

## A note on group algebras of $p$ -primary abelian groups

WILLIAM ULLERY

*Abstract.* Suppose  $p$  is a prime number and  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity of characteristic 0 in which  $p$  is not a unit. Assume that  $G$  and  $H$  are  $p$ -primary abelian groups such that the respective group algebras  $RG$  and  $RH$  are  $R$ -isomorphic. Under certain restrictions on the ideal structure of  $R$ , it is shown that  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic.

*Keywords:* commutative group algebras, isomorphism

*Classification:* 20C07

Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity of characteristic 0. If  $p$  is a prime number, and if  $G$  and  $H$  are  $p$ -primary abelian groups, the question arises of whether an  $R$ -isomorphism of the group algebras  $RG$  and  $RH$  implies that  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic. It is known that if  $1/p \in R$ , then one cannot expect  $RG \cong RH$  to imply  $G \cong H$ . For example, in [U] it is shown that if  $R$  is an integral domain with sufficiently many  $p^k$ -th roots of unity for various integers  $k \geq 1$ , then  $1/p \in R$  implies that the isomorphism class of  $RG$  is completely determined by  $|G|$ . In this brief note, we investigate conditions on  $R$  which guarantee that  $G \cong H$  whenever  $RG \cong RH$ . Therefore, we assume throughout that  $1/p \notin R$ .

Let  $\text{inv}(R)$  be the set of prime numbers that are units in  $R$ , and let  $\text{zd}(R)$  be the set of prime numbers that are zero divisors in  $R$ . The characteristic of  $R$  is denoted by  $\text{char}(R)$ . Throughout the remainder of this paper, our standing hypotheses are that  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity,  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ ,  $p$  is a prime number such that  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ , and  $G$  and  $H$  are  $p$ -primary abelian groups.

Our first result appears in [U], but for the sake of completeness we include its short proof below. Its proof requires a special case of the main result of [M]; that is, if  $R$  is an integral domain and  $RG \cong RH$ , then  $G \cong H$ .

**Proposition 1** ([U]). *If the additive group of  $R$  is torsion-free, then  $RG \cong RH$  implies that  $G \cong H$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ , there exists a minimal prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  such that  $p \notin \text{inv}(R/P)$ . Moreover,  $R$  torsion-free means that  $\text{zd}(R) = \emptyset$ . We conclude that  $R/P$  is an integral domain with  $\text{char}(R/P) = 0$  and  $(R/P)G \cong (R/P)H$ . It follows from the result of [M] mentioned above that  $G \cong H$ .  $\square$

The following consequence of Proposition 1 provides a necessary ingredient for the proofs of the subsequent results.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $p \notin \text{zd}(R)$ , then  $RG \cong RH$  implies  $G \cong H$ .*

PROOF: Let  $T$  be the torsion subgroup of the additive group of  $R$ . Note that  $T$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ . We first claim that  $p \notin \text{inv}(R/T)$ . Indeed, if  $p \in \text{inv}(R/T)$ , then  $n(pr - 1) = 0$  for some  $r \in R$  and integer  $n > 0$ . Since  $p \notin \text{inv}(R) \cup \text{zd}(R)$ , we may assume that  $p$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. Select integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sn + tp = 1$ . Then,  $0 = sn(pr - 1) = (1 - tp)(pr - 1) = p(r - trp + t) - 1$ , contradicting  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ . Thus,  $p \notin \text{inv}(R/T)$  as claimed.

If  $c \geq 0$  is the characteristic of  $R/T$ , then  $c \in T$  and there exists an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $mc = 0$ . Therefore,  $c = 0$ . Consequently,  $R/T$  is a torsion-free ring of characteristic 0 and  $p \notin \text{inv}(R/T)$ . Since  $(R/T)G \cong (R/T)H$ , an application of Proposition 1 completes the proof.  $\square$

As usual,  $J(R)$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $p \in J(R)$ . Then  $RG \cong RH$  implies that  $G \cong H$ .*

PROOF: In view of Proposition 2, it suffices to show that  $R$  has a homomorphic image  $S$  of characteristic 0 with  $p \notin \text{inv}(S) \cup \text{zd}(S)$ .

First note that if  $p$  were contained in every minimal prime ideal of  $R$ , we would have  $p^k = 0$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , contradicting  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ . Set

$$I = \bigcap \{P : P \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } R \text{ with } p \notin P\}$$

and let  $T_p$  denote the  $p$ -torsion of the additive group of  $R$ . Observe that  $I + T_p$  is a proper ideal of  $R$  since  $p \notin I$ . We claim that  $S = R/(I + T_p)$  has the desired properties.

Select a maximal ideal  $M$  containing  $I + T_p$  and note that  $p \in J(R)$  implies  $p \in M$ . Consequently,  $p \notin \text{inv}(S)$  since  $R/M$  is a homomorphic image of  $S$  and  $p \notin \text{inv}(R/M)$ . Set  $c = \text{char}(S)$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , there exist integers  $c'$  and  $m$ , with  $c'$  relatively prime to  $p$  and  $m \geq 0$ , such that  $c = c'p^m \in I + T_p$ . Thus,  $c'p^{m+k} \in I$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . We conclude that  $c' \in I \subseteq M$ , which is absurd since  $p \in M$  and  $M$  is proper. Therefore,  $\text{char}(S) = c = 0$ . Finally, if  $pr \in I + T_p$  for some  $r \in R$ , it follows that  $r \in I$  and  $p \notin \text{zd}(S)$ .  $\square$

If  $R$  is quasi-local with unique maximal ideal  $M$ , then  $p \in M = J(R)$ . Therefore, from Proposition 3 we obtain

**Corollary 4.** *If  $R$  is quasi-local, then  $RG \cong RH$  implies  $G \cong H$ .*

As an application of Corollary 4, we obtain the following

**Proposition 5.** *Suppose the ideal  $Rp$  of  $R$  generated by  $p$  contains no nonzero idempotents. Then  $RG \cong RH$  implies  $G \cong H$ .*

PROOF: Let  $T_p$  denote the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of the additive group  $R$ . We claim that  $I = T_p + Rp$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ . If not,  $r + sp = 1$  for some  $r \in T_p$  and  $s \in R$ . Therefore,  $sp^{k+1} = p^k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$  and it follows by

induction that  $s^n p^{k+n} = p^k$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,  $s^k p^{2k} = p^k$  and  $(s^k p^k)^2 = s^{2k} p^{2k} = s^k p^k$ . Since  $s^k p^k \in Rp$  is idempotent,  $s^k p^k = 0$ . Consequently,  $0 = s^k p^k p^k = s^k p^{2k} = p^k$ , contradicting  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ . Therefore,  $I$  is proper as claimed.

Select a maximal ideal  $M$  containing  $I$  and consider the localization  $R_M$ . Clearly  $p \notin \text{inv}(R_M)$  since  $p \in M$ . Moreover, if  $c = \text{char}(R_M)$ , then  $dc = 0$  for some  $d \in R \setminus M$ . Thus  $c \in M$ . Since  $p \in M$ , we have  $c = p^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$  or  $c = 0$ . If  $c = p^m$ , then  $dp^m = 0$  implies that  $d \in T_p \subseteq M$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{char}(R_M) = 0$ . An application of Corollary 4 now yields the result, since  $R_M G \cong R_M \otimes_R RG \cong R_M \otimes_R RH \cong R_M H$ .  $\square$

We summarize what we have proved in our final result.

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity such that  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  and assume  $p$  is a prime number such that  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ . If  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian  $p$ -groups such that  $RG \cong RH$  as  $R$ -algebras, then  $G \cong H$  in each of the following cases.*

- (1)  $Rp$  contains no nonzero idempotents (in particular, if  $R$  is indecomposable).
- (2)  $p \in J(R)$  (in particular, if  $R$  is quasi-local).
- (3)  $p \notin \text{zd}(R)$  (in particular, if  $R$  is torsion-free).

In closing we make a few remarks which may shed some light on the possible importance of results such as Theorem 6. First of all, one would ideally like to dispense with all conditions on  $R$  except for  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  and (the necessary hypothesis)  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ . We formulate this as

**Conjecture I.** *Suppose  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ ,  $p \notin \text{inv}(R)$ , and  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian  $p$ -groups with  $RG \cong RH$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

Also, we mention the long-standing conjecture in the modular case. As a reference, the reader is directed to G. Karpilovsky's excellent book [K], which is a fundamental source for any investigator in this area. We formulate Conjecture B on page 174 of [K] as

**Conjecture II.** *Suppose  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and  $G$  and  $H$  are abelian  $p$ -groups with  $FG \cong FH$ . Then  $G \cong H$ .*

It is easily proven that Conjectures I and II are equivalent (see, for example, [U]). That is, either both are true or both are false (or perhaps, undecidable in ZFC).

## REFERENCES

- [K] Karpilovsky G., *Commutative Group Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.

- [M] May W., *Isomorphism of group algebras*, J. Algebra **40** (1976), 10–18.  
[U] Ullery W., *On isomorphism of group algebras of torsion abelian groups*, Rocky Mtn. J. Math. **22** (1992), 1111–1122.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849–5310,  
USA

*E-mail*: ullery@mail.auburn.edu

(Received April 6, 1994)