

A note on Dunford-Pettis like properties and complemented spaces of operators

IOANA GHENCIU

Abstract. Equivalent formulations of the Dunford-Pettis property of order p (DPP_p), $1 < p < \infty$, are studied. Let $L(X, Y)$, $W(X, Y)$, $K(X, Y)$, $U(X, Y)$, and $C_p(X, Y)$ denote respectively the sets of all bounded linear, weakly compact, compact, unconditionally converging, and p -convergent operators from X to Y . Classical results of Kalton are used to study the complementability of the spaces $W(X, Y)$ and $K(X, Y)$ in the space $C_p(X, Y)$, and of $C_p(X, Y)$ in $U(X, Y)$ and $L(X, Y)$.

Keywords: Dunford-Pettis property of order p ; p -convergent operator; complemented spaces of operators

Classification: Primary 46B20; Secondary 46B25, 46B28

1. Introduction

In this paper we study equivalent formulations of the DPP_p , $1 < p < \infty$. We give a characterization of dual Banach spaces with the DPP_p . We show that X^* has the DPP_p if and only if every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ with weakly p -compact adjoint has a completely continuous bitranspose, $1 < p < \infty$. Our results are motivated by results in [3].

For many years mathematicians have been interested in the problem of whether an operator ideal is complemented in the space $L(X, Y)$ of all bounded linear operators between X and Y , e.g. see [10], [9], [17], [12], and [11]. In [1] the authors studied the complementability of the space $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$. It was shown that if X is not reflexive, then $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$, see [1, Theorem 3]. Let $\text{CC}(X, Y)$, $\text{Lcc}(X, Y)$, or $\text{LC}_p(X, Y)$ denote the set of all completely continuous, limited completely continuous, or limited p -convergent, respectively, operators from X to Y . We use classical results of Kalton to study the complementability of $W(X, Y)$, $K(X, Y)$, and $\text{CC}(X, Y)$ in $C_p(X, Y)$, and of $C_p(X, Y)$ in $U(X, Y)$. Further, we study the complementability of $C_p(X, Y)$, $\text{Lcc}(X, Y)$, and $\text{LC}_p(X, Y)$ in $L(X, Y)$.

2. Definitions and notation

Throughout this paper, X, Y, E and F denote Banach spaces. The unit ball of X is denoted by B_X and X^* denotes the continuous linear dual of X . The space X embeds in Y (in symbols $X \hookrightarrow Y$) if X is isomorphic to a closed subspace of Y . An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous and linear function. The set of all operators, weakly compact operators, and compact operators from X to Y is denoted by $L(X, Y)$, $W(X, Y)$, and $K(X, Y)$.

A subset S of X is said to be *weakly precompact* provided that every sequence from S has a weakly Cauchy subsequence. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *weakly precompact* (or *almost weakly compact*) if $T(B_X)$ is weakly precompact.

An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *completely continuous* (or *Dunford-Pettis*) if T maps weakly convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, p^* denotes the conjugate of p . If $p = 1$, ℓ_{p^*} plays the role of c_0 . The unit vector basis of ℓ_p is denoted by (e_n) .

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A sequence (x_n) in X is called *weakly p -summable sequence* if $(x^*(x_n)) \in \ell_p$ for each $x^* \in X^*$ [6, page 32]. Let $\ell_p^w(X)$ denote the set of all weakly p -summable sequences in X . The space $\ell_p^w(X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|(x_n)\|_p^w = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x^*, x_n \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\}.$$

We recall the following isometries: $L(\ell_{p^*}, X) \simeq \ell_p^w(X)$ for $1 < p < \infty$; $L(c_0, X) \simeq \ell_p^w(X)$ for $p = 1$; $T \rightarrow (T(e_n))$, see [6, Proposition 2.2, page 36].

A series $\sum x_n$ in X is said to be *weakly unconditionally convergent* if for every $x^* \in X^*$ the series $\sum |x^*(x_n)|$ is convergent. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is *unconditionally converging* if it maps weakly unconditionally convergent series to unconditionally convergent ones.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *p -convergent* if T maps weakly p -summable sequences into norm null sequences, see [3]. The set of all p -convergent operators is denoted by $C_p(X, Y)$.

The 1-convergent operators are precisely the unconditionally converging operators and the ∞ -convergent operators are precisely the completely continuous operators. If $p < q$, then $C_q(X, Y) \subseteq C_p(X, Y)$.

A sequence (x_n) in X is called *weakly p -convergent* to $x \in X$ if the sequence $(x_n - x)$ is weakly p -summable, see [3]. Weakly ∞ -convergent sequences are precisely the weakly convergent sequences.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A bounded subset K of X is *relatively weakly p -compact* if every sequence in K has a weakly p -convergent subsequence. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is *weakly p -compact* if $T(B_X)$ is relatively weakly p -compact, see [3].

The set of weakly p -compact operators $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is denoted by $W_p(X, Y)$. If $p < q$, then $W_p(X, Y) \subseteq W_q(X, Y)$. A Banach space $X \in C_p$ (or $X \in W_p$) if $\text{id}(X) \in C_p(X, X)$ (or $\text{id}(X) \in W_p(X, X)$, respectively), see [3], where $\text{id}(X)$ is the identity map on X .

A sequence (x_n) in X is called *weakly p -Cauchy* if $(x_{n_k} - x_{m_k})$ is weakly p -summable for any increasing sequences (n_k) and (m_k) of positive integers.

Every weakly p -convergent sequence is weakly p -Cauchy, and the weakly ∞ -Cauchy sequences are precisely the weakly Cauchy sequences.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We say that a subset S of X is called *weakly p -precompact* if every sequence from S has a weakly p -Cauchy subsequence. The weakly ∞ -precompact sets are precisely the weakly precompact sets.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *weakly p -precompact* (or *almost weakly p -compact*) if $T(B_X)$ is weakly p -precompact. The set of all weakly p -precompact operators is denoted by $WPC_p(X, Y)$. We say that $X \in WPC_p$ if $\text{id}(X) \in WPC_p(X, X)$.

The weakly ∞ -precompact operators are precisely the weakly precompact operators. If $p < q$, then $\ell_p^w(X) \subseteq \ell_q^w(X)$, thus $WPC_p(X, Y) \subseteq WPC_q(X, Y)$.

A Banach space X has the *Dunford-Pettis property* (DPP) if every weakly compact operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous for any Banach space Y . Equivalently, X has the DPP if and only if $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever (x_n^*) is weakly null in X^* and (x_n) is weakly null in X , see [4, Theorem 1]. If X is a $C(K)$ -space or an L_1 -space, then X has the DPP. The reader can check [5], [4], and [7] for results related to the DPP.

The bounded subset A of X is called a *Dunford-Pettis* (or *limited*) subset of X if each weakly null (or w^* -null, respectively) sequence (x_n^*) in X^* tends to 0 uniformly on A ; i.e.

$$\sup_{x \in A} |x_n^*(x)| \rightarrow 0.$$

The bounded subset A of X^* is called an *L -subset* of X^* if each weakly null sequence (x_n) in X tends to 0 uniformly on A ; i.e.

$$\sup_{x^* \in A} |x^*(x_n)| \rightarrow 0.$$

A bounded subset A of X^* is called a *V -subset* of X^* provided that

$$\sup_{x^* \in A} |x^*(x_n)| \rightarrow 0$$

for each weakly unconditionally convergent series $\sum x_n$ in X .

The Banach space X has *property (V)* if every V -subset of X^* is relatively weakly compact. The following results were established in [21]: $C(K)$ spaces and reflexive spaces have property (V); X has property (V) if and only if every unconditionally converging operator T from X to any Banach space Y is weakly compact.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A Banach space X has the *Dunford-Pettis property of order p* (DPP_p) if every weakly compact operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent for any Banach space Y , see [3].

If X has the DPP_p , then it has the DPP_q , if $q < p$. Also, the DPP_∞ is precisely the DPP, and every Banach space X has the DPP_1 . $C(K)$ spaces and L_1 have

the DPP, and thus the DPP_p for all p . If $1 < r < \infty$, then ℓ_r has the DPP_p for $p < r^*$. If $1 < r < \infty$, then $L_r(\mu)$ has the DPP_p for $p < \min(2, r^*)$. Tsirelson's space T has the DPP_p for all $p < \infty$. Since T is reflexive, it does not have the DPP. Tsirelson's dual space T^* does not have the DPP_p , if $p > 1$, see [3].

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that a bounded subset A of X^* is called a *weakly p - L -set* if for all weakly p -summable sequences (x_n) in X ,

$$\sup_{x^* \in A} |x^*(x_n)| \rightarrow 0.$$

The weakly 1 - L -subsets of X^* are precisely the V -subsets. If $p < q$, then a weakly q - L -subset is a weakly p - L -subset, since $\ell_p^w(X) \subseteq \ell_q^w(X)$.

The Banach space X has the *reciprocal Dunford-Pettis* (RDP) property if every completely continuous operator T from X to any Banach space Y is weakly compact, see [16, page 153]. The space X has the RDP property if and only if every L -subset of X^* is relatively weakly compact, see [15]. Banach spaces with property (V) of Pełczyński, in particular reflexive spaces and $C(K)$ spaces, have the RDP property, see [21].

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that the space X has the *reciprocal Dunford-Pettis of order p* or RDP_p property if every weakly p - L -subset of X^* is relatively weakly compact.

If X has the RDP_p property, then X has the RDP property (since any L -subset of X^* is a weakly p - L -set). If $p < q$ and X has the RDP_p property, then X has the RDP_q property.

The space X has the *Gelfand-Phillips (GP) property* (or is a *Gelfand-Phillips space*) if every limited subset of X is relatively compact. Schur spaces and separable spaces have the Gelfand-Phillips property, see [2].

The sequence (x_n) in X is called limited if the corresponding set of its terms is a limited set. If the sequence (x_n) is also weakly null (or weakly p -summable), then (x_n) is called a limited weakly null (or limited weakly p -summable, respectively) sequence in X .

An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *limited completely continuous* (lcc) if it maps limited weakly null sequences to norm null sequences, see [22].

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A Banach space X has the *p -Gelfand-Phillips (p -GP) property* (or is a *p -Gelfand-Phillips space*) if every limited weakly p -summable sequence in X is norm null, see [13]. If X has the GP property, then X has the p -GP property for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

3. The Dunford-Pettis property of order p

The following theorem gives equivalent conditions for a Banach space X to have the DPP_p . We note that an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent if and only if T takes weakly p -compact subsets of X into norm compact subsets of Y .

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. The following statements are equivalent about a Banach space X .*

- (1) X has the DPP _{p} .
- (2) If (x_n) is a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) is a weakly null sequence in X^* , then $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) For all Banach spaces Y , every weakly compact operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent.
- (4) Every weakly compact operator $T: X \rightarrow c_0$ is p -convergent.
- (5) If (x_n) is a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) is a weakly Cauchy sequence in X^* , then $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (6) For all Banach spaces Y , every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ with weakly precompact adjoint is p -convergent.
- (7) Every operator $T: X \rightarrow c_0$ with weakly precompact adjoint is p -convergent.
- (8) If (x_n) is a weakly p -Cauchy sequence in X and (x_n^*) is a weakly null sequence in X^* , then $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (9) If $T: Y \rightarrow X$ is a weakly p -precompact operator, then $T^*: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ is completely continuous for all Banach spaces Y .
- (10) If $T: \ell_{p^*} \rightarrow X$ is an operator, then $T^*: X^* \rightarrow \ell_p$ is completely continuous.

PROOF: The statements (1), (2), and (3) are equivalent by [3, Proposition 3.2].

(2) \Rightarrow (5) Suppose (x_n) is a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) is a weakly Cauchy sequence in X^* , but $x_n^*(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, assume that $|x_n^*(x_n)| > \epsilon$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n_1 = 1$ and choose $n_2 > n_1$ so that $|x_{n_1}^*(x_{n_2})| < \epsilon/2$. We can do this since (x_n) is weakly null. Continue inductively. Choose $n_{k+1} > n_k$ so that $|x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_{k+1}})| < \epsilon/2$. By hypothesis, $(x_{n_{k+1}}^* - x_{n_k}^*)(x_{n_{k+1}}) \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$|(x_{n_{k+1}}^* - x_{n_k}^*)(x_{n_{k+1}})| \geq |x_{n_{k+1}}^*(x_{n_{k+1}})| - |x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_{k+1}})| > \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

we have a contradiction.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator with weakly precompact adjoint such that T is not p -convergent. Let (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X so that $\|T(x_n)\| > \epsilon$. Let (y_n^*) be a sequence in B_{Y^*} such that $y_n^*(T(x_n)) > \epsilon$ and let $x_n^* = T^*(y_n^*)$. Since T^* is weakly precompact, we can assume that (x_n^*) is weakly Cauchy. By assumption, $x_n^*(x_n) = T^*(y_n^*)(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (4), (6) \Rightarrow (7), and (7) \Rightarrow (4) are obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) be a weakly null sequence in X^* . Define $T: X \rightarrow c_0$, $T(x) = (x_i^*(x))$. Note that $T^*: \ell_1 \rightarrow X^*$, $T^*(b) = \sum b_i x_i^*$, $b = (b_i) \in \ell_1$. Note that T^* takes B_{ℓ_1} into the closed and absolutely convex hull of $\{x_i^*: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is a relatively weakly compact set, see [7, page 51]. Then T^* , hence T , is weakly compact. By assumption, T is p -convergent. Thus $|x_n^*(x_n)| \leq \|T(x_n)\| = \sup_i |x_i^*(x_n)| \rightarrow 0$.

Thus (1)–(7) are equivalent.

(2) \Rightarrow (8) Let (x_n) be weakly p -Cauchy in X and (x_n^*) be weakly null in X^* . Suppose by contradiction that $x_n^*(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$. Without loss of generality assume that $|x_n^*(x_n)| > \epsilon$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n_1 = 1$ and choose $n_2 > n_1$ so that $|x_{n_2}^*(x_{n_1})| < \epsilon/2$. We can do this since (x_n^*) is w^* -null. Continue inductively. Choose $n_k > n_{k-1}$ so that $|x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_{k-1}})| < \epsilon/2$. By hypothesis, $x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k-1}}) \rightarrow 0$. However,

$$|x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k-1}})| \geq |x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_k})| - |x_{n_k}^*(x_{n_{k-1}})| > \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

a contradiction.

(8) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious, since every weakly p -summable sequence in X is weakly p -Cauchy.

(8) \Rightarrow (9) Suppose $T: Y \rightarrow X$ is a weakly p -precompact operator. Suppose $T^*: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ is not completely continuous. Let (x_n^*) be a weakly null sequence in X^* such that $\|T^*(x_n^*)\| > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Choose (y_n) in B_Y such that $\langle T^*(x_n^*), y_n \rangle > \epsilon$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $(T(y_n))$ is weakly p -Cauchy. Hence $\langle T(y_n), x_n^* \rangle \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

(9) \Rightarrow (10) Suppose $T: \ell_{p^*} \rightarrow X$ is an operator. Since $1 < p^* < \infty$, $\ell_{p^*} \in W_p$, see [3, Proposition 1.4]. Then T is weakly p -compact. Thus T^* is completely continuous.

(10) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose (x_n) is a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) is a weakly null sequence in X^* . Define $T: \ell_{p^*} \rightarrow X$ by $T(b) = \sum b_i x_i$, $b = (b_i) \in \ell_{p^*}$. Note that $T^*: X^* \rightarrow \ell_p$, $T^*(x^*) = (x^*(x_i))$. Since T^* is completely continuous, $|x_n^*(x_n)|^p \leq \|T^*(x_n^*)\|^p = \sum_i |x_n^*(x_i)|^p \rightarrow 0$. \square

Corollary 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X has the DPP_p and Y is complemented in X , then Y has the DPP_p .*

PROOF: Suppose X has the DPP_p and let $P: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projection. Let (y_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in Y and (y_n^*) be a weakly null sequence in Y^* . Since $(P^*y_n^*)$ is weakly null in X^* , by Theorem 1, $\langle y_n^*, P(y_n) \rangle = \langle P^*y_n^*, y_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Thus Y has the DPP_p . \square

Corollary 3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X has the DPP_p ;
- (ii) every weakly precompact subset of X^* is a weakly p - L -set;
- (iii) every weakly p -precompact subset of X is a DP set.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose X has the DPP_p . Let A be weakly precompact subset of X^* and let (x_n^*) be a sequence in A . By passing to a subsequence, we may suppose that (x_n^*) is weakly Cauchy. Let (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X . By Theorem 1, $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Hence A is a weakly p - L -set.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let (x_n^*) be a weakly Cauchy sequence in X^* and (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X . Since $\{x_n^*: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly p - L -subset of X^* , $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. By Theorem 1, X has the DPP_p .

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose X has the DPP_p . Let A be a weakly p -precompact subset of X and let (x_n) be a sequence in A . By passing to a subsequence, we may suppose that (x_n) is weakly p -Cauchy. Suppose (x_n^*) is a weakly null sequence in X^* . By Theorem 1, $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Hence A is a DP set.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X and (x_n^*) be a weakly null sequence in X^* . Since $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly p -precompact subset of X , it is a DP set. Then $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and X has the DPP_p by Theorem 1. \square

We note that an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent if and only if T takes weakly p -precompact subsets of X into norm compact subsets of Y .

Corollary 4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$.*

- (i) *Suppose $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly p -precompact and $T: Y \rightarrow Z$ is weakly compact. If Y has the DPP_p , then TS is compact.*
- (ii) *Suppose X has the DPP_p . If $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly p -compact operator, then T^2 is compact.*

PROOF: (i) Suppose $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly p -precompact and $T: Y \rightarrow Z$ is weakly compact. Since Y has the DPP_p , T is p -convergent. Then TS is compact.

(ii) Suppose X has the DPP_p and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly p -compact operator. Since T is weakly compact, T^2 is compact by (i). \square

Corollary 5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$.*

- (i) *Suppose X has the DPP_p . If $Y \in W_p$ and Y is complemented in X , then Y is finite dimensional.*
- (ii) *If Y is infinite dimensional and $Y \in W_p$, then Y does not have the DPP_p .*

PROOF: (i) Let $P: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projection of X onto Y . Since $Y \in W_p$, P is weakly p -compact. By Corollary 4, $P = P^2$ is compact. Since $B_Y \subset P(B_X)$, B_Y is relatively compact. Thus Y is finite dimensional.

(ii) Apply (i). \square

The following result gives a characterization of dual spaces with the DPP_p .

Theorem 6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let X be a Banach space. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *X^* has the DPP_p .*
- (ii) *If $S: Y \rightarrow X^*$ is a weakly p -precompact operator, then $S^*: X^{**} \rightarrow Y^*$ is completely continuous for all Banach spaces Y .*
- (iii) *If $S: \ell_p^* \rightarrow X^*$ is an operator, then $S^*: X^{**} \rightarrow \ell_p$ is completely continuous.*
- (iv) *If $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator such that $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly p -precompact, then $T^{**}: X^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is completely continuous for all Banach spaces Y .*
- (v) *If $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator such that $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly p -compact, then $T^{**}: X^{**} \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous for all Banach spaces Y .*

(vi) If $T: X \rightarrow \ell_p$ is an operator, then $T^{**}: X^{**} \rightarrow \ell_p$ is completely continuous.

PROOF: (i), (ii), and (iii) are equivalent by Theorem 1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) is clear.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) is clear. We note that since T^* is weakly p -compact, T^* , thus T , is weakly compact. Hence $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subseteq Y$.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi) Suppose $T: X \rightarrow \ell_p$ is an operator. Since $1 < p^* < \infty$, $\ell_{p^*} \in W_p$, see [3, Proposition 1.4]. Then T^* is weakly p -compact. Thus T^{**} is completely continuous.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose (x_n^*) is weakly p -summable in X^* and (x_n^{**}) is weakly null in X^{**} . Define $T: X \rightarrow \ell_p$ by $T(x) = (x_n^*(x))$. Then $T^*: \ell_{p^*} \rightarrow X^*$, $T^*(b) = \sum b_i x_i^*$, $b = (b_i) \in \ell_{p^*}$. If $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, then $T^{**}(x^{**}) = (x^{**}(x_i^*))$. Since T^{**} is completely continuous,

$$|x_n^{**}(x_n^*)|^p \leq \|T^{**}(x_n^{**})\|^p = \sum_i |x_n^{**}(x_i^*)|^p \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus X^* has the DPP $_p$. □

In the following theorem we use a lifting result of Lohman.

Lemma 7 ([19]). *Let X be a Banach space, Y a subspace not containing copies of ℓ_1 , and $Q: X \rightarrow X/Y$ the quotient map. Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X such that $(Q(x_n))$ is weakly Cauchy. Then (x_n) has a weakly Cauchy subsequence.*

Let E be a Banach space and F be a subspace of E^* . Let

$${}^\perp F = \{x \in E: y^*(x) = 0 \text{ for all } y^* \in F\}.$$

The space $C[0,1]$ has the DPP, and thus the DPP $_p$ for all p . The space ℓ_2 embeds in $C[0,1]$, but ℓ_2 fails the DPP $_p$ for $p \geq 2$ (since $\ell_2 \in W_2$ by [3, Proposition 1.4], it fails the DPP $_2$, and thus the DPP $_p$ for $p \geq 2$). Hence the DPP $_p$ is not inherited by closed subspaces.

Theorem 8. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose E has the DPP $_p$ and F is a w^* -closed subspace of E^* not containing ℓ_1 . Then ${}^\perp F$ has the DPP $_p$.*

PROOF: Suppose (x_n) is weakly p -summable in ${}^\perp F$ and (z_n^*) is weakly Cauchy in $({}^\perp F)^* \simeq E^*/F$. Let $Q: E^* \rightarrow E^*/F$ be the quotient map. By Lemma 7, we can assume that $z_n^* = Q(x_n^*)$, where (x_n^*) is weakly Cauchy in E^* . Let $i: {}^\perp F \rightarrow E$ be the isometric embedding. By [20, Theorem 1.10.16],

$$\langle x_n, Q(x_n^*) \rangle = \langle i(x_n), x_n^* \rangle.$$

Since E has the DPP $_p$, $\langle i(x_n), x_n^* \rangle \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 1. Hence $\langle x_n, z_n^* \rangle \rightarrow 0$, and ${}^\perp F$ has the DPP $_p$. □

4. Complementability of spaces of operators

We begin by studying the complementability of $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ and $K(X, \ell_\infty)$ in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$.

Lemma 9 ([18, Proposition 5]). *Let X be a separable Banach space, and $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X, \ell_\infty)$ be a bounded linear operator so that $\varphi(e_n) = 0$ for all n . Then there is an infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} such that for each $b \in \ell_\infty(M)$, $\varphi(b) = 0$, where $\ell_\infty(M)$ is the set of all $b = (b_n) \in \ell_\infty$ with $b_n = 0$ for each $n \notin M$.*

Observation 1 ([1, Lemma 2.4]). *If $T: Y \rightarrow X^*$ is an operator such that $T^*|_X$ is weakly compact (or compact), then T is weakly compact (or compact, respectively). To see this, let $T: Y \rightarrow X^*$ be an operator such that $T^*|_X$ is weakly compact (or compact). Let $S = T^*|_X$. Suppose $x^{**} \in B_{X^{**}}$ and choose a net (x_α) in B_X which is w^* -convergent to x^{**} . Then $(T^*(x_\alpha)) \xrightarrow{w^*} T^*(x^{**})$. Now, $(T^*(x_\alpha)) \subseteq S(B_X)$, which is a relatively weakly compact (or relatively compact) set. Then $(T^*(x_\alpha)) \xrightarrow{w} T^*(x^{**})$ (or $(T^*(x_\alpha)) \rightarrow T^*(x^{**})$). Hence $T^*(B_{X^{**}}) \subseteq \overline{S(B_X)}$, which is relatively weakly compact (or relatively compact). Therefore $T^*(B_{X^{**}})$ is relatively weakly compact (or relatively compact), and thus T is weakly compact (or compact, respectively).*

Theorem 10. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X has the DPP $_p$ and X does not have the RDP $_p$ property, then $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Since X has the DPP $_p$, every weakly compact operator $T: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is p -convergent. Let A be a weakly p - L -subset of X^* which is not relatively weakly compact. Let (x_n^*) be a sequence in A with no weakly convergent subsequence. Define $S: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ by $S(x) = (x_n^*(x))_n$, $x \in X$. Since $S^*(e_n^*) = x_n^*$, S^* , thus S , is not weakly compact. Let (y_n) be a sequence in B_X such that $(S(y_n))$ has no weakly convergent subsequence. Let $X_0 = [y_n]$ be the closed linear span of $\{y_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that X_0 is a separable subspace of X and $L = S|_{X_0}$ is not weakly compact. If $y_n^* = x_n^*|_{X_0}$, then $(y_n^*) \subseteq X_0^*$ is bounded and has no weakly convergent subsequence. (If (y_n^*) is weakly convergent, then $L^*|_{\ell_1}$ is weakly compact, since $L^*(e_n^*) = y_n^*$. By Observation 1, L is weakly compact. This is a contradiction.)

Define $T: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X, \ell_\infty)$ by $T(b)(x) = (b_n x_n^*(x))_n$, $b = (b_n) \in \ell_\infty$, $x \in X$. Note that the operator T is well-defined and $T(e_n) = x_n^* \otimes e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $b \in \ell_\infty$ and suppose that (x_m) is a weakly p -summable sequence in X . Since (x_n^*) is a weakly p - L -set,

$$\lim_m \|T(b)(x_m)\| = \lim_m \sup_n |b_n x_n^*(x_m)| = 0,$$

and thus $T(b)$ is p -convergent.

Suppose that $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$. Let $P: C_p(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow W(X, \ell_\infty)$ be a projection, and let $R: L(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow L(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ be the natural restriction map. Define $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow C_p(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\varphi(b) = RT(b)$ and $\psi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow$

$W(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\psi(b) = RPT(b)$. Since $T(e_n)$ is a rank one operator, it is compact, hence weakly compact. Thus

$$\psi(e_n) = RPT(e_n) = RT(e_n) = \varphi(e_n)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 9, there is an infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} such that $\psi(\chi_M) = \varphi(\chi_M)$. Hence $\varphi(\chi_M)$ is weakly compact. However, $\varphi(\chi_M)^*(e_n^*) = y_n^*$, $n \in M$. This contradiction concludes the proof. \square

We note that every compact operator is p -convergent.

Theorem 11. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X is a Banach space such that X^* contains a weakly p - L -subset which is not relatively compact, then $K(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10. \square

Corollary 12. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (i) *If X has the DPP_p and does not have the RDP_p property, then $W(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$.*
- (ii) *If X^* contains a weakly p - L -subset which is not relatively compact, then $K(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$.*

PROOF: We only prove (i). The other proof is similar. Suppose that $W(X, Y)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$. Since ℓ_∞ is injective and $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, $\ell_\infty \xrightarrow{c} Y$, see [5, page 71]. Then $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $W(X, Y)$, and thus in $C_p(X, Y)$. Since $W(X, \ell_\infty) \subseteq C_p(X, \ell_\infty) \subseteq C_p(X, Y)$, it follows that $W(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$, a contradiction with Theorem 10. Hence $W(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$. \square

In the next corollary we need the following result.

Theorem 13 ([14, Theorem 21]). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose that X is a Banach space. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *For every Banach space Y , if $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a p -convergent operator, then $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly compact (or compact).*
- (ii) *The same as (i) with $Y = \ell_\infty$.*
- (iii) *Every weakly p - L -subset of X^* is relatively weakly compact (or relatively compact).*

Corollary 14. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose X and Y are Banach spaces.*

1. *If X has the DPP_p property and $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *X has the RDP_p property;*
 - (ii) *$C_p(X, Y) = W(X, Y)$;*
 - (iii) *$W(X, Y)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$.*

2. If $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $C_p(X, Y) = K(X, Y)$;
- (ii) $K(X, Y)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$.

PROOF: 1. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since X has the RDP_p property, $C_p(X, Y) \subseteq W(X, Y)$ (by Theorem 13). Since X also has the DPP_p , $C_p(X, Y) = W(X, Y)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) by Corollary 12.

2. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose there is a p -convergent operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ which is not compact. By Theorem 13, X^* contains a weakly p - L -subset which is not relatively compact. Hence $K(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$ by Corollary 12. \square

Theorem 15. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that U has an unconditional and seminormalized basis (u_i) with biorthogonal coefficients (u_i^*) , $U \xhookrightarrow{c} X$, and $T: U \rightarrow Y$ is an operator such that $(T(u_i))$ is not relatively weakly p -compact in Y . Let $S(X, Y)$ be a closed linear subspace of $L(X, Y)$ which properly contains $W_p(X, Y)$ such that $\varphi(b) \in S(U, Y)$ for all $b \in \ell_\infty$, where $\varphi(b)(u) = \sum b_i u_i^*(u) T(u_i)$, $u \in U$. Then $W_p(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $S(X, Y)$.

PROOF: The proof is similar to the proof of [1, Theorem 20], replacing “relatively weakly p -compact” with “relatively compact”. \square

Corollary 16. Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $\ell_1 \xhookrightarrow{c} X$ and $Y \notin W_p$, then $W_p(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $L(X, Y)$.

PROOF: Let (y_n) be a sequence in B_Y with no weakly p -convergent subsequence and $S(X, Y) = L(X, Y)$. Define $T: \ell_1 \rightarrow Y$ by $T(x) = \sum x_n y_n$, $x = (x_n) \in \ell_1$. Let $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(\ell_1, Y)$, $\varphi(b)(x) = \sum b_n x_n y_n$, $x = (x_n) \in \ell_1$. Apply Theorem 15. \square

We use the following notation. Let $A: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ be an operator and M be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} . We define $A_M: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ by

$$A_M(x) = \sum_{n \in M} e_n^*(A(x)) e_n, \quad x \in X.$$

A closed operator ideal \mathcal{O} has property $(*)$ if whenever X is a Banach space and $A \notin \mathcal{O}(X, \ell_\infty)$, then there is an infinite subset M_0 of \mathbb{N} such that $A_M \notin \mathcal{O}(X, \ell_\infty)$ for all infinite subsets M of M_0 , see [1].

Theorem 17. Let $1 < p < \infty$. The ideal of p -convergent operators has property $(*)$.

PROOF: The idea for the proof comes from Theorem 2.17 in [1]. Let $A: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ be an operator which is not p -convergent. Let (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X and $\delta > 0$ such that $\|A(x_n)\| > \delta$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n_1 = 1$ and choose $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|e_{N_1}^*(A(x_{n_1}))| > \delta$. Since $(A(x_n))$ is weakly null, $\lim_n e_{N_1}^*(A(x_n)) = 0$. Choose $n_2 > n_1$ so that $|e_k^*(A(x_n))| < \delta$ for $n \geq n_2$ and $1 \leq k \leq N_1$. Choose $N_2 > N_1$ such that $|e_{N_2}^*(A(x_{n_2}))| > \delta$. Continuing this process

we obtain a subsequence (x_{n_i}) of (x_n) and an increasing sequence (N_i) of natural numbers such that $|e_{N_i}^*(A(x_{n_i}))| > \delta$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M_0 = \{N_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Note that M_0 is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} and $\|A_{M_0}(x_{n_i})\| \geq \delta$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If M is an infinite subset of M_0 , then A_M is not p -convergent. Therefore the operator ideal of p -convergent operators has property $(*)$. \square

We note that every p -convergent operator is unconditionally converging.

Theorem 18. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X^* contains a V -set which is not a weakly p - L -set, then $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $U(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Let A be a V -subset of X^* which is not a weakly p - L -set. Let (x_n^*) be a sequence in A and (x_n) be a weakly p -summable sequence in X such that $|x_n^*(x_n)| \not\rightarrow 0$. Without loss of generality assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$, $|x_n^*(x_n)| > \epsilon$ for all n . Define $S: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ by $S(x) = (x_n^*(x))_n$, $x \in X$. Since $\|S(x_n)\| > \epsilon$, S is not p -convergent. Let $X_0 = [x_n]$ be the closed linear span of $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that X_0 is a separable subspace of X and $S|_{X_0}$ is not p -convergent. By Theorem 17, there is an infinite subset M_0 of \mathbb{N} so that $S_M \notin C_p(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ for all infinite subsets M of M_0 .

Define $T: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X, \ell_\infty)$ by $T(b)(x) = (b_n x_n^*(x))_n$, $b = (b_n) \in \ell_\infty$, $x \in X$. Note that the operator T is well-defined and $T(e_n) = x_n^* \otimes e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $b \in \ell_\infty$ and suppose that $\sum x_m$ is weakly unconditionally convergent in X . Since (x_n^*) is a V -set,

$$\lim_m \|T(b)(x_m)\| = \lim_m \sup_n |b_n x_n^*(x_m)| = 0,$$

and thus $T(b)$ is unconditionally converging.

Suppose that $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $U(X, \ell_\infty)$. Let $P: U(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ be a projection, and let $R: L(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow L(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ be the natural restriction map. Define $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow U(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\varphi(b) = RT(b)$ and $\psi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow C_p(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\psi(b) = RPT(b)$. Since $T(e_n)$ is a rank one operator,

$$\psi(e_n) = RPT(e_n) = RT(e_n) = \varphi(e_n)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 9, there is an infinite subset M of M_0 such that $\psi(\chi_M) = \varphi(\chi_M)$. Therefore $\varphi(\chi_M)$ is p -convergent. Nevertheless, $\varphi(\chi_M) = T(\chi_M)|_{X_0} = S_M$. This contradiction proves that $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $U(X, \ell_\infty)$. \square

Corollary 19. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X does not have the DPP_p , then $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $U(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Since X does not have the DPP_p , there exist a weakly p -summable sequence (x_n) in X and a weakly null sequence (x_n^*) in X^* such that $x_n^*(x_n) \not\rightarrow 0$. Since (x_n^*) is weakly null, it is a V -set, see [21]. Thus (x_n^*) is a V -subset of X^* which is not a weakly p - L -set. Apply Theorem 18. \square

Corollary 20. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X does not have the DPP_p and $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, then $C_p(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $U(X, Y)$.*

Corollary 21. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose X has property (V). If $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X has the DPP_p ;
- (ii) $C_p(X, Y) = U(X, Y)$;
- (iii) $C_p(X, Y)$ is complemented in $U(X, Y)$.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Every unconditionally converging operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent, since X has property (V) and the DPP_p . Since $C_p(X, Y) \subseteq U(X, Y)$, it follows that $C_p(X, Y) = U(X, Y)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) by Corollary 20. □

Theorem 22. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X^* contains a weakly p - L -set which is not an L -set, then $\text{CC}(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Let A be a weakly p - L -set which is not an L -set. Let (x_n^*) be a sequence in A and (x_n) be a weakly null sequence in X such that for some $\epsilon > 0$, $|x_n^*(x_n)| > \epsilon$ for all n . Define $S: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ by $S(x) = (x_n^*(x))_n$, $x \in X$. Since $\|S(x_n)\| > \epsilon$, S is not completely continuous. Let $X_0 = [x_n]$ be the closed linear span of $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that X_0 is a separable subspace of X and $S|_{X_0}$ is not completely continuous. By [1, Theorem 2.17], the ideal of completely continuous operators has property (*). Let M_0 be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} so that $S_M \notin \text{CC}(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ for all infinite subsets M of M_0 .

Define $T: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X, \ell_\infty)$ by $T(b)(x) = (b_n x_n^*(x))_n$, $b = (b_n) \in \ell_\infty$, $x \in X$. Note that the operator T is well-defined and $T(e_n) = x_n^* \otimes e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (x_n^*) is a weakly p - L -set, $T(b)$ is p -convergent.

Suppose that $\text{CC}(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$. Let $P: C_p(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow \text{CC}(X, \ell_\infty)$ be a projection, and let $R: L(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow L(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ be the natural restriction map. Define $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow C_p(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\varphi(b) = RT(b)$ and $\psi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow \text{CC}(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\psi(b) = RPT(b)$. Since $T(e_n)$ is a rank one operator,

$$\psi(e_n) = RPT(e_n) = RT(e_n) = \varphi(e_n)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 9, there is an infinite subset M of M_0 such that $\psi(\chi_M) = \varphi(\chi_M)$. Hence $\varphi(\chi_M)$ is completely continuous. However, $\varphi(\chi_M) = T(\chi_M)|_{X_0} = S_M$. This is a contradiction that completes the proof. □

Corollary 23. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $X \in C_p$ and X does not have the Schur property, then $\text{CC}(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Since $X \in C_p$ and X does not have the Schur property, B_{X^*} is a weakly p - L -set which is not an L -set. Apply Theorem 22. □

Tsirelson's space T is reflexive and $T \in C_p$ for all $p < \infty$, see [3]. Thus T satisfies the hypothesis of the previous corollary.

Corollary 24. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose $X \in C_p$ and X does not have the Schur property. If $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, then $CC(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $C_p(X, Y)$.*

Theorem 25. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $X \notin C_p$, then $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: Suppose that (x_n) is a weakly p -summable normalized sequence in X . Since (x_n) is weakly null and normalized, we can assume that it is a basic sequence (by the Bessaga-Pelczynski selection principle, see [5]). Let $X_0 = [x_n]$ and let (x_n^*) be the associated sequence of coefficient functionals. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_n^* \in X^*$ be a Hahn-Banach extension of x_n^* . Define $T: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X, \ell_\infty)$ by $T(b)(x) = (b_n f_n^*(x))$, $b = (b_n) \in \ell_\infty$, $x \in X$. Note that the operator T is well-defined and $T(e_n) = f_n^* \otimes e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose that $C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is complemented in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$. Let $P: L(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow C_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ be a projection, and let $R: L(X, \ell_\infty) \rightarrow L(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ be the natural restriction map. Define $\varphi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow L(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\varphi(b) = RT(b)$ and $\psi: \ell_\infty \rightarrow C_p(X_0, \ell_\infty)$ by $\psi(b) = RPT(b)$. Note that $\varphi(e_n) = x_n^* \otimes e_n = \psi(e_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 9, there is an infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} such that $\psi(\chi_M) = \varphi(\chi_M)$. Hence $\varphi(\chi_M)$ is p -convergent. However, $\varphi(\chi_M)(x_n) = e_n$, $n \in M$, a contradiction. \square

A Banach space X has the Gelfand-Phillips property if and only if every limited weakly null sequence in X is norm null, see [8].

Theorem 26. (i) *If X does not have the Gelfand-Phillips property, then $Lcc(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If X does not have the p -GP property, then $LC_p(X, \ell_\infty)$ is not complemented in $L(X, \ell_\infty)$.*

PROOF: (i) Let (x_n) be a limited weakly null sequence in X of norm one. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 25.

(ii) Let (x_n) be a limited weakly p -summable sequence in X of norm one. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 25. \square

Corollary 27. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$.*

(i) *If $X \notin C_p$, then $C_p(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $L(X, Y)$.*

(ii) *If X does not have the Gelfand-Phillips property, then $Lcc(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $L(X, Y)$.*

(iii) *If X does not have the p -GP property, then $LC_p(X, Y)$ is not complemented in $L(X, Y)$.*

Corollary 28. *Suppose X and Y are Banach spaces, $\ell_\infty \hookrightarrow Y$, and $1 < p < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) (i) $X \in C_p$;

(ii) $C_p(X, Y) = L(X, Y)$;

(iii) $C_p(X, Y)$ is complemented in $L(X, Y)$.

- (2) (i) X has the Gelfand-Phillips property;
 (ii) $\text{Lcc}(X, Y) = L(X, Y)$;
 (iii) $\text{Lcc}(X, Y)$ is complemented in $L(X, Y)$.
- (3) (i) X has the p -GP property;
 (ii) $\text{LC}_p(X, Y) = L(X, Y)$;
 (iii) $\text{LC}_p(X, Y)$ is complemented in $L(X, Y)$.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) (1) If $X \in C_p$, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is p -convergent. (2) If X has the Gelfand-Phillips property, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is limited completely continuous. (3) If X has the p -GP property, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is limited p -convergent.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) by Corollary 27. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bahreini M., Bator E., Ghenciu I., *Complemented subspaces of linear bounded operators*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **55** (2012), no. 3, 449–461.
- [2] Bourgain J., Diestel J., *Limited operators and strict cosingularity*, *Math. Nachr.* **119** (1984), 55–58.
- [3] Castillo J. M. F., Sanchez F., *Dunford-Pettis like properties of continuous vector function spaces*, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **6** (1993), no. 1, 43–59.
- [4] Diestel J., *A survey of results related to the Dunford-Pettis property*, *Proc. of Conf. on Integration, Topology, and Geometry in Linear Spaces*, Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 1979, *Contemp. Math.* **2** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1980, pp. 15–60.
- [5] Diestel J., *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 92, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [6] Diestel J., Jarchow H., Tonge A., *Absolutely Summing Operators*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [7] Diestel J., Uhl J. J. Jr., *Vector Measures*, *Mathematical Surveys*, 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1977.
- [8] Drewnowski L., Emmanuele G., *On Banach spaces with the Gelfand-Phillips property II*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) **38** (1989), no. 3, 377–391.
- [9] Emmanuele G., *Remarks on the uncomplemented subspace $W(E, F)$* , *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** (1991), no. 1, 125–130.
- [10] Emmanuele G., *A remark on the containment of c_0 in spaces of compact operators*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **111** (1992), no. 2, 331–335.
- [11] Emmanuele G., John K., *Uncomplementability of spaces of compact operators in larger spaces of operators*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **47(122)** (1997), no. 1, 19–32.
- [12] Feder M., *On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators*, *Illinois J. Math.* **24** (1980), no. 2, 196–205.
- [13] Fourie J. H., Zeekoei E. D., *On weak-star p -convergent operators*, *Quaest. Math.* **40** (2017), no. 5, 563–579.
- [14] Ghenciu I., *The p -Gelfand Phillips property in spaces of operators and Dunford-Pettis like sets*, available at arXiv:1803.00351v1 [math.FA] (2018), 16 pages.
- [15] Ghenciu I., Lewis P., *The Dunford-Pettis property, the Gelfand-Phillips property, and L -sets*, *Colloq. Math.* **106** (2006), no. 2, 311–324.
- [16] Grothendieck A., *Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$* , *Canadian J. Math.* **5** (1953), 129–173 (French).
- [17] John K., *On the uncomplemented subspace $K(X, Y)$* , *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **42(117)** (1992), no. 1, 167–173.

- [18] Kalton N. J., *Spaces of compact operators*, Math. Ann. **208** (1974), 267–278.
- [19] Lohman R. H., *A note on Banach spaces containing l_1* , Canad. Math. Bull. **19** (1976), no. 3, 365–367.
- [20] Megginson R. E., *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 183, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [21] Pełczyński A., *Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **10** (1962), 641–648.
- [22] Salimi M., Moshtaghiun S. M., *The Gelfand-Phillips property in closed subspaces of some operator spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), no. 2, 84–92.

I. Ghenciu:

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-RIVER FALLS, WISCONSIN,
54022, U.S.A.

E-mail: ioana.ghenciu@uwrf.edu

(Received November 27, 2017, revised December 18, 2017)