

## Some results on the product of distributions and the change of variable

EMIN ÖZCAĞ, BRIAN FISHER

*Abstract.* Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ). It is proved that if the neutrix product  $F \circ G$  exists and equals  $H$ , then the neutrix product  $F(f) \circ G(f)$  exists and equals  $H(f)$ .

*Keywords:* distribution, neutrix product, change of variable

*Classification:* 46F10

In the following, we let  $N$  be the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain  $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and range the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \quad \ln^r n : \lambda > 0, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the normal sense as  $n$  tends to infinity.

We will use  $n$  or  $m$  to denote a general term in  $N'$  so that if  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of real numbers, then  $N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  means exactly the same thing as  $N\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_m$ .

Note that if  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of real numbers which converges to  $a$  in the normal sense as  $n$  tends to infinity, then the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $a$  in the neutrix sense as  $n$  tends to infinity and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

We now let  $\rho(x)$  be a fixed infinitely differentiable function having the following properties:

- (i)  $\rho(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\rho(x) \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ ,
- (iv)  $\int_{-1}^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$ .

Putting  $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that  $\{\delta_n(x)\}$  is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta(x)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be the space of distributions defined on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, if  $F$  is an arbitrary distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , we define

$$F_n(x) = (F * \delta_n)(x) = \langle F(t), \delta_n(x - t) \rangle$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows that  $\{F_n(x)\}$  is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution  $F(x)$ .

The following definition for the product of two distributions was given in [2].

**Definition 1.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $G_n = G * \delta_n$ . We say that the neutrix product  $F \circ G$  of  $F$  and  $G$  exists and is equal to the distribution  $H$  on the interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$(1) \quad N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle FG_n, \phi \rangle = \langle H, \phi \rangle$$

for all functions  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle FG_n, \phi \rangle = \langle H, \phi \rangle,$$

we simply say that the product  $F.G$  exists and equals  $H$ .

Note that if we put  $F_m = F * \delta_m$ , we have

$$\langle FG_n, \phi \rangle = N\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_m G_n, \phi \rangle$$

and so the equation (1) could be replaced by the equation

$$(2) \quad N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [N\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_m G_n, \phi \rangle] = \langle H, \phi \rangle.$$

The next definition for the change of variable in distributions was given in [3].

**Definition 2.** Let  $F$  be a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f$  be a locally summable function. We say that the distribution  $F(f(x))$  exists and is equal to the distribution  $H$  on the interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(f(x))\phi(x) dx = \langle H, \phi \rangle$$

for all test functions  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$ , where

$$F_n(x) = (F * \delta_n)(x).$$

The following theorem was proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $F$  be a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . Then the distribution  $F(f(x))$  exists on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

Further, if  $F$  is the  $p$ -th derivative of a locally summable function  $F^{(-p)}$  on the interval  $(f(a), f(b))$ , (or  $f(b), f(a)$ ), ( $g$  inverse of  $f$ ), then

$$(3) \quad \langle F(f(x)), \phi(x) \rangle = (-1)^p \int_{f(a)}^{f(b)} F^{(-p)}(x)[g'(x)\phi(g(x))]^{(p)} dx =$$

$$(4) \quad = (-1)^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(f(x))f'(x) \left[ \frac{1}{f'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right]^p \left[ \frac{\phi(x)}{f'(x)} \right] dx$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

Using the equation (3), it was proved that if  $f$  had a single simple zero at the point  $x = x_1$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ , then

$$(5) \quad \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{|f'(x_1)|} \left[ \frac{1}{f'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right]^s \delta(x - x_1)$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$  for  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , showing that the Definition 2 is in agreement with the definition of  $\delta^{(s)}(f(x))$  given by Gel'fand and Shilov [6].

The problem of defining the product  $F(f) \circ G(g)$  was considered in [4]. Putting  $F(f) = F_1$  and  $G(g) = G_1$ , the product  $F_1 \circ G_1 = H_1$  is of course defined by the equation

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\text{N-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_{1m} G_{1n}, \phi \rangle] = \langle H_1, \phi \rangle,$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , where  $F_{1m} = F_1 * \delta_m$  and  $G_{1n} = G_1 * \delta_n$ .

However, it was pointed out that since the distributions  $F(f)$  and  $G(g)$  were defined by the sequences  $\{F_m\}$  and  $\{G_n\}$ , the product  $F(f) \circ G(g)$  should be defined by these sequences, leading to the following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , let  $f$  and  $g$  be locally summable functions and let  $F_m = F * \delta_m$  and  $G_n = G * \delta_n$ . We say that the neutrix product  $F(f) \circ G(g)$  of  $F(f)$  and  $G(g)$  exists and is equal to the distribution  $H$  on the interval  $(a, b)$  if  $F_m(f) G_n(g)$  is a locally summable function on the interval  $(a, b)$  and

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\text{N-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_m(f) G_n(g), \phi \rangle] = \langle H_1, \phi \rangle,$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

The following two examples were given in [4] and show that the neutrix product  $F(f) \circ G(g)$  can be equal to, but is not necessarily equal to the neutrix product  $F_1 \circ G_1$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $F = x_+^{1/2}$ ,  $G = \delta'(x)$ ,  $f = x_+^2$  and  $g = x_+$ . Then

$$F(f) = F_1 = x_+, \quad G(g) = G_1 = \frac{1}{2} \delta'(x)$$

and

$$F(f) \circ G(g) = -\frac{1}{2} \delta(x) = F_1 \circ G_1.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $F = x_+^{-1/2}$ ,  $G = \delta(x)$ ,  $f = x$  and  $g = x_+^{1/2}$ . Then

$$F(f) = F_1 = x_+^{-1/2}, \quad G(g) = G_1 = 0$$

and

$$F(f) \circ G(g) = \delta(x) \neq 0 = F_1 \circ G_1.$$

The following theorem was, however, proved in [4].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , let  $f$  be a locally summable function and let  $g$  be an infinitely differentiable function. If the distributions  $F(f) = F_1$  and  $G(g) = G_1$  exist and the neutrix product  $F(f) \circ G(g)$  exists on the interval  $(a, b)$ , then

$$F(f) \circ G(g) = F_1 \circ G(g)$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ . In particular, if  $g(x) = x$ , then

$$F(f) \circ G(g) = F_1 \circ G_1$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

In this theorem,  $F_1 \circ G(g)$  was used to denote the distribution defined by

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_1 G_n, (g), \phi \rangle.$$

We now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . If the neutrix product  $F \circ G$  exists and is equal to  $H$  on the interval  $(f(a), f(b))$ , (or  $(f(b), f(a))$ ), then

$$F(f) \circ G(f) = H(f)$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

PROOF: Note first of all that the distributions  $F(f)$  and  $G(f)$  exist on the interval  $(f(a), f(b))$ , (or  $(f(b), f(a))$ ), by Theorem 1.

We will suppose that  $f'(x) > 0$  and that  $g$  is the inverse of  $f$  on the interval  $(a, b)$ . Letting  $\phi$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$  and making the substitution  $t = f(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_m(f(x))G_n(f(x))\phi(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_m(t)G_n(t)\phi(g(t))g'(t) dt = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_m(t)G_n(t)\psi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(t) = \phi(g(t))g'(t)$  is a function in  $\mathcal{D}$  with support contained in the interval  $(f(a), f(b))$ . It follows that

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \text{N-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_m(f)G_n(f), \phi \rangle \right] = \langle H, \psi \rangle$$

for all  $\phi$  or  $\psi$ .

Further, on making the substitution  $t = f(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(t)\psi(t) dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(t)\phi(g(t))g'(t) dt = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(f(x))\phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle H_n, \psi \rangle = \langle H(f), \phi \rangle.$$

The result of the theorem follows. □

**Theorem 4.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . If the neutrix products  $F \circ G$  and  $F \circ G'$ , (or  $F' \circ G$ ), exist on the interval  $(f(a), f(b))$ , (or  $(f(b), f(a))$ ), then

$$[F(f) \circ G(f)]' = [F(f)]' \circ G(f) + F(f) \circ [G(f)]'$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

PROOF: The usual law

$$(F \circ G)' = F' \circ G + F \circ G'$$

for the differentiation of a product holds, see [2], and so the result of the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$  and having a simple zero at the point  $x = x_1$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . Then the neutrix products  $(f(x))_+^r \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))$  and  $\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ (f(x))_+^r$  exist and

$$(6) \quad (f(x))_+^r \cdot \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) = \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \cdot (f(x))_+^r = 0$$

for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^r \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) &= \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ (f(x))_+^r = \\ &= \frac{(-1)^r s!}{2(s-r)!} \frac{1}{|f'(x_1)|} \left[ \frac{1}{f'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right]^{s-r} \delta(x - x_1), \end{aligned}$$

for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, s$  and  $s = r, r + 1, r + 2, \dots$  on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

PROOF: If  $g$  is an  $s$  times continuously differentiable function at the origin, then the product  $g \cdot \delta^{(s)} = \delta^{(s)} \cdot g$  is given by

$$g(x) \cdot \delta^{(s)}(x) = \delta^{(s)}(x) \cdot g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^{s+i} \binom{s}{i} g^{s-i}(0) \delta^{(i)}(x).$$

It follows that

$$x_+^r \cdot \delta^{(s)}(x) = \delta^{(s)}(x) \cdot x_+^r = 0$$

for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and the equation (6) follows immediately on using Theorem 3.

It was proved in [2] that

$$x_+^r \circ \delta^{(s)}(x) = \delta^{(s)}(x) \circ x_+^r = \frac{(-1)^r s!}{2(s-r)!} \delta^{(s-r)}(x),$$

for  $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s \geq r$ , and it follows on using Theorem 3 that

$$(f(x))_+^r \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) = \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ (f(x))_+^r = \frac{(-1)^r s!}{2(s-r)!} \delta^{(s-r)}(f(x)),$$

for  $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The equation (7) follows immediately on using equation (5).  $\square$

**Example 3.**

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (x + x^2)_+^r \circ \delta^{(r)}(x + x^2) &= \delta^{(r)}(x + x^2) \circ (x + x^2)_+^r = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r r! [\delta(x) + \delta(x + 1)], \end{aligned}$$

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} (x + x^2)_+^r \circ \delta^{(r+1)}(x + x^2) &= \delta^{(r+1)}(x + x^2) \circ (x + x^2)_+^r = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r (r + 1)! [\delta'(x) + 2\delta(x) + \delta'(x + 1) + 2\delta(x + 1)] \end{aligned}$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  on the real line.

PROOF: The function  $f(x) = x + x^2$  has simple zeros at the points  $x = 0, -1$ . It follows from the equations (5) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x + x^2)_+^r \circ \delta^{(r)}(x + x^2) &= \delta^{(r)}(x + x^2) \circ (x + x^2)_+^r = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r r! \delta(x + x^2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r r! [\delta(x) + \delta(x + 1)], \end{aligned}$$

proving the equation (8) for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

It again follows from the equations (5) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x + x^2)_+^r \circ \delta^{(r+1)}(x + x^2) &= \delta^{(r+1)}(x + x^2) \circ (x + x^2)_+^r = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r (r + 1)! \frac{1}{1 + 2x} [\delta'(x) + \delta'(x + 1)] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^r (r + 1)! [\delta'(x) + 2\delta(x) + \delta'(x + 1) + 2\delta(x + 1)], \end{aligned}$$

proving the equation (9) for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  □

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , (or  $< 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$  and having a simple zero at the point  $x = x_1$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . Then the neutrix products  $(f(x))^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))$  and  $\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ (f(x))^{-r}$  exist and*

$$(10) \quad (f(x))^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) = \frac{(-1)^r s!}{(r + s)!} \frac{1}{|f'(x_1)|} \left[ \frac{1}{f'(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right]^{r+s} \delta(x - x_1),$$

$$(11) \quad \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ (f(x))^{-r} = 0,$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

PROOF: It was proved in [2] that

$$x^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^r s!}{(r + s)!} \delta^{(r+s)}(x),$$

$$\delta^{(s)}(x) \circ x^{-r} = 0$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Equations (10) and (11) follow immediately as in the proof of Theorem 6. □

**Example 4.**

$$(12) \quad (x^2 - 1)^{-1} \circ \delta(x^2 - 1) = -\frac{1}{4}[\delta'(x - 1) + \delta(x - 1) - \delta'(x + 1) + \delta(x + 1)],$$

$$(13) \quad \delta^{(s)}(x^2 - 1) \circ (x^2 - 1)^{-r} = 0,$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  on the real line.

PROOF: The function  $f(x) = x^2 - 1$  has simple zeros at the points  $x = \pm 1$ . It follows from the equations (5) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 - 1)^{-1} \circ \delta(x^2 - 1) &= -\frac{1}{4x}[\delta'(x - 1) + \delta'(x + 1)] = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[\delta'(x - 1) + \delta(x - 1) - \delta'(x + 1) + \delta(x + 1)] \end{aligned}$$

proving equation (12). □

The equation (13) follows immediately from the equations (5) and (11) for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f$  be an infinitely differentiable function with  $f'(x) > 0$ , ( $or < 0$ ), for all  $x$  in the interval  $(a, b)$  and having a simple zero at the point  $x = x_1$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ . Then the neutrix products  $(f(x))_+^\lambda \circ (f(x))_-^{\lambda-r}$  and  $(f(x))_-^{\lambda-r} \circ (f(x))_+^\lambda$  exist and*

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^\lambda \circ (f(x))_-^{\lambda-r} &= (f(x))_-^{\lambda-r} \circ (f(x))_+^\lambda = \\ &= -\frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)}{2(r-1)!} \frac{1}{|f'(x_1)|} \left[ \frac{1}{f'(x_1)} \frac{d}{dx} \right]^{r-1} \delta(x - x_1), \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  on the interval  $(a, b)$

PROOF: It was proved in [2] that

$$x_+^\lambda \circ x_-^{\lambda-r} = x_-^{\lambda-r} \circ x_+^\lambda = -\frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)}{2(r-1)!} \delta^{(r-1)}(x),$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ . Equation (14) follows immediately as in the proof of Theorem 6. □

**Example 5.** Let  $f(x) = t$  be the inverse of the function  $g(t) = t + t^3 = x$ . Then

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^\lambda \circ (f(x))_-^{\lambda-1} &= (f(x))_-^{\lambda-1} \circ (f(x))_+^\lambda = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda) \delta(x), \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^\lambda \circ (f(x))_-^{\lambda-2} &= (f(x))_-^{\lambda-2} \circ (f(x))_+^\lambda = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda) [\delta'(x) + \delta(x)], \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  on the real line.

PROOF:

$$g'(t) = 1 + 3t^2 > 0$$

for all  $t$ , it follows that  $f'(x) > 0$  for all  $x$  and so on using the equation (3) with  $p = 1$ , we have for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(f(x)), \phi(x) \rangle &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) d[(1 + 3x^2)\phi(x + x^3)] = \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d[(1 + 3x^2)\phi(x + x^3)] = \phi(0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(17) \quad \delta(f(x)) = \delta(x).$$

Using the equation (3) again with  $p = 2$ , we have for all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta'(f(x)), \phi(x) \rangle &= \int_0^{\infty} d[(1 + 3x^2)\phi(x + x^3)]' = \\ &= -\phi'(0) - \int_0^{\infty} d[(1 + 3x^2)\phi(x + x^3)] = \\ &= -\phi'(0) + \phi(0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(18) \quad \delta'(f(x)) = \delta'(x) + \delta(x).$$

It now follows from the equations (15) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^{\lambda} \circ (f(x))_-^{-\lambda-1} &= (f(x))_-^{-\lambda-1} \circ (f(x))_+^{\lambda} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)\delta(f(x)) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)\delta(x), \end{aligned}$$

proving the equation (15) for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

It again follows from the equations (14) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x))_+^{\lambda} \circ (f(x))_-^{-\lambda-2} &= (f(x))_-^{-\lambda-2} \circ (f(x))_+^{\lambda} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)\delta'(f(x)) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)[\delta'(x) + \delta(x)], \end{aligned}$$

proving the equation (16) for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

□



## REFERENCES

- [1] van der Corput J.G., *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, J. Analyse Math. **7** (1959–60), 291–398.
- [2] Fisher B., *A non-commutative neutrix product of distributions*, Math. Nachr. **108** (1982), 117–127.
- [3] ———, *On defining the distribution  $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$  for summable  $f$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen **32** (1985), 233–241.
- [4] ———, *On the product of distributions and the change of variable*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **35** (1988), 37–42.
- [5] Fisher B., Özcağ E., *A result on distributions and the change of variable*, submitted for publication.
- [6] Gel'fand I.M., Shilov G.E., *Generalized Functions*, vol. I., Academic Press, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY, LEICESTER, LE1 7RH, GREAT BRITAIN

(Received April 22, 1991)