

Totally bounded frame quasi-uniformities

P. FLETCHER, W. HUNSAKER, W. LINDGREN

Abstract. This paper considers totally bounded quasi-uniformities and quasi-proximities for frames and shows that for a given quasi-proximity \triangleleft on a frame L there is a totally bounded quasi-uniformity on L that is the coarsest quasi-uniformity, and the only totally bounded quasi-uniformity, that determines \triangleleft . The constructions due to B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr of the Cauchy spectrum ψL and the compactification $\mathfrak{R}L$ of a uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) are meaningful for quasi-uniform frames. If \mathbf{U} is a totally bounded quasi-uniformity on a frame L , there is a totally bounded quasi-uniformity $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathfrak{R}L$ such that $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ is a compactification of (L, \mathbf{U}) . Moreover, the Cauchy spectrum of the uniform frame $(Fr(\mathbf{U}^*), \mathbf{U}^*)$ can be viewed as the spectrum of the bicompletion of (L, \mathbf{U}) .

Keywords: frame, uniform frame, quasi-uniform frame, quasi-proximity, totally bounded quasi-uniformity, uniformly regular ideal, compactification, bicompletion

Classification: 6D20, 18B35, 54D35, 54E05, 54E15

0. Introduction.

The concept of a quasi-proximity for a topological space was introduced by C.H. Dowker [4]. In [12] W. Hunsaker and W. Lindgren proved that there is a one-to-one correspondence between quasi-proximities and totally bounded quasi-uniformities and that each quasi-proximity class of quasi-uniformities contains a coarsest member, which is totally bounded. In this paper, we introduce the concept of a frame quasi-proximity, obtain results for frames analogous to those obtained for spaces in [12], and discuss compactifications of totally bounded quasi-uniform frames.

Let \mathbf{U} be a totally bounded quasi-uniformity and let L be the frame determined by \mathbf{U}^* . In [3] B. Banaschewski and A. Pultr give a compactification $\mathfrak{R}L$ of the uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}^*) . We show that there exists a totally bounded quasi-uniformity $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathfrak{R}L$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^*$ determines $\mathfrak{R}L$ and that there exists a dense quasi-uniform frame homomorphism from $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ onto (L, \mathbf{U}) .

In the last section we consider briefly another construction from [3], the Cauchy spectrum of a uniform frame. We show that if \mathbf{U} is a quasi-uniformity then the Cauchy spectrum of the underlying uniform frame $(Fr(\mathbf{U}^*), \mathbf{U}^*)$ can be constructed directly from the quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} in a manner that parallels the construction of the bicompletion of a quasi-uniform space [9].

1. Preliminaries.

A *frame* (L, \leq) is a complete lattice that satisfies the frame distributive law: $a \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee a \wedge x$ ($x \in S$) for any $a \in L$ and any $S \subseteq L$. A function $f : L \rightarrow M$

between frames is a *join homomorphism* provided that for any $S \subseteq L$, $f(\bigvee S) = \bigvee \{f(s) : s \in S\}$. A join homomorphism that also preserves finite meets is called a *frame homomorphism*. We use 1 to denote $\bigwedge \emptyset$ and 0 to denote $\bigvee \emptyset$. A subset C of a frame (L, \leq) is a *cover* provided that $\bigvee C = 1$. For each $a \in L$, \bar{a} denotes $\bigvee \{x \in L : x \wedge a = 0\}$; this element \bar{a} is called the *pseudocomplement* of a . Throughout this paper if F is a collection of functions mapping a frame L to a frame M we define $\bigwedge F$ pointwise and for $u, v \in F$ we write $u \leq v$ to mean that for each $x \in L$, $u(x) \leq v(x)$.

We recall the following fundamental concepts and results from [8].

For a and b in L , the function $a \sharp b : L \rightarrow L$ is defined by

$$a \sharp b(x) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } a \wedge x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $u : L \rightarrow L$ is any function and $x \in L$, then x is *u-small* provided that $x \sharp x \leq u$. The collection of all *u-small* elements is denoted by S_u , and if u is an order-preserving function such that $\bigvee S_u = 1$ we say that u is a Δ -map.

A *frame quasi-uniformity base* supported on a frame (L, \leq) is a collection \mathbf{B} of Δ -maps such that

- (1) For each $u \in \mathbf{B}$ there exists $v \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $v \circ v \leq u$.
- (2) For $u, v \in \mathbf{B}$ there is a join homomorphism w and a $z \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $z \leq w \leq u \wedge v$.

If \mathbf{B} is a frame quasi-uniformity base, then the quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} for which \mathbf{B} is a base is the collection of all $w : L \rightarrow L$ such that w is order preserving and there is a $u \in \mathbf{B}$ with $u \leq w$. The members of a quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} are called *entourages*.

If \mathbf{B} satisfies:

- (3) For each $u \in \mathbf{B}$ and for each $x, y \in L$, $u(x) \wedge y = 0$ if and only if $u(y) \wedge x = 0$, then \mathbf{B} is a *base for a frame uniformity for L*.

A collection \mathbf{D} of Δ -maps is a *subbase* for a frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} provided that the collection of all finite meets from \mathbf{D} is a base for \mathbf{U} .

The frame of \mathbf{U} , denoted by $Fr(\mathbf{U})$ is the collection to which a belongs provided that

$$a = \bigvee \{b \in L : u(b) \leq a \text{ for some } u \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

We say that \mathbf{U} *determines L* provided that $Fr(\mathbf{U}) = L$.

Let \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} be quasi-uniformities on frames L and M respectively and let $f : L \rightarrow M$ be a frame homomorphism. Then f is a *quasi-uniform frame homomorphism* provided that for every $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there exists a $v \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $v \circ f \leq f \circ u$.

For each Δ -map u and each $x \in L$ define

$$\hat{u} : L \rightarrow L \quad \text{by} \quad \hat{u}(x) = \bigvee \{b \sharp a : a \sharp b \leq u\}(x)$$

and

$$u^* : L \rightarrow L \quad \text{by} \quad u^*(x) = \bigvee \{a : a \sharp a \leq u \text{ and } a \wedge x \neq 0\}.$$

Then for any quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} supported on a frame L , $\{\hat{u} : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a quasi-uniformity $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ on L and $\{u^* : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a uniformity \mathbf{U}^* on L that is the coarsest quasi-uniformity containing $\mathbf{U} \cup \hat{\mathbf{U}}$. The *underlying biframe* of \mathbf{U} is the triple $(Fr(\mathbf{U}^*), Fr(\mathbf{U}), Fr(\hat{\mathbf{U}}))$. It is shown in [8] that the underlying biframe of \mathbf{U} is a biframe in the sense of B. Banaschewski, G.C.L. Brümmer and K. Hardie [2]. If \mathbf{U} is a quasi-uniformity on L and \mathbf{U}^* determines L , we say that (L, \mathbf{U}) is a *quasi-uniform frame*.

2. Quasi-proximities.

In this section we extend the theory of quasi-proximities established in [12] to a theory of quasi-proximities for frames.

Definition. Let (L, \leq) be a frame. A *quasi-proximity* on L is a binary relation \triangleleft on L satisfying the following axioms for a, b, c, d in L .

- (1) $0 \triangleleft 0$ and $1 \triangleleft 1$.
- (2) If $a \triangleleft b$, then $a \leq b$.
- (3) If $a \leq b \triangleleft c \leq d$, then $a \triangleleft d$.
- (4) If $a \triangleleft b$ and $a \triangleleft c$, then $a \triangleleft b \wedge c$.
- (5) If $a \triangleleft c$ and $b \triangleleft c$, then $a \vee b \triangleleft c$.
- (6) If $a \triangleleft b$, then there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \triangleleft c \triangleleft b$.
- (7) If $a \triangleleft b$, then $\bar{a} \vee b = 1$.

Proposition 2.1. Let (L, \leq) be a frame and let \mathbf{U} be a quasi-uniform base on L . For $a, b \in L$ define $a \triangleleft b$ if and only if $u(a) \leq b$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Then \triangleleft is a quasi-proximity on L .

PROOF: The axioms (1) – (5) follow easily from the properties of a quasi-uniformity and axiom (6) holds as in the proof of [8, Proposition 5.1]. To see that axiom (7) holds suppose that $a \triangleleft b$ and let $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u(a) \leq b$. It suffices to show that $\bar{a} \vee u(a) = 1$. We have $1 = \bigvee\{x \in L : x \text{ is } u\text{-small}\} = \bigvee\{x \in L : x \text{ is } u\text{-small and } x \wedge a \neq 0\} \vee \bigvee\{x \in L : x \text{ is } u\text{-small and } x \wedge a = 0\} \leq u(a) \vee \bar{a}$. □

Definition. If \mathbf{U} is a quasi-uniformity (base) on a frame L , then the quasi-proximity \triangleleft defined by $a \triangleleft b$ if and only if $u(a) \leq b$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}$ is called the *quasi-proximity determined by \mathbf{U}* .

Lemma 2.2. Let (L, \leq) be a frame. Let $C = \{(a_\alpha, b_\alpha) : a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in L, \alpha \in A\}$ and suppose that for each $B \subseteq A$, $(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in B} a_\alpha, \bigwedge_{\alpha \in B} b_\alpha) \in C$ and $(\bigvee_{\alpha \in B} a_\alpha, \bigvee_{\alpha \in B} b_\alpha) \in C$. For each $\alpha \in A$ and each $x \in L$, let

$$u_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ b_\alpha & \text{if } x \leq a_\alpha \text{ and } x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let $u(x) = \bigwedge u_\alpha(x)$. Then $u : L \rightarrow L$ is a join homomorphism.

PROOF: Let $x = \bigvee x_i$. Then for each $\alpha \in A$ and each i , $u_\alpha(x) \geq u_\alpha(x_i)$ and so $u(x) \geq \bigvee_i u(x_i)$. In order to show that $u(x) \leq \bigvee_i u(x_i)$ we may suppose that for

each i , $u(x_i) \neq 1$ and for some i , $u(x_i) \neq 0$. For each i , let $B_i = \{\alpha : x_i \leq a_\alpha\}$. Then $B_i \neq \emptyset$. Let $w_i = \bigwedge \{a_\alpha : \alpha \in B_i\}$, $z_i = \bigwedge \{b_\alpha : \alpha \in B_i\}$. Then for each i , $(w_i, z_i) \in C$, $x_i \leq w_i$ and $u(x_i) = z_i$. Let $w = \bigvee w_i$ and let $z = \bigvee z_i$. Then $(w, z) \in C$; hence $(w, z) = (a_\gamma, b_\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in A$ and $u(x) \leq u_\gamma(x) = z = \bigvee_i u(x_i)$. □

Definition. Let L be a frame and let \mathbf{U} be a quasi-uniformity on L . Then \mathbf{U} is *totally bounded* provided that for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there is a finite cover of L by u -small elements.

Theorem 2.3. *Let L be a frame and let \triangleleft be a quasi-proximity on L . For $a, b \in L$ define*

$$u_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ b & \text{if } x \leq a, x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let $\mathcal{S} = \{u_{a,b} : a \triangleleft b\}$. Then \mathcal{S} is a subbase for a totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft , which determines \triangleleft , and is the only totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft .

PROOF: We first prove that \mathcal{S} is a subbase for a quasi-uniformity. Let $a, b \in L$ and suppose that $a \triangleleft b$. Then \bar{a} and b are $u_{a,b}$ -small and so $u_{a,b}$ is a Δ -map. Let $u_{a_i, b_i} \in \mathcal{S}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $D = \{(a_i, b_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and form $C = \{(a_\alpha, b_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ by taking all meets and joins from D . Let $u = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in A} u_\alpha$ and note that $u \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n u_{a_i, b_i}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that u is a join homomorphism that is a finite meet of members of \mathcal{S} . Moreover, u is a Δ -map.

Let $u_{a,b} \in \mathcal{S}$. There exists $c \in L$ such that $a \triangleleft c \triangleleft b$. Let $w = u_{a,c} \wedge u_{c,b}$. It is easy to verify that $w^2 \leq u_{a,b}$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is a subbase for a frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft . If $u_{a,b} \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\{\bar{a}, b\}$ is a cover of L by $u_{a,b}$ -small elements. It follows that \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft is totally bounded.

We now show that \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft determines \triangleleft . Let \triangleleft_1 denote the quasi-proximity determined by \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft . Suppose that $a \triangleleft b$. Then $u_{a,b}(a) \leq b$ and hence $a \triangleleft_1 b$. Now suppose that $a \triangleleft_1 b$. There exists $u \in \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft$ such that $u(a) \leq b$. Since $u \in \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft$, there are (a_i, b_i) , $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $a_i \triangleleft b_i$ for each i , and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n u_{a_i, b_i} \leq u$. Let $w = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n u_{a_i, b_i}$. Let $J = \{i : a \leq a_i\}$, and let $c = \bigwedge_{j \in J} a_j$, $d = \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j$. Then $a \leq c \triangleleft d \leq b$.

We next show that \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft is the coarsest frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft . Suppose that \mathbf{V} is a frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft . Let $u_{a,b} \in \mathcal{S}$; then $a \triangleleft b$ so there exists a join homomorphism $v \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $v(a) \leq b$. It follows that $v \leq u_{a,b}$.

Finally we show that \mathbf{U}_\triangleleft is the only totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft . Suppose that \mathbf{V} is a totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity that determines \triangleleft . Let $w \in V$ and let $v \in V$ such that $v^2 \leq w$. There exists a finite cover $\{a_i\}$ of L by v -small elements. Since V determines \triangleleft , we have that $a_i \triangleleft v(a_i)$

for all i . Note that $u_{a_i, v(a_i)} \in \mathbf{U}_{\triangleleft}$ and let $z \in \mathbf{U}_{\triangleleft}$ be a join homomorphism such that $z \leq \bigwedge_i u_{a_i, v(a_i)}$. To see that $z \leq w$ let $x \in L$. Then $z(x) = \bigvee_i z(x \wedge a_i)$. For each j ,

$$\begin{aligned} z(x \wedge a_j) &\leq \bigwedge_i u_{a_i, v(a_i)}(x \wedge a_j) \\ &\leq u_{a_j, v(a_j)}(a_j) \leq v(a_j) \leq v^2(x) \leq w(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Compactifications of totally bounded quasi-uniform frames.

Let \mathbf{U} be a totally bounded quasi-uniformity and let (L, L_1, L_2) be the underlying biframe of \mathbf{U} . Let \triangleleft^* be the quasi-proximity determined by \mathbf{U}^* . We note that \triangleleft^* is the “uniformly below” relation of [3, p. 63]. For the remainder of this paper we follow the notation and terminology of [3] and make use of the results contained therein. In particular, an ideal J in L is *uniformly regular* provided that if $x \in J$ there is a $y \in J$ with $x \triangleleft^* y$; $\mathfrak{R}L$ denotes the frame of all uniformly regular ideals of L and $k(x)$ is the uniformly regular ideal consisting of all $y \in L$ such that $y \triangleleft^* x$. In [3] the authors establish that $\mathfrak{R}L$ is a compactification of the uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}^*) . The purpose of this section is to show that there exists a totally bounded quasi-uniformity $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ on $\mathfrak{R}L$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^*$ determines $\mathfrak{R}L$ and a dense quasi-uniform frame homomorphism from $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ onto (L, \mathbf{U}) . That is, we show that $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ is a compactification of the quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) .

For each $u \in \mathbf{U}$ define $\overline{u} : \mathfrak{R}L \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}L$ by $\overline{u}(J) = \bigvee \{k(u(x)) : x \in S_u \text{ and } x \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\}$, and let $\overline{\mathbf{B}} = \{\overline{u} : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$. We show that $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ is a base for a quasi-uniformity $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ supported on $\mathfrak{R}L$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^*$ determines $\mathfrak{R}L$, and such that $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ is a compactification of the quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) .

In order to establish that $(\mathfrak{R}L, \overline{\mathbf{U}})$ is a quasi-uniform frame, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in \mathbf{U}$. If x is a u -small element of L , then $k(x)$ is \overline{u} -small, and if $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$ is \overline{u} -small and $x \in J$, then x is u^2 -small.*

PROOF: Let x be a u -small element of L . Let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$ such that $J \cap k(x) \neq \{0\}$. Let $y \in k(x)$ and let $a \in J \cap k(x)$, $a \neq 0$. Then $a \wedge x \neq 0$ and so $x \leq u(a)$. Thus $y \triangleleft^* x \leq u(a)$ and so $y \in k(u(a))$. Therefore $k(x) \subseteq k(u(a)) \subseteq \overline{u}(J)$.

Let J be a \overline{u} -small element of $\mathfrak{R}L$ and let $x \in J$. Suppose that $y \wedge x \neq 0$. Since $x \in J$, $k(x) \subseteq J$ and since J is \overline{u} -small, $k(x)$ is \overline{u} -small. Note that $k(x \wedge y) \subseteq k(x) \wedge k(y)$ and $0 \neq x \wedge y = \bigvee k(x \wedge y)$ so that $k(x) \leq \overline{u}(k(y))$. Thus $x = \bigvee k(x) \leq \bigvee \overline{u}(k(y))$.

Let $a \in \overline{u}(k(y))$. Then $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$ where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exist z_i and q_i such that $a_i \triangleleft^* u(z_i)$, z_i is u -small, $z_i \wedge q_i \neq 0$ and $q_i \triangleleft^* y$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $z_i \leq u(q_i) \leq u(y)$ and so $a_i \leq u(z_i) \leq u^2(y)$. Hence $x \leq \bigvee \overline{u}(k(y)) \leq u^2(y)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a, b \in L$ and suppose that $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u^*(b) \leq a$. Let $w \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $w^4 \leq u$. Then $\overline{w}^*(k(b)) \subseteq k(a)$.*

PROOF: Let J be a \bar{w} -small member of $\mathfrak{R}L$ such that $J \cap k(b) \neq \{0\}$. Let $y \in J$ and $z \in J \cap k(b)$, $z \neq 0$. Then $y \vee z \in J$ and by Lemma 3.1, $y \vee z$ is w^2 -small. Therefore by [8, Proposition 2.1], $y \leq y \vee z \leq (w^2)^*(b) \triangleleft^* u^*(b) \leq a$ and so $J \subseteq k(a)$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathbf{U} be a totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity and let $L = Fr(\mathbf{U}^*)$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{B}} = \{\bar{u} : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$. Then $\bar{\mathbf{B}}$ is a base for a totally bounded frame quasi-uniformity \mathbf{U} such that $(\mathfrak{R}L, \bar{\mathbf{U}})$ is a quasi-uniform frame.*

PROOF: Let $u \in \mathbf{U}$, let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$ and let $a \in J$. Since \mathbf{U} is totally bounded, $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$ where each $a_i \in S_u$. Thus $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i \in \bigvee_{i=1}^n k(u(a_i)) \subseteq \bar{u}(J)$. Hence $J \subseteq \bar{u}(J)$ and it is clear that \bar{u} is a join homomorphism.

Let $w \in \mathbf{U}$ and let $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u^3 \leq w$, and let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(\bar{u}(J)) &= \bar{u}\left(\bigvee\left\{k(u(c)) : c \in S_u \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\right\}\right) \\ &= \bigvee\{\bar{u}(k(u(c))) : c \in S_u \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &= \bigvee\{k(u(b)) : b, c \in S_u, b \wedge \bigvee k(u(c)) \neq 0, \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &\subseteq \bigvee\{k(w(c)) : c \in S_w \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &= \bar{w}(J). \end{aligned}$$

To see that axiom (2) holds for $\bar{\mathbf{B}}$, let $u, w \in \mathbf{U}$ and let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{u \wedge w}(J) &= \bigvee\{k((u \wedge w)(a)) : a \in S_{u \wedge w} \text{ and } a \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &\subseteq \bigvee\{k(u(b) \wedge w(c)) : b, c \in S_{u \wedge w}, b \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0, \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &\subseteq \bigvee\{k(u(b)) : b \in S_u \text{ and } b \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \cap \bigvee\{k(w(c)) : c \in S_w \text{ and } c \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\} \\ &= \bar{u}(J) \cap \bar{w}(J). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Since \mathbf{U} is totally bounded, there is a finite subcover A of S_u . Banaschewski and Pultr [3, p. 67] prove that $\bigvee\{k(x) : x \in A\} = L$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$, \bar{u} is a Δ -map and it also follows that $\bar{\mathbf{U}}$ is totally bounded.

It remains to show that $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^*$ determines $\mathfrak{R}L$. Let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$. Then $J = \bigvee\{k(a) : k(a) \subseteq J\}$. Let $b \in J$. There exists $a \in J$ such that $b \triangleleft^* a$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $w \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\bar{w}^*(k(b)) \subseteq k(a) \subseteq J$. Hence $k(b) \triangleleft^* J$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *The function $g : (\mathfrak{R}L, \bar{\mathbf{U}}) \rightarrow (L, \mathbf{U})$ defined by join is a dense quasi-uniform frame homomorphism onto (L, \mathbf{U}) .*

PROOF: Let $a \in L$. Since $a = \bigvee\{b : b \triangleleft^* a\} = \bigvee k(a)$, g maps onto (L, \mathbf{U}) . Clearly $g^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Let $\bar{u} \in \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ and let $v \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $v^2 \leq u$. We show that $v \circ g \leq g \circ \bar{u}$. Let $J \in \mathfrak{R}L$. Then $\bar{u}(J) = \bigvee\{k(u(a)) : a \in S_u \text{ and } a \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\}$

and $g \circ \bar{u}(J) = \bigvee(\bigvee\{k(u(a)) : a \in S_u \text{ and } a \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\})$. On the other hand $v \circ g(J) = v(\bigvee J) = \bigvee\{v(a) : a \in S_u \text{ and } a \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\}$. Since $v(a) \triangleleft^* u(a)$, $v(a) \in \bigvee(\bigvee\{k(u(a)) : a \in S_u \text{ and } a \wedge \bigvee J \neq 0\})$.

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^*$ is a uniformity that determines $\mathfrak{R}L$ and it follows from [3, Corollary to Lemma 2 and Lemma 4] that $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^*$ is the only uniformity that determines $\mathfrak{R}L$. The join map from $(\mathfrak{R}L, \bar{\mathbf{U}})$ to (L, \mathbf{U}) is the required dense quasi-uniform frame homomorphism. \square

4. The bicompletion of a quasi-uniform frame.

In this final section, we consider the sense in which the Cauchy spectrum of a quasi-uniform frame, introduced by Banaschewski and Pultr [3], can be viewed as the spectrum of its bicompletion. We make use of the result [3, Proposition 9] that the Cauchy spectrum of a uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) is the spectrum of its completion CL . In order to make this section dovetail with [3], we use covering uniformities. For a given quasi-uniform frame (L, \mathbf{U}) the collection of covers $\{S_u : u \in \mathbf{U}\} = \{S_u : u \in \mathbf{U}^*\}$ generates the covering uniformity \mathcal{U} corresponding to the entourage uniformity \mathbf{U}^* [5]. Let (L, \mathbf{U}) be a quasi-uniform frame. A filter F in L is a *U-Cauchy filter* provided that for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$, $S_u \cap F \neq \emptyset$. It is shown in [3] that a \mathbf{U}^* -Cauchy filter is \mathbf{U}^* -regular if, and only if, it is a minimal \mathbf{U}^* -Cauchy filter. Given a covering uniformity \mathcal{U} , Banaschewski and Pultr construct the uniform space ψL whose ground set is the collection of all minimal Cauchy filters and whose uniformity is generated by the covers $\psi_A = \{\psi_a : a \in A\}$ where $A \in \mathcal{U}$ and for each $a \in A$, $\psi_a = \{F \in \psi L : a \in F\}$. They call the resulting uniform space the *Cauchy spectrum* of the uniform frame (L, \mathcal{U}) .

We make repeated use of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 [8]. *Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a quasi-uniform space, let A and B be $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U})$ -open sets and let U be an open neighbornet of X . Let $u : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U})$ be defined by $u(G) = U(G)$. If $A \times B \subseteq U$, then $A \sharp B \leq u$. If $A \sharp B \leq u$, then $A \times B \subseteq \bar{U}$, where the closure is taken either with respect to $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U})$ or with respect to $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}^{-1})$.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathbf{U} be a frame quasi-uniformity and let $L = Fr(\mathbf{U}^*)$. For each $u \in \mathbf{U}$ set $\tilde{u} = \{(F, G) \in \psi L \times \psi L : \text{there exist } x \in F \text{ and } y \in G \text{ such that } x \sharp y \leq u\}$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \{\tilde{u} : u \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is a base for a quasi-uniformity on ψL and $(\psi L, \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^*)$ is the Cauchy spectrum of L .*

PROOF: We first prove that $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ is a base for a quasi-uniformity on ψL . Let $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$. Then $u \wedge v \in \mathbf{U}$ and $\widehat{u \wedge v} = \tilde{u} \cap \tilde{v}$. Moreover, for each $F \in \psi L$ there exists a u -small $x \in F$ and since $x \sharp x \leq u$, $(F, F) \in \tilde{u}$.

Let $u \in \mathbf{U}$ and let $v \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $v^2 \leq u$. To show that $\tilde{v}^2 \subseteq \tilde{u}$, let (F, G) and (G, H) belong to \tilde{v} . There are x in F and $y \in G$ such that $x \sharp y \leq v$ and p in G and q in H such that $p \sharp q \leq v$. Since $y \wedge p \neq 0$, $x \sharp q \leq u$. Thus $\tilde{v}^2 \subseteq \tilde{u}$.

In view of [8, Proposition 2.1] and the introductory remarks of this section, in order to show that $(\psi L, \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^*)$ is the Cauchy spectrum it suffices to prove that $\{S_{\tilde{u}} : \tilde{u} \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\}$ is a base for the covering uniformity given by Banaschewski and

Pultr [3]. Let $w \in \mathbf{U}$ and let $z, v \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $v^3 \leq w$ and $z^2 \leq v$. There exists $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ such that \tilde{u} is closed in the topology $\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) \times \tau(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{-1})$ and $\tilde{u} \subseteq \tilde{z}$ [9, page 8]. We show that $S_{\tilde{u}}$ refines ψ_{S_w} . Let $T \in S_{\tilde{u}}$. Since T is a \tilde{u} -small set of minimal \mathbf{U} -Cauchy filters, $T \# T \leq \tilde{u}$ and by Proposition 4.1, $T \times T \subseteq \tilde{u}$. Let $F \in T$ and let $a \in F \cap S_z$. We show that $T \subseteq \psi_{v^*(a)}$. Let $G \in T$. There exist $x_1, x_2 \in F$ and $y_1, y_2 \in G$ such that $x_1 \# y_1 \leq z$ and $y_2 \# x_2 \leq z$. Set $x = x_1 \wedge x_2$ and $y = y_1 \wedge y_2$ and note that $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, $y \in G$, $x \# y \leq z$ and $y \# x \leq z$. By definition, $x \# y \leq \hat{z}$ and so $y \leq z(a) \wedge \hat{z}(a)$. It follows from [8, Lemma 3.12] that $y \leq v^*(a)$ and so $G \in \psi_{v^*(a)}$. By [8, Proposition 3.9 (2)], $v^*(a)$ is v^3 -small; hence $\psi_{v^*(a)} \in \psi_{A_w}$. Thus $S_{\tilde{u}}$ refines ψ_{S_w} .

To show that $\psi_{S_u} \subseteq S_{\tilde{u}}$, let $a \in S_u$ and let $F, G \in \psi_a$. Then $a \in F \cap G$ and $a \# a \leq u$ so that $(F, G) \in \tilde{u}$. Then $\psi_a \times \psi_a \subseteq \tilde{u}$ and so by Proposition 4.1, $\psi_a \in S_{\tilde{u}}$. \square

It follows from Proposition 4.1 and the proof of [9, Theorem 3.33] that $(\psi L, \mathbf{U}^*)$ is the bicompletion of (L, \mathbf{U}) whenever \mathbf{U} is a quasi-uniformity on a set X and $L = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banaschewski B., Brümmer G.C.L., *Strong zero-dimensionality of biframes and bispaces*, Quaestiones Math. **13** (1990), 273–290.
- [2] Banaschewski B., Brümmer G.C.L., Hardie K.A., *Biframes and bispaces*, Quaestiones Math. **6** (1983), 13–25.
- [3] Banaschewski B., Pultr A., *Samuel compactification and completion of uniform frames*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1) **108** (1990), 63–78.
- [4] Dowker C.H., *Mappings of proximity structures*, “General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra”, (Proc. Sympos. Prague 1961), Academic Press, New York, 1962, 139–141; Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 1962.
- [5] Fletcher P., Hunsaker W., *Entourage uniformities for frames*, Monatsh. Math. **112** (1991), 271–279.
- [6] ———, *Symmetry conditions in terms of open sets*, Topology and its Appl. **45** (1992), 39–47.
- [7] Fletcher P., Hunsaker W., Lindgren W., *Characterizations of Frame Quasi-Uniformities*, preprint.
- [8] ———, *Frame Quasi-Uniformities*, preprint.
- [9] Fletcher P., Lindgren W., *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1982.
- [10] Frith J.L., *Structured Frames*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Cape Town, 1987.
- [11] Gantner T.E., Steinlage R.C., *Characterizations of quasi-uniformities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 48–52.
- [12] Hunsaker W., Lindgren W.F., *Construction of quasi-uniformities*, Math. Ann. **188** (1970), 39–42.
- [13] Isbell J.R., *Uniform Spaces*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964.
- [14] Johnstone P.T., *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.

- [15] Nachbin L., *Sur les espaces uniformes ordonnés*, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris **226** (1948), 774–775.
- [16] Pultr A., *Pointless uniformities I. Complete regularity*, Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae **25** (1984), 91–104.

MATHEMATICS DEPT., VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE, BLACKSBURG, VA 24061, USA

MATHEMATICS DEPT., SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CARBONDALE, IL 62901, USA

MATHEMATICS DEPT., SLIPPERY ROCK UNIVERSITY, SLIPPERY ROCK, PA 16057, USA

(Received November 23, 1992)