Concerning weak*-extreme points

Eva Matoušková

Abstract. Every separable nonreflexive Banach space admits an equivalent norm such that the set of the weak*-extreme points of the unit ball is discrete.

Keywords: weak*-extreme points, equivalent norm, nonreflexive Banach spaces Classification: Primary 46B20

Let K be a closed, convex and bounded subset of a Banach space X. A point x of K is called weak*-extreme if it is an extreme point of K^{**} , the weak*-closure of K in X^{**} .

James' theorem implies that the sets $\operatorname{ext} K$ and $\operatorname{ext} K^{**}$ of extreme points of, respectively, K and K^{**} , coincide if and only if the set K is weakly compact.

Godun [G] shows that the existence of an equivalent norm for which $\operatorname{ext} B$ and w^* - $\operatorname{ext} B$ do not coincide characterizes nonreflexive Banach spaces, where w^* - $\operatorname{ext} B$ denotes the set of weak*-extreme points of the unit ball B.

A theorem of Stegall [S] says that a Banach space X which fails the Radon-Nykodým property admits an equivalent norm so that the set w^* -ext B is empty. Moreover, the distance from X to the set ext B^{**} is positive.

On the other hand, Phelps [P] shows that if X has the Radon-Nykodým property then every closed, convex and bounded subset of X is the closed convex hall of its strongly exposed points (and such points are weak*-extreme).

The question arises of "how small" the set w^* -extB can be. A well-known result of Lindenstrauss and Phelps shows that in an infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space X the set extB of extreme points of the unit ball must be uncountable. In particular, if X is separable, the set extB cannot be isolated in the norm topology.

Godun, Lin, and Troyanski [GLT] show that if X is separable and nonreflexive, then it admits an equivalent norm such that w^* -ext B is at most countable. We observe in this note that X can even be renormed so that w^* -ext B is normisolated. We do not know whether or not such an equivalent norm exists for any nonseparable and nonreflexive Banach space. Observe that in [LP] there is an example of a nonseparable reflexive Banach space such that ext B is normisolated.

The work on this paper was supported by a grant of the Österreichische Akademische Austauschdienst during the author's visit to the University of Linz, Austria. The author is also grateful for the opportunity of participating in conferences organized under the TEMPUS project JEP-01980

246 E. Matoušková

We wish to thank C. Stegall for a number of helpful discussions on the topic of this paper.

In the following we denote by sp A (respectively, co A) the span (respectively, the convex hull) of a set $A \subset X$.

The weak*-extreme points can be characterized as follows (see, for example, [R] and [GLT]):

Lemma 1. Let X be a Banach space, K a closed convex bounded subset of X and $x \in K$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) x is a weak*-extreme point of K;
- (ii) the open slices of K, containing x, form a neighborhood base for x in the weak topology on K;
- (iii) if y_n , $z_n \in K$ are such that $\lim ||x (y_n + z_n)/2|| = 0$, then weak- $\lim (y_n z_n) = 0$.

We shall use the following characterization of nonreflexive Banach spaces:

Theorem 2 ([J]). A Banach space X is nonreflexive iff for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ of norm one elements so that

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{sp}\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n,\operatorname{co}\{z_i\}_{i=n+1}^\infty\right) > \varepsilon$$

for any $n \in N$.

Theorem 2. Let X be a separable nonreflexive Banach space. Then X admits an equivalent norm such that the set of weak*-extreme points of the new unit ball is isolated in the norm topology.

PROOF: Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in the unit ball of X as in Theorem 2. Clearly, $\{\pm z_n\}$ is ε -discrete. Also $\{z_n\}$ does not have a weak-cluster point in X because

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\operatorname{co}}\{z_i\}_{i=n}^{\infty} = \emptyset.$$

Choose some weak*-cluster point z^{**} of $\{z_n\}$ in X^{**} . Denote by Y the kernel of z^{**} in X^* . Then it is well known that for $x \in X$

$$|x| := \sup\{\langle x^*, x \rangle; \ x^* \in Y, \ ||x^*|| \le 1\}$$

defines an equivalent norm on X (cf. e.g. [GLT]). Denote the unit ball under this norm by D. Because X is separable, there is a norming sequence $\{z_k^*\}$ in the unit sphere of Y, i.e.

(1)
$$|x| = \sup\{\langle z_k^*, x \rangle; \ k \in N\}$$

for every $x \in X$. Since z_k^* are in the kernel of z^{**} , by passing to a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$ if necessary, we may suppose that

(2)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle z_n, z_k^* \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choose c > 0 such that $|x| \ge c||x||$ for $x \in X$ and denote $\gamma := \varepsilon c/4$. Choose a sequence $\{y_n\}$ dense in the sphere of the ball γD . Clearly the set

$$T := \{ \pm (z_n \pm y_n) \}$$

is discrete and symmetric. Moreover T is bounded and

$$\gamma D \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}} T$$

therefore $U := \overline{\operatorname{co}} T$ is a unit ball of an equivalent norm on X. Now, we have only to follow the proof in [GLT] in order to show that w^* -ext U is a subset of T.

Suppose that some weak*-extreme point x of U is not contained in T. Then by Lemma 1 there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_1 z_{n_i} + \alpha_2 y_{n_i}\}$ (where α_1 and α_2 equal 1 or -1) such that

(3)
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \langle \alpha_1 z_{n_i} + \alpha_2 y_{n_i}, z_k^* \rangle = \langle x, z_k^* \rangle \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Due to (2) we get that

$$(4) |\langle x, z_k^* \rangle| = |\lim_{i \to \infty} \langle y_{n_i}, z_k^* \rangle| \le \gamma,$$

and, because $\{z_k^*\}$ is norming, it follows that $x \in \gamma D$. Consequently, there exists some subsequence $\{y_{m_i}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converging in norm to x. Since

$$y_{m_i} = (y_{m_i} + z_{m_i})/2 + (y_{m_i} - z_{m_i})/2,$$

Lemma 1 implies that the sequence $\{z_{m_i}\}$ converges weakly to zero and this a contradiction to the fact that $\{z_{m_i}\}$ does not have a weak-cluster point in X.

Remark 4. For the unit ball U constructed in the proof of the previous theorem it holds also that the distance between the sets w^* -ext U and ext $U^{**} \setminus w^*$ -ext U is positive.

PROOF: If x is an extreme point of a weak*-compact set K, then the weak*-open slices containing x form a neighborhood basis for x in the weak*-topology on K. Therefore, for any $x \in \text{ext } U^{**}$ there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_1 z_{n_i} + \alpha_2 y_{n_i}\}$ (where α_1 and α_2 equal 1 or -1) such that (3) and (4) are satisfied. Consequently,

$$\sup\{|\langle x, z_k^*\rangle|;\ k\in N\} \leq \gamma \quad \text{for } x\in \operatorname{ext} U^{**}.$$

From the definition of T follows that

$$\sup\{\langle x, z_k^* \rangle; \ k \in N\} \ge 3\gamma \quad \text{for } x \in T.$$

Since $||z_n^*|| = 1$ for $n \in N$, it holds that the distance of the sets w^* -ext U and ext $U^{**} \setminus w^*$ -ext U is greater than γ .

248 E. Matoušková

References

- [G] Godun B.V., Preserved extreme points, Funct. Anal. i Prilož. 19 (1985), 76–77.
- [GLT] Godun B.V., Bor-Luh Lin, Troyanski S.L., On the strongly extreme points of convex bodies in separable Banach spaces, Proc. AMS 114 (1992), 673–675.
- [J] James R.C., Characterizations of reflexivity, Studia Math. 23 (1964), 205–216.
- [LP] Lindenstrauss J., Phelps R.R., Extreme point properties of convex bodies in reflexive Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 6 (1968), 39–48.
- [P] Phelps R.R., Dentability and extreme points in Banach spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 78–90.
- [R] Rosenthal H.P., On norm-attaining functionals and the equivalence of the weak*-KMP with the RNP, Longhorn Notes, The University of Texas at Austin, 1985/86, pp. 1-12.
- [S] Stegall C., Vorlesungen aus dem Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Essen, Heft 10, 1983, pp. 1–61.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received May 17, 1994)