Set valued measures and integral representation

XUE XIAOPING, CHENG LIXIN, LI GOUCHENG, YAO XIAOBO

Abstract. The extension theorem of bounded, weakly compact, convex set valued and weakly countably additive measures is established through a discussion of convexity, compactness and existence of selection of the set valued measures; meanwhile, a characterization is obtained for continuous, weakly compact and convex set valued measures which can be represented by Pettis-Aumann-type integral.

Keywords: set valued functions, set valued measures, Pettis-Aumann integral

Classification: 28A45, 46G10

Z. Artstein ([2], 1972) introduced the concept of set valued measure in \mathbb{R}^n and studied its convexity, the existence of selection and the Radon-Nikodym Property (RNP, for simplicity) corresponding to the Aumann integral (defined by Aumann [1], see also [6], [9] for further properties). Hiai [7] generalized Artstein's results to bounded variation set valued measures on Banach spaces. In 1985, Papageorgiou [10] studied the representation of set valued operators and later on ([11], 1987) he paid attention to distribution theory of set valued functions and measures.

In the present paper, as a generalization and development of Artstein's, Hiai's and Papageorgiou's work, the extension theorem (§3) of bounded, weakly compact, convex set valued and weakly countably additive measures and a characterization (§4) of continuous, weakly compact and convex set valued measures which, defined on a complete and finite measure space, can be represented by Pettis-Aumann type integral are given.

Notation. The letter X will always denote a real Banach space, X' its dual, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the bilinear conjugate operation. $P_a(X)$ is for the set consisting of all nonempty subsets of X and $P_{wee}(X)$ ($\subset P_a(X)$) for all of weakly compact convex subset of X. The symbol " \to " (" $\overset{w}{\longrightarrow}$ " and " $\overset{w^*}{\longrightarrow}$ ") means to be "norm convergent to" ("weakly convergent to" and "weakly * convergent to", respectively). For $A \subset X$, $\operatorname{co}(A)$ ($\overline{\operatorname{co}}(A)$) denotes the (norm closed) convex hull of A and $\operatorname{cl}(A)$ ($\operatorname{cl}^w(A)$) stands for the norm (weak) closure of A; σ_A , defined by $\sigma_A(x') = \sup\{\langle x', x \rangle : x \in A\}$ for $x' \in X'$, is called the support function of A. The symbol H denotes the Hausdorff metric, that is, $H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a,B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A,b)$, where the metric d is deduced by the norm $\}$, in particular, H(A,0) is denoted by |A| for simplicity. Ω is always a nonempty set, \mathcal{F} and Σ an algebra and σ -algebra, respectively, both \mathcal{F} and Σ consist of subsets of Ω .

This work has been supported by the N.S.F. of Hei Longjiang, China

1. Properties of set valued measure

Let $M: \mathcal{F} \to P_a(X)$ be a mapping.

Definition 1.1. (a) M is called finitely additive (set valued) measure on \mathcal{F} , if it satisfies (i) $M(\emptyset) = 0$ and (ii) $M(E_1 \cup E_2) = M(E_1) + M(E_2)$ for all $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ with $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$;

- (b) M is said to be countably additive measure provided it is finitely additive and for any mutually disjoint sequence $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $M(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E_n) = \{x \in X; \text{ for each positive integer } n$, there is $x_n \in M(E_n)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ unconditionally converges to $x\}$.
- (c) We say M is weakly countably additive provided for any $x' \in X'$, $\sigma_{M(\cdot)}(x')$ is a real valued measure on \mathcal{F} .
- (d) We call M bounded provided there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|M(E)| \equiv \{||x||; x \in M(E)\} \leq C$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (e) Let $\{A_n\} \subset P_a(X)$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is said to be unconditionally convergent if $\forall x_n \in A_n$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is an unconditionally convergent series.

Definition 1.2. M is called strongly additive provided it is finitely additive and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E_n)$ unconditionally converges for any mutually disjoint sequence $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\{A_n\}$ ($\subset P_a(X)$) be a uniformly bounded set sequence. If for any subsequence $\{A_{n_k}\}\subset\{A_n\}$ there exists a weakly relatively compact set $A\subset X$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_{A_{n_k}}(x')\leq\sigma_A(x')$ for all $x'\in X'$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n$ unconditionally converges.

PROOF: Clearly, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{A_n}(x')| < \infty$ for all $x' \in X'$. For any $x_n \in A_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and positive integer m, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{A_n}(x')| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{A_n}(-x')|.$$

Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| < \infty$. By the Orlicz-Pettis theorem (see, for instance, [5]), it suffices to show that for any sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ with $x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$, $\sum_k x_{n_k}$ weakly converges. Set $y_m = \sum_{n=1}^m x_{n_k}$, we know that $\sup_{n=1}^\infty |\langle x', y_m \rangle| < \infty$ for any $x' \in X'$. This and the Resonance Theorem imply that there exists $y \in X''$ such that $y_m \xrightarrow{w^*} y$ in X'', we claim that $y \in X$. Since $\langle y, x' \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_{n_k}, x' \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle x', x_{n_k} \rangle \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma_{A_{n_k}}(x') \leq \sigma_A(x')$ for some weakly relatively compact $A \in P_a(X)$ and for all $x' \in X'$, that is, y is continuous according to the Makey's topology by $\sigma_A(x')$, we obtain $y \in X$, and this says that $y_m \xrightarrow{w} y$ in X. Thus the proof is complete.

Corollary 1.4. Let $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ be a bounded countably additive set valued measure, then M is strongly additive.

PROOF: Let $\{E_n\} \subset \Sigma$ be any disjoint sequence. Set $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ $(\in \Sigma)$, then M(E) is weakly compact and convex set satisfying $\sigma_{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_{M(E)}(x')$ by the countable additivity of M. It is easy to observe that $\sigma_{\sum_n M(E_n)}(x') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$. And Lemma 1.3 implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E_n)$ is unconditionally convergent.

Corollary 1.5. Let $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ be a bounded mapping. Then M is countably additive if and only if M is weakly countably additive.

Definition 1.6. Let $M(\mathcal{F} \to P_a(X))$ be bounded and finitely additive, we say M is of σ -bounded variation (set valued) mapping, if there exists an \mathcal{F} -partition $\{E_n\}$ of Ω such that

$$|M|(E_n) \equiv \text{SUP}_{\Pi_n} \sum_{A \in \Pi_n} |M(A)| < \infty \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where Π_n denotes any finite \mathcal{F} -partition of E_n .

For $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ with $M(A) \neq \{0\}$, A is called an atom of M, if either $M(B) = \{0\}$ or $M(A \setminus B) = \{0\}$ whenever $B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $B \subset A$. We say M is non-atomic if M has no atom.

Proposition 1.7. Let X posses the Radon-Nikodym Property (RNP) and let $M(\Sigma \to P_a(X))$ be a countably additive, non-atomic and σ -bounded variation mapping, then $\operatorname{cl} M(E)$ is convex in X for all $E \in \Sigma$.

PROOF: Suppose that $\{E_n\}$ is a Σ -partition of Ω with $|M|(E_n) < \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then, for any $E \in \Sigma$, $\operatorname{cl} M(E \cap E_n)$ is a convex set (see, for instance, Hiai [7, Theorem 1.2]). The convexity of the set $\operatorname{cl} M(E)$ will be proved if we show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_j \in M(E)$ for j = 1, 2, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $x \in M(E)$ such that $\|\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 - x\| < \varepsilon$.

Since $x_j \in M(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E \cap E_n)$ for j = 1, 2, there must be $\{x_n^{(j)}\} \subset X$ with $x_n^{(j)} \in M(E \cap E_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $x_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^{(j)}$ is unconditionally convergent for j = 1, 2. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each positive integer n, there is $x_{(n,\varepsilon)} \in M(E \cap E_n)$ satisfying $\|\alpha x_n^{(1)} + (1-\alpha)x_n^{(2)} - x_{(n,\varepsilon)}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$. Next we prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{(n,\varepsilon)}$ is unconditionally convergent series. For all $\delta > 0$,

choose a positive integer N such that $\|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n^{(j)}\| < \delta$ for j = 1, 2, whenever $m \ge N$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ Further,

$$\| \sum_{n=m}^{m+k} \varepsilon_n x_{(n,\varepsilon)} \| \le \alpha \| \sum_{n=m}^{m+k} \varepsilon_n x_n^{(1)} \| + (1-\alpha) \| \sum_{n=m}^{m+k} \varepsilon_n x_n^{(2)} \| + \| \sum_{n=m}^{m+k} \varepsilon_n [x_{(n,\varepsilon)} - (\alpha x_n^{(1)} + (1-\alpha) x_n^{(2)})] \| \le \delta + 2^{-m+1} \varepsilon$$

for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq N$. This explains that $\sum_{n} x_{(n,\varepsilon)} \ (\in M(E))$ is unconditionally convergent. It is easy to see that $\|\alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2 - \sum_{n} x_{(n,\varepsilon)}\| < \varepsilon$, which completes our proof.

Proposition 1.8. Let $M(\Sigma \to P_a(X))$ be bounded, countably additive and weakly relatively compact valued. Then $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M$ is also countably additive.

PROOF: Let $\{E_n\}$ ($\subset \Sigma$) be any mutually disjoint set sequence, then from $\sigma_{M(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)}(x') = \sum \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')$ it follows that $\sigma_{\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)}(x') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(E_n)}(x')$. So $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\cdot)$ is weakly countably additive. This and Corollary 2.5 imply that $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\cdot)$ is countably additive.

2. Compactness of set valued measure

Let $M(\Sigma \to P_a(X))$ be a countably additive measure, m is said to be a selection of M provided m is a single X-valued measure satisfying $m(E) \in M(E)$ for any $E \in \Sigma$. For $A \subset X$, we say that $x \in A$ is exposed point of A if there exists $x' \in X'$ such that $\langle x', x \rangle > \langle x', y \rangle$ whenever $y \in A \setminus \{x\}$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ is countably additive and suppose that x is an exposed point of $M(\Omega)$. Then there exists a selection m of M such that $m(\Omega) = x$.

PROOF: Suppose that $x' \in X'$ satisfies $\langle x', x \rangle > \langle x', y \rangle$ for all $y \in M(\Omega) \setminus \{x\}$. Since $M(\Omega) = M(E) + M(\Omega \setminus E)$ for any $E \in \Sigma$, there exist u and v with $u \in M(E)$ and $v \in M(\Omega \setminus E)$ such that x = u + v. Since

$$\langle x', y \rangle + \langle x', v \rangle = \langle x', x \rangle > \langle x', w \rangle + \langle x', v \rangle$$

for all $w \in M(E) \setminus \{u\}$, we have

(2.1)
$$\langle x', u \rangle > \langle x', w \rangle \text{ for } w \in M(E) \setminus \{u\},$$

that is, u is an exposed point of M(E). We denote by u(E, x') the unique exposed point of M(E) satisfying inequality (2.1) and define $m(\Sigma \to X)$ by letting m(E) = u(E, x'), then $\sigma_{m(E)}(x') = \sum_{m(E)} (x')$ for all $E \in \Sigma$. It remains to show that m is a single valued measure.

Let $\{E_n\}\subset \Sigma$ be any mutually disjoint sequence, since $M(\bigcup E_n)$ $(=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M(E_n))$ is convex and weakly compact, by Corollary 1.4, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M(E_n)$ unconditionally converges. This further implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}m(E_n)$ is unconditionally convergent to a point of $M(\bigcup E_n)$. Note

$$\sigma_{\Sigma m(E_n)}(x') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x', m(E_n) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_{M(\bigcup E_n)}(x') =$$

and note that both $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n)$ and $m(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ are in $M(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$, by the uniqueness of $y \in M(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ satisfying $\langle x', y \rangle = \sigma_{M(\bigcup E_n)}(x')$ we obtain that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) = m(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$, which completes our proof.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ is bounded and countably additive. Then for any $E \in \Sigma$ and $x \in M(E)$ there exists a selection m of M such that m(E) = x.

PROOF: Without loss of generality we can assume that $E=\Omega$. Since $M(\Omega)$ is convex and weakly compact, it must be the norm closed convex hull of its exposed points (see, for instance, Amir and Lindenstrauss [3]). Let Q denote the set of all exposed points of $M(\Omega)$. Then for any $x \in M(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $x_j \in Q$ and $\alpha_j > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$ such that $\|x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j\| < \varepsilon$. Lemma 2.1 implies that there exist selections m_j of M, satisfying $m_j(\Omega) = x_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Define $\overline{m}(\Sigma \to X)$ by $\overline{m}(E) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j m_j(E)$, it is easy to observe that \overline{m} is also a selection of M with $\|\overline{m}(\Omega) - x\| < \varepsilon$. In particular, we obtain a selection sequence $\{m^{(k)}\}$ such that $\|m^{(k)}(\Omega) - x\| \to 0$ by letting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Now we consider the product space $\prod \equiv \prod_{E \in \Sigma} M(E)$ which is equipped with the product topology and M(E) with the weak topology for all $E \in \Sigma$. The sequence $\{\prod_{E \in \Sigma} m^{(k)}(E)\}$ in \prod has a subnet, which is still denoted by $\{\prod_{E \in \Sigma} m^{(k)}(E)\}$ for simplicity, converging to some point $\prod_{E \in \Sigma} m^{(\infty)}(E)$ in \prod , since \prod is compact according to the product topology. This explains that $m^{(k)}(E) \to m^{(\infty)}(E) \in M(E)$ for any $E \in \Sigma$ and $m^{(\infty)}(\Omega) = x$. It remains to show that $m^{(\infty)}$ is an X-valued measure.

Assume that $\{E_n\}$ is a mutually disjoint sequence in Σ . By a simple argument we know $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')| < \infty$ for all $x' \in X'$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any fixed $x \in X'$, choose a positive integer n_0 such that $\sum_{j>n_0} |\sigma_{M(E_j)}(x')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then we have $(n \ge n_0)$

$$|\langle x', m^{(k)}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} m^{(k)}(E_j)\rangle| = |\langle x', \sum_{j>n} m^{(k)}(E_j)\rangle| \le$$

$$\le \sum_{j>n} [|\sigma_{M(E_j)}(x')| + |\sigma_{M(E_j)}(-x')|] < \varepsilon,$$

by taking the net limit we get $|\langle x', m^{(\infty)}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)\rangle - \langle x', \sum_{j=1}^{n} m^{(\infty)}(E_j)\rangle| \leq \varepsilon$. The arbitrariness of ε says that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} m^{(\infty)}(E_j) \stackrel{w}{\longrightarrow} m^{(\infty)}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$, this and the Orlicz-Pettis theorem imply that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{(\infty)}(E_n)$ unconditionally converges to $m^{(\infty)}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$. So we have shown that $m^{(\infty)}$ is an X-valued measure with $m^{(\infty)}(\Omega) = x$.

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ is bounded and countably additive. Then the range of M, namely $M(\Sigma) \equiv \bigcup_{E \in \Sigma} M(E)$, is relatively weakly compact.

PROOF: Using an argument similar to Hiai [7, Corollary 2.4], it is immediately obtained by Theorem 2.2. \Box

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\{E_n\}$ is a mutually disjoint sequence in Σ , suppose that $\{A_n\}$ is any sequence in Σ and suppose, further, that $m(\Sigma \to X)$ is strongly additive. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $\|\sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} m(A_n \cap E_k)\| < \varepsilon$ for $n=1,2,\ldots$, and for any integers k_1,k_2 with $k_0 \le k_1 \le k_2$.

PROOF: Suppose, to the contrary, that there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the integer k_0 does not exist.

Inductively, by letting $k_0 = 1, 2, \ldots$, we obtain three positive integer sequences $\{n_j\}, \{k_{n_j}^{(1)}\}$ and $\{k_{n_j}^{(2)}\}$ with $k_{n_j}^{(1)} < k_{n_j}^{(2)} < k_{n_{j+1}}^{(1)}$ satisfying

(2.2)
$$\|\sum_{k_{n_j}^{(1)}}^{k_{n_j}^{(2)}} m(A_{n_j} \cap E_k)\| \ge \varepsilon_0.$$

The strong additive implies that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=k_{n_j}^{(1)}}^{k_{n_j}^{(2)}} m(A_{n_j} \cap E_k))$ unconditive

tionally converges by noting that $\{\bigcup_{k=k_{n_j}^{(1)}}^{k_{n_j}^{(2)}}(A_{n_j}\cap E_k)\}$ is also a mutually disjoint sequence in Σ . This contradicts (2.2).

Remark 2.5. Under the condition of Lemma 2.4, one can show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $k_0 \ge 0$ such that

$$\|\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} m(A_n \cap E_k)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n=1,2,\dots.$$

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that X has RNP and suppose that $m(\Sigma \to X)$ is σ -bounded variation X-valued measure. Then the range $m(\Sigma)$ of m is relatively compact.

PROOF: Suppose that $\{E_n\}$ is a Σ -partition of Ω satisfying $|m|(E_n) < \infty$ for $n=1,2,\ldots$, then m restricted to $\Sigma \mid_{E_n} \equiv \{E \cap E_n; E \in \Sigma\}$ is of bounded variation and $m(\Sigma \mid_{E_n})$ is relatively compact (see, for instance, Uhl [12]). We will show that $m(\Sigma)$ is relatively compact. It suffices to prove that $\{m(F_n)\}$ has convergent subsequences for any $\{F_n\} \subset \Sigma$. For every fixed integer $k \geq 1$, there is a subsequence $\{F_{n,k}\}$ of $\{F_n\}$ such that $m(F_{n,k} \cap E_k)$ converges. Since $m(F_n \cap E_k) \in m(\Sigma \mid_{E_k})$ and $m(\Sigma \mid_{E_k})$ is relatively compact, by a standard diagonal process one can claim a subsequence $\{F_{n,n}\} \subset \{F_n\}$ such that $\{m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k)\}$ converges for $k=1,2,\ldots$. Suppose $m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k) \to x_k$ for $k=1,2,\ldots$, due to Lemma 2.4, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such

that $\|\sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k)\| < \varepsilon$ for $n=1,2,\ldots$ whenever $k_0 \le k_1 \le k_2$. Hence $\|\sum_{k_1}^{k_2} x_k\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\sum_{k_1}^{k_2} m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k)\| \le \varepsilon$, that is, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ converges. Setting $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$, as follows, we show $m(F_{n,n}) \to x$. Let integer $k_0 \ge 1$ satisfy (by Remark 2.5)

(2.3)
$$\|\sum_{k>k_0} m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k)\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ and } \|\sum_{k>k_0} x_k\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and let integer $n_o \geq 1$ be such that

(2.4)
$$||m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k) - x_k|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3k_0} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, k_0$$

whenever $n \geq n_0$. Combining (2.3) and (2.4) together we obtain

$$||m(F_{n,n}) - x|| \le \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} ||m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k) - x_k|| + ||\sum_{k>k_0} m(F_{n,n} \cap E_k)|| + ||\sum_{k>k_0} x_k|| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore
$$m(F_{n,n}) \to x$$
.

Theorem 2.7. Suppose that X has RNP and suppose that $M(\Sigma \to P_a(X))$ is compact-valued, countably additive and of σ -bounded variation. Then the range $M(\Sigma)$ of M is relatively compact.

PROOF: Since M is compact-valued, the Mazur Theorem says that $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(E)$ is compact and convex for all $E \in \Sigma$. By Proposition 1.8, $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M$ is countably additive and it is easy to observe it is of σ -bounded variation. It follows from Theorem 2.2 and the fact we just mentioned that there exists a σ -bounded variation selection m of $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M$. therefore $m(\Sigma)$ is relatively compact by Lemma 2.6. Note that $\overline{\operatorname{co}}M(E) + \overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\Omega \setminus E) = \overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\Omega)$. This implies that $M(E) \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\Omega) - m(\Omega \setminus E) \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}M(\Omega)$ and that $M(\Sigma)$ is relatively compact.

3. Extension of set-valued measures

Suppose that $m_{\tau}(\mathcal{F} \to X, \tau \in T)$ are finitely additive, we say $\{m_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in T}$ are uniformly strongly additive provided $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{\tau}(E_n)$ converges unconditionally and uniformly for $\tau \in T$, for any mutually disjoint sequence $\{E_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} . Using an argument similar to the one for vector-valued measure ([5]), we have

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $M(\mathcal{F} \to P_{wee}(X))$ is finitely additive. Then the following versions are equivalent:

- (i) M is strongly additive;
- (ii) $\{\sigma_{M(\cdot)}(x'); ||x'|| \leq 1, x' \in X'\}$ are uniformly strong additive;
- (iii) for any mutually disjoint $\{E_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} , $\lim_{n\to\infty} |M(E_n)| = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $M(\mathcal{F} \to P_{wee}(X))$ is bounded and finitely (strongly) additive. Then for any $x \in M(A)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a bounded and finitely (strongly, respectively) additive measure $m(\mathcal{F} \to X)$ satisfying m(A) = x and $m(E) \in M(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$.

PROOF: The proof is very much like of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $M(\mathcal{F} \to P_{wee}(X))$ is bounded and finitely additive. Then M is strongly additive if and only if for any monotone non-decreasing sequence $\{E_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} there is a relatively weakly compact set A in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_A(x')$.

PROOF: Sufficiency. Suppose that $\{A_k\}$ in \mathcal{F} is any mutually disjoint set sequence. Let $E_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$, clearly, $\{E_n\}$ is monotone non-decreasing, by the hypotheses we obtain that there is a relatively weakly compact set A in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_A(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$. So one direction is shown by noting that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{M(A_k)}(x') = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sigma_{M(A_n)}(x')$ and by Lemma 1.3.

Necessity. Suppose that $\{E_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} is monotone non-decreasing. The strong additive of M implies that $\sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')$ where $E_0 = \emptyset$ and that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')$ converges for all $x' \in X'$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')$. Since $|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x') - \sigma_{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')| \le |\sum_{k>n}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')| + |\sum_{k>n}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(-x')|$ for all positive integers n, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x') = \sigma_{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)}(x')$, taking $A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_A(x')$. Since $M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)$ is weakly compact and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M(E_{k+1} \setminus E_k)$ unconditionally convergent to A, A must be relatively weakly compact.

Lemma 3.4. $P_w(X) = \{A \subset P_{wee}(X); A \text{ is contained in a fixed weakly compact and convex set } W\}$ is complete corresponding to H (where H denotes the Hausdorff metric).

PROOF: Suppose that $\{A_n\}$ in $P_w(X)$ is a Cauchy sequence, then there is a bounded set A in X such that $A_n \xrightarrow{H} A$ by noting that $\{B \subset X; B \text{ is bounded, closed and convex}\}$ corresponding to H. Since $H(A,B) = \sup_{\|x'\| \le 1} |\sigma_A(x') - \sigma_B(x')|$ (see, for instance, [4, Theorem II–18]), $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{A_n}(x') = \sigma_A(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$. The fact that $A_n \subset W$ implies $\sigma_A(x') \le \sigma_W(x')$ for all $x' \in X'$ which implies that $A \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}} W = W$.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that Σ is a σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{F} and suppose that $M(\mathcal{F} \to P_{wee}(X))$ is bounded and weakly countably additive. Then the following versions are equivalent:

(i) there is a unique extension $\overline{M}(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ of M which is countably additive;

- (ii) there exists some non-negative real valued measure μ on Σ such that M is continuous to μ , that is, $\lim_{\mu(E)\to 0} |M(E)| = 0$;
- (iii) M is strongly additive;
- (iv) $M(\mathcal{F})$ is relatively weakly compact.

PROOF: (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). By Lemma 3.1, it is easy to observe that this direction is true.

- (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Lemma 3.2 implies that there is a strongly additive $m(\mathcal{F} \to X)$ such that $m(E) \in M(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$, and $m(\mathcal{F})$ is relatively weakly compact by [5]. We obtain $M(\mathcal{F}) \subset M(\Omega) m(\mathcal{F})$ by noting $M(E) + M(\Omega \setminus E) = M(\Omega)$ for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$, hence $M(\mathcal{F})$ is also relatively weakly compact.
- (iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\{E_n\}$ be a monotone non-decreasing sequence in \mathcal{F} . By the boundedness and finite additivity, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')=\sum_{n=0}^\infty\sigma_{M(E_{n+1}\setminus E_n)}(x')$ for all $x'\in X'$ where $E_0=\emptyset$. Now we show that $\lim_n\sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')=\sigma_{\operatorname{ls}M(E_n)}(x')$ for all $x'\in X'$ as follows, where $\operatorname{ls}M(E_n)=\{x\in X;\ x=w-\lim_k x_{n_k},\ \text{for some}\ x_{n_k}\in M(E_{n_k})\ \text{and for all integers}\ k\geq 1\}$. Relatively weak compactness of $M(\mathcal{F})$ says there is a weakly compact set W such that $M(\mathcal{F})\subset W$, in particular, $M(E_n)\subset W$ for $n=1,2,\ldots$. For any $x'\in X'$, choose $x_n\in M(E_n)$ such that $\sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')=\langle x',x_n\rangle$ for $n=1,2,\ldots$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_n\xrightarrow{w}x$ (otherwise we can choose a weakly convergent subsequence since W is weakly compact and $\{x_n\}$ in W), that is, $x\in\operatorname{ls}M(E_n)$. Thus

$$\lim_{n} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \langle x', x \rangle \le \sigma_{\ln M(E_n)}(x').$$

On the other hand, for any $y \in \operatorname{ls} M(E_n)$, there is $\{y_{n_k} \in M(E_{n_k})\}$ such that $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} y$, so we have

$$\langle x', y \rangle = \lim_{n} \langle x', y_{n_k} \rangle \leq \lim_{n} \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x').$$

That is, $\sigma_{\operatorname{ls} M(E_n)}(x') \leq \lim_n \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x')$, and further we have $\lim_n \sigma_{M(E_n)}(x') = \sigma_{\operatorname{ls} M(E_n)}(x')$. Since $M(E_n) \subset W$, it implies that $\operatorname{ls} M(E_n) \subset W$, and M is strongly additive by Lemma 3.3.

- (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). $\{\sigma_{M(\cdot)}(x'): x' \in X', \|x'\| \leq 1\}$ is uniformly strongly additive by Lemma 3.1. The Carathéodory-Hahn extension theorem implies that there is a unique countably additive extension $\overline{\sigma}_{M(\cdot)}(x')$ of $\sigma_{M(\cdot)}$ on Σ . According to [5, Lemma 1, p. 26 and Theorem 4, p. 11] there exists a non-negative real valued measure μ on Σ such that $\lim_{\mu(E)\to 0} \sigma_{M(E)}(x') = 0$ uniformly on $B = \{x' \in X'; \|x'\| \leq 1\}$, that is, $\lim_{\mu(E)\to 0} |M(E)| = 0$.
- (ii) & (iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let μ be a non-negative real valued measure satisfying (ii), and let W be a weakly compact and convex set in X such that $M(\mathcal{F}) \subset W$. We define the pseudo-metric ρ on Σ by $\rho(E_1, E_2) = \mu(E_1 \triangle E_2)$ for $E_1, E_2 \in \Sigma$ where

 Δ denotes the symmetric difference operation. We denote by $\Sigma(\mu)$ the pseudometric space equipped with ρ on Σ . Since Σ is generated by \mathcal{F} , the pseudo-metric space $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$, the restriction of ρ to \mathcal{F} , is a dense subspace of $\Sigma(\mu)$. Next, we define the mapping $M \colon \mathcal{F}(\mu) \to P_w$ (the family of nonempty weakly compact sets which are contained in a weakly compact and convex set W equipped with the Hausdorff metric H) by $E \to M(E)$, we will show that M is uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$. First, we prove the following inequality

(3.1)
$$H(M(E_1), M(E_2)) \le H(M(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2)), \{0\}) + H(M(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2)), \{0\})$$

$$\equiv |M(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))| + |M(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))|.$$

For $x_j \in M(E_j)$ (j=1,2), by Lemma 3.2 there exists a finitely additive set function m such that $m(E_2) = x_2$ and such that $m(E) \in M(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$. Due to the equation $m(E_1) + m(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2)) = m(E_2) + m(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))$, we have

$$d(x_2, M(E_1)) = \inf_{x \in M(E_1)} ||x_2 - x|| \le ||x_2 - m(E_1)||$$

$$\le ||m(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))|| + ||m(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))||$$

$$\le |M(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))| + |M(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))|$$

and similarly we have

$$d(x_1, M(E_2)) \le |M(E_2 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))| + |M(E_1 \setminus (E_1 \cap E_2))|.$$

Combining the two inequalities together we proved that (3.1) holds. Both (ii) and inequality (3.1) imply that M is uniformly continuous.

Note that $(P_w(X), H)$ is a complete metric space (Lemma 3.4), hence there is a uniformly continuous extension $\overline{M}[\Sigma(\mu) \to (P_w(X), H)]$ of M from $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ to $\Sigma(\mu)$. Let $\{E_n\}$ be any mutually disjoint sequence in Σ , then $H(\overline{M}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k), \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{M}(E_k)) \to 0$. Since $H(A, B) = \sup_{\|x'\| \le 1} |\sigma_A(x') - \sigma_B(x')|$, we have $\sigma_{\overline{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)}(x') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\overline{M}(E_n)}(x')$. That is, \overline{M} is weakly countably additive, it follows from Corollary 1.5 that \overline{M} is countably additive.

4. Integral representation and set valued measures

In this section, X will always be a separable Banach space, (Ω, Σ, μ) denotes a complete and finite measure space and $F(\Omega \to P_f(X))$, the family of all nonempty and closed sets in X) denotes a set valued function. The graph of F is denoted by $G_RF = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X; x \in F(\omega)\}$. For $A \subset X$, we write $F^{-1}(A) = \{\omega \in \Omega; F(\omega) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. By [6], the following versions are equivalent:

(i) F is measurable;

- (ii) for any $A \in P_f(X)$, $F^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma$;
- (iii) there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of measurable functions from Ω to X such that $F(\omega) = \operatorname{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\};$
- (iv) $G_R F \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(X)$, where $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra on X.

We call a measurable function $\sigma(\Omega \to X)$ a measurable selection of F provided $\sigma(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ μ a.e.; such a σ is said to be a weakly integrable selection if it is Pettis-integrable. We set

$$S_{WF} = \{ \sigma : \sigma \text{ is a weakly integrable selection of } F \}.$$

For $A \in \Sigma$, the Pettis-Aumann type integral of F is defined by $(W) \int_A F d\mu = \{\text{Pettis-} \int_A \sigma(\omega) d\mu; \sigma \in S_{WF}\}$. F is said to be weakly integrable bounded provided for each $x' \in X'$, $|x'F(\omega)| \equiv \sup_{x \in F(\omega)} |\langle x', x \rangle| \equiv f(\omega) \in L'(\mu)$.

All theorems and terminology about topological linear spaces of this section are referred to [13].

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $\sigma(X' \to R)$ is a sublinear functional (Minkowski gauge) which is continuous relative to the Makey topology $\tau(X', X)$. Then there is $A \in P_{wee}(X)$ such that $\sigma_A = \sigma$ on X' and $A = \{x \in X; \langle x', x \rangle \leq \sigma(x') \text{ for all } x' \in X'\}$.

PROOF: Since $\sigma(x')$ is continuous about $\tau(X',X)$, it must be continuous by the norm topology. Therefore there is a closed and convex set A'' such that $A'' = \{x'' \in X''; \langle x'', x' \rangle \leq \sigma(x') \text{ for all } x' \in X' \}$. First, we show A'' = A. Clearly, $A \subset A''$. On the other hand, for any $x'' \in A''$, we have $\langle x'', x' \rangle \leq \sigma(x')$. That is, x'' is a $\tau(X',X)$ continuous linear functional on X', hence $x'' \in X$ and further we have $x'' \in A$. Thus A'' = A.

It remains to show that A is weakly compact. Clearly, A is bounded, convex and closed, therefore it is also weakly closed. Suppose, to the contrary, that A is not weakly compact, then, by James' theorem, there exist $x_0' \in X'$ such that $\langle x_0', x_{\lambda} \rangle < \sigma_A(x_0')$ for all $x \in A$. Let $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ be a net in A such that $\langle x_0', x_{\alpha} \rangle \to \sigma_A(x_0')$, then there is a subnet $\{x_{\beta}\} \subset \{x_{\alpha}\}$ such that $x_{\beta} \xrightarrow{w^*} x''$ for some $x'' \in X''$, since $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ is bounded. It is easy to observe that $\langle x'', x_0' \rangle = \sigma_A(x_0')$ and for all $x' \in X'$, $\langle x'', x' \rangle \leq \sigma_A(x')$, that is, $x'' \in A'' = A$. This contradicts our hypotheses.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $F(\Omega \to P_f(X))$ is measurable and suppose $S_{WF} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\sigma_{(W)} \int_A F d\mu(x') = \int_A \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma$ and $x' \in X'$.

PROOF: Without loss of generality we assume $A = \Omega$. The measurability of F implies that $\sigma_{F(\omega)}(x')$ is also measurable and $\sigma_{(W)} \int_{\Omega} F d\mu(x') \leq \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $E_n = \{\omega \in \Omega; \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') \leq n\}$ and define a measurable function $f_n(\Omega \to R)$ by

$$f_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') - \frac{1}{n}, & \text{for } \omega \in E_n, \\ n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, define $H_n(\omega)$ $(\Omega \to P_f(X))$ by

$$H_n(\omega) = \{x \in F(\omega); \langle x', x \rangle \ge f_n(\omega)\}.$$

Since $\theta(\omega, x) = \langle x', x \rangle - f_n(\omega)$ is continuous to x and measurable to ω , θ is a Carathéodory function, it must be $\Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable, that is, $G_R H_n = G_R F \cap \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X; \theta(\omega, x) \geq 0\} \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(X)$. Hence $H_n(\omega)$ is measurable and there exists a measurable selection σ_n of H_n for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Define again $\sigma_{n,k}$ by

$$\sigma_{n,k}(\omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_n(\omega), \omega \in \Omega_{n,k} \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega; \|\sigma_n(\omega)\| \leq k\}, \\ \sigma(\omega), \ \ \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

where $\sigma \in S_{WF}$, hence $\sigma_{n,k} \in S_{WF}$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} x' \sigma_{n,k}(\omega) d\mu = \int_{\Omega_{n,k}} x' \sigma_n(\omega) d\mu + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{n,k}} x' \sigma(\omega) d\mu,$$

we have

$$\sigma_{(W)} \int_{\Omega} F d\mu(x') \ge \int_{\Omega_{n,k}} x' \sigma_n(\omega) d\mu + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{n,k}} x' \sigma(\omega) d\mu.$$

Since $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{n,k}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and since $\sigma(\omega)$ is Pettis-integrable, by letting k tend to positive infinity in the above inequality we obtain

$$\sigma_{(W) \int_{\Omega} F d\mu}(x') \ge \int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) d\mu = \int_{E_n} (\sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') - \frac{1}{n}) d\mu + n\mu(\Omega \setminus E_n)$$
$$\ge \int_{E_n} (\sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') - \frac{1}{n}) d\mu.$$

Also, letting n go to infinity we have

$$\sigma_{(W)} \int_{\Omega} F d\mu(x') \ge \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu$$

which completes the proof.

Definition 4.3. A bounded set valued measure $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ is said to be μ -weakly compactly separable, provided there exists a Σ -countable partition $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω such that $K_n = \{\frac{x}{\mu(A)}; x \in M(A), \mu(A) > 0, A \subset \Omega_n\}$ is relatively weakly compact for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that X' is separable and suppose $M(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ is a set valued measure of μ -continuity. Then there exists a measurable and weakly integrable bounded set valued function $F(\Sigma \to P_{wee}(X))$ such that

$$M(A) = (W) \int_A F \, d\mu$$

if and only if M is μ -weakly compactly separable.

PROOF: Necessity. Set $\Omega_n = \{\omega \in \Omega; n-1 \le |F(\omega)| < n\}$. The measurability of F implies that $\Omega_n \in \Sigma$ and that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega$, that is, $\{\Omega_n\}$ is a Σ -countable partition of Ω . Let $K_n = \{\frac{x}{\mu(A)}; x \in M(A), \mu(A) > 0, A \subset \Omega_n \text{ and } A \in \Sigma\}$ and for any fixed $x' \in X'$ let $R_{x'}(\omega) = \{x \in F(\omega), \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') = \langle x', x \rangle\}$, then $R_{x'}(\omega) \ne \emptyset$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore there exists a measurable selection σ of $R_{x'}$. Since $\|\sigma(\omega)\| \le |F(\omega)| < n$ on Ω_n , $\sigma(\omega)$ is Bochner-integrable on Ω_n for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Choose any $\sigma_0 \in S_{WF}$ and define σ_1 by $\sigma_1(\omega) = \sigma(\omega)$, if $\omega \in \Omega_n$, $\sigma_0(\omega)$, otherwise, hence $\sigma_1 \in S_{WF}$. For any $A \subset \Omega_n$, according to the fact we have just proved and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(4.1) \sigma_{M(A)}(x') = \int_A \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu = \int_A \langle x', \sigma(\omega) \rangle d\mu = \langle x', \int_A \sigma_1(\omega) d\mu \rangle.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $S_n \equiv \{\frac{m(A)}{\mu(A)}; \mu(A) > 0, A \in \Sigma, A \subset \Omega_n\}$ is relatively weakly compact, since σ_1 is Bochner-integrable on Ω_n , where $m(A) = \int_A \sigma_1(\omega) \, d\mu$. The Krein-Smulian theorem implies that $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(S_n)$ is weakly compact and convex. Thus, by (4.1), $\sigma_{K_n}(x') = \sigma_{S_n}(x') = \sigma_{\overline{\operatorname{co}}(S_n)}(x')$, and there exists $x_n \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}(S_n) \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}(K_n)$ such that $\sigma_{K_n}(x') = \langle x', x_n \rangle$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. This and the James' theorem say $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(K_n)$ is weakly compact. Because $F(\omega)$ is weakly integrable bounded, $|\sigma_{M(A)}(x')| \geq \int_{\Omega} |x'F(\omega)| \, d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, and M is bounded by the Resonance Theorem.

Sufficiency. Suppose that M is μ -weakly compactly separable. Let $\{\Omega_n\}$ be a Σ -countable partition on Ω such that $K_n = \{\frac{x}{\mu(A)}; x \in M(A), A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0$ and $A \subset \Omega_n\}$ is relatively weakly compact, then $M(\Sigma_n \equiv \Sigma \mid_{\Omega_n} \to P_{wee}(X))$ is of bounded variation and μ continuous and which implies $\sigma_{M(\cdot)}(x')$ is also of bounded variation and μ -continuous on Σ_n for all $x' \in X'$. Since R has RNP, for each fixed integer $n \geq 1$ there exists $\varphi_n(x', \omega) \in L^1(\Omega_n)$ such that

(4.2)
$$\sigma_{M(A)}(x') = \int_A \varphi_n(x', \omega) \, d\mu.$$

Note that $|\sigma_{M(A)}(x')| \leq C_n \mu(A)$, where $C_n = \sup_{x \in K_n} ||x||$, we know that the variation $|\sigma_{M(A)}|(x')$ of $\sigma_{M(.)}(x')$ on A satisfies $|\sigma_{M(A)}|(x') \leq C_n \mu(A) ||x'||$ for $A \subset \Omega_n$ and $A \subset \Sigma$. By (4.2), we have $|\sigma_{M(A)}|(x') = \int_A |\varphi_n(x',\omega)| d\mu$, so $|\varphi_n(x',\omega)| \leq C_n ||x'|| \mu$ a.e. on Ω_n , that is, $\varphi_n(x',\omega) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_n)$. By [8], there is a positive and linear lifting L on $L^{\infty}(\Omega_n)$ such that for each $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_n)$, $\overline{f}(\omega) \equiv L(f(\omega))$ is bounded and measurable function satisfying

$$(4.3) \qquad \int_{A} \overline{f}(\omega) \, d\mu = \int_{A} f(\omega) \, d\mu \text{ and } \sup_{\omega \in \Omega_{n}} \| \overline{f}(\omega) \| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

Write $\overline{\varphi}_n(x',\omega) = L(\varphi_n(x',\omega))$, then (4.3) and

$$\int_{A} \varphi_n(x_1' + x_2', \omega) d\mu \le \int_{A} \varphi_n(x_1', \omega) d\mu + \int_{A} \varphi_n(x_2', \omega) d\mu$$

together with

$$\int_{A} \varphi_{n}(\alpha x', \omega) d\mu = \alpha \int_{A} \varphi_{n}(x', \omega) d\mu \quad \text{(for } \alpha \geq 0\text{)}$$

imply that $\overline{\varphi}_n(x',\omega)$ is a sublinear functional on X'. Let $W_n = (K_n \cup (-K_n))$, then W_n is absolutely convex and weakly compact. We obtain $|\sigma_{M(A)}|(x') \le \sigma_{W_n}(x')\mu(A)$ for all $A \subset \Sigma$, $M(A) \subset \mu(A)W_n$ by noting $|\sigma_{M(A)}(x')| \le \sigma_{W_n}(x')\mu(A)$. It follows from the Makey-Arens theorem that for fixed ω , $\overline{\varphi}_n(x',\omega)$ is continuous on X' corresponding to the Makey topology, by Lemma 4.1, for every $\omega \in \Omega_n$ there exists $F_n(\omega) \in P_{wee}(X)$ such that $F_n(\omega) = \{x \in X; \langle x', x \rangle \le \overline{\varphi}_n(x',\omega) \text{ for } x' \in X'\}$ and

(4.4)
$$\sigma_{F_n(\omega)}(x') = \overline{\varphi}_n(x', \omega).$$

Now define F on Ω by $F(\omega) = F_n(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega_n$, equation (4.4) implies that $\sigma_{F_n(\omega)}(x')$ is measurable, this and [4] imply that $F_n(\omega)$ is measurable. This implies that $F(\omega)$ is measurable. Hence there is a measurable selection $\sigma(\Omega \to X)$ of F which is Bochner-integrable by noting $\|\sigma(\omega)\| = \sup_{\|x'\| \le 1} |\langle x', \sigma(\omega) \rangle| \le C_n$ on Ω_n . By (4.2) we have

$$\langle x', \int_A \sigma(\omega) d\mu \rangle \leq \sigma_{M(A)}(x').$$

This and the Separation Theorem say $\int_A \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu \in M(A)$. Since $\int_{E \cap \Omega_n} \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu \in M(E \cap \Omega_n)$ for any $E \in \Sigma$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(E \cap \Omega_n)$ unconditionally converges by Corollary 1.3, in particular, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E \cap \Omega_n} \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu$ is unconditionally convergent. $\sigma(\omega)$ is Pettis-integrable by noting

$$\langle x', \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E \cap \Omega_n} \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E \cap \Omega_n} x' \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu = \int_E x' \sigma(\omega) \, d\mu,$$

that is, $\sigma \in S_{WF}$. Lemma 4.2 implies that

(4.5)
$$\sigma_{(W) \int_A F d\mu}(x') = \int_A \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu$$

and on the other hand, combining (4.2)–(4.4) together we have

(4.6)
$$\int_{A} \sigma_{F(\omega)}(x') d\mu = \sigma_{M(A)}(x')$$

and (4.5), (4.6) and the Separation Theorem imply

(4.7)
$$M(A) = \operatorname{cl}(W) \int_A F d\mu.$$

The weak integrability of F can be followed by

$$\int |x'F(\omega)| \, d\mu \le ||x'|| \sup_{||x'|| \le 1} |\sigma_{M(A)}|(x') < \infty.$$

It remains to show that $(W) \int_A F d\mu$ is closed. Suppose that $\{\sigma_n(\omega)\} \subset S_{WF}$ such that $x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_A \sigma_n(\omega) d\mu$. Let $\{x_n'\}$ be a countably dense set in X' (X' is separable). The inequality $|x_1'\sigma(\omega)| \leq |x_1'F(\omega)|$ and the Dunford theorem (see, for instance, [5, Theorem 15]) imply that $\{x_1'\sigma_n(\omega)\}$ is relatively weakly compact set in $L'(\mu)$. So there exists a weakly convergent subsequence (we still denote it by $\{x_1'\sigma_n(\omega)\}$). By the Mazur theorem, there exists a function sequence $\{f_{1,k}(\omega)\}$ satisfying $f_{1,k}(\omega) \in \operatorname{co}\{\sigma_k(\omega),\sigma_{k+1}(\omega),\ldots\}$ such that $x'(f_{1,k}(\omega))$ is norm-convergent in $L'(\mu)$, this implies that there exists $E_1 \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E_1) = 0$ such that $x_1'(f_{1,k}(\omega))$ is pointwise convergent on $\Omega \setminus E_1$. The convexity of F implies that $f_{1,k}(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ and clearly, it also satisfies $|x_2'f_{1,k}(\omega)| \leq |x_2'F(\omega)|$ which implies that there exists a function sequence $\{f_{2,k}(\omega)\}$ and $E_2 \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E_2) = 0$ such that $f_{2,k}(\omega) \in \operatorname{co}\{f_{1,k}(\omega), f_{1,k+1}(\omega),\ldots\}$ and such that $x_2'f_{2,k}(\omega)$ pointwise converges on $\Omega \setminus E_2 \ldots$. Inductively, we obtain a function sequence $\{f_{n,k}(\omega)\}$ and set sequence $\{E_n\} \subset \Sigma$ with $\mu(E_n) = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that

- (a) $f_{n+1,k}(\omega) \in co\{f_{n,k}(\omega), f_{n,k+1}(\omega), \dots\};$
- (b) $x'_n f_{n,k}$ is pointwise convergent on $\Omega \setminus E_n$.

Let $g_k(\omega) = f_{k,k}(\omega)$, and let $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, therefore for $\omega \in \Omega \setminus E_0$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} x'_n g_k(\omega)$ exists (for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$) by combining (a) and (b). For any $x' \in X'$, $|x'_n g_{k_1}(\omega) - x' g_{k_2}(\omega)| \le ||x'_n - x'|| ||g_{k_1}(\omega)|| + |x'_n g_{k_1}(\omega) - x'_n g_{k_2}(\omega)|$ for any integers $k_1, k_2 \ge 1$, the density of $\{x'_n\}$ in X' implies that $\lim_{k \to \infty} x' g_k(\omega)$ exist for all $x' \in X'$ and $\omega \in \Omega \setminus E_0$. Let $K(\omega) = \overline{co}(F(\omega) \cup (-F(\omega)))$, then $K(\omega)$ is absolutely convex and weakly compact for every $\omega \in \Omega \setminus E_0$. Since $|\lim_{k \to \infty} x' g_k(\omega)| \le \sigma_{K(\omega)}(x')$ for fixed ω , $\lim_{k \to \infty} x' g_k(\omega)$ is continuous corresponding to the Makey topology on X'. Thus, there exists a function $g: (\Omega \setminus E_0 \to X)$ satisfying $\langle x', g(\omega) \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} x' g_k(\omega)$. Again by the Mazur theorem we know $g(\omega) \in F(\omega)$. Choose any $\sigma \in S_{WF}$ and define σ_1 by $\sigma_1(\omega) = g(\omega), \omega \in \Omega \setminus E_0$; $= \sigma(\omega)$, otherwise; let $\{B_k\}$ be a Σ -countable partition and let σ_1 be Bochner-integrable on B_k , then, by (4.7), $\int_{B_k} \sigma_1(\omega) d\mu \in M(B_k)$. So $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_k \cap E} \sigma(\omega)$ is Pettis-integrable, that is $\sigma_1(\omega) \in S_{WF}$. On the other hand, $\langle x', x_0 \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_A x' \sigma_n(\omega) d\mu = \int_A x' g(\omega) d\mu = \int_A x' \sigma_1(\omega) d\mu$, therefore $x_0 = \int_A \sigma_1(\omega) d\mu \in (W) \int_A F d\mu$, which completes our proof.

References

- [1] Aumann R., Integrals of set-valued functions, J. Math. Appl. Anal. 12 (1965), 1–12.
- [2] Artstein Z., Set-valued measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 103–125.
- [3] Amir D., Lindenstrauss J., The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces, Ann. Math. 88 (1968), 35–46.
- [4] Castaing C., Valadier M., Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions, Lecture Notes in Math. 580, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [5] Diestel J., Uhl J., Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc., no. 15, 1977.
- [6] Hiai F., Umegaki H., Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions, J. Multivariate Anal. 7 (1977), 149–182.
- [7] Hiai F., Radon-Nikodym theorems for set-valued measures, J. Multivariate Anal. 8 (1978), 96-118.
- [8] Ionescu-Tulcea A., Ionescu-Tulcea C., Topics in the Theory of Lifting, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [9] Papageorgiou N., On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions, J. Multivariate Anal. 17 (1985), 185–227.
- [10] Papageorgiou N., Representation of set-valued operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), 557–572.
- [11] Papageorgiou N., Contributions to the theory of set-valued functions and set-valued measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 (1987), 245–265.
- [12] Uhl J., The range of vector-valued measure, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 23 (1969), 158-163.
- [13] Wilansky A., Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1978.

(Xue Xiaoping, Li Goucheng, Yao Xiaobo)

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

(Cheng Lixin)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGHAN PETRO. INSTITUTE, HUBEI 434102, CHINA

(Received November 28, 1994)