

## Pairwise monotonically normal spaces

JOSEFA MARÍN, SALVADOR ROMAGUERA

*Abstract.* We introduce and study the notion of pairwise monotonically normal space as a bitopological extension of the monotonically normal spaces of Heath, Lutzer and Zenor. In particular, we characterize those spaces by using a mixed condition of insertion and extension of real-valued functions. This result generalizes, at the same time improves, a well-known theorem of Heath, Lutzer and Zenor. We also obtain some solutions to the quasi-metrization problem in terms of the pairwise monotone normality.

*Keywords:* pairwise monotonically normal space, quasi-metrizable bitopological space, pairwise stratifiable space, pairwise compact space

*Classification:* 54E55, 54D15, 54C30, 54E35, 54E20

### 1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper, all topologies are  $T_1$  and the letter  $N$  will denote the set of positive integers. Terms and concepts which are not defined, are used as in [8].

The investigation of the metrization problem has motivated, in the last thirty years, the introduction and study of several types of topological spaces called generalized metric spaces (see [10, p. 425]). Stratifiable spaces [1], [4], form one of the more interesting classes of generalized metric spaces. This notion has been generalized to bitopological spaces [9], [12], [18], and several properties have been extended. Monotonically normal spaces are a useful generalization of stratifiable spaces. The property of monotone normality appears firstly in [1]. Later, Heath, Lutzer and Zenor [14] presented a systematized study of monotonically normal spaces, obtaining excellent results. Other contributions to the research of these spaces may be found in [2], [10], [11], [23], [25], etc.

In this paper, we introduce and study the notion of monotonically normal bitopological space. We will show that this class of spaces provides several satisfactory results and permits us to state appropriate generalizations of well-known theorems. In fact, in Section 2, we prove that a bitopological space is pairwise stratifiable if and only if it is pairwise monotonically normal and pairwise semi-stratifiable. In Section 3, we characterize pairwise monotonically normal spaces in terms of a mixed condition of insertion and extension of semi-continuous functions. This characterization provides an extension and, at the same time, an improvement of a well-known theorem of Heath, Lutzer and Zenor [14, Theorem 3.3]. Finally, in Section 4, we present some solutions to the quasi-metrization problem in terms of pairwise monotonically normal spaces.

A quasi-metric on a set  $X$  is a non-negative real-valued function  $d$  on  $X \times X$  such that, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ : (i)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ , and (ii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ .

Every quasi-metric on  $X$  induces a  $T_1$  topology  $T(d)$  on  $X$  which has as a base the family  $\{B_d(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0\}$  where  $B_d(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ . A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called quasi-metrizable, if there is a quasi-metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $\tau = T(d)$ . The Niemytzki plane, the Sorgenfrey line, the Michael line and the Kofner plane are relevant examples of quasi-metrizable topological spaces which are not metrizable. Every quasi-metric  $d$  on  $X$  induces a conjugate quasi-metric  $d^{-1}$  on  $X$ , defined by  $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ . Then the pair of topologies induced from a quasi-metric and its conjugate originate the following notion: a bi-topological space is [17] and ordered triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are topologies on  $X$ . The space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be quasi-metrizable, if there is a quasi-metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $\tau_1 = T(d)$  and  $\tau_2 = T(d^{-1})$ .

## 2. Definitions and basic properties.

In the rest of the paper, when we are concerned at the topologies  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$ , we suppose  $i, j = 1, 2$ , and  $i \neq j$ . If  $\tau_i$  is a topology for a set  $X$  and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , we write  $\tau_i \text{cl } A$  for the closure of  $A$  in the topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$ . Similarly, we write  $\tau_i \text{int } A$  for the interior of  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_i)$ .

**Definition 1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise monotonically normal*, if to each pair  $(H, K)$  of disjoint subsets of  $X$  such that  $H$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $K$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed, one can assign a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $D(K, H)$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $D(H, K)$  such that:

- (i)  $H \subset D(H, K) \subset \tau_i \text{cl } D(H, K) \subset X - K$ ,  
 $K \subset D(K, H) \subset \tau_j \text{cl } D(K, H) \subset X - H$

and

- (ii) if the pairs  $(H, K)$  and  $(H', K')$  satisfy  $H \subset H'$  and  $K' \subset K$ , then  $D(H, K) \subset D(H', K')$  and  $D(K', H') \subset D(K, H)$ .

The function  $D$  defined in this way is called a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Note that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal if and only if  $(X, \tau_2, \tau_1)$  is pairwise monotonically normal.

**Remark 1.** It is not a restriction to assume that  $D(H, K) \cap D(K, H) = \emptyset$ . In fact, if  $D$  does not satisfy this condition, just let  $D'(H, K) = D(H, K) \cap (X - \tau_j \text{cl } D(K, H))$  and  $D'(K, H) = D(K, H) \cap (X - \tau_i \text{cl } D(H, K))$ . Thus, we will suppose in the following that the operator  $D$  satisfies the condition in remark 1.

**Remark 2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a space such that  $\tau_1$  (or  $\tau_2$ ) is the discrete topology on  $X$ . Then it is immediate to show that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal.

**Definition 2.** Given a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , we say that a pair  $(H, K)$  of subsets of  $X$  is  $(1, 2)$ -separated, if  $K \cap \tau_1 \text{cl } H = \emptyset$  and  $H \cap \tau_2 \text{cl } K = \emptyset$ . Similarly we define the notion of a  $(2, 1)$ -separated pair.

The next result is useful in Section 4. We omit the proof because it is similar to [14, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 1.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal if and only if there is a function  $D$  which assigns to each  $(i, j)$ -separated pair  $(H, K)$  a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $D(H, K)$  such that*

- (a)  $H \subset D(H, K) \subset \tau_i \text{cl } D(H, K) \subset X - K$ ,
- (b) *if the  $(i, j)$ -separated pairs  $(H, K)$  and  $(H', K')$  satisfy  $H \subset H'$  and  $K' \subset K$ , then  $D(H, K) \subset D(H', K')$ .*

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1$ -semi-stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ , if to each  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U \subset X$ , one can assign a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_2$ -closed sets such that: (i)  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  and (ii) if  $U \subset V$  then  $U_n \subset V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the sequence assigned to the  $\tau_1$ -open set  $V$ . If also: (iii)  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_1 \text{int } U_n$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ . A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise (semi-)stratifiable ([19], [9], [12], [18], if it is  $\tau_1$ -(semi-)stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$ -(semi-)stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_1$ .

It immediately follows from the preceding definitions that a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-stratifiable if and only if to each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $H \subset X$ , one can assign a sequence  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets such that: (i)  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  and (ii) if  $H \subset K$ , then  $H_n \subset K_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the sequence assigned to the  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $K$ . Similarly,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable if and only if to each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $H \subset X$ , one can assign a sequence  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets satisfying the above conditions (i) and (ii) and (iii):  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \text{cl } H_n$ .

Our next result provides a relation between pairwise stratifiable and pairwise monotonically normal spaces (compare [14, Theorem 2.5]).

**Proposition 1.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable if and only if it is a pairwise monotonically normal pairwise semi-stratifiable space.*

PROOF: Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable. Let  $(H, K)$  be a pair of disjoint subsets of  $X$  such that  $H$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $K$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed. Then there exists a decreasing sequence  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets such that  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \text{cl } H_n$ . Similarly, there exists a decreasing sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_i$ -open sets such that  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \text{cl } K_n$ . Put

$$D(H, K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (H_n - \tau_j \text{cl } K_n)$$

and

$$D(K, H) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_n - \tau_i \text{cl } H_n).$$

Then,  $D(H, K)$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set which contains  $H$  because  $H \cap K = \emptyset$ . It is easy to see that  $\tau_i \text{cl } D(H, K) \subset X - K$ . Furthermore, if the pair  $(H', K')$  (with  $H'$   $\tau_i$ -closed,

$K'$   $\tau_j$ -closed and  $H' \cap K' = \emptyset$ ) satisfies  $H \subset H'$  and  $K' \subset K$ , then  $D(H, K) \subset D(H', K')$ . We deduce in a similar way that  $K \subset D(K, H) \subset \tau_j \text{ cl } D(K, H) \subset X - H$  and  $D(K', H') \subset D(K, H)$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal. Conversely, suppose that  $D$  is a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and let  $H$  be a  $\tau_i$ -closed set. Then there exists a sequence  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets such that  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ . Since, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $H \cap (X - H_n) = \emptyset$  we have  $H \subset D(H, X - H_n) \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } D(H, X - H_n) \subset H_n$ . Define  $H'_n = D(H, X - H_n)$ . Thus,  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H'_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \text{ cl } H'_n$ . Finally, if  $H \subset G$  (with  $H$  and  $G$   $\tau_i$ -closed sets), it follows  $D(H, X - H_n) \subset D(G, X - G_n)$  and, hence,  $H'_n \subset G'_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Given two bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  and a mapping  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$ , we say that  $f$  is continuous (closed) from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  onto  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$ , if  $f$  is a continuous (closed) mapping from  $(X, \tau_i)$  onto  $(Y, \tau'_i), i = 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous and closed mapping from the pairwise monotonically normal space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  onto the space  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$ . Then  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal.*

PROOF: Let  $D$  be a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . For each pair  $(H', K')$  of disjoint subsets of  $Y$  such that  $H'$  is  $\tau'_i$ -closed and  $K'$  is  $\tau'_j$ -closed, define

$$D'(H', K') = Y - f(X - D(f^{-1}(H'), f^{-1}(K'))).$$

Then  $D'$  is a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$ .  $\square$

It is proved in [19] that pairwise semi-stratifiable spaces are preserved by continuous closed mappings. From this result and Propositions 1 and 2, we derive the following result.

**Corollary** [12], [18]. *Let  $f$  be a continuous and closed mapping from the pairwise stratifiable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  onto the space  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$ . Then,  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable.*

In [4], Ceder defined the class of Nagata spaces and showed that a topological space is a Nagata space if and only if it is stratifiable and first countable. Later, Borges [3] obtained a characterization of stratifiable spaces which generalizes the notion of a Nagata space. A similar characterization for monotonically normal spaces is also proved by Borges in [2, Theorem 1.2]. Our next results provide the bitopological counterpart of these characterizations.

**Proposition 3.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable if and only if for each  $x \in X$  there exist two bases  $\{U_i(\alpha_i, n, x) : \alpha_i \in D_i(x), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{S_i(\alpha_i, n, x) : \alpha_i \in D_i(x), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\tau_i$ -neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that if  $S_i(\alpha_i, n, x) \cap S_j(\alpha_j, n, y) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $x \in U_j(\alpha_j, n, y)$  and  $y \in U_i(\alpha_i, n, x)$ .*

PROOF: Necessary condition. Given  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_i(x) = \{M_i(\alpha_i, x) : \alpha_i \in D_i(x)\}$  be a base of  $\tau_i$ -neighbourhoods of  $x, i = 1, 2$ . Now let  $U$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open set. Then there exists a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -closed sets satisfying  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n =$

$\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \tau_i \text{ int } U_n$  and  $U_n \subset V_n$  whenever  $U \subset V$ , with  $U, V, \tau_i$ -open sets. For each  $\alpha_i \in D_i(x)$  and each  $n \in N$  define

$$U_i(\alpha_i, n, x) = \bigcap \{U : U \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-open and } M_i(\alpha_i, x) \subset U_n\},$$

$$S'_i(\alpha_i, n, x) = \bigcap \{U_n : M_i(\alpha_i, x) \subset U_n\} - \bigcup \{V_n : x \notin V, V \tau_j\text{-open}\}$$

and

$$S_i(\alpha_i, n, x) = \bigcap_{k=1}^n S'_i(\alpha_i, k, x).$$

One can easily verify that the collections  $\{U_i(\alpha_i, n, x) : \alpha_i \in D_i(x), n \in N\}$  and  $\{S_i(\alpha_i, n, x) : \alpha_i \in D_i(x), n \in N\}$  satisfy the required conditions.

Sufficient condition. It is enough to define, for each  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and each  $n \in N$ ,

$$U_n = \tau_j \text{ cl} \left[ \bigcup \{ \tau_i \text{ int } S_i(\alpha_i, n, x) : U_i(\alpha_i, n, x) \subset U \} \right].$$

□

**Proposition 4.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal if and only if for each  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and each  $x \in U$  there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that if  $U_x \cap V_y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $x \in V$  or  $y \in U$ , where  $V$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set with  $y \in V$ .*

PROOF: Necessary condition. Let  $D$  be a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Given a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and an  $x \in U$ , we have  $\{x\} \subset D(\{x\}, X - U) \subset \tau_j \text{ cl } D(\{x\}, X - U) \subset U$ . Define  $U_x = D(\{x\}, X - U)$ . Then,  $x \in U_x \subset U$ . Now let  $U_x \cap V_y \neq \emptyset$ , where  $U$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set with  $x \in U$  and  $V$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set with  $y \in V$ . Assume  $x \notin V$  and  $y \notin U$ . Then,  $D(\{y\}, X - V) \subset D(\{y\}, \{x\})$  and  $D(\{x\}, X - U) \subset D(\{x\}, \{y\})$ . From Remark 2, it follows  $D(\{y\}, X - V) \cap D(\{x\}, X - U) = \emptyset$ , this is,  $V_y \cap U_x = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Sufficient condition. For each pair  $(H, K)$  of disjoint subsets of  $X$  such that  $H$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $K$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed, define

$$D(H, K) = \bigcup_{x \in H} \{V_x : x \in V, V \cap K = \emptyset, V \tau_j\text{-open}\}$$

and

$$D(K, H) = \bigcup_{x \in K} \{U_x : x \in U, U \cap H = \emptyset, U \tau_i\text{-open}\}.$$

Then, the function  $D$  defined in this way is a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . □

**Corollary.** *Pairwise monotone normality is a hereditary property.*

### 3. Pairwise monotone normality and real-valued functions.

In this section we mean by function a real-valued function. The upper(lower) semicontinuous functions are abbreviated to u.s.c. (l.s.c.) functions.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise monotonically normal space. Then to each pair of sequences  $\{(F_n)_{n \in N}, (G_n)_{n \in N}\}$  such that, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $F_n$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed,  $G_n$  is  $\tau_j$ -open,  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F \subset G$  and  $F \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G$  (where  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ ), there is  $H \subset X$  satisfying*

- (a)  $F \subset \tau_j \text{ int } H \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } H \subset G$ .
- (b) *If  $H$  and  $H'$  are the sets associated by (a) to the pairs of sequences  $\{(F_n)_{n \in N}, (G_n)_{n \in N}\}$  and  $\{(F'_n)_{n \in N}, (G'_n)_{n \in N}\}$  respectively, and, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $F_n \subset F'_n$  and  $G_n \subset G'_n$ , then  $H \subset H'$ .*

PROOF: Let  $D$  be a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\{(F_n)_{n \in N}, (G_n)_{n \in N}\}$  a pair of sequences satisfying the hypotheses. Since  $F_1 \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G$ , there is a  $\tau_j$ -open  $D(F_1, X - \tau_j \text{ int } G)$  such that  $F_1 \subset D(F_1, X - \tau_j \text{ int } G) \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } D(F_1, X - \tau_j \text{ int } G) \subset G$ . Put  $C_1 = D(F_1, X - \tau_j \text{ int } G)$ . Then,  $(\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_1) \subset G_1$  and then there is a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $A_1 = D((\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_1), X - G_1)$  such that  $(\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_1) \subset A_1 \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } A_1 \subset G_1$ . Now let us suppose that, for  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , we have obtained  $\tau_i$ -closed sets  $C_k = D(F_k \cup \tau_i \text{ cl } C_{k-1}, X - (A_{k-1} \cap \tau_j \text{ int } G))$  and  $\tau_j$ -open sets  $A_k = D((\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_k), X - (A_{k-1} \cap G_k))$  such that  $F_k \subset C_k \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } C_k \subset A_k \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } A_k \subset G_k$  and  $\tau_i \text{ cl } C_k \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G$ ,  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F \subset A_k$ . Given  $n + 1$ , since  $(F_{n+1} \cup \tau_i \text{ cl } C_n) \subset (A_n \cap \tau_j \text{ int } G)$ , we obtain the  $\tau_j$ -open set  $C_{n+1} = D(F_{n+1} \cup \tau_i \text{ cl } C_n, X - (A_n \cap \tau_j \text{ int } G))$  such that  $(F_{n+1} \cup \tau_i \text{ cl } C_n) \subset C_{n+1} \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } C_{n+1} \subset (A_n \cap \tau_j \text{ int } G)$ . As  $(\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_{n+1}) \subset (A_n \cap G_{n+1})$ , we obtain the  $\tau_j$ -open set  $A_{n+1} = D((\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_{n+1}), X - (A_n \cap G_{n+1}))$  satisfying  $(\tau_i \text{ cl } F) \cup (\tau_i \text{ cl } C_{n+1}) \subset A_{n+1} \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } A_{n+1} \subset (A_n \cap G_{n+1})$ . Hence, we can construct, inductively, the sequences  $(C_n)_{n \in N}$  and  $(A_n)_{n \in N}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets satisfying the above relations. Put  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Since  $H$  is  $\tau_j$ -open and  $F_n \subset C_n$  for all  $n \in N$ , it follows that  $F \subset \tau_j \text{ int } H$ . On the other hand, since  $C_n \subset C_{n+k} \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } A_{n+k} \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } A_k \subset G_k$  for all  $n, k \in N$ , we have  $H \subset G_k$  for all  $k \in N$ . This proves the part (a). In order to prove (b), note that if, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $F_n \subset F'_n$  and  $G_n \subset G'_n$  we have, from the condition (ii) in Definition 1,  $C_1 \subset C'_1$  and  $A_1 \subset A'_1$ . Inductively we obtain  $C_n \subset C'_n$  (and  $A_n \subset A'_n$ ) for all  $n \in N$ . Therefore,  $H \subset H'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise monotonically normal space and  $D$  a dense countable subset of  $]0, 1[$ . Then to each pair of families  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}, \{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that: (i) for each  $\alpha \in D$ ,  $F(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(\alpha)$  and  $G(\alpha) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n(\alpha)$ , where  $F_n(\alpha)$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $G_n(\alpha)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open for all  $n \in N$ ; (ii)  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F(\alpha) \subset G(\alpha)$  and  $F(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in D$ , and (iii)  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F(\alpha) \subset F(\beta)$  and  $G(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G(\beta)$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ , there is a family  $\{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying*

- (a)  $F(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } H(\alpha) \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } H(\alpha) \subset G(\alpha)$  for all  $x \in D$  and  $\tau_i \text{ cl } H(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G(\beta)$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ .

- (b) If  $\{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  and  $\{H'(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  are the families associated by (a) to the pairs of families  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}, \{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ , and  $\{F'(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}, \{G'(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  respectively, and, for each  $\alpha \in D$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n(\alpha) \subset F'_n(\alpha)$  and  $G_n(\alpha) \subset G'_n(\alpha)$ , then  $H(\alpha) \subset H'(\alpha)$ .

PROOF: Put  $D = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Take  $d_1$ . By Lemma 2 there exists  $H(d_1) \subset X$  such that  $F(d_1) \subset \tau_j \text{int } H(d_1) \subset \tau_i \text{cl } H(d_1) \subset G(d_1)$ . Now let us suppose that, for  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , we have obtained subsets  $H(d_2), \dots, H(d_n)$ , satisfying the conditions (a) and (b). Given  $n + 1$ , define sets  $F$  and  $G$  as

$$F = F(d_{n+1}) \cup \left[ \bigcup \{ \tau_i \text{cl } H(d_r) : d_r < d_{n+1}, 1 \leq r \leq n \} \right]$$

and

$$G = G(d_{n+1}) \text{ if } d_r < d_{n+1} \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$G = G(d_{n+1}) \cap \left[ \bigcap \{ \tau_j \text{int } H(d_r) : d_r > d_{n+1}, 1 \leq r \leq n \} \right] \text{ otherwise.}$$

Following the proof of [13, Corollary 1.1] we obtain, inductively, the family  $\{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  satisfying (a). The part (b) also follows inductively from Lemma 2 (b).  $\square$

Note that the necessary conditions of the above lemmas also are sufficient conditions for the pairwise monotone normality of the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

In order to help with reading, we include the following well-known observations which we use in the proof of the main result of this section.

**Remark 3.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $f : X \rightarrow ]0, 1[$ . If  $D$  is a dense countable subset of  $]0, 1[$  and  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  a family of subsets of  $X$  such that, for each  $\alpha \in D$ ,  $f^{-1}]0, \alpha[ \subset F(\alpha) \subset f^{-1}]0, \alpha[$ , then  $f(x) = \sup\{\alpha \in D : x \notin F(\alpha)\} = \inf\{\alpha \in D : x \in F(\alpha)\}$  for all  $x \in X$ . We say that  $f$  is determined by  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ . Conversely, given an expansive family  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  of subsets of  $X$ , we may define  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\alpha \in D : x \notin F(\alpha)\} & \text{if } \{\alpha \in D : x \notin F(\alpha)\} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \{\alpha \in D : x \in F(\alpha)\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $f(x) > 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ , if  $\bigcap \{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\} = \emptyset$  and  $f(x) < 1$ , for all  $x \in X$ , if  $\bigcup \{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\} = X$ .

**Remark 4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $f : X \rightarrow ]0, 1[$  determined by the family  $\{F(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. if and only if  $\tau_i \text{cl } F(\alpha) \subset F(\beta)$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $f$  is  $\tau_i$ -u.s.c. if and only if  $F(\alpha) \subset \tau_i \text{int } F(\beta)$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ . Furthermore, if  $g$  is determined by  $\{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ , then  $g \leq f$  if and only if  $F(\alpha) \subset G(\beta)$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ .

**Theorem 1.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal if and only if for each pair of functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined on  $X$  such that  $g \leq f$ ,  $f$  is  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. on  $X$ ,  $g$  is  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. on  $X$  and  $f$  is  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. on the  $\tau_j$ -closed set  $C \subset X$ , one assigns a  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. function  $h$  on  $X$  such that*

- (a)  $g \leq h \leq f$  on  $X$  and  $h = f$  on  $C$ ,
- (b) if  $h$  and  $h'$  are the functions associated, by (a), to the pairs of functions  $f, g$ , and  $f', g'$ , respectively, and  $f \leq f'$  and  $g \leq g'$  on  $X$ , then  $h \leq h'$ .

**PROOF:** Necessary condition. It is enough to take functions from  $X$  into  $]0, 1[$ . Let  $D$  be a dense countable subset of  $]0, 1[$ . Given  $f, g : X \rightarrow ]0, 1[$  satisfying the hypotheses, define, similarly to [13, Theorem 2],  $F(\alpha) = f^{-1}]0, \alpha[$  and  $G(\alpha) = g^{-1}]0, \alpha[ \cap (f^{-1}]0, \alpha[ \cup (X - C))$  for all  $\alpha \in D$ . Clearly,  $F(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} f^{-1}]0, \beta[$  and  $G(\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} \{g^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cap (f^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cup (X - C))\}$ . Then,  $F(\alpha)$  is a countable union of  $\tau_i$ -closed sets and  $G(\alpha)$  is a countable intersection of  $\tau_j$ -open sets. Furthermore,  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F(\alpha) \subset G(\alpha)$ ,  $F(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G(\alpha)$  and, for  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\tau_i \text{ cl } F(\alpha) \subset F(\beta)$  and  $G(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } G(\beta)$ . Consequently, the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3 are satisfied and, hence, there is a family  $\{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $F(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } H(\alpha) \subset \tau_i \text{ cl } H(\alpha) \subset G(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in D$  and  $\tau_i \text{ cl } H(\alpha) \subset \tau_j \text{ int } H(\beta)$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ . By Remark 3, the function  $h$  determined by  $\{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ , is  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. on  $X$  and  $h \leq f$ . If  $G$  denotes the function determined by  $\{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ , we deduce, by Remarks 2 and 3, that  $g \leq G$ . Since  $G \leq h$ , it follows  $g \leq h$ . Furthermore,  $h = f$  on  $C$  (see [13, Theorem 2]). This proves the part (a). In order to prove (b), note that for each  $\alpha \in D$ , we have  $(f')^{-1}]0, \beta[ \subset f^{-1}]0, \beta[$  for  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $(g')^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cap (f^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cup (X - C')) \subset g^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cap (f^{-1}]0, \beta[ \cup (X - C))$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ . Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3 (b). Thus,  $H'(\alpha) \subset H(\alpha)$ . So,  $H'(\alpha) \subset H(\beta)$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ , and, by Remark 3,  $h \leq h'$ . This proves the part (b).

**Sufficient condition.** For each pair  $(H, K)$  of disjoint subsets of  $X$  such that  $H$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $K$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed, we define  $f(x) = 1$ , if  $x \in X - H$  and  $f(x) = 0$ , if  $x \in H$ , and  $g(x) = 1$ , if  $x \in K$  and  $g(x) = 0$ , if  $x \in X - K$ . Therefore,  $g$  is  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c.,  $f$  is  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $g \leq f$ . So, taking  $C = \emptyset$ , there is a  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. function  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g \leq h \leq f$ . Finally, if we put  $D(H, K) = h^{-1}[0, 1/2[$  and  $D(K, H) = h^{-1}[1/2, 1]$ , then it is easy to show that  $D$  is a pairwise monotone normality operator for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . The proof is complete. □

Putting  $C = \emptyset$  in the above theorem, we obtain an analogue of the celebrated Katětov–Tong’s insertion theorem [16], [24], to pairwise monotonically normal bi-topological spaces. For the sake of brevity we omit its statement.

We now give another consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise monotonically normal space. Then, for each  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $\tau_2$ -closed set  $H \subset X$  and each  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. function  $f : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , one can assign a  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. extension  $\Phi(f) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that if  $g$  and  $f$  are  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. from  $H$  into  $[0, 1]$  satisfying  $g \leq f$ , then  $\Phi(g) \leq \Phi(f)$ .*



PROOF: Given the  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $\tau_2$ -closed set  $H \subset X$  and the functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfying the hypotheses, we define the functions  $f_1, f_2, g_1$  and  $g_2$  as follows:  $f_1(x) = 0$ , if  $x \notin C$  and  $f_1(x) = f(x)$ , if  $x \in C$ ;  $f_2(x) = 1$ , if  $x \notin C$  and  $f_2(x) = f(x)$ , if  $x \in C$ ;  $g_1(x) = 0$ , if  $x \notin C$  and  $g_1(x) = g(x)$ , if  $x \in C$ ;  $g_2(x) = 1$ , if  $x \notin C$  and  $g_2(x) = g(x)$ , if  $x \in C$ . Clearly,  $f_1$  is  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. on  $X$  and  $f_2$  is  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. on  $X$  and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. on  $C$ . Since  $f_1 \leq f_2$  on  $X$  it follows from Theorem 1 (a) that there is a  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. function  $\Phi(f)$  from  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f_1 \leq \Phi(f) \leq f_2$  on  $X$  and  $\Phi(f) = f_2 = f$  on  $C$ . Similarly, there is a  $\tau_i$ -l.s.c. and  $\tau_j$ -u.s.c. function  $\Phi(g)$  from  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$  such that  $g_1 \leq \Phi(g) \leq g_2$  on  $X$  and  $\Phi(g) = g$  on  $C$ . Finally, if  $g \leq f$  we deduce, by Theorem 1 (b), that  $\Phi(g) \leq \Phi(f)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that if in the preceding corollary we put  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ , then [14, Theorem 3.3] is obtained.

**4. Pairwise monotone normality and quasi-metrization.**

In Section 4 of [14], Heat, Lutzer and Zenor characterized metrizable spaces by assuming monotone normality of cartesian products. The key of these characterizations is Theorem 4.1 of their paper which says that if  $X \times Y$  is monotonically normal, then either no countable subset of  $X$  has a limit point or  $Y$  is stratifiable. The bitopological situation is described in our next result. (It seems interesting to compare these results with those of Katětov [15] about the hereditary normality of cartesian products. See also [21, Proposition 3].)

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2)$  be two spaces such that the space  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times \tau'_1, \tau_2 \times \tau'_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal. Then, either no countable subset of  $X$  has  $\tau_i$ -accumulation point or  $Y$  is  $\tau'_i$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau'_j$ .*

PROOF: We adopt the technique of [14, Theorem 4.1] and so we omit the details. Suppose that  $M' = \{m_n : n \in N\}$  is a subset of  $X$  having a  $\tau_i$ -accumulation point  $p$ . We assume that  $p \in X - M'$ . Let  $M = M' \cup \{p\}$ . By the Corollary of Proposition 4, the space  $(M \times Y, (\tau_1 \upharpoonright_M) \times \tau'_1, (\tau_2 \upharpoonright_M) \times \tau'_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal. We will show that  $Y$  is  $\tau'_i$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau'_j$ . Given a  $\tau'_i$ -closed set  $F \subset Y$ , put  $H_F = M' \times F$  and  $K_F = \{p\} \times (Y - F)$ . If we write  $(\tau_i \upharpoonright_M) \times \tau'_i = \tau''_i, i = 1, 2$ , then the pair  $(H_F, K_F)$  is  $(i, j)$ -separated in  $(M \times Y, \tau''_1, \tau''_2)$ . Therefore, if  $D$  denotes the function for  $M \times Y$  described in Lemma 1, we have  $H_F \subset D(H_F, K_F) \subset (M \times Y) - K_F$ . Now for each  $n \in N$ , we define  $T(F, n) = \{y \in Y : (m_n, y) \in D(H_F, K_F)\}$ . Then, it is easily seen that each  $T(F, n)$  is  $\tau'_j$ -open. Furthermore,  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T(F, n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau'_i \text{ cl } T(F, n)$ . Finally, if the  $\tau'_i$ -closed set  $F'$  contains  $F$ , it follows from Lemma 1 (b) that  $T(F, n) \subset T(F', n)$  for all  $n \in N$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable if and only if  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times T, \tau_2 \times T)$  is pairwise monotonically normal, where  $Y = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in N\}$  and  $T$  is the restriction to  $Y$  of the usual topology.*

PROOF: Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise stratifiable space. In [12], it is proved that the countable product of pairwise stratifiable is pairwise stratifiable. Hence,  $(X \times$

$Y, \tau_1 \times T, \tau_2 \times T$ ) is pairwise stratifiable. Conversely, we have, by Proposition 5, that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable if and only if for every quasi-metric space  $(Y, d)$ , the space  $(X \times Y, \tau_1 \times T(d), \tau_2 \times T(d^{-1}))$  is pairwise monotonically normal.*

In [9], Fox obtains a very nice solution to the quasi-metrization problem. Exactly, he proved that a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it is a pairwise stratifiable space and  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $\gamma$ -spaces. Fox’s theorem can be stated in a more general form as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \tau_2 \times \tau_1)$  is pairwise monotonically normal and  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $\gamma$ -spaces.*

PROOF: Since the necessity is almost obvious, we only prove the sufficiency. Suppose that  $\tau_1$  has a nonisolated point. Since every  $\gamma$ -space is first countable, it follows from Proposition 5 that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_2$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_1$ . If  $\tau_2$  has also a nonisolated point, we deduce, similarly, that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ . By Fox’s theorem,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable. Otherwise,  $\tau_2$  is the discrete topology on  $X$  and then it is clear that the space is  $\tau_1$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Newly, Fox’s theorem proves the quasi-metrizability of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Interchanging the roles of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

A slight modification of the proof of the above theorem permits us to state the following variant of it.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a space such that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  have nonisolated points. Then,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal and  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $\gamma$ -spaces.*

**Remark 5.** Consider the space  $(R, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $R$  is the real line,  $\tau_1$  is the usual topology on  $R$  and  $\tau_2$  is the discrete topology on  $R$ . Then,  $(R \times R, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is pairwise monotonically normal,  $(R, \tau_1)$  and  $(R, \tau_2)$  are  $\gamma$ -spaces, but it is well-known that  $(R, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not quasi-metrizable.

In [7] Fletcher, Hoyle III and Patty introduced the notion of a pairwise (countably) compact space. Recall that a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise (countably) compact if and only if every proper  $\tau_i$ -closed set is  $\tau_j$ -(countably) compact ([22]), [5].

**Lemma 4** [19]. *A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_1$ -semi-stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ , if there is  $g : N \times X \rightarrow \tau_1$  such that*

- (a)  $x \in g(n, x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in N$ ,
- (b) if, for each  $n \in N, x \in g(n, x_n)$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  is  $\tau_2$ -convergent to  $x$ .

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise Hausdorff [17], if, for  $x \neq y$ , there is a  $\tau_i$ -neighbourhood of  $x$  and a disjoint  $\tau_j$ -neighbourhood of  $y$ . On the other hand, we say that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ - $G_\delta$ -diagonal, if there is a sequence  $(G_n)_{n \in N}$  of  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -open sets such that  $\Delta = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ , where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ .

By using Lemma 4, we easily obtain the following result.

**Lemma 5.** *Every pairwise Hausdorff pairwise semi-stratifiable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ - $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

In [21], it is proved that a pairwise Hausdorff pairwise compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ - $G_\delta$ -diagonal. From this result and the preceding lemma, it follows that every pairwise Hausdorff pairwise compact pairwise semi-stratifiable space is quasi-metrizable. However, it is possible to obtain a better result as Theorem 4 shows. In order to prove this theorem, we will give some previous lemmas.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise Hausdorff space such that  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ . If it is  $\tau_1$ -semi-stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$  then  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are semi-stratifiable spaces and have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

PROOF: Let  $g : N \times X \rightarrow \tau_1$  be a mapping satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 4. If, for each  $n \in N, x \in g(n, x_n)$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  is  $\tau_2$ -convergent to  $x$ . Since  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ , then it also is  $\tau_1$ -convergent to  $x$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are semi-stratifiable spaces. Now, put, for each  $n \in N, G_n = \bigcup_{x \in X} (g(n, x) \times g(n, x))$ . Thus,  $\Delta = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ . This proves that  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.  $\square$

**Lemma 7** [20]. *A pairwise countably compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that each proper  $\tau_1$ -countably compact set has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, is pairwise compact.*

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise Hausdorff pairwise countably compact  $\tau_1$ -semi-stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$  space. Then, it is pairwise compact.*

PROOF: Let  $g : N \times X \rightarrow \tau_1$  be a mapping satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 4. Take a proper  $\tau_1$ -countably compact set  $F \subset X$ . If, for each  $n \in N, x_n \in F$  and  $x \in g(n, x_n) \cap F$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  is  $\tau_2$ -convergent to  $x$ . But,  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  has also a  $\tau_1$ -cluster point  $y \in F$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorff, we deduce that  $x = y$ . Hence,  $(F, \tau_1 |_F)$  is a  $T_1$  countably compact semi-stratifiable space. By [6, Corollary 2.9], we have that it is compact. Therefore,  $\tau_1 |_F \subset \tau_2 |_F$  [7, Theorem 10]. Thus, by Lemma 6,  $(F, \tau_1 |_F)$  has  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and the result now follows from Lemma 7.  $\square$

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  has a countable pseudo-character, if for each  $x \in X$  there is a sequence  $(V_n(x))_{n \in N}$  of open sets such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *A pairwise Hausdorff pairwise countably compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it is  $\tau_1$ -semi-stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  has a countable pseudo-character.*

PROOF: Since the necessity is almost obvious, we only prove the sufficiency. Fix  $x \in X$ . Then,  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n(x)$ , where each  $V_n(x)$  is  $\tau_2$ -open. By Lemma 8,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise compact. Therefore, by [7, Theorem 12], there is a sequence  $(W_n(x))_{n \in N}$  of  $\tau_2$ -open sets such that  $x \in W_n(x) \subset \tau_1 \text{ cl } W_n(x) \subset V_n(x)$  for all  $n \in N$ . Put  $F_n = X - W_n(x)$ . Then,  $F_n$  is a proper  $\tau_2$ -closed set and, hence, it is  $\tau_1$ -compact. Since the subspace  $(F_n, \tau_1 |_{F_n}, \tau_2 |_{F_n})$  is pairwise Hausdorff, it follows from [7, Theorem 10] that  $\tau_1 |_{F_n} \subset \tau_2 |_{F_n}$ . Consequently, Lemma 6 shows

that  $(F_n, \tau_1 |_{F_n})$  and  $(F_n, \tau_2 |_{F_n})$  have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Thus, by [20, Corollary of Theorem 3],  $(F_n, \tau_1 |_{F_n}, \tau_2 |_{F_n})$  is quasi-metrizable. In particular,  $(F_n, \tau_1 |_{F_n})$  has a countable base. Similarly to the proof of [21, Proposition 5], we deduce that  $(X, \tau_1)$  has a countable base. Finally, the quasi-metrizability of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  follows from [20, Lemma 5].  $\square$

**Corollary.** *A pairwise Hausdorff pairwise countably compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \tau_2 \times \tau_1)$  is pairwise monotonically normal.*

PROOF: Assume  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \tau_2 \times \tau_1)$  to be a pairwise monotonically normal space. Then it is pairwise Hausdorff and, thus,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorff. Now suppose that there is  $x \in X$  which is a  $\tau_1$ -nonisolated point. Take  $y \neq x$ . Then there is a  $\tau_1$ -open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a disjoint  $\tau_2$ -open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $y$ . Since there is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of distinct points of  $X$  satisfying  $x_n \in U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X - V$  is countably compact, it follows that this sequence has a  $\tau_1$ -accumulation point in  $X - V$ . By Proposition 5,  $X$  is  $\tau_1$ -stratifiable with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2, we conclude that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable. The quasi-metrizability of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is now a consequence of Theorem 4.  $\square$

Several results in this section were presented by the authors on September 4, 1990 at the XV Jornadas Luso-Espanholas de Matematicas, Univ. Evora (Portugal).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Borges C.R., *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [2] Borges C.R., *A study of monotonically normal spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 211–214.
- [3] Borges C.R., *Direct sum of stratifiable spaces*, Fund. Math. **100** (1978), 97–99.
- [4] Ceder J.G., *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 105–126.
- [5] Cooke I.E., Reilly I.L., *On bitopological compactness*, J. London Math. Soc. **9** (1975), 518–522.
- [6] Creede G.D., *Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **32** (1970), 47–54.
- [7] Fletcher P., Hoyle III H.B., Patty C.W., *The comparison of topologies*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 325–331.
- [8] Fletcher P., Lindgren W.F., *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [9] Fox R., *On metrizable and quasi-metrizability*, preprint.
- [10] Gruenhage G., *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, Eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984), 423–501.
- [11] Gutiérrez A., *Thesis*, Univ. Valencia, 1983.
- [12] Gutiérrez A., Romaguera S., *On pairwise stratifiable spaces* (in Spanish), Rev. Roumaine Math. P. Appl. **31** (1986), 141–150.
- [13] Gutiérrez A., Romaguera S., *C-binary relations and pairwise normality*, Ann. Sci. Univ. “Al I Cuza” **32** (1986), 29–33.
- [14] Heath R.W., Lutzer D.J., Zenor P.L., *Monotonically normal spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 481–493.
- [15] Katětov M., *Complete normality of Cartesian products*, Fund. Math. **36** (1948), 271–274.
- [16] Katětov M., *On real-valued functions in topological spaces*, Fund. Math. **38** (1951), 85–91.
- [17] Kelly J.C., *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **13** (1963), 71–89.
- [18] Künzi H.P., *Thesis*, Univ. Bern, 1981.

- [19] Martin J.A., Marín J., Romaguera S., *On bitopological semi-stratifiability* (in Spanish), Proc. XI Jornadas Hispano-Lusas Mat., vol. II (1986/87), 243–251.
- [20] Romaguera S., Gutiérrez A., Künzi H.P., *Quasi-metrization of pairwise countably compact spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **23** (43) (1988), 159–167.
- [21] Romaguera S., Salbany S., *Quasi-metrization and hereditary normality of compact bitopological spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **31** (1990), 113–122.
- [22] Singal M.K., Singal R., *Some separation axioms in bitopological spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **84** (1970), 207–230.
- [23] Suzuki J., Tamano K., Tanaka Y.,  *$k$ -metrizable spaces, stratifiable spaces and metrization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 500–509.
- [24] Tong H., *Some characterizations of normal and perfectly normal spaces*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1952), 289–292.
- [25] Zenor P.L., *Some continuous separation axioms*, Fund. Math. **90** (1976), 143–158.

E.U. TOPOGRAFÍA Y OBRAS PÚBLICAS, DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA, 46071 VALENCIA, SPAIN

ESCUELA DE CAMINOS, DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA, 46071 VALENCIA, SPAIN

(Received March 18, 1991)