq R$ , for some regular element  $m \in T$ . Then  $T$  is a G-ring if and only if  $R$  is a G-ring.*

The proof of this theorem requires the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $R_f = R[1/f]$ , where  $f$  is regular in  $R$ . Then  $R$  is a G-ring if and only if  $R_f$  is a G-ring.*

PROOF: It is clear that  $R_f = \{af^{-n}, a \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Hence,  $Q(R_f) = Q(R)$  since  $af^{-n}$  is regular in  $R_f$  if and only if  $a$  is regular in  $R$  (because  $f$  is invertible in  $R_f$ ).

Assume that  $R$  is a G-ring. Hence,  $Q(R) = R_u$  for some regular element  $u \in R$ . But,  $Q(R_f) = Q(R) = R_u \subseteq (R_f)_u \subseteq Q(R_f)$ . Therefore,  $Q(R_f) = (R_f)_u$  and so  $R_f$  is a G-ring.

Conversely, assume that  $R_f$  is a G-ring, that is,  $Q(R_f) = (R_f)_u$  for some regular element  $u \in R_f$ . We may assume that  $u \in R$  since  $u = af^{-n}$  for some regular element  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and since  $f^{-n}$  is invertible in  $R_f$ . It is well-known and easy to see that  $(R_f)_u = R_{fu}$ . Therefore,  $Q(R) = Q(R_f) = (R_f)_u \subseteq R_{fu} \subseteq Q(R)$  and so  $Q(R) = R_{fu}$  and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2: Let  $R \subseteq T$  be a ring extension such that  $mT \subseteq R$ , for some regular element  $m$  of  $T$ . Clearly,  $m \in R$  and  $m$  is regular element of  $R$ . But  $R_m = T_m$  since  $R_m \subseteq T_m = \{am^{-n}; a \in T \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{(am)m^{-(n+1)}; (am) \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq R_m$ . Therefore,  $R$  is a G-ring if and only if  $T$  is a G-ring by Lemma 2.3 since  $T_m = R_m$ .  $\square$

The above result generates new families of examples of G-domains not covered by Kaplansky's result [12, Theorem 22] mentioned above. It also denies any similitude with this result as shown by the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a domain which is not a G-domain,  $K = Q(D)$  and  $T$  a domain such that  $T/M = K$  for some nonzero maximal ideal  $M$  of  $T$ . Let  $f : T \rightarrow K$  be the canonical surjection and  $R = f^{-1}(D)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $T$  is a G-domain if and only if  $R$  is a G-domain;
- (2)  $T$  is not finitely generated as a ring over  $R$ .

PROOF: (1) Results by Theorem 2.2 because  $mT \subseteq M \subseteq \ker f \subseteq R$  and  $R_m = T_m$  for each nonzero  $m$  in  $M$ .

(2) Assume that  $T$  is finitely generated as a ring over  $R$ . Then  $T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , for some  $x_i \in T$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. Hence,  $K = T/M = (R/M)[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n] = D[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]$ , a contradiction since  $D$  is not a G-domain. Therefore,  $T$  is not finitely generated as a ring over  $R$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Part (1) of Corollary 2.4 generalizes [9, Theorem 2.7 (a), p. 341].

A pair of rings  $A \subseteq B$  is called a G-ring pair if  $D$  is a G-ring for each ring  $D$  such that  $A \subseteq D \subseteq B$ . In [6, Theorem 2.1], Dobbs gives necessary and sufficient conditions to have a G-domain pair. In the context of Theorem 2.2, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $m$  be as in Theorem 2.2. Then  $(R, T)$  is a G-ring pair if and only if  $T$  (resp.,  $R$ ) is a G-ring.*

PROOF: Let  $S$  be a ring such that  $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ . Hence,  $mS \subseteq mT \subseteq R$  and  $m$  is regular in  $S$ . Therefore, Theorem 2.2 completes the proof of Corollary 2.6.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** In Theorem 2.2, the hypothesis “ $m$  is a regular element of  $T$ ” is necessary (see Example 4.4).

### 3. G-ring property in trivial extension

Let  $A$  be a ring,  $E$  be an  $A$ -module and  $R = A \times E$  be the set of pairs  $(a, e)$  with pairwise addition and multiplication given by:  $(a, e)(b, f) = (ab, af + be)$ .  $R$  is called the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $E$ . Recall that a maximal ideal of  $R$  has always the form  $M \times E$ , where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$  [11, Theorem 25.1(3)]. The author of [11] also confirms by a private communication that [11, Theorem 25.1] is not true, that is, an ideal  $J$  of  $R$  has not always the form:  $J = I \times E'$ , where  $I = \{a \in A \mid (a, e) \in J \text{ for some } e \in E\}$  and  $E' = \{e \in E \mid (a, e) \in J \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ . We only have that  $J \subseteq I \times E'$

(see [14]). Nevertheless, it is easily seen that  $J = I \times E'$  if and only if  $0 \times E' \subseteq J$  if and only if  $I \times 0 \subseteq J$ .

In this section, we study the possible transfer of the G-ring property for various trivial extension contexts.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $E$  be an  $A$ -module such that  $Z(E) \subseteq Z(A)$  (where  $Z(E)$  denotes the set of zero-divisors on  $E$ ), and  $R := A \times E$  be the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $E$ . Then  $R$  is a G-ring if and only if  $A$  is a G-ring.*

PROOF: Set  $S = A - Z(A)$ . Then  $Z(R) = Z(A) \times E$  and  $Q(R) = Q(A) \times E_S$  by [11, p. 164–165]. Assume that  $A$  is a G-ring. Hence,  $Q(A) = A_a$  for some  $a \in S$ . Then,  $(a, 0) \notin Z(R)$  and  $E_a := E \otimes_A A_a = E \otimes_A Q(A) = E_S$ . So,  $Q(R) = Q(A) \times E_S = A_a \times E_a = \{(xa^{-n}, ea^{-m}); (x, e) \in R \text{ and } n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{(xa^{p-n}, ea^{p-m})(a, 0)^{-p}; (x, e) \in R, n, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p = \sup(n, m)\} \subseteq R_{(a,0)} \subseteq Q(R)$ . Therefore,  $Q(R) = R_{(a,0)}$  and then  $R$  is a G-ring.

Conversely, assume that  $R$  is a G-ring. Hence,  $Q(R) = R_{(a,e)}$  for some  $(a, e) \notin Z(R)$ . If  $Q(R) := Q(A) \times E_S$  and  $p : Q(R) \rightarrow Q(A)$  is the map  $p(x, y) = x$ , we claim that  $Q(A) = p(R_{(a,e)}) = A_a$ . Indeed, let  $(x, y)(a, e)^{-n} \in R_{(a,e)}$ , where  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $a^n p((x, y)(a, e)^{-n}) = p((a, 0)^n(x, y)(a, e)^{-n}) = p((x, y)((a^{-n}, 0)(a, e)^n)^{-1}) = p((x, y)((a^{-n}, 0)(a^n, e_n))^{-1})$  where  $e_n \in E$ . This is equal to  $p((x, y)(1, a^{-n}e_n)^{-1}) = p((x, y)(1, -a^{-n}e_n)) = p(x, y - xa^{-n}e_n) = x \in A$ , so  $p((x, y)(a, e)^{-n}) = xa^{-n} \in A_a$ . Therefore,  $Q(A) = A_a$  and then  $A$  is a G-ring.  $\square$

If  $A$  is a domain and  $E$  is a torsion-free  $A$ -module, we obtain by Theorem 3.1:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a domain,  $E$  be a torsion-free  $A$ -module, and  $R := A \times E$  be the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $E$ . Then  $R$  is a G-ring if and only if  $A$  is a G-domain.*

If  $R := A \times E$  is a trivial extension of a ring  $A$  by an  $A$ -module  $E$ , we do not have in general that  $R$  is a G-ring if and only if  $A$  is a G-ring, as shown by the following result.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(A, M)$  be a local ring and  $E$  an  $A$ -module such that  $ME = 0$ . Then the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $E$  is a G-ring.*

PROOF: The result holds since the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $E$  is a total ring (since  $(M \times E)(0, 1) = (0, 0)$  and  $M \times E$  is a maximal ideal of a local ring  $A \times E$ ).  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section, we exhibit a non-Noetherian coherent G-domain (Example 4.1). Then, we give non-coherent G-rings (Examples 4.2 and 4.3). We also show that if  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a faithfully flat ring extension such that  $S$  is a G-ring, then  $R$  is not a G-ring, in general (Examples 4.1(4) and 4.2(3)). Finally, we give a counterexample showing that the hypothesis “ $m$  is a regular element of  $T$ ” is necessary in Theorem 2.1 (Example 4.4).

**Example 4.1.** Let  $T = \mathbb{Q}[[X]] = \mathbb{Q} + XT$  be the formal power series ring over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $R = \mathbb{Z} + XT$ . Then:

- (1)  $R$  is a G-domain by Theorem 2.2 since  $T$  is a local G-domain and  $XT \subseteq R$ ;
- (2)  $R$  is a coherent domain by [8, Theorem 3] and is not Noetherian by [4, Theorem 4];
- (3)  $T$  is not finitely generated as a ring over  $R$  by Corollary 2.4;
- (4)  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$  is a faithfully flat ring extension and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a G-domain.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $T = \mathbb{R}[X]_{(X)} = \mathbb{R} + XT$ , where  $X$  is an indeterminate over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $R = \mathbb{Z} + XT$ . Then:

- (1)  $R$  is a G-domain by Theorem 2.2 since  $T$  is a local G-domain and  $XT \subseteq R$ ;
- (2)  $R$  is not a coherent domain ([8, Theorem 3]);
- (3)  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$  is a faithfully flat ring extension and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a G-domain.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $A$  be a G-domain which is not a field,  $K = qf(A)$ , and let  $R := A \times K$  be the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $K$ . Then:

- (1)  $R$  is a G-ring by Corollary 3.2 since  $A$  is a G-domain;
- (2)  $R$  is not a coherent ring since  $R(0, 1)$  is a finitely generated ideal which is not finitely presented as shown by the exact sequence of  $R$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \times K \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{u} R(0, 1) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $u(a, e) = (a, e)(0, 1) = (0, a)$  (since  $0 \times K$  is not a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ ).

**Example 4.4.** Let  $A$  be a non G-domain,  $K = qf(A)$ ,  $T = K \times K$  be the trivial ring extension of  $K$  by  $K$ , and let  $R := A \times K$  be the trivial ring extension of  $A$  by  $K$ . Then:

- (1)  $T$  is a G-ring since it is a total ring;
- (2)  $R$  is not a G-ring by Corollary 3.2 since  $A$  is not a G-domain;
- (3)  $(0, 1)T = 0 \times K \subseteq R$ .

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Adams J.C., *Rings with a finitely generated total quotient ring*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (1974), 1–4.
- [2] Bakkari C., Kabbaj S., Mahdou N., *Trivial extensions defined by Prüfer conditions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 1, 53–60.
- [3] Bourbaki N., *Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1972.
- [4] Brewer J.W., Rutter E.A.,  *$D + M$  constructions with general overrings*, Michigan Math. J. **23** (1976), 33–42.
- [5] Cahen P.J., *Couple d'anneaux partageant un idéal*, Arch. Math. **51** (1988), 505–514.
- [6] Dobbs D.E., *G-Domain pairs*, Internat. J. Commutative Rings **1** (2002), no. 2, 71–75.
- [7] Dobbs D.E., Ishikawa T., *On seminormal underrings*, Tokyo J. Math. **10** (1987), 157–159.

- [8] Dobbs D.E., Papick I., *When is  $D + M$  coherent?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 51–54.
- [9] Fontana M., *Topologically defined classes of commutative rings*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **123** (1980), 331–355.
- [10] Gilmer R., *The pseudo-radical of a commutative ring*, Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 275–284.
- [11] Huckaba J.A., *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1988.
- [12] Kaplansky I., *Commutative Rings*, revised edition, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [13] Kabbaj S., Mahdou N., *Trivial extensions of local rings and a conjecture of Costa*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 231, Dekker, New York, 2003, pp. 301–311.
- [14] Kabbaj S., Mahdou N., *Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), no. 10, 3937–3953.
- [15] Mahdou N., Mimouni A., Moutui M.A., *On almost valuation and almost Bézout rings*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), no. 1, 297–308.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ,  
BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S.M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO

*E-mail:* mahdou@hotmail.com

(Received December 20, 2015, revised July 25, 2016)