## $\bigcap$ -compact modules

## Tomáš Kepka

Abstract. The duals of  $\cup$ -compact modules are briefly discussed.

Keywords: module, compact Classification: 16P70

In the following, R is a non-zero associative ring with unit and modules are unitary left R-modules.

It is well known and easy to see that the following conditions are equivalent for a module M:

(C1) If  $M_i$ ,  $i \in \omega$ , is a countable family of submodules of M such that  $\sum M_i = M$ , then  $\sum_{i \leq n} M_i = M$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

(C2) If  $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq ...$  is a chain of submodules of M such that  $\bigcup M_i = M$ , then  $M_n = M$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

(C3) If  $\varphi : \coprod_{\omega} A_i \longrightarrow M$  is an epimorphism, then  $\varphi(\coprod_{i \le n} A_i) = M$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

(C4) If  $\mu : M \longrightarrow \coprod_I A_i$  is a homomorphism, then there is a finite subset J of I such that  $Im(\mu) \subseteq \coprod_J A_i$ .

(C5) If  $\mu : M \longrightarrow \coprod_{\omega} A_i$  is a homomorphism, then there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\operatorname{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \coprod_{i < n} A_i$ .

(C6) If Q is a cogenerator for R-Mod and if  $\mu : M \longrightarrow Q^{(\omega)}$  is a homomorphism, then there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\operatorname{Im}(\mu) \subseteq Q^{(n)}$ .

Such a module M will be called  $\cup$ -compact in this paper (other names:  $\sum$ -compact,  $\coprod$ -slender, dually slender, small, etc.). A proper subclass of  $\cup$ -compact modules is formed by modules M satisfying the following condition:

(C7) If N is a countably generated submodule of M, then there is a finitely generated submodule K of M such that  $N \subseteq K$ .

These modules will be called *strongly*  $\cup$ -*compact* (other names:  $(\aleph_0, \aleph_0)$ -reducing, countably finite, etc.).

Now, consider the duals of the above conditions:

This work was supported by the grant GACR 2433

(D1) If  $M_i, i \in \omega$ , is a countable family of submodules of M such that  $\bigcap M_i = 0$ , then  $\bigcap_{i < n} M_i = 0$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

(D2) If  $M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \ldots$  is a chain of submodules of M such that  $\bigcap M_i = 0$ , then  $M_n = 0$  for some  $N \in \omega$ .

(D3) If  $\varphi: M \longrightarrow \prod_{\omega} A_i$  is a monomorphism, then  $\varphi^{-1}(\prod_{i \ge n} A_i) = 0$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

(D4) If  $\mu : \prod_{I} A_i \longrightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, then there is a cofinite subset J of K such that  $\prod_{J} A_i \subseteq \text{Ker}(\mu)$ .

(D5) If  $\mu : \prod_{\omega} A_i \longrightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, then there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\prod_{i>n} A_i \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ .

(D6) If  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \longrightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, then there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\mathbb{R}^{(\omega-n)} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ .

Clearly, the conditions (D1), (D2) and (D3) are equivalent (the corresponding modules will be called  $\cap$ -compact), the conditions (D5) and (D6) are equivalent (the corresponding modules are just the well known slender modules — see [1, Chapter III]), (D4) implies (D5) and modules satisfying (D4) form a subclass of slender modules. In contrast to the dual situation, the classes of  $\cap$ -compact and slender modules never coincide:

**Proposition 1.** (i) There exist finitely cogenerated (and hence  $\cap$ -compact) modules which are not slender.

(ii) If  $M \neq 0$  is a slender module, then  $M^{(\omega)}$  is slender but not  $\cap$ -compact.

PROOF: (i) No non-zero factor module of  $R^{\omega}/R^{(\omega)}$  is slender but some of these factors are finitely cogenerated.

(ii) Slender modules are closed under direct sums (see [3]).

The next proposition collects several easy observations on  $\cap$ -compact modules: **Proposition 2.** (i) The class of  $\cap$ -compact modules is closed under isomorphic images, submodules, extensions and finite direct sums.

(ii) If  $A_i, i \in I$ , is an infinite family of non-zero modules, then neither  $\coprod A_i$  nor  $\prod A_i$  is  $\cap$ -compact.

(iii) The following are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) M is artinian.
- (2) Every factor of M is finitely cogenerated.
- (3) Every factor of M is  $\cap$ -compact.

(iv) Every finitely cogenerated module is  $\cap$ -compact.

(v) Every countably cogenerated  $\cap$ -compact module is finitely cogenerated.

(vi) If N is an essential submodule of M and N is  $\cap$ -compact, then M is  $\cap$ -compact.

An interesting class of rings is that of (left) steady rings — see [2]. Of course, we shall define the dual: The ring R is said to be (*left*) dually steady if every  $\cap$ -compact module is finitely cogenerated.

Lemma 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) Every  $\cap$ -compact cyclic module is finitely cogenerated.

(ii) Every non-zero  $\cap$ -compact (cyclic) module has a non zero socle.

(iii) Every  $\cap$ -compact injective module is finitely cogenerated.

(iv) R is dually steady.

PROOF: (ii) implies (iv). Let M be  $\cap$ -compact. By (ii), S = Soc(M) is essential in M. But S is also  $\cap$ -compact, and hence S is finitely generated and it follows that M is finitely cogenerated.

Left noetherian rings, left perfect rings and left semiartinian rings of countable Soc-length are known to be steady. As concerns the dual case, the following result is available:

**Proposition 3.** *R* is dually steady in each of the following cases:

(1) R possesses only countably many left ideals I such that  $_{R}R/I$  is cocyclic.

(2) R is a countable ring.

(3) R is right noetherian and every left ideal is a (two-sided) ideal.

(4) R is commutative noetherian.

(5) R is left semiartinian.

(6) For every non-zero left ideal I, the cyclic module  $_RR/I$  is artinian.

**PROOF:** (i) If (1) is true, then every cyclic module is countably cogenerated and the result follows by combination of Proposition 2(v) and Lemma 1.

(ii) In this case, every cyclic module is countably cogenerated.

(iii) Suppose, on the contrary, that (3) is satisfied and R is not (left) dually steady. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of proper (left) ideals I such that the cyclic module  $_{R}R/I$  is  $\cap$ -compact and with zero socle. According to Lemma 1,  $\mathcal{M}$  is non-empty, and so let  $K \in \mathcal{M}$  be a maximal element of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Now, let  $r \in R-K$  and  $M = R/(K:r)_l$ . Then  $M \cong (Rr+K)/K \subseteq RR/K$  and consequently M is  $\cap$ -compact and Soc(M) = 0. On the other hand,  $K \subseteq (K:r)_l$ , and hence  $K = (K:r)_l$ . We have proved that K is a prime ideal.

Since  $\operatorname{Soc}(R/K) = 0$ , K is not a maximal ideal and  $R \neq K + Rr$  for some  $r \in R-K$ . Put  $K_i = K + Rr^i$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $R = K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \ldots$  and  $K_i \neq K$ . Since R/K is  $\cap$ -compact, we can take  $s \in \bigcap K_i - K$ . Then  $s = a_i + r_i r^i$  for some  $a_i \in K$ ,  $r_i \in R$  and we have  $a_i - a_{i+1} = (r_{i+1}r - r_i)r^i \in K$  and  $b_i = r_{i+1}r - r_i \in K$ . Thus  $r_i \in K + r_{i+1}R$ ,  $K + r_0R \subseteq K + r_1R \subseteq K + r_2R \subseteq \ldots$  and there is  $n \geq 0$  such that  $K + r_nR = K + r_{n+1}R$ . Now,  $r_{n+1} = a + r_nb$ ,  $a \in K$ ,  $b \in R$ , and  $r_n = r_{n+1}r - b_n = ar + r_nbr - b_n$ ,  $r_n(1 - br) = ar - b_n \in K$ . But  $1 - br \notin K$ , and therefore  $r_n \in K$  and  $s = a_n + r_nr^n \in K$ , a contradiction.

- (iv) This case follows immediately from the preceding one.
- (v) This case follows immediately from Lemma 1.

(vi) If  $\operatorname{Soc}_{l}(R) \neq 0$ , then Lemma 1 applies. Assume  $\operatorname{Soc}_{l}(R) = 0$ . Then R is not left artinian and there is a sequence  $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \ldots$  of left ideals such that  $I_n \neq I = \bigcap I_i$  for every  $n \ge 0$ . According to (6), I = 0 and it implies that  $_RR$  is not  $\cap$ -compact. Now, R is dually steady by Lemma 1 again.  $\Box$ 

The following observation will help us to construct an example of a non-dually-steady ring:

OBSERVATION 1. Let R be an integral domain with a quotient field  $Q \neq R$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is  $\cap$ -compact.
- (2)  $_{R}Q$  is strongly  $\cup$ -compact.

Moreover, if R is a valuation domain, then these conditions are equivalent to:

- (3)  $_{R}Q$  is  $\cup$ -compact.
- (4)  $_RQ$  is not countably generated.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $G(+) = \mathbb{Z}(+)^{(\omega_1)}$  and let H be the set of  $a \in G$  such that either a = 0 or  $a \neq 0$  and  $a(\alpha) > 0$ , where  $\alpha = \max(\operatorname{supp}(a))$ . Then H(+)is a subsemigroup of G(+) and we denote by S the corresponding semigroupring  $\mathbb{Z}_2[H]$ . Further, denote by P the set of  $x \in S$  such that  $a_i \neq 0_H$ , where  $x = r_0 a_0 + \cdots + r_n a_n$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $a_i \in H$ . Then P is a prime ideal of S and we finally put  $R = S(S - P)^{-1} \subseteq Q$ , Q being a quotient field of S. It is easy to check that R is a valuation domain and R is  $\cap$ -compact. Consequently, R is not dually steady. In view of Observation 1, R is not steady either.

REMARK 1. It would be of some interest to know other examples of dually steady and non-dually-steady rings, especially from the following classes of rings: left noetherian rings, left perfect rings, (von Neumann) regular rings, left V-rings (or, more generally, left conoetherian rings). In this respect, it would be also nice to obtain some information on rings without non-zero slender modules (see Proposition 1). Among such rings we shall certainly find many left semiartinian rings, all right perfect rings and all complete principal ideal domains.

## References

- [1] Eklof P.C., Mekler A.H., Almost free modules, North-Holland, New York, 1990.
- [2] Eklof P.C., Goodearl K.R., Trlifaj J., Dually slender modules and steady rings, preprint.
- [3] Lady E., Slender rings and modules, Pacific J. of Math. 49 (1973), 397-406.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail: kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received January 30, 1995)