## On the *n*-fold symmetric product of a space with a $\sigma$ -(*P*)-property *cn*-network (*ck*-network)

LUONG Q. TUYEN, ONG V. TUYEN

Abstract. We study the relation between a space X satisfying certain generalized metric properties and its *n*-fold symmetric product  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  satisfying the same properties. We prove that X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property *cn*-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . Moreover, if X is regular then X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property *ck*-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . By these results, we obtain that X is strict  $\sigma$ -space (strict  $\aleph$ -space) if and only if so is  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Keywords:  $\sigma$ -(P)-property; cn-network; ck-network; strict  $\sigma$ -space; strict  $\aleph$ -space Classification: 54B20, 54D20

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1931, K. Borsuk and S. Ulam introduced the notion of a symmetric product of an arbitrary topological space, see [1]. Moreover, they also show that the *n*fold symmetric product  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  can be obtained as a quotient space of Cartesian product  $X^n$ . Recently, C. Good and S. Macías in [3], L.-X. Peng and Y. Sun in [4], Z. Tang, S. Lin and F. Lin in [5], studied the symmetric products of generalized metric spaces. They considered several generalized metric properties and studied the relation between a space X satisfying such property and its *n*-fold symmetric product satisfying the same property.

In this paper, we also study the relation between a space X satisfying certain generalized metric properties and its *n*-fold symmetric product satisfying the same properties. We prove that X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property *cn*-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . Moreover, if X is regular then X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property *ck*-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . By these results, we obtain that X is strict  $\sigma$ -space (strict  $\aleph$ -space) if and only if so is  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Throughout this paper, all spaces are Hausdorff,  $\mathbb N$  denotes the set of all positive integers.

Given a space X, we define its *hyperspaces* as the following sets:

(1)  $CL(X) = \{A \subset X : A \text{ is closed and nonempty}\};$ 

(2)  $2^X = \{A \in CL(X) : A \text{ is compact}\};$ 

(3)  $\mathcal{F}_n(X) = \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ has at most } n \text{ points}\}, \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

Set CL(X) is topologized by the *Vietoris topology* defined as the topology generated by

 $\mathcal{B} = \{ \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \colon U_1, \dots, U_k \text{ are open subsets of } X, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \},\$ 

where

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle = \left\{ A \in CL(X) \colon A \subset \bigcup_{i \le k} U_i, \ A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i \le k \right\}.$$

Note that, by definition,  $2^X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  are subspaces of CL(X). Hence, they are topologized with the appropriate restriction of the Vietoris topology. Moreover,

- (1) space CL(X) is called the hyperspace of nonempty closed subsets of X;
- (2) space  $2^X$  is called the hyperspace of nonempty compact subsets of X;
- (3) space  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  is called the *n*-fold symmetric product of X.

On the other hand, it is obvious that  $\mathcal{F}_n(X) \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 1.1** ([3], Remark 2.1). Let X be a space and let  $n \ge 2$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_1(X)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  and  $\xi \colon \mathcal{F}_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow X$  given by  $\xi(\{x\}) = x$  is a homeomorphism.

Notation 1.2 ([3], Notation 2.2). Let X be a space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To simplify notation, if  $U_1, \ldots, U_s$  are open subsets of X then  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle_n$  denotes the intersection of the open set  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle$  of the Vietoris topology, with  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

**Notation 1.3** ([3], Notation 2.3). Let X be a space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$  is a point of  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  and  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \in \langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle_n$ , then for each  $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ , we let  $U_{x_j} = \bigcap \{U \in \{U_1, \ldots, U_s\} : x_j \in U\}$ . Observe that  $\langle U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_r} \rangle_n \subset \langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle_n$ .

**Lemma 1.4** ([4], Lemma 21). Let X be a space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If C is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ , then  $\bigcup C$  is a compact subset of X.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of a space X. Then:

- (1) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *point-finite*, if each point  $x \in X$  belongs only to finitely many members of  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- (2) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *point-countable*, if each point  $x \in X$  belongs only to countably many members of  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- (3) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *compact-finite*, if for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ , the set  $\{P \in \mathcal{P} \colon P \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.
- (4) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *compact-countable*, if for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ , the set  $\{P \in \mathcal{P} \colon P \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is countable.
- (5) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *locally finite*, if for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a neighborhood V of x such that V meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

258

(6) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is *locally countable*, if for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a neighborhood V of x such that V meets only countably many members of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 1.6.** For a cover  $\mathcal{P}$  of a space X, let (P) be one of the following properties: point-finite, compact-finite, locally finite, point-countable, compact-countable, and locally countable. We said that  $\mathcal{P}$  has  $\sigma$ -(P)-property, if  $\mathcal{P}$  can be expressed as  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  has (P)-property, and  $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.7** ([2]). Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of a space X. Then,

- (1) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *network at*  $x \in X$ , if for each neighborhood  $O_x$  of x there is a set  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x \in P \subset O_x$ ;  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *network* in X if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a network at each point  $x \in X$ .
- (2) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cn*-network at  $x \in X$ , if for each neighborhood  $O_x$  of x, the set  $\bigcup \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P \subset O_x\}$  is a neighborhood of x;  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cn*-network in X if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cn*-network at each point  $x \in X$ .
- (3) Family  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *ck-network at*  $x \in X$ , if for any neighborhood  $O_x$  of x, there is a neighborhood  $U_x \subset O_x$  of x such that for each compact subset  $K \subset U_x$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$  satisfying  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$  and  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset O_x$ ;  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *ck-network* in X if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *ck*-network at each point  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 1.8** ([2]). Base (at x)  $\Longrightarrow$  ck-network (at x)  $\Longrightarrow$  cn-network (at x)  $\Longrightarrow$  network (at x).

**Definition 1.9** ([2]). Let X be a topological space. Then:

- (1) Space X is called a *strict*  $\sigma$ -space, if X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cn-network.
- (2) Space X is called a *strict*  $\aleph$ -space, if X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite ck-network.

## 2. Main results

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of a space X. If we put

$$\mathfrak{P} = \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}, \ s \le n \},\$$

then observe that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a family of subsets of  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle$ ,  $\langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle \subset CL(X)$ . If there exists  $i_0 \leq s$  such that  $U_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \leq r} V_j\right) = \emptyset$ , then  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle = \emptyset$ .

PROOF: Assume that there exists  $i_0 \leq s$  such that  $U_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \leq r} V_j\right) = \emptyset$ . Then, we have  $\langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, there exists  $F \in \langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle$ . Hence,  $F \cap U_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$ , it implies that there exists  $x_0 \in F \cap U_{i_0}$ . Since  $U_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \leq r} V_j\right) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_0 \notin \bigcup_{j \leq r} V_j$ . Thus,  $F \not\subset \bigcup_{j \leq r} V_j$ , this is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\mathcal{P}$  has (P)-property then so does  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

PROOF: Case 1. (P) is point-finite. Let  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . For each  $j \leq r$ , since  $\mathcal{P}$  is point-finite in  $X, \mathcal{P}_j = \{P \in \mathcal{P} \colon x_j \in P\}$  is finite. If we put  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \bigcup_{j \leq r} \mathcal{P}_j$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is finite. Moreover, we have

$$\{\mathcal{W}\in\mathfrak{P}\colon F\in\mathcal{W}\}\subset\{\langle P_1,\ldots,P_s\rangle_n\colon P_1,\ldots,P_s\in\mathcal{P}_0,\ s\leq n\}$$

In fact, let  $k \leq n$  and  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\langle P_1, \ldots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}_0, s \leq n\}$ . Then, there exists  $i_0 \leq k$  such that  $E_{i_0} \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ . It implies that  $x_j \notin E_{i_0}$  for every  $j \leq r$ . Thus,  $F \notin \langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n$ . Hence,  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon F \in \mathcal{W}\}$ .

Because  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is finite,  $\{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon F \in \mathcal{W}\}$  is finite. Thus,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is point-finite in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Case 2. (P) is point-countable. Similar to the proof of Case 1.

Case 3. (P) is compact-finite. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . It follows from Lemma 1.4 that  $A = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  is a compact subset of X. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \langle A \rangle_n$ , we have

$$\{\mathcal{W}\in\mathfrak{P}\colon\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{A}\neq\emptyset\}\subset\{\mathcal{W}\in\mathfrak{P}\colon\mathcal{W}\cap\langle A
angle_n\neq\emptyset\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is compact-finite in X,  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} \colon P \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. On the other hand, we have

$$\{\mathcal{W}\in\mathfrak{P}\colon\mathcal{W}\cap\langle A\rangle_n\neq\emptyset\}\subset\{\langle P_1,\ldots,P_s\rangle_n:P_1,\ldots,P_s\in\mathcal{P}_0,\ s\leq n\}.$$

In fact, let  $k \leq n$  and  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\langle P_1, \ldots, P_s \rangle_n : P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}_0, s \leq n\}$ . Then, there exists  $i_0 \leq k$  such that  $E_{i_0} \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ . This implies that  $E_{i_0} \cap A = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \cap \langle A \rangle_n = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}: \mathcal{W} \cap \langle A \rangle_n \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is finite,  $\{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is compact-finite in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Case 4. (P) is compact-countable. Similar to the proof of Case 3.

Case 5. (P) is locally finite. Let  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . For each  $i \leq r$ , since  $\mathcal{P}$  is locally finite in X, there exists an open neighborhood  $W_i$  of  $x_i$  intersecting only finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If we put

$$V_i = W_i \setminus \{x_j : j \le r, \ j \ne i\},\$$

then  $V_i$  is open in X for every  $i \leq r$ , and  $\langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n$  is an open neighborhood of F in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . On the other hand,  $\langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n$  intersects only finitely many elements of  $\mathfrak{P}$ . In fact, for each  $i \leq r$ , since  $\mathcal{P}$  is locally finite in X,  $\mathcal{P}_i =$  $\{P \in \mathcal{P} \colon P \cap V_i \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. If we put  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \bigcup_{i \leq r} \mathcal{P}_i$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is finite. Now, let  $k \leq n$  and  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\langle P_1, \ldots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}_0, s \leq n\}$ . Then, there exists  $i_0 \leq k$  such that  $E_{i_0} \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ . Thus,  $E_{i_0} \cap V_i = \emptyset$  for every  $i \leq r$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\langle E_1, \ldots, E_k \rangle_n \notin \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon \mathcal{W} \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n \neq \emptyset\}$ . This implies that

$$\{\mathcal{W}\in\mathfrak{P}\colon\mathcal{W}\cap\langle V_1,\ldots,V_r\rangle_n\neq\emptyset\}\subset\{\langle P_1,\ldots,P_s\rangle_n\colon P_1,\ldots,P_s\in\mathcal{P}_0,\ s\leq n\}.$$

Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is finite,  $\{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon \mathcal{W} \cap \langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Hence,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is locally finite in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Case 6. (P) is locally countable. Similar to the proof of Case 5.

**Lemma 2.3.** (1) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cn*-network then so is  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

(2) If X is regular and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a ck-network then so is  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

PROOF: Let  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{F}_n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open neighborhood of F in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . Then, there exist open subsets  $U_1, \ldots, U_s$  of X such that

$$F \in \langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle_n \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

It follows from Notation 1.3 that there exist open subsets  $U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_r}$  of X such that  $x_j \in U_{x_j}$  for each  $j \leq r$ , and

$$F \in \langle U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_r} \rangle_n \subset \langle U_1, \ldots, U_s \rangle_n \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

(1) For each  $j \leq r$ , we put

$$\mathcal{P}_j = \{ P \in \mathcal{P} \colon x_j \in P \subset U_{x_j} \}.$$

Then, for each  $j \leq r$ , since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cn*-network in  $X, \bigcup \mathcal{P}_j$  is a neighborhood of  $x_j$  in X. This implies that for each  $j \leq r$ , there is  $V_j$  open in X such that

$$x_j \in V_j \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}_j.$$

Moreover, if we put  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{j < r} \mathcal{P}_j$  then

$$F \in \langle V_1, \dots, V_r \rangle_n \subset \left\langle \bigcup \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \bigcup \mathcal{P}_r \right\rangle_n$$
$$\subset \bigcup \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon F \in \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n, P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{R}, s \le n \}$$
$$\subset \bigcup \{ \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} \colon F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U} \}.$$

On the other hand, since  $\langle V_1, \ldots, V_r \rangle_n$  is open in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ , we have  $\bigcup \{ \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U} \}$  is a neighborhood of F in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a *cn*-network in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

(2) For each  $j \leq r$ , since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *ck*-network in X, there exists a neighborhood  $V_{x_j} \subset U_{x_j}$  such that for each compact subset  $A_j \subset V_{x_j}$ , there exists a finite

subfamily  $\mathcal{A}_j$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying

$$x_j \in \bigcap \mathcal{A}_j$$
 and  $A_j \subset \bigcup \mathcal{A}_j \subset U_{x_j}$ .

Next, for each  $j \leq r$ , since X is regular, there exists  $W_{x_j}$  open in X such that

$$x_j \in W_{x_j} \subset \overline{W}_{x_j} \subset V_{x_j}.$$

Now, if we put  $\mathcal{V}_F = \langle W_{x_1}, \ldots, W_{x_r} \rangle_n$  then for each compact subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}_F$ , we have

$$\bigcup \mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup_{j \leq r} \overline{W}_{x_j}.$$

Moreover, since  $\bigcup \mathcal{K}$  is compact in X by Lemma 1.4, we have  $K_j = (\bigcup \mathcal{K}) \cap \overline{W}_{x_j}$ is compact in X and  $K_j \subset V_{x_j}$ . Thus, there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}_j \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$x_j \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}_j$$
 and  $K_j \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_j \subset U_{x_j}$ .

Lastly, if we put  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{j \leq r} \mathcal{F}_j$  and

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon F \in \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n, \ P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{R}, \ s \le n \}$$

then  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite,  $F \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset \langle U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_r} \rangle_n$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}$ . In fact, for any  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_p\} \in \mathcal{K}$ , we have  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_p\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}$ . For each  $k \leq p$ , since  $\bigcup \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{j \leq r} K_j$ , there exists  $j_0 \leq r$  such that  $y_k \in K_{j_0} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{j_0}$ . This implies that  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_p\} \in \bigcup \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset \langle U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_r} \rangle_n$ .

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a *ck*-network in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let X be a space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

(1) Space X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property cn-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

(2) If X is regular, then X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property ck-network if and only if so does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

PROOF: Necessity. Assume that  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a *cn*-network (*ck*-network) in X, where each  $\mathcal{P}_k$  has (P)-property and  $\mathcal{P}_k \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\mathfrak{P}_k = \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}_k, \ s \le n \}$$

has the (P)-property, and  $\mathfrak{P}_k \subset \mathfrak{P}_{k+1}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{P} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cover for  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  having  $\sigma$ -(P)-property. Moreover, observe that

$$\mathfrak{P} \subset \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}, \ s \le n \}$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{W} \in \{\langle P_1, \ldots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}, s \leq n\}$ . Then, there exist  $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{W} = \langle P_1, \ldots, P_s \rangle_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_k \colon k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , there

262

exist  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_{k_i}$  for each  $i \leq s$ . If we put  $m = \max\{k_i : i \leq s\}$  then  $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}_m$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_m \subset \mathfrak{P}$ . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{P} = \{ \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle_n \colon P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathcal{P}, \ s \le n \}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a *cn*-network (*ck*-network) in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a *cn*-network (*ck*-network) in  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  with  $\sigma$ -(*P*)-property. Then,

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_k |_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)} \colon k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

is a *cn*-network (*ck*-network) in  $\mathcal{F}_1(X)$  with  $\sigma$ -(*P*)-property, where  $\mathfrak{B}_k|_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)} = \{P \cap \mathcal{F}_1(X) : P \in \mathfrak{B}_k\}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, it follows from Remark 1.1 that  $\xi : \mathcal{F}_1(X) \to X$  given by  $\xi(\{x\}) = x$  is a homeomorphism. Therefore, X has a  $\sigma$ -(*P*)-property *cn*-network (*ck*-network).

By Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let X be a space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

- (1) Space X is strict  $\sigma$ -space if and only if so is  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .
- (2) If X is regular, then X is strict  $\aleph$ -space if and only if so is  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$ .

**Question 2.6.** Let X be a Hausdorff space and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If X has a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property ck-network, then does  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  have a  $\sigma$ -(P)-property ck-network?

## References

- Borsuk K., Ulam S., On symmetric products of topological spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1931), no. 12, 875–882.
- [2] Gabriyelyan S. S., Kakol J., On \$\$\mathcal{P}\$-spaces and related concepts, Topology Appl. 191 (2015), 178–198.
- [3] Good C., Macías S., Symmetric products of generalized metric spaces, Topology Appl. 206 (2016), 93–114.
- [4] Peng L.-X., Sun Y., A study on symmetric products of generalized metric spaces, Topology Appl. 231 (2017), 411–429.
- [5] Tang Z., Lin S., Lin F., Symmetric products and closed finite-to-one mappings, Topology Appl. 234 (2018), 26–45.

L.Q. Tuyen:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DA NANG UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, 459 TON DUC THANG STREET, DA NANG CITY, VIETNAM

E-mail: tuyendhdn@gmail.com

O.V. Tuyen:

Ong Ich Khiem High School, Cam Toai Trung Hamlet, Hoa Phong Commune, Hoa Vang District, Da Nang City 556643, Vietnam

E-mail: tuyenvan612dn@gmail.com