# Further remarks on the Nemitskii operator in Hölder spaces

#### RITA NUGARI

Abstract. The paper is concerned with the Nemitskii operator in Hölder spaces. Namely conditions are given to ensure acting, continuity, Lipschitz and differentiability properties.

Keywords: Nemitskii operator, Hölder spaces

Classification: 47H15

#### 0. Introduction.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space with the usual norm denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . In what follows  $\Omega$  will denote an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  unless otherwise stated and  $\overline{\Omega}$  its closure.

For  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ ,  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  is the space of all real functions u which are  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , i.e. are such that:  $h_{\alpha}(u) := \sup\{|u(x) - u(y)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}, \ x, y \in \overline{\Omega}, \ x \neq y\} < \infty$ .  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space with the norm:  $\|u\|_{\alpha} = \|u\|_{\infty} + h_{\alpha}(u)$  where  $\|u\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|u(x)|; \ x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ .

This paper is concerned with the study in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  of some properties of the so called Nemitskii operator, i.e. the operator  $F(u)(x) = f(x,u(x)), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}$  where f = f(x,u) is a real valued function defined on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

This argument has been deeply studied mainly in eastern Europe (see [1] and [2] for a complete bibliography). Among the others we like to mention P. Drábek [4] who has found necessary and sufficient conditions for f = f(u) to induce a continuous Nemitskii operator mapping  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  into itself.

Theorem 1.1 is simply a translation in words of [2, Theorem 7.3]; Theorem 3.1 extends the analogue in [2] which deals only with the case f = f(u), as Theorems 2.1 and 4.1 do in relation with the ones in [5]. Finally Theorems 1.1, 2.1 and 4.1 extend our previous paper [7] since the actual assumptions are sensibly weaker.

We have now to compare our paper with the very recent one by M. Goebel [6]. First, we prove most of our results for any open bounded  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  rather than for  $\Omega = (a,b)$  as in [6]. (The extension to the case  $f: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  is straightforward, see our final remark.)

Also, in [6] only sufficient conditions on f are given so that F has the various desired properties in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$ , while we prove also some necessary conditions (Theorems 2.2 and 3.1) which in particular — in case  $\Omega=(a,b)$  — yield a characterization of the local Lipschitz property of F (Corollary 3.2).

90 R. Nugari

Let us next discuss the conditions given here with those in [6]. To see this in some detail, we state here two basic assumptions — for a given function  $g: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  — to be used through the paper:

 $g=g(x,u) \text{ is continuous in } \overline{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}$  (H) and  $\alpha\text{-H\"older continuous in } x,$  uniformly with respect to u in compact intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

 $g=g(x,u) \text{ is } \alpha\text{-H\"older continuous in } x,$  uniformly with respect to u in compact intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and locally Lipschitz continuous in u, uniformly with respect to  $x\in\overline{\Omega}$ .

It is quite clear (see also the proof of Theorem 1.1) that (H) is a weaker assumption than (K).

We note that (H) is equivalent to the assumption that g be continuous and satisfy (A) of [6], while (K) is the same as (B) of [6].

As remarked in [6], if f satisfies (A) and is differentiable with respect to u with  $f'_u$  continuous, then f satisfies (B) = (K). On the basis of this remark, it is easy to check that the various properties of F (acting, continuity, etc.) are established in our paper under conditions on f that are weaker than those in [6]. In particular, we note that requiring existence and continuity of  $f'_u$  in order to prove the acting property of F is an unnecessarily strong assumption (compare Theorem 1.1 with [6, Theorem 1]). Theorem 2.1 and especially Theorem 2.2 below show that existence of  $f'_u$  should be required at the level of continuity of F.

We should finally mention that our proofs are sensibly different from those in [6], and in particular the proof of Theorem 4.1 (differentiability) seems to us simpler and more transparent.

## 1. Acting property.

**Theorem 1.1.** In order that the Nemitskii operator F generated by f map  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  into itself and be bounded, it is sufficient that f satisfies the assumption (K). If  $\Omega = (a,b)$ , this condition is also necessary.

PROOF: By Theorem 7.3 in [2] it is sufficient to prove that (K) is equivalent to:

$$(1.1) \quad \forall R > 0 \ \exists M > 0 :$$

$$|f(x,u) - f(y,v)| \le M\{|x-y|^{\alpha} + \frac{|u-v|}{R}\} \qquad \forall |u|, |v| \le R, \ \forall x, y \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Indeed if (1.1) holds, then f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder in x since if R>0,  $|u|\leq R$ , and  $x,y\in\overline{\Omega}$ , then  $|f(x,u)-f(y,u)|\leq M|x-y|^{\alpha}$ . Moreover (1.1) implies that f is locally Lipschitz in u since, given R>0,  $\exists\,M>0: |f(x,u)-f(x,v)|\leq M\frac{|u-v|}{R}, \,\forall\,|u|,|v|\leq R$ ,

П

 $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Assume now that f satisfies (K); Let R > 0, and let L be the Lipschitz constant of f in [-R, R] and k its Hölder constant in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . We get:

$$|f(x,u) - f(y,v)| \le |f(x,u) - f(x,v)| + |f(x,v) - f(y,v)|$$
  
 
$$\le L|u - v| + k|x - y|^{\alpha} \quad (|u|, |v| \le R, \ x, y \in \overline{\Omega})$$

and this yields (1.1) with  $M = \max(LR, k)$ .

## 2. Continuity.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let f satisfy the assumption (K) (so that F acts in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$ ). If moreover f is differentiable with respect to u and  $f'_u$  satisfies the assumption (H), then F is continuous.

PROOF: Let  $u, v \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ . To estimate  $h_{\alpha}(F(u+v) - F(u))$ , we write (for  $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}$ )

$$\begin{split} w(x,y) &\equiv f(x,u(x)+v(x))-f(x,u(x))-f(y,u(y)+v(y))+f(y,u(y))\\ &= f(x,u(x)+v(x))-f(x,u(y)+v(y))+f(x,u(y)+v(y))-f(x,u(x))\\ &-f(y,u(y)+v(y))+f(y,u(x))-f(y,u(x))+f(y,u(y))\\ &= (u(x)+v(x)-u(y)-v(y))\int_0^1 f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\\ &-(u(x)+v(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\,d\tau\\ &-(u(x)-u(y)-v(y))\int_0^1 f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\,d\tau\\ &+(u(x)-u(y)-v(y))\int_0^1 f_u'(y,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\,d\tau\\ &-(u(x)-u(y))\int_0^1 f_u'(y,u(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)))\,d\tau\\ &= (u(x)-u(y))\int_0^1 \{f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\\ &-f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\\ &-f_u'(y,u(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)))\}\,d\tau\\ &+(v(x)-v(y))\int_0^1 \{f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)+v(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\,d\tau\\ &+v(y)\int_0^1 \{f_u'(x,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\\ &-f_u'(y,u(y)+v(y)+\tau(u(x)-u(y)-v(y)))\}\,d\tau. \end{split}$$

92 R. Nugari

Let now  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given; set  $M = ||u||_{\alpha}$ , R = M+1. Since  $f'_u$  is uniformly continuous in  $\overline{\Omega} \times [-2R, 2R]$ , then:

- (a) there exists a constant N such that  $N=\max\{|f_u'(x,u)|:x\in\overline{\Omega},\ u\in[-2R,2R]\},$
- (b)  $\forall \varepsilon' > 0 \ \exists \delta'$  such that:  $|f(x,u) f(x,v)| < \varepsilon'$  whenever  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $u,v \in [-2R,2R]$  and  $|u-v| < \delta'$ .

Moreover  $f'_u$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder in x, namely there exists a non negative constant L such that:  $|f'_u(x,u)-f'_u(y,u)|\leq L|x-y|^{\alpha}$  for any  $x,y\in\overline{\Omega}$ , and  $u\in[-2R,2R]$ . Then, if  $\varepsilon'=\varepsilon/2M$  and  $\delta=\min\{\delta',1,\frac{\varepsilon}{N},\frac{\varepsilon}{L}\}$  one gets, if  $\|v\|_{\alpha}<\delta$ :

$$|w(x,y)| \le 4\varepsilon |x-y|^{\alpha} \qquad (x,y \in \overline{\Omega})$$

whence  $h_{\alpha}(F(u+v)-F(u)) \leq 4\varepsilon$ .

To conclude, note that  $f(x,u(x)+v(x))-f(x,u(x))=\int_0^1 f_u'(x,u(x)+\tau v(x))v(x)\,d\tau$  and hence  $\|F(u+v)-F(u)\|_\infty \leq N\|v\|_\alpha < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let f satisfy the assumption (K). If F is continuous, then f is differentiable with respect to u.

PROOF: Since f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous in x and locally lipschitzian in u by Theorem 1.1, then f is absolutely continuous in u and hence almost everywhere differentiable with respect to u in  $\mathbb R$  in the following sense: for every  $x \in \Omega$  the set  $N_x = \{u : f'_u(x,u) \text{ does not exist}\}$  has zero Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb R$ . It follows that its complement  $N_x^c$  is dense in  $\mathbb R$ . We want to prove that  $N_x^c = \mathbb R$  for every x.

Let us proceed by contradiction. Assume  $N_{x_0} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and let  $u_0 \in N_{x_0}$ ; thus setting

$$l_1 = \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0, u_0 + h) - f(x_0, u_0)}{h}$$
$$l_2 = \limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0, u_0 + h) - f(x_0, u_0)}{h}$$

we should have  $l_1 < l_2$ . Let  $h_n$  and  $\chi_n$  be real sequences converging to zero such that:

$$l_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_0, u_0 + \chi_n) - f(x_0, u_0)}{\chi_n}, \quad l_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_0, u_0 + h_n) - f(x_0, u_0)}{h_n}$$

and let  $y_n$  and  $x_n$  be sequences in  $\Omega$  such that  $h_n = |y_n - x_0|^{\alpha}$  and  $\chi_n = |x_n - x_0|^{\alpha}$  (take e.g.  $y_n = x_0 + h_n^{\alpha^{-1}} v$ , |v| = 1); then  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  both converge to  $x_0$ . By the density of  $N_{x_0}^c$  there exists a real sequence  $\theta_m$  converging to zero such that  $f'_u(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m)$  exists for any m and

$$f'_{u}(x_{0}, u_{0} + \theta_{m}) = \lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{f(x_{0}, u_{0} + \xi + \theta_{m}) - f(x_{0}, u_{0} + \theta_{m})}{\xi} \qquad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

Hence also:

$$f'_{u}(x_{0}, u_{0} + \theta_{m}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_{0}, u_{0} + h_{n} + \theta_{m}) - f(x_{0}, u_{0} + \theta_{m})}{h_{n}}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_{0}, u_{0} + \chi_{n} + \theta_{m}) - f(x_{0}, u_{0} + \theta_{m})}{\chi_{n}}.$$

We will prove that  $l_2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} f'_n(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m)$ .

Let  $y_n$  be defined as above and consider, for any n, m, the following expression:

$$|h_n^{-1}[f(x_0, u_0 + h_n + \theta_m) - f(x_0, u_0 + h_n) - f(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m) + f(x_0, u_0)]|$$

$$= |h_n^{-1}[f(y_n, u_0 + h_n + \theta_m) - f(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m) - f(y_n, u_0 + h_n) + f(x_0, u_0) - f(y_n, u_0 + h_n + \theta_m) + f(y_n, u_0 + h_n) - f(x_0, u_0 + h_n) + f(x_0, u_0 + h_n + \theta_m)]|.$$
(2.1)

If we define  $u(x) = |x - x_0|^{\alpha} + u_0$ , so that  $u(y_n) = h_n + u_0$  and  $u(x_0) = u_0$ , the expression in (2.1) is less than or equal to

$$||F(u+\theta_m)-F(u)||_{\alpha}+||F(u_0+h_n)-F(u_0+h_n+\theta_m)||_{\alpha}.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  and using the continuity of F in  $u_0 + \theta_m$  we get for any m:

$$|l_2 - f'_u(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m)| \le ||F(u + \theta_m) - F(u)||_{\alpha} + ||F(u_0) - F(u_0 + \theta_m)||_{\alpha}.$$

Letting now  $m \to \infty$  we get  $l_2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} f'_u(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m)$ . The same argument shows that  $l_1 = \lim_{m \to \infty} f'_u(x_0, u_0 + \theta_m)$ , so that  $l_1 = l_2$ : contradiction.

Corollary 2.3. Let  $\Omega = (a, b)$  and assume that the Nemitskii operator F induced by f acts in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$  is bounded and continuous. Then f is differentiable with respect to u.

## 3. Lipschitz property.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let f satisfy the assumption (K). In order that F be locally lipschitzian, it is sufficient that f be differentiable with respect to u and  $f'_u$  satisfy the assumption (K). If  $\Omega = (a, b)$ , this condition is also necessary.

PROOF: The "if" part can be proved in the same way as [7, Theorem 1.2]. To prove the "only if" part, note that by assumption

(3.1) 
$$\forall R > 0 \ \exists k(R) \ge 0 :$$

$$||F(u) - F(v)||_{\alpha} \le k(R) ||u - v||_{\alpha} \qquad \forall ||u||_{\alpha}, ||v||_{\alpha} \le R.$$

Let  $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $||u||_{\alpha} = M$ , R = M+1 and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , so that  $||u+\lambda||_{\alpha} < R$ . Let us consider, for any  $x \in [a,b]$ , the function:  $g(x,\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}[f(x,u(x)+\lambda) - f(x,u(x))]$ . As a consequence of (3.1) the function g has the following properties:

(i) 
$$|g(x,\lambda) - g(y,\lambda)| \le k(R)|x - y|^{\alpha} \ (x, y \in [a, b], \ \lambda \in (0, 1))$$

$$(\mathrm{ii}) \ |g(x,\lambda)| \leq k(R) \ (x,y \in [a,b], \ \lambda \in (0,1)).$$

94 R. Nugari

Then the set  $\{g_{\lambda}\} := \{g(\cdot, \lambda), \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  is a subset of real continuous functions defined on [a, b] which satisfies the assumptions of Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem; hence there exists a sequence  $\lambda_n$  such that:

$$\lambda_n \to 0$$

 $g_{\lambda_n} \to g$  for some g continuous. Observe that, since F is continuous, from Theorem 2.2 we get the differentiability of f with respect to u. Hence for any  $x \in [a,b]$  we have  $g(x) = f'_u(x,u(x))$ .

The rest of the proof consists in showing that the Nemitskii operator G induced by  $f'_u$  maps  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  into itself and is bounded, so that we can apply Theorem 1.1 to prove the claim. For  $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  with  $||u||_{\alpha} \leq R$  we have  $|g_{\lambda_n}(x)| \leq k(R)$ , and thus passing to the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get  $|g(x)| \leq k(R)$ , which implies  $||G(u)||_{\infty} \leq k(R)$ . Likewise, letting  $n \to \infty$  in the inequality  $|x-y|^{-\alpha}|g_{\lambda_n}(x)-g_{\lambda_n}(y)| \leq k(R)$ , we get  $|x-y|^{-\alpha}|g(x)-g(y)| \leq k(R)$ , whence  $h_{\alpha}(G(u)) \leq k(R)$ . We conclude that  $||G(u)||_{\alpha} \leq 2k(R)$  and finish the proof.

Corollary 3.2. Let  $\Omega = (a, b)$ . Then F maps  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$  into itself and is locally lipschitzian if and only if both f and  $f'_u$  satisfy the assumption (K).

### 4. Differentiability.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let f be twice differentiable with respect to u and assume that both f and  $f'_u$  satisfy the assumption (K), while  $f''_u$  satisfies the assumption (H). Then F is continuously differentiable.

PROOF: From the assumptions and Theorem 2.1 the Nemitskii operator G induced by  $f'_u$  is continuous. Let us compute:

$$w(x, u, v) = f(x, u(x) + v(x)) - f(x, u(x)) - f'_u(x, u(x))v(x)$$

$$= \int_0^1 [f'_u(x, u(x) + \xi v(x)) - f'_u(x, u(x))v(x)] d\xi$$

$$= \int_0^1 [G(u + \xi v) - G(u)](x)v(x) d\xi$$

whence

$$||F(u+v) - F(u) - G(u)v||_{\alpha} \le \int_0^1 ||G(u+\xi v) - G(u)v||_{\alpha} d\xi.$$

Moreover,

$$|x - y|^{-\alpha} |w(x, u, v) - w(y, u, v)| \le$$

$$\le \int_0^1 |x - y|^{-\alpha} |(G(u + \xi v) - G(u))(x)v(x) - (G(u + \xi v) - G(u))(y)v(y)| d\xi$$

whence

$$h_{\alpha}[F(u+v) - F(u) - G(u)v] \le \int_{0}^{1} h_{\alpha}[G(u+\xi v) - G(u)v] d\xi.$$

We conclude that

$$||F(u+v) - F(u) - G(u)v||_{\alpha} \le \int_0^1 ||(G(u+\xi v) - G(u))v||_{\alpha} d\xi$$
  
$$\le m||v||_{\alpha} \int_0^1 ||G(u+\xi v) - G(u)||_{\alpha} d\xi.$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the continuity of G there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||G(u + \xi v) - G(u)||_{\alpha} < \varepsilon$  whenever  $||v||_{\alpha} < \delta$ . Therefore,

$$||F(u+v) - F(u) - G(u)v||_{\alpha} \le \varepsilon ||v||_{\alpha}$$

whenever  $||v||_{\alpha} < \delta$ , showing that F is differentiable at u with derivative F'(u)[v] = G(u)v. Finally, to show that the derivative is continuous, let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the Banach space of all linear bounded mappings of  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$  into itself, equipped with its usual norm  $||T||_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup\{||T[v]||_{\alpha} : ||v||_{\alpha} = 1\}$ . Since

$$||F'(u+w)[v] - F'(u)[v]||_{\alpha} = ||G(u+w)v - G(u)v||_{\alpha} \le m||G(u+w) - G(u)||_{\alpha}||v||_{\alpha}$$
 we have

$$||F'(u+w) - F'(u)||_{\mathcal{L}} \le m||G(u+w) - G(u)||_{\alpha}$$

and the conclusion follows again from the continuity of G.

**Remark.** If  $\Omega$  denotes, as before, an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the conditions stated in Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 are sufficient also in the case  $f = f(x, u) = f(x, u_1, \ldots, u_m)$  is a real valued function defined in  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $(m \ge 1)$ . In this case  $f'_u$  denotes the gradient of f with respect to the variable  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , while  $f''_u$  will denote the  $m \times m$  Hessian matrix  $(f''_{u_i u_j})$   $(i, j = 1, \ldots, m)$  of f with respect to the same variable. As a norm in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$  we take  $\|u\|_{\alpha,m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|_{\alpha}$ ,  $(u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m))$ .

#### References

- Appell J., The superposition operator in function spaces A survey, Expo. Math. 6 (1975), 209-270.
- [2] Appell J., Zabreiko P., Nonlinear superposition operators, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 95, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [3] Bondarenko V.A., Zabreiko P., The superposition operator in Hölder function spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 16 (1975), 739–743.
- [4] Drábek P., Continuity of Němickij's operator in Hölder spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 16 (1975), 37–57.
- [5] Goebel M., On Fréchet differentiability of Nemitskij operators acting in Hölder spaces, Glasgow Math. J. 33 (1991).
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Continuity and Fréchet differentiability of Nemitskij operators in Hölder spaces, Monatshefte für Mathematik 113 (1992), 107–119.
- [7] Nugari R., Continuity and differentiability properties of the Nemitskij operator in Hölder spaces, Glasgow Math. J. 30 (1988), 59-65.
- [8] Valent T., Boundary value problems in finite elasticity, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy 31, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università della Calabria, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (CS), Italy