

## Inequalities of DVT-type – the one-dimensional case

BARBORA BATÍKOVÁ, TOMÁŠ J. KEPKA, PETR C. NĚMEC

*Abstract.* In this note, particular inequalities of DVT-type in real and integer numbers are investigated.

*Keywords:* real number; inequality

*Classification:* 11D75

Let  $Q$  be a finite quasigroup of order  $n$ . The associativity index  $a(Q)$  is the number of associative triples, i.e.,  $a(Q) = |\{(a, b, c) \in Q^3 : a(bc) = (ab)c\}|$ . Of course,  $a(Q) \leq n^3$  and  $a(Q) = n^3$  if and only if  $Q$  is a group. On the other hand, to find lower bounds for  $a(Q)$  is rather complicated. The problem of finding  $a(Q)$  has been investigated since 1983, see [4]. Recently, it was discovered that quasigroups with small associative index may have applications in cryptography, see [2].

In [1], A. Drápal and V. Valent proved that  $a(Q) \geq 2n - i(Q) + (\delta_1 + \delta_2)$ , where  $i(Q)$  is the number of idempotents in  $Q$ , i.e.,  $i(Q) = |\{x \in Q : xx = x\}|$ ,  $\delta_1 = |\{z \in Q : zx \neq x \text{ for all } x \in Q\}|$  and  $\delta_2 = |\{z \in Q : xz \neq x \text{ for all } x \in Q\}|$  (Theorem 2.5). This important result is an easy consequence of the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 + b_i^2 + a_i b_i) - \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i + b_i) \geq 3n - 2k + (r + s),$$

where  $n \geq k \geq 0$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  are nonnegative integers such that  $\sum a_i = n = \sum b_i$ ,  $a_i \geq 1$  and  $b_i \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $r$  is the number of  $i$  with  $a_i = 0$  and  $s$  is the number of  $i$  with  $b_i = 0$  (Proposition 2.4 (ii)). The lengthy and complicated proof of this DVT-inequality (inequality of Drápal–Valent type) in [1] is based on highly semantically involved insight.

In [3], a short and elementary arithmetical proof of a more general inequality of this type was found (unfortunately on expenses of brutalist syntax). This inequality is two-dimensional in the sense that it works with two  $n$ -tuples of integers. The approach in [3] opens a road to investigation of similar inequalities of

DVT-type which could be useful in further investigations of estimates in nonassociative algebra and they are also of independent interest. Hence they deserve a thorough examination, however the research is only at its beginning. In this note, the one-dimensional case working with one  $n$ -tuple of real numbers is investigated. Inequalities derived for different properties of the  $n$ -tuple  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in case of real numbers and integers together with some examples are summarized in Section 6.

## 1. First concepts

**1.1.** Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an ordered  $n$ -tuple of real numbers. We put

- (1)  $z(\alpha, a) = |\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i = a\}|$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2)  $z(\alpha) = z(\alpha, 0)$ ;
- (3)  $z(\alpha, +) = \sum_{a>0} z(\alpha, a)$ ;
- (4)  $z(\alpha, -) = \sum_{a<0} z(\alpha, a)$ ;
- (5)  $\max(\alpha) = \max(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ;
- (6)  $\min(\alpha) = \min(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ;
- (7)  $z(\alpha, \max) = z(\alpha, \max(\alpha))$ ;
- (8)  $z(\alpha, \min) = z(\alpha, \min(\alpha))$ ;
- (9)  $s(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ ;
- (10)  $r(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$ ;
- (11)  $q(\alpha) = r(\alpha) - s(\alpha)$ ;
- (12)  $t(\alpha) = q(\alpha) - z(\alpha)$ .

It is immediately clear that

- (13)  $n = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{R}} z(\alpha, a)$ ;
- (14)  $n = z(\alpha, +) + z(\alpha, -) + z(\alpha)$ ;
- (15)  $q(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(a_i - 1)$ ;
- (16)  $r(\alpha) + s(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(a_i + 1)$ .

For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $Z_a(\alpha) = \{i: 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i = a\}$ . We have

- (17)  $q(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i^2 - a_i)$ , where  $V(\alpha) = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus (Z_0(\alpha) \cup Z_1(\alpha))$ ;
- (18)  $t(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i^2 - a_i) - |Z_0(\alpha)|$ .

We put  $|\alpha| = (|a_1|, \dots, |a_n|)$ ,  $\alpha + a = (a_1 + a, \dots, a_n + a)$  and  $a\alpha = (aa_1, \dots, aa_n)$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The following two lemmas are obvious.

### Lemma 1.2.

- (i)  $q(\alpha) \geq q(|\alpha|)$ .
- (ii)  $q(\alpha) = q(|\alpha|)$  if and only if  $a_i \geq 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $t(\alpha) \geq t(|\alpha|)$ .
- (iv)  $t(\alpha) = t(|\alpha|)$  if and only if  $a_i \geq 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** Assume that  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) \geq n - z(\alpha) = z(\alpha, +) + z(\alpha, -) \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) = n - z(\alpha)$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $r(\alpha) = z(\alpha, +)$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iv)  $r(\alpha) = z(\alpha, -)$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Assume that  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) \geq s(\alpha) + 2z(\alpha, -)$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) = s(\alpha) + 2z(\alpha, -)$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: We have  $a^2 > a + 2 \cdot 0$  for  $a > 1$ ,  $a^2 = a + 2 \cdot 0$  for  $a = 1, 0$ ,  $a^2 = a + 2 \cdot 1$  for  $a = -1$  and  $a^2 > a + 2 \cdot 1$  for  $a < -1$ . The rest is clear. □

**Lemma 1.5.** Assume that  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$  and that  $s(\alpha) \geq 0$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) \geq 2z(\alpha, -)$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, -)$  if and only if  $s(\alpha) = 0$  and  $a_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: This follows immediately from Lemma 1.4. □

**Lemma 1.6.** Assume that  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) \geq 2z(\alpha, +) - s(\alpha)$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, +) - s(\alpha)$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: This follows from Lemma 1.4 via  $\alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha$ . □

**Lemma 1.7.** Assume that  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$  and that  $s(\alpha) \leq 0$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) \geq 2z(\alpha, +)$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, +)$  if and only if  $s(\alpha) = 0$  and  $a_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: This follows immediately from Lemma 1.6. □

**Example 1.8.** Put  $\alpha = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -1)$ . Then  $s(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $r(\alpha) = \frac{3}{2} < 2 = 2z(\alpha, -)$  and  $r(\alpha) = \frac{3}{2} < 4 = 2z(\alpha, +)$ .

**Example 1.9.** Assume that  $-1 \leq s(\alpha) < 0$  and  $r(\alpha) \leq 2z(\alpha, -)$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $\alpha = (a_1)$ ,  $-1 \leq a_1 < 0$  and  $r(\alpha) = a_1^2 \leq 1 < 2 = 2z(\alpha, -)$ . Assume, therefore, that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a_n = \min(\alpha)$  and put  $\beta = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . We have  $a_n < 0$ ,  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - a_n \geq -1 - a_n$ ,  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha) - a_n^2$ ,  $z(\beta, -) = z(\alpha, -) - 1$  and  $2z(\beta, -) = 2z(\alpha, -) - 2 \geq r(\alpha) - 2 = r(\beta) + a_n^2 - 2 > r(\alpha) - 3$ .

If  $s(\beta) \geq 0$  and  $|a_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j \notin Z_0(\alpha)$ ,  $j \neq n$ , then  $r(\beta) \geq 2z(\beta, -)$  by Lemma 1.4, and so  $a_n \geq -\sqrt{2}$ .

If  $a_n \leq -1$  then  $s(\beta) \geq s(\alpha) + 1 \geq 0$ .

**Example 1.10.** Assume that  $s(\alpha) = -1$  and  $r(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, -)$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $\alpha = (-1)$  and  $r(\alpha) = 1 < 2 = 2z(\alpha, -)$ , so that  $n \geq 2$ . Assume again that  $a_n = \min(\alpha)$  and put  $\beta = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . We have  $a_n < 0$ ,  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - a_n = -1 - a_n$ ,  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha) - a_n^2 = 2z(\alpha, -) - a_n^2 = 2z(\beta, -) + 2 - a_n^2$ . Consequently,  $r(\beta) \geq 2z(\beta, -)$  if and only if  $a_n \geq -\sqrt{2}$  and  $r(\beta) = 2z(\beta, -)$  if and only if  $a_n = -\sqrt{2}$  (then  $s(\beta) = -1 + \sqrt{2} > 0$ ).

**Lemma 1.11.** Put  $\beta = \alpha - 1$ . Then:

- (i)  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - n$ .
- (ii)  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha) - 2s(\alpha) + n$ .
- (iii)  $z(\alpha) = z(\beta, -1)$ .
- (iv)  $q(\beta) = r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n$ .
- (v)  $t(\beta) = r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) - z(\alpha, 1) + 2n$ .

PROOF: It follows directly from the definition of the respective numbers.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.12.** Let all the numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be nonnegative. Then:

- (i)  $t(\alpha) = r(\alpha - 1) + s(\alpha - 1) - z(\alpha - 1, -)$ .
- (ii)  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) = r(\alpha - 1) + s(\alpha - 1) - 2z(\alpha - 1, -)$ .

PROOF: We have  $r(\alpha - 1) + s(\alpha - 1) - z(\alpha - 1, -) = r(\alpha) - 2s(\alpha) + n + s(\alpha) - n - z(\alpha - 1, -1) = r(\alpha) - s(\alpha) - z(\alpha) = t(\alpha)$  by (11), (12) and Lemma 1.11.  $\square$

**Example 1.13.** Put  $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, -1, -1)$  ( $n = 5$ ). Then  $s(\alpha) = 1$ ,  $r(\alpha) = 5$ ,  $z(\alpha, -) = 2$  and  $r(\alpha) < az(\alpha, -)$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > \frac{5}{2}$ . Furthermore,  $\beta = \alpha - 1 = (0, 0, 0, -2, -2)$ ,  $s(\beta) = -4$ ,  $r(\beta) = 8$ ,  $z(\beta, -) = 2$ ,  $z(\beta) = 3$  and  $r(\beta) + s(\beta) > z(\beta)$ .

**Remark 1.14.** Put  $W(\alpha) = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus Z_0(\alpha)$ . Clearly,  $t(\alpha) = -|Z_0(\alpha)| + \sum_{i \in W(\alpha)} (a_i^2 - a_i) = \sum_{i \in W(\alpha)} (a_i - 1)^2 + \sum_{i \in W(\alpha)} a_i - |W(\alpha)| - n + |W(\alpha)| = \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i - 1)^2 + s(\alpha) - n$ . Therefore,  $t(\alpha) \geq 0$  if and only if  $s(\alpha) + \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i - 1)^2 \geq n$  (in particular,  $t(\alpha) \geq 0$ , provided that  $s(\alpha) \geq n$ ). We also have  $t(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i - 1)^2 + \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} a_i + |Z_1(\alpha)| - n$  and  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in V(\alpha)} (a_i - 1)^2 + s(\alpha) + |W(\alpha)| - 2n$ . (In particular,  $t(\alpha) \geq z(\alpha)$ , provided that  $s(\alpha) + |W(\alpha)| \geq 2n$ . That is,  $s(\alpha) \geq n + z(\alpha)$ .)

**Lemma 1.15.** Assume that for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have either  $a_i \leq 1$  or  $a_i \geq 2$ . Then:

- (i)  $r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n = 0$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: It is enough to observe that  $a^2 - 3a + 2 > 0$  for  $a < 1$  or  $a > 2$  and that  $a^2 - 3a + 2 = 0$  just for  $a = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.16.** *Assume that for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have either  $a_i \leq 1$  or  $a_i \geq 2$ . Then:*

- (i)  $r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n - 2z(\alpha) \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n - 2z(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: We can assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  are nonzero and  $a_{m+1} = \dots = a_n = 0$ . Then  $z(\alpha) = n - m$ . If  $m = n$  then the result is settled down by Lemma 1.15. If  $m = 0$  then the result is clear. Assume, therefore, that  $1 \leq m < n$ . Put  $\beta = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . By Lemma 1.15  $r(\alpha) - 3s(\alpha) + 2n - 2z(\alpha) = r(\beta) - 3s(\beta) + 2m \geq 0$ . The rest is clear. □

## 2. First bunch of technical results

Throughout this section, let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an ordered  $n$ -tuple of integers,  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ ,  $j \neq k$ ,  $b_i = a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $i \neq j, k$ ,  $b_j = a_j - 1$ ,  $b_k = a_k + 1$  and  $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .

The following six assertions are easy.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $z(\beta) \in \{z(\alpha) - 2, z(\alpha) - 1, z(\alpha), z(\alpha) + 1, z(\alpha) + 2\}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha)$  if and only if at least (and then just) one of the following three cases takes place:

- (1)  $a_j = 0, a_k = -1$ ;
- (2)  $a_j = 1, a_k = 0$ ;
- (3)  $a_j \neq 0, 1$  and  $a_k \neq -1, 0$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) + 2$  if and only if  $a_j = 1, a_k = -1$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) + 1$  if and only if at least (and then just) one of the following two cases takes place:

- (1)  $a_j = 1, a_k \neq -1, 0$ ;
- (2)  $a_j \neq 0, 1, a_k = -1$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$  if and only if at least (and then just) one of the following two cases takes place:

- (1)  $a_j = 0, a_k \neq -1, 0$ ;
- (2)  $a_j \neq 0, 1, a_k = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6.**  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 2$  if and only if  $a_j = 0 = a_k$ .

**Lemma 2.7.**  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha)$ .

PROOF: We have  $s(\beta) = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j, k}^n a_i + a_j - 1 + a_k + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = s(\alpha)$ . □

**Lemma 2.8.**  $r(\alpha) - r(\beta) = 2(a_j - a_k - 1)$ .

PROOF: We have  $r(\alpha) - r(\beta) = a_j^2 + a_k^2 + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j, k}^n a_i^2 - \sum_{i=1, i \neq j, k}^n a_i^2 - (a_j - 1)^2 - (a_k + 1)^2 = 2a_j - 1 - 2a_k - 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.**  $t(\alpha) - t(\beta) = 2(a_j - a_k - 1) + z(\beta) - z(\alpha)$ .

PROOF: Use Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *If  $a_j \geq a_k + 2$  then  $t(\alpha) > t(\beta)$ .*

PROOF: By Lemma 2.9  $t(\alpha) - t(\beta) = 2(a_j - a_k - 1) + z(\beta) - z(\alpha) \geq 0$  (use Lemma 2.1). If  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta)$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 2$  and Lemma 2.6 yields  $a_j = a_k = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *If  $a_j = a_k + 1$  then  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta)$ .*

PROOF: First, it follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 that  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha)$ . Now it remains to use Lemma 2.9.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *If  $a_j \leq a_k$  then  $t(\alpha) < t(\beta)$ .*

PROOF: First, it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 that  $z(\beta) - z(\alpha) \leq 1$ . Now it remains to use Lemma 2.9.  $\square$

The following three lemmas are easy.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $a_k \neq \max(\alpha), \max(\alpha) - 1$ .*

- (i) *If  $a_j \neq \max(\alpha)$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\alpha, \max) \geq 2$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max) - 1$ .*
- (iii) *If  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\alpha, \max) = 1$  then  $\max(\beta) < \max(\alpha)$ .*

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $a_k = \max(\alpha)$ . Then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha) + 1$ ,  $z(\beta, \max) = 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $a_k = \max(\alpha) - 1$ .*

- (i) *If  $a_j \neq \max(\alpha)$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max) + 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max)$ .*

**Lemma 2.16.**  $\max(\beta) < \max(\alpha)$  if and only if  $a_j = \max(\alpha) \neq a_k \neq \max(\alpha) - 1$  and  $z(\alpha, \max) = 1$ .

PROOF: Combine Lemmas 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$ ,  $a_k = \min(\alpha)$  and  $a_j \geq a_k + 2$ . Then:*

- (i)  $t(\alpha) > t(\beta)$ .
- (ii) *If  $z(\alpha, \max) = 1$  then  $\max(\beta) < \max(\alpha)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $z(\alpha, \max) \geq 2$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max) - 1$ .*

PROOF: (i) is Lemma 2.10, (ii) is Lemma 2.13 (iii) and (iii) is Lemma 2.13 (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$ ,  $a_k = \min(\alpha)$  and  $a_j = a_k + 1$ . Then  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta)$ ,  $\max(\alpha) = \max(\beta)$  and  $z(\alpha, \max) = z(\beta, \max)$ .*

PROOF: See Lemmas 2.11 and 2.15. □

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $a_j = \max(\alpha) = \min(\alpha) = a_k$ . Then  $t(\alpha) < t(\beta)$ ,  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha) + 1$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = 1 \leq z(\alpha, \max) = n$ .*

PROOF: Using Lemma 2.12, this is obvious. □

**Example 2.20.**

- (i) Let  $a_j \geq 2$  and  $a_k = 0$ . Then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$  and  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 2a_j - 3$ , see Lemma 2.9. If  $a_j = 2$  then  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 1$ . If  $a_j \geq 3$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 3$ .
- (ii) Let  $a_j \geq a_k + 2$  and  $a_k \geq 1$  (so that  $a_j \geq 3$ ). Then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha)$  and  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 2(a_j - a_k) - 2$ . If  $a_j = a_k + 2$  then  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 2$ . If  $a_j \geq a_k + 3$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 4$ .

**Observation 2.21.** Assume that  $s(\alpha) \geq n$ ,  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  and  $a_k = \min(\alpha)$ . Clearly,  $a_j \geq 1$ . If  $a_j = 1$  then  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$  and  $t(\alpha) = 0$ . Assume, therefore, that  $a_j \geq 2$ .

Let  $a_j = a_k = a$ . Then  $t(\alpha) = na(a - 1) \geq 2n \geq 4$  ( $t(\alpha) = 4$  just for  $n = 2$ ,  $a = 2$ ).

Let  $a_j > a_k = a$ . If  $a_j = a + 1$  then  $a \geq 1$ . For  $u = z(\alpha, a + 1)$  and  $v = z(\alpha, a)$ , we have  $t(\alpha) = u(a + 1)^2 + va^2 - u(a + 1) - va = ua^2 + 2ua + u + va^2 - ua - u - va = (u + v)a^2 + (u - v)a$ . However  $u + v = n$ , and therefore  $t(\alpha) = na^2 + na - 2va \geq na^2 + na - 2(n - 1)a = na(a - 1) + 2a \geq 2$ . In this case,  $t(\alpha) = 2$  if and only if  $a = 1$  and  $z(\alpha, \max) = 1$ .

Finally, let  $a_j \geq a_k + 2$ . We have  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 2(a_j - a_k - 1) + z(\beta) - z(\alpha)$  by Lemma 2.9. If  $a_j \geq 2$  and  $a_k \leq -2$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 6$ . If  $a_j \geq 2$  and  $a_k = -1$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 5$ . If  $a_j \geq 2$  and  $a_k = 0$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 1$  (in this case  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 1$  just for  $a_j = 2$ ). If  $a_j \geq 2$  and  $a_k \geq 1$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 2$  (in this case,  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 2$  just for  $a_j = a_k + 2$ ).

**Observation 2.22.** If  $a_j = 1$  and  $a_k = -1$  then  $t(\alpha) = t(\beta) + 4$ . If  $a_j = 1$  and  $a_k \leq -2$  then  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) + 5$ . Notice also that  $\max(\beta) \leq \max(\alpha)$ . If  $z(\alpha, \max) = 1$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha) - 1$ . If  $z(\alpha, \max) \geq 2$  then  $\max(\beta) = \max(\alpha)$  and  $z(\beta, \max) = z(\alpha, \max) - 1$ .

**3. Second bunch of technical results**

In this section, let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an ordered  $n$ -tuple of integers,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $b_i = a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$ ,  $b_i = a_{i+1}$  for  $j \leq i \leq n$  and  $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ .

The following two assertions are obvious.

**Lemma 3.1.**

- (i) If  $a_j = 0$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$ .
- (ii) If  $a_j \neq 0$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - a_j$  and  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha) - a_j^2$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $a_j = 0$ . Then  $t(\beta) = t(\alpha) + 1$ .

PROOF: We have  $t(\beta) = r(\beta) - s(\beta) - z(\beta) = r(\alpha) - s(\alpha) + 1 = t(\alpha) + 1$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $a_j \neq 0$ . Then  $t(\beta) = t(\alpha) - (a_j^2 - a_j) \leq t(\alpha)$ . The equality occurs if and only if  $a_j = 1$ .

PROOF: We have  $t(\beta) = r(\beta) - s(\beta) - z(\beta) = r(\alpha) - a_j^2 - s(\alpha) + a_j - z(\alpha) = t(\alpha) - (a_j^2 - a_j) \leq t(\alpha)$ . Now,  $a_j^2 = a_j$  only for  $a_j = 1$ . □

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $a_j = 0$ . Then  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) + 2$ .

PROOF: We have  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) + 1 - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) + 1 - z(\alpha) + 1$  by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1 (i). □

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $a_j \neq 0$ . Then  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) \leq t(\alpha) - z(\alpha)$ . The equality occurs if and only if  $a_j = 1$ .

PROOF: Use Lemmas 3.4 and 3.1 (ii). □

**Lemma 3.7.**

- (i) If  $a_j > 0$  then  $z(\beta, +) = z(\alpha, +) - 1$  and  $z(\beta, -) = z(\alpha, -)$ .
- (ii) If  $a_j = 0$  then  $z(\beta, +) = z(\alpha, +)$  and  $z(\beta, -) = z(\alpha, -)$ .
- (iii) If  $a_j < 0$  then  $z(\beta, +) = z(\alpha, +)$  and  $z(\beta, -) = z(\alpha, -) - 1$ .

PROOF: This is obvious. □

**Lemma 3.8.**  $0 \leq z(\alpha, +) - z(\beta, +) \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq z(\alpha, -) - z(\beta, -) \leq 1$ .

PROOF: This follows from Lemma 3.7. □

**4. Third bunch of technical results**

In this section, let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an ordered  $n$ -tuple of integers such that  $a_n = 0$  and put  $\beta = (a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . The following two assertions are obvious.

**Lemma 4.1.**

- (i) If  $a_1 = 1$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha)$ .
- (ii) If  $a_1 = 0$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 2$ .
- (iii) If  $a_1 \neq 0, 1$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.**

- (i)  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - 1$ .
- (ii)  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha) - 2a_1 + 1$ .

**Lemma 4.3.**

- (i) If  $a_1 = 1$  then  $t(\beta) = t(\alpha)$ .
- (ii) If  $a_1 = 0$  then  $t(\beta) = t(\alpha) + 4$ .
- (iii) If  $a_1 \neq 0, 1$  then  $t(\beta) = t(\alpha) - 2a_1 + 3$ .
- (iv)  $t(\beta) < t(\alpha)$  if and only if  $a_1 \geq 2$ .

PROOF: By Lemma 4.2, we have  $t(\beta) = r(\beta) - s(\beta) - z(\beta) = r(\alpha) - s(\alpha) - 2a_1 + 2 - z(\beta)$ . The rest follows from Lemma 4.1. □

**Lemma 4.4.**

- (i) If  $a_1 = 1$  then  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) - z(\alpha)$ .
- (ii) If  $a_1 = 0$  then  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) + 6$ .
- (iii) If  $a_1 \neq 0, 1$  then  $t(\beta) - z(\beta) = t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) - 2a_1 + 4$ .

PROOF: This follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.1. □

**Lemma 4.5.**  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) - z(\beta)$  if and only if  $a_1 \geq 1$ . The equality occurs if and only if  $a_1 = 1, 2$ .

PROOF: This follows from Lemma 4.4. □

**5. Fourth bunch of technical results**

Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\alpha, \beta, j, k$  be as in the second section. Now, we denote by  $A$  ( $B$ , respectively) the set of ordered pairs  $(i_1, i_2)$  ( $(i_3, i_4)$ , respectively) of indices such that  $a_{i_1} > a_{i_2}$  ( $b_{i_3} > b_{i_4}$ , respectively) and we put  $u(\alpha) = \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in A} (a_{i_1} - a_{i_2})$  and  $u(\beta) = \sum_{(i_3, i_4) \in B} (b_{i_3} - b_{i_4})$ .

**5.1.** Let  $A_1$  ( $B_1$ , respectively) designate the set of the pairs  $(i_5, i_6) \in A$  ( $(i_7, i_8) \in B$ , respectively) such that  $j \neq i_5 \neq k \neq i_6 \neq j$  ( $j \neq i_7 \neq k \neq i_8 \neq j$ , respectively). Put  $u_1(\alpha) = \sum (a_{i_5} - a_{i_6})$  and  $u_1(\beta) = \sum (b_{i_7} - b_{i_8})$ . One sees readily that  $u_1(\alpha) = u_1(\beta)$  (we have  $A_1 = B_1$ ).

**5.2.** Let  $A_2$  ( $B_2$ , respectively) designate the set of the pairs  $(j, i_9) \in A$  ( $(j, i_{10}) \in B$ , respectively) such that  $a_j \geq a_{i_9} + 2$  ( $b_j \geq b_{i_{10}} + 1$ , respectively) and  $i_9 \neq k$  ( $i_{10} \neq k$ , respectively). Since  $b_j = a_j - 1$  and  $a_{i_9} = b_{i_9}$  ( $a_{i_{10}} = b_{i_{10}}$ , respectively), we have  $A_2 = B_2$ . Furthermore,  $(a_j - a_{i_9}) - 1 = b_j - b_{i_9}$ . Thus  $u_2(\alpha) = u_2(\beta) + q_1$ , where  $u_2(\alpha) = \sum (a_j - a_{i_9})$ ,  $u_2(\beta) = \sum (b_j - b_{i_{10}})$  and  $q_1 = |A_2|$  ( $= |B_2|$ ).

**5.3.** Let  $A_3$  ( $B_3$ , respectively) designate the set of the pairs  $(i_{11}, j) \in A$  ( $(i_{12}, j) \in B$ , respectively) such that  $a_{i_{11}} \geq a_j + 1$  ( $b_{i_{12}} \geq b_j + 2$ , respectively) and  $i_{11} \neq k$  ( $i_{12} \neq k$ , respectively). Since  $b_j = a_j - 1$  and  $a_{i_{11}} = b_{i_{11}}$  ( $a_{i_{12}} = b_{i_{12}}$ , respectively), we have  $A_3 = B_3$ . Furthermore,  $(a_{i_{11}} - a_j) + 1 = b_{i_{11}} - b_j$ . Thus  $u_3(\alpha) = u_3(\beta) - q_2$ , where  $u_3(\alpha) = \sum(a_{i_{11}} - a_j)$ ,  $u_3(\beta) = \sum(b_{i_{11}} - b_j)$  and  $q_2 = |A_3|$  ( $= |B_3|$ ).

**5.4.** Let  $A_4$  ( $B_4$ , respectively) designate the set of the pairs  $(k, i_{13}) \in A$  ( $(k, i_{14}) \in B$ , respectively) such that  $a_k \geq a_{i_{13}} + 1$ , ( $b_k \geq b_{i_{14}} + 2$ , respectively) and  $i_{13} \neq j$  ( $i_{14} \neq j$ , respectively). Since  $b_k = a_k + 1$  and  $a_{i_{13}} = b_{i_{13}}$  ( $a_{i_{14}} = b_{i_{14}}$ , respectively), we have  $A_4 = B_4$ . Furthermore,  $(a_k - a_{i_{13}}) + 1 = b_k - b_{i_{14}}$ . Thus  $u_4(\alpha) = u_4(\beta) - q_3$ , where  $u_4(\alpha) = \sum(a_k - a_{i_{13}})$ ,  $u_4(\beta) = \sum(b_k - b_{i_{14}})$  and  $q_3 = |A_4|$  ( $= |B_4|$ ).

**5.5.** Let  $A_5$  ( $B_5$ , respectively) designate the set of the pairs  $(i_{15}, k)$  ( $(i_{16}, k)$ , respectively) such that  $a_{i_{15}} \geq a_k + 2$  ( $b_{i_{16}} \geq b_k + 1$ , respectively)  $i_{15} \neq j$  ( $i_{16} \neq j$ , respectively). Since  $b_k = a_k + 1$  and  $a_{i_{15}} = b_{i_{15}}$  ( $a_{i_{16}} = b_{i_{16}}$ , respectively), we have  $A_5 = B_5$ . Furthermore,  $(a_{i_{15}} - a_k) - 1 = b_{i_{15}} - b_k$ . Thus  $u_5(\alpha) = u_5(\beta) + q_4$ , where  $u_5(\alpha) = \sum(a_{i_{15}} - a_k)$ ,  $u_5(\beta) = \sum(b_{i_{15}} - b_k)$  and  $q_4 = |A_5|$  ( $= |B_5|$ ).

**5.6.** Put  $A_6 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5 = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup B_4 \cup B_5$ . Notice that this union is disjoint and that  $|A_6| = q_0 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 = |B_6|$ , where  $q_0 = |A_1|$  ( $= |B_1|$ ). Notice also that  $A_6$  ( $= B_6$ ) is just the set of pairs  $(i_{17}, i_{18}) \in A \cap B$  such that  $\{i_{17}, i_{18}\} \neq \{j, k\}$ . Put  $u_6(\alpha) = \sum(a_{i_{17}} - a_{i_{18}})$  and  $u_6(\beta) = \sum(b_{i_{17}} - b_{i_{18}})$ . We have  $u_6(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^5 u_i(\alpha)$ ,  $u_6(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^5 u_i(\beta)$  and  $u_6(\alpha) - u_6(\beta) = q_1 - q_2 - q_3 + q_4 = |A_2 \cup A_5| - |A_3 \cup A_4|$ .

**5.7.** Put  $A_7 = A \setminus A_6$  and  $B_7 = B \setminus B_6$  (of course, we have  $A_6 = B_6$ ).

**5.8.** Let  $(i_{19}, i_{20}) \in A_7$ . Then  $a_{i_{19}} \geq a_{i_{20}}$  and just one of the following six cases takes place:

- (a)  $i_{19} = j$ ,  $i_{20} \neq j, k$ ,  $a_{i_{19}} = a_j - 1$ ;
- (b)  $i_{19} \neq j$ ,  $i_{20} = k$ ,  $a_{i_{19}} = a_k + 1$ ;
- (c)  $i_{19} = j$ ,  $i_{20} = k$ ,  $a_j = a_k + 1$ ;
- (d)  $i_{19} = j$ ,  $i_{20} = k$ ,  $a_j = a_k + 2$ ;
- (e)  $i_{19} = j$ ,  $i_{20} = k$ ,  $a_j \geq a_k + 3$ ;
- (f)  $i_{19} = k$ ,  $i_{20} = j$ ,  $a_k > a_j + 1$ .

**5.9.** We put  $A_8 = \{(j, i) : i \neq k, a_i = a_j - 1\}$ ,  $q_5 = |A_8|$ ,  $A_9 = \{(i, k) : i \neq j, a_i = a_k + 1\}$ ,  $q_6 = |A_9|$ ,  $A_{10} = \{(j, k) : a_j = a_k + 1\}$ ,  $q_7 = |A_{10}|$  ( $= 0, 1$ ),  $A_{11} = \{(j, k) : a_j = a_k + 2\}$ ,  $q_8 = |A_{11}|$  ( $= 0, 1$ ),  $A_{12} = \{(j, k) : a_j \geq a_k + 3\}$ ,  $q_9 = |A_{12}|$  ( $= 0, 1$ ),  $A_{13} = \{(k, j) : a_k \geq a_j + 1\}$ ,  $q_{10} = |A_{13}|$  ( $= 0, 1$ ).

Now,  $A_7 = A_8 \cup A_9 \cup A_{10} \cup A_{11} \cup A_{12} \cup A_{13}$  and this union is disjoint. Henceforth,  $|A_7| = \sum_{i=5}^{10} q_i$ .

Put  $u_7 = \sum(a_{i_{19}} - a_{i_{20}})$ . Since  $A = A_6 \cup A_7$ , we have  $u(\alpha) = u_6(\alpha) + u_7(\alpha)$ . Now,  $u(\alpha) = q_5 + q_6 + q_7 + 2q_8 + u_8(\alpha) + u_9(\alpha)$ , where  $u_8(\alpha) = a_j - a_k$  for  $a_j \geq a_k + 3$ ,  $u_8(\alpha) = 0$  for  $a_j \leq a_k + 2$ ,  $u_9(\alpha) = a_k - a_j$  for  $a_k \geq a + j + 1$  and  $u_9(\alpha) = 0$  for  $a_k \leq a_j$ . Consequently,  $u(\alpha) = u_6(\alpha) + q_5 + q_6 + q_7 + 2q_8 + u_8(\alpha) + u_9(\alpha)$ .

**5.10.** Let  $(i_{21}, i_{22}) \in B_7$ . Then  $b_{i_{21}} > b_{i_{22}}$  and just one of the following six cases takes place:

- (a)  $i_{21} = k, i_{22} \neq j, k, a_{i_{22}} = a_k$ ;
- (b)  $i_{21} \neq j, k, i_{22} = j, a_{i_{21}} = a_j$ ;
- (c)  $i_{21} = k, i_{22} = j, a_k = a_j - 1$ ;
- (d)  $i_{21} = k, i_{22} = j, a_k = a_j$ ;
- (e)  $i_{21} = k, i_{22} = j, a_k \geq a_j + 1$ ;
- (f)  $i_{21} = j, i_{22} = k, a_j \geq a_k + 3$ .

**5.11.** We put  $B_8 = \{(k, i) : i \neq j, k, a_i = a_k\}$ ,  $q_{11} = |B_8|$ ,  $B_9 = \{(i, j) : i \neq j, k, a_i = a_j\}$ ,  $q_{12} = |B_9|$ ,  $B_{10} = \{(k, j) : a_j = a_k + 1\}$ ,  $q_{13} = |B_{10}|$ ,  $B_{11} = \{(k, j) : a_j = a_k\}$ ,  $q_{14} = |B_{11}|$ ,  $B_{12} = \{(k, j) : a_k \geq a_j + 1\}$ ,  $q_{15} = |B_{12}|$ ,  $B_{13} = \{(j, k) : a_j \geq a_k + 3\}$ ,  $q_{16} = |B_{13}|$ .

Now,  $B_7 = B_8 \cup B_9 \cup B_{10} \cup B_{11} \cup B_{12} \cup B_{13}$  and this union is disjoint. Henceforth,  $|B_7| = \sum_{i=11}^{16} q_i$ .

Put  $u_7(\beta) = \sum(b_{i_{21}} - b_{i_{22}})$ . Since  $B = B_6 \cup B_7$ , we have  $u(\beta) = u_6(\beta) + u_7(\beta)$ . Now,  $u_7(\beta) = q_{11} + q_{12} + q_{13} + 2q_{14} + u_8(\beta) + u_9(\beta)$ , where  $u_8(\beta) = a_k - a_j + 2$  for  $a_k \geq a_j + 1$ ,  $u_8(\beta) = 0$  for  $a_k \leq a_j$ ,  $u_9(\beta) = a_j - a_k - 2$  for  $a_j \geq a_k + 3$  and  $u_9(\beta) = 0$  for  $a_j \leq a_k + 2$ . Consequently,  $u(\beta) = u_6(\beta) + q_{11} + q_{12} + q_{13} + 2q_{14} + u_8(\beta) + u_9(\beta)$ .

**5.12.** We have  $q_7 = q_{13}$ , and so  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 + 2q_8 + u_8(\alpha) + u_9(\alpha) - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12} - 2q_{14} - u_8(\beta) - u_9(\beta)$ .

**5.13.** If  $a_j \geq a_k + 3$  then  $q_7 = q_8 = q_{10} = u_9(\alpha) = q_{13} = q_{14} = q_{15} = u_8(\beta) = 0$ ,  $q_9 = q_{16} = 1$ ,  $u_8(\alpha) = a_j - a_k$  and  $u_9(\beta) = a_j - a_k - 2$ . If  $a_j = a_k + 2$  then  $q_7 = q_9 = q_{10} = u_8(\alpha) = u_9(\alpha) = q_{13} = q_{14} = q_{15} = q_{16} = u_8(\beta) = u_9(\beta) = 0$ ,  $q_8 = 1$ . In both these cases we get  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12} + 2$ .

**5.14.** If  $a_j = a_k + 1$  then  $q_7 = q_{13} = 1$ ,  $q_8 = q_9 = q_{10} = u_8(\alpha) = u_9(\alpha) = q_{14} = q_{15} = q_{16} = u_8(\beta) = u_9(\beta) = 0$ . In this case we get  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12}$ .

If  $a_j = a_k$  then  $q_7 = q_8 = q_9 = q_{10} = u_8(\alpha) = u_9(\alpha) = q_{13} = q_{14} = q_{15} = q_{16} = u_8(\beta) = u_9(\beta) = 0$ ,  $q_{14} = 1$ . In this case we get  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12} - 2$ .

**5.15.** In the sequel, let  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  and  $a_k = \min(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $a_j = 0$  then  $a_i = 0$  for every  $i$  and we have  $u(\alpha) = 0 < 2n - 2 = u(\beta)$ ,  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = 2 - 2n \leq -2$ . If  $a_j = 1$  then  $u(\alpha) = s(\alpha)z(\alpha) = u(\beta)$ , and so  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = 0$ .

**5.16.** Let  $a_j = 2$ . It follows from 5.13 that  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12} + 2$ . Now,  $q_1 = z(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $q_2 = 0$ ,  $q_3 = 0$ ,  $q_4 = z(\alpha, \max) - 1 = z(\alpha, 2) - 1$ ,  $q_5 = z(\alpha, 1)$ ,  $q_6 = z(\alpha, 1)$ ,  $q_{11} = z(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $q_{12} = z(\alpha, \max) - 1 = z(\alpha, 2) - 1$ . Altogether, we arrive at  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1 + z(\alpha, 2) - 1 + z(\alpha, 1) + z(\alpha, 1) - z(\alpha) + 1 - z(\alpha, 2) + 1 + 2 = 2z(\alpha, 1) + 2 \geq 2$ . Of course,  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = 2$  if and only if  $z(\alpha, 1) = 0$ . That is,  $a_i \in \{0, 2\}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**5.17.** And now, let  $a_j \geq 3$ . Again,  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_2 - q_3 - q_{11} - q_{12} + 2$ ,  $q_2 = 0$ ,  $q_3 = 0$ , so that  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 - q_{11} - q_{12} + 2$ . Furthermore,  $q_1$  is the number of indices  $i$  such that  $i \neq k$  and  $a_j = \max(\alpha) \geq a_i + 2$ ,  $q_4$  is the number of indices  $i$  such that  $i \neq j$  and  $a_i \geq 2$ ,  $q_5 = z(\alpha, a_j - 1)$ ,  $q_6 = z(\alpha, 1)$ ,  $q_{11} = z(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $q_{12} = z(\alpha, \max) - 1 = z(\alpha, a_j) - 1$ . Of course,  $q_4 = n - q_6 - z(\alpha) - 1$ , and so  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = q_1 + q_5 - q_{12} + n$ , where  $q_1 \geq z(\alpha, 1) + z(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $q_5 \geq 0$ ,  $q_{12} \geq n - 2$ . Thus  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) \geq z(\alpha, 1) + z(\alpha) + 1 \geq 2$ . The equality  $u(\alpha) - u(\beta) = 2$  is achieved if and only if  $a_i = a_j = \max(\alpha)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $i \neq k$  ( $a_k = 0 = \min(\alpha)$ ). In this case,  $s(\alpha) = (n - 1)a_j \geq 3n - 3$ .

**Lemma 5.18.** *If  $a_j = \max(\alpha) \geq 2$  and  $a_k = \min(\alpha) = 0$  then  $u(\alpha) > u(\beta)$ .*

PROOF: See 5.16 and 5.17. □

## 6. Inequalities

Throughout this section, let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be real numbers and let  $z$  denote the number of indices  $i$  such that  $a_i = 0$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $|a_j| \geq 2$  whenever  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $a_j \neq 0, \pm 1$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq 2z - 2n + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq 2z - 2n + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z - 2n + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z - 2n + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: All the assertions follow easily from Lemma 1.16. □

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $|a_j| \geq 2$  whenever  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $a_j \neq 0, \pm 1$ , and let  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq n$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ .

- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = n$  and  $a_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n$  and  $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF: (i) This follows easily from Proposition 6.1 (i).

(ii) First, assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$ . According to Proposition 6.1 (i), we have  $2n + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq 3 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq 3n$ , and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z - 2n = 3 \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$ . Now, it follows from Proposition 6.1 (ii) that  $a_i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ .

Conversely, if  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = n$  and  $a_i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 2z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$  by Proposition 6.1 (ii).

(iii) This follows from (i) and (ii). □

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq n$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq z + n$ .
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = z + \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$  (or  $= z + n$ ) if and only if  $a_i \in \{1, -1\}$  for every  $n$ .
- (iv)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = z + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  if and only if  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$ .

PROOF: Easy, see Remark 1.14. □

**Remark 6.4.**

- (i) If  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \geq n + z$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 2z \geq n + 3z$  by Lemma 1.15.
- (ii) If  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq n + z$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| + 2z \geq n + 3z$  (it follows from (i) and Lemma 1.2 (iv)).

**Example 6.5.** Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1}$  and  $a_n = 0$ . We have  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = \frac{n^2}{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n$ ,  $z = 1$ ,  $2z - 2n + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n + 2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 2z + 2n - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{2-n}{n-1} \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Besides,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 2z - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{2-n}{n-1}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - z - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

**Example 6.6.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = \frac{n-1}{n}$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = \frac{(n-1)^2}{n}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n - 1$ ,  $z = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 2z + 2n - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{n+1}{n}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 2z - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{1-n}{n} \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - z - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \frac{1-n}{n}$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \leq n$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq z + n^2 - n + 1$  ( $\leq n^2$  if  $a_j \neq 0$  for at least one  $j$ ).
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = z + n^2 - n + 1$  if and only if there is  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_k \in \{n, -n\}$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \neq k$  (then  $z = n - 1$ ).

PROOF: Put  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $n \geq 2$  and all numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are nonnegative. If  $z(\alpha) = n$  then  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$  and both (i) and (ii) are true. If  $z(\alpha) = n - 1$  then  $a_k \neq 0$  just for one index  $k$ ,  $|a_k| \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = a_k^2 \leq n^2 = n - 1 + n^2 - n + 1 = z + n^2 - n + 1$ . Again, (i) and (ii) are true. Assume, therefore, that  $z(\alpha) \leq n - 2$ . First, observe that  $r(\alpha) < ns(\alpha) \leq n^2$  and we will proceed by induction on  $n^2 - r(\alpha)$ . Since  $z(\alpha) \leq n - 2$ , we can find indices  $j$  and  $k$  such that  $j \neq k$  and  $1 \leq a_j \leq a_k$ . Now, consider the  $n$ -tuple  $\beta$  treated in the second section of the paper. By Lemma 2.8,  $r(\alpha) - r(\beta) = 2(a_j - a_k - 1) \leq -2$ ,  $n^2 - r(\beta) \leq -2 + n^2 - r(\alpha) < n^2 - r(\alpha)$ . Now, either due to the first part of the proof or due to the induction hypothesis, we get  $r(\beta) \leq z(\beta) + n^2 - n + 1$ . Consequently,  $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta) - 2 \leq z(\beta) + n^2 - n - 1$ . If  $a_j \geq 2$  then  $z(\alpha) = z(\beta)$ , and hence  $r(\alpha) \leq z(\alpha) + n^2 - n - 1 < z(\alpha) + n^2 - n + 1$ . If  $a_j = 1$  then  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) + 1$  and  $r(\alpha) \leq z(\alpha) + n^2 - n < z(\alpha) + n^2 - n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 6.8.** Let  $n = 2$ ,  $a_1 = \frac{19}{10}$ ,  $a_2 = \frac{1}{10}$ . Then  $a_1 + a_2 = 2$ ,  $z = 0$  and  $a_1^2 + a_2^2 = \frac{181}{50} > \frac{150}{50} = z + n^2 - n + 1$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = n$ . Then:

- (i)  $n^2 \geq n^2 - n + 1 + z \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq n + 2z \geq n$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = n$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{1, -1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = n + 2z$  if and only if  $a_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iv)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = n^2$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = n^2 - n + 1 + z$  and this is equivalent to the fact that there is  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_k \in \{n, -n\}$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \neq k$ .

PROOF: Combine Proposition 6.2 (i), (ii) and Proposition 6.7 (i), (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 6.10.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be integers and let  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| < n$ . Clearly,  $a_j = 0$  for at least one  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Put  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and define  $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  by  $b_i = a_i$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $b_j = n - m$ . Clearly,  $s^+(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i| = n$  and  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$ . Now, by Proposition 6.1 (i), we have  $r(\alpha) + n^2 - 2nm + m^2 = r(\beta) \geq s^+(\beta) + 2z(\beta) = n + 2z(\alpha) - 2$ . Consequently,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq (2n - \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|) \times (\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|) + n - n^2 - 2 + 2z(\alpha)$ .

For example, if  $m = n - 1$  then we get  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq n - 3 + 2z(\alpha)$ .

**Remark 6.11.** There are other ways of proving Proposition 6.1.

- (i) Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where all the numbers  $a_i$  are nonnegative and let  $s(\alpha) = n$ . If  $z(\alpha) = 0$  then  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$  and  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $z(\alpha) \geq 1$  then  $\max(\alpha) \geq 2$  and  $\min(\alpha) = 0$ . Choose  $j$  and  $k$  such that  $a_j = \max(\alpha)$  and  $a_k = \min(\alpha) = 0$ , and consider the  $n$ -tuple  $\beta$  from the second section. Clearly,  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.9,  $t(\alpha) - t(\beta) = 2a_j - 3$  and  $(t(\alpha) - z(\alpha)) - (t(\beta) - z(\beta)) = 2a_j - 4$ . If

$a_j \geq 3$  then  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) > t(\beta) - z(\beta)$ . On the other hand, if  $a_j = 2$  then  $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for every  $i$  and we have  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) = r(\alpha) - s(\alpha) - 2z(\alpha) = 4z(\alpha, 2) + z(\alpha, 1) - 2z(\alpha, 2) - z(\alpha, 1) - 2z(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, 2) - 2z(\alpha) = 0$ , since  $z(\alpha, 2) + z(\alpha, 1) + z(\alpha) = n = s(\alpha) = 2z(\alpha, 2) + z(\alpha, 1)$ .

- (ii) Taking into account (i), we can proceed by induction on  $z(\alpha)$  to show Proposition 6.1 (for  $s(\alpha) = n$ ). We can also proceed by induction on  $\max(\alpha) + z(\alpha, \max(\alpha))$ , see Lemma 2.17.
- (iii) Assume that  $a_1 \geq 1$ ,  $a_n = 0$ , and put  $\beta = (a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Then  $s(\beta) = s(\alpha) - 1 = n - 1$  and  $t(\alpha) - z(\alpha) \geq t(\beta) - s(\beta)$ , see Lemma 4.5. We see that we can proceed by induction on  $n$ .

**Remark 6.12.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be integers such that  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \geq n$ . Now, put  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and consider the  $m$ -tuple  $\beta = (\alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We have  $s^+(\beta) = m$ ,  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) + m - n$ . Now, it follows from Proposition 6.9 that  $3m - 2n + 2z(\alpha) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq m^2 - n + 1 + z(\alpha)$ .

For instance, if  $m = n + 1$  then we obtain  $n + 3 + 2z(\alpha) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq n^2 + n + 2 + z(\alpha)$ .

**Remark 6.13.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be integers such that  $m = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| < n$ . Now, put  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and consider the  $m$ -tuple  $\beta$  used in Remark 6.10. We have  $s^+(\beta) = n$ ,  $z(\beta) = z(\alpha) - 1$ . Now, it follows from Proposition 6.9 that  $n + 2z(\beta) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \leq n^2 - n + 1 + z(\beta)$ . We have  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + (n - m)^2$ . Thus  $n(2m + 1) - n^2 - m^2 - 2 + 2z(\alpha) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq n(2m - 1) - m^2 + z(\alpha)$ .

For instance, if  $m = n - 1$  then we get  $n - 3 + 2z(\alpha) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq n^2 - n + 1 + z(\alpha)$ .

**Observation 6.14.**

- (i) Of course, we can proceed also in the following way:  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 2n - 2z \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (|a_i| - 1)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| + n - 2z = \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n (|a_i| - 1)^2 - \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n |a_i| + n - z = \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n ((|a_i| - 1)^2 - (|a_i| - 1))$ .
- (ii) Due to (i), we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 2n - 2z \geq 0$ , provided that  $a_i \in (-\infty, -2) \cup \langle -1, 1 \rangle \cup \langle 2, \infty \rangle$  for every  $i$ .
- (iii) Another (slightly different) way:  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 2n - 2z = \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n a_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n a_i + 2(n - z) = \sum_{i=1, a_i \neq 0}^n (a_i^2 - 3a_i + 2)$ .
- (iv) Due to (iii), we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 2n - 2z \geq 0$ , provided that  $a_i \in (-\infty, 1) \cup \langle 2, \infty \rangle$  for every  $i$ .

**Example 6.15.** Let  $n = 2$  and  $a_1 = \frac{3}{2}$ . Then  $a_1^2 + a_2^2 - (a_1 + a_2) + 4 - 2z \geq 0$  if and only if  $a_2 \neq 0$  (so that  $z = 0$ ) and  $a_2 \in (-\infty, (3 - \sqrt{2})/2) \cup \langle (3 + \sqrt{2})/2, \infty \rangle$  (so that either  $a_2 < 1$  or  $a_2 > 2$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Drápal A., Valent V., *High nonassociativity in order 8 and an associative index estimate*, J. Combin. Des. **27** (2019), no. 4, 205–228.
- [2] Grošek O., Horák P., *On quasigroups with few associative triples*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **64** (2012), no. 1–2, 221–227.
- [3] Kepka T. J., Němec P. C., *A note on one inequality of Drápal–Valent type*, J. Combin. Des. **28** (2020), no. 2, 141–143.
- [4] Kotzig A., Reischer C., *Associativity index of finite quasigroups*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **18(38)** (1983), no. 2, 243–253.

B. Batfíková:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CULS, KAMÝČKÁ 129, 165 21 PRAHA 6 - SUCHDOL,  
CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* batikova@tf.czu.cz

T. J. Kepka:

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, MFF UK, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8,  
CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

P. C. Němec:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CULS, KAMÝČKÁ 129, 165 21 PRAHA 6 - SUCHDOL,  
CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail:* nemec@tf.czu.cz

(Received September 5, 2019, revised January 7, 2020)